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> A procedure to resolve simple deterministic languages into the concatena- tion of other simple deterministic languages is presented.

The simple deterministic grammar (s-grammar) is the standard form grammar in which the handles of the $Z$-rules are distinct for each nonterminal symbol $Z$. The language generated by an $s$-grammar is called the simple deterministic language ( $s$-language). It is known that the $s$-languages have the the prefix property and that their equivalence problem is solvable. (Korenjak and Hopcroft, 1966).

Using these facts, we present a procedure to resolve $s$-languages into the concatenation of the prime $s$-languages that can be resolved no more.

Lemma. Let $A$ be a prime s-language and let $B$ and $C$ be s-languages. Let $G=(V, \Sigma, P, \sigma)$ be an s-grammar such that $L(G)=B . C A=B$ if and only if for every $\alpha \in B$ there exist $\beta \in V^{*}$ and $W \in(V-\Sigma)$ satisfying $\sigma \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \beta W \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \alpha$ and $L(W)=A$.

Proof. Let $\gamma \in C$ be a prefix of $\alpha \in B$. The pair $(\sigma, \gamma)$ uniquely determines $W \in(V-\Sigma)^{*}$ such that $\sigma \stackrel{\text { 娄 }}{\Rightarrow} \gamma W \stackrel{\text { * }}{\Rightarrow} \alpha$. For such a $W, L(W)=A$ from $C \backslash C=\epsilon$. Clearly, $W \in(V-\Sigma)$, since $A$ is a prime $s$-language.

To prove the converse, we construct an $s$-grammar $G^{\prime}$ such that $L\left(G^{\prime}\right)=C$. Let

$$
P=\left\{Z_{i} \rightarrow a_{i} X_{i} Y_{i}, Z_{j} \rightarrow a_{j} X_{j}, Z_{k} \rightarrow a_{k}\right\}
$$

and

$$
F=\{W \mid W \in(V-\Sigma), L(W)=A\}=\left\{W_{1}, W_{2}, \ldots, W_{q}\right\}
$$

We introduce a set of new symbols $\bar{V}=\{\bar{Z} \mid Z \in(V-\Sigma)-F\}$ and the sets of rewriting rules

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P^{\prime}=\left\{\bar{Z}_{i} \rightarrow a_{i} X_{i} \bar{Y}_{i}, \bar{Z}_{3} \rightarrow a_{j} \bar{X}_{j}, \bar{Z}_{k} \rightarrow a_{k}\right\} \\
& P^{\prime \prime}=\left\{\bar{Z}_{i} \rightarrow a_{i} X_{\imath} Y_{i}, \bar{Z}_{j} \rightarrow a_{j} X_{j} \mid Y_{i}, X_{j} \in F\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
P_{m}=\left\{\bar{Z}_{i} \rightarrow a_{\imath} X_{i}, \bar{Z}_{j} \rightarrow a_{j} \mid Z_{\imath} \rightarrow a_{\imath} X_{i} W_{m}, Z_{\jmath} \rightarrow a_{j} W_{m} \in P\right\}
$$

for $1 \leqslant m \leqslant q$. For a right linear grammar $\bar{G}=\left(\bar{V}, V, P^{\prime} \cup P^{\prime \prime}, \bar{\sigma}\right)$ and a regular set

$$
R=\left\{z \in V^{*} \mid \sigma \underset{\vec{G}}{\overrightarrow{\vec{G}}} z\right\},
$$

$R \subseteq V^{*} F$ if and only if for every $\alpha \in B$ there exist $W_{m} \in F$ and $\beta \in V^{*}$ such that $\sigma \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \beta W_{m} \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \alpha$. If $R \subseteq V^{*} F$, then $G^{\prime}=\left(V \cup \bar{V}, \Sigma, \cup_{m=1}^{q} P_{m} \cup P^{\prime} \cup P, \bar{\sigma}\right)$ is an $s$-grammar such that $L\left(G^{\prime}\right)=B$.

Since $R \subseteq V^{*} F$ is a containment problem for regular sets and $F$ is constructed by using the solvability of the equivalence problem, there is an effective procedure to decide whether an $s$-language satisfies the condition of the above lemma.

Theorem. For a given s-grammar $G$, there exists an effective procedure to find the prime s-languages $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ satisfying $X_{1} X_{2} \cdots X_{n}=L(G)$, and $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ are uniquely determined.

Proof. Let $a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{s}$ be one of the shortest elements of $L(G)$ and let

$$
\sigma \Rightarrow \gamma_{1} Z_{1} \Rightarrow \gamma_{2} Z_{2} \Rightarrow \cdots \Rightarrow \gamma_{p} Z_{p} \Rightarrow \gamma_{p+1} a_{s} \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{s}
$$

be the rightmost derivation of $a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{s}$. Find the maximum $k \leqslant p$ such that $Y L\left(Z_{k}\right)=L(G)$ for some $s$-language $Y$ using the procedure of the above lemma. Clearly, $L\left(Z_{k}\right)$ is a prime $s$-language. If such a $Y$ does not exist, then $L(G)$ is a prime $s$-language. Repeating the procedure, we can find $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$. The uniqueness of this decomposition is clear.

We state a corollary proved by using the concatenative decomposition and the prefix property of $s$-languages.

Corollary. There are the effective procedures to decide whether for given s-grammars $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, there exists the s-language $X$ satisfying the equations
$L\left(G_{1}\right) X=L\left(G_{2}\right), X L\left(G_{1}\right)=L\left(G_{2}\right)$, and $X^{n}=L\left(G_{1}\right)$. The solutions of such equations are uniquely determined.
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