

Extinction and Positivity for The Evolution P-Laplacian Equation

YUAN HONGJUN

*Departments of Mathematics and Physics, Jilin University, Changchun, Jilin 130023,
People's Republic of China*

Submitted by William F. Ames

Received August 23, 1994

The aim of this paper is to discuss the extinction and positivity for the evolution P-Laplacian equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)$$

with $p > 1$. In particular, the necessary and sufficient condition for extinction is obtained. © 1995 Academic Press, Inc.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we consider the evolution P-Laplacian equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) \quad (1.1)$$

in Q with initial-boundary conditions

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \forall x \in [-1, 1]; \quad u(\pm 1, t) = 0, \forall t \in \mathbf{R}, \quad (1.2)$$

where $p > 1$ is a given real number, and u_0 is a nonzero nonnegative continuous function in \mathbf{I} with $u_0(\pm 1) = 0$, and $Q = \mathbf{I} \times \mathbf{R}$, $\mathbf{I} = [-1, 1]$, $\mathbf{R} = (0, +\infty)$.

Equation (1.1) appears in a number of applications to describe the evolu-

tion of diffusion processes, in particular non-Newtonian flow in a porous medium; cf. [1-3].

The quasilinear equation (1.1) is degenerate if $p > 2$ or singular if $1 < p < 2$, since the modulus of ellipticity degenerates ($p > 2$) or blows up ($1 < p < 2$) at points where $\partial u / \partial x = 0$, and therefore has no classical solution in general. We consider therefore its weak solutions.

DEFINITION 1.1. A nonnegative function u is said to be a weak solution of (1.1)-(1.2), if u satisfies the following conditions:

$$u \in L^\infty(Q) \cap C(Q), \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \in L^p_{loc}(Q) \tag{1.3}$$

$$\int_{-1}^1 u(x, T) \phi(x, T) dx - \int_{-1}^1 u_0(x) \phi(x, 0) dx = \int_0^T \int_{-1}^1 \left(u \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} - \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \right) dx dt \tag{1.4}$$

for all $T \in (0, \infty)$ and all $\phi \in C(0, T; W^{1,p}_0(I))$ with $\partial \phi / \partial t \in L^p(Q)$.

The existence, uniqueness, and regularity of solutions of the Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.2) have been obtained by a number of authors; cf. [4-13].

In this paper our interest is to investigate the extinction and positivity of solutions. Our main results are the following theorems.

THEOREM 1.1. Let u be a weak solution of (1.1)-(1.2). If $1 < p < 2$, then there exists a time T such that

$$u(x, t) = 0$$

for all $(x, t) \in \mathbf{I} \times (T, \infty)$.

THEOREM 1.2. Let u be a weak solution of (1.1)-(1.2). If $p \geq 2$, then there exists a time T such that

$$u(x, t) > 0$$

for all $(x, t) \in (-1, 1) \times (T, +\infty)$.

Remark 1.1. Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 imply that Eq. (1.1) has the extinctive property if and only if $1 < p < 2$.

Such results have been obtained for the equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u^m}{\partial x^2}$$

with $0 < m < 1$ by L. C. Evans in [14], in which the proofs cannot be extended to our case. Our approach is different from [14]; it is based on a comparison principle.

The proofs of our main results are completed in Sections 3 and 4. We first prove some fundamental lemmas in Section 2.

2. FUNDAMENTAL LEMMAS

LEMMA 2.1. *Let u be a weak solution of (1.1)–(1.2). If v satisfies*

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \geq \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right)$$

$$v(x, 0) \geq u(x, 0), \forall x \in \mathbf{I}; \quad v(\pm 1, t) \geq u(\pm 1, t), \forall t \in \mathbf{R},$$

then we have

$$v(x, t) \geq u(x, t)$$

for all $(x, t) \in Q$.

The proof is similar to that given in [8].

LEMMA 2.2. *Let u be a weak solution. Then we have*

(i) *If $p > 2$, then*

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \geq -\frac{u}{(p-2)t}$$

in the sense of distributions.

(ii) *If $2 > p > 1$, then*

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \leq \frac{u}{(2-p)t}$$

in the sense of distributions.

Proof. Denote

$$u_r(x, t) = ru(x, r^{p-2}t)$$

for all $(x, t) \in Q$ and all $r \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Clearly, u_r is a weak solution Eq. (1.1) with the following initial-boundary condition

$$u_r(x, 0) = ru_0(x), \forall x \in \mathbf{I}; \quad u_r(\pm 1, t) = 0, \forall t \in \mathbf{R}. \quad (2.1)$$

Noting $r \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ and using (1.2) and (2.1) we get

$$u_r(x, 0) \leq u_0(x), \forall x \in \mathbf{I}; \quad u_r(\pm 1, t) = u(\pm 1, t), \forall t \in \mathbf{R}. \quad (2.2)$$

Applying the comparison principle we have

$$u_r(x, t) \leq u(x, t) \quad (2.3)$$

for all $(x, t) \in Q$.

We consider the cases: (1) $p > 2$, (2) $1 < p < 2$, respectively.

(1) For $p > 2$, by (2.3), we get

$$\frac{[u(x, \lambda t)]^{p-2} - [u(x, t)]^{p-2}}{\lambda t - t} \geq \frac{(1/\lambda - 1) [u(x, t)]^{p-2}}{\lambda t - t},$$

where $\lambda = r^{p-2}$. Letting $\lambda \rightarrow 1 -$, we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} [u(x, t)]^{p-2} \geq -\frac{1}{t} [u(x, t)]^{p-2}$$

in the distribution, which implies that (i) holds.

(2) For $1 < p < 2$, by (2.3), we have

$$\frac{[u(x, \lambda t)]^{2-p} - [u(x, t)]^{2-p}}{\lambda t - t} \leq \frac{(\lambda - 1) [u(x, t)]^{2-p}}{\lambda t - t},$$

where $\lambda = r^{p-2}$. Letting $\lambda \rightarrow 1+$, we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} [u(x, t)]^{2-p} \leq \frac{1}{t} [u(x, t)]^{2-p}$$

in the distribution, which implies that (ii) holds. Thus the proof is completed.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let u be a weak solution of (1.1)–(1.2). If $p > 2$, then*

$$\text{supp}u(\cdot, s) \subset \text{supp}u(\cdot, t)$$

for all s, t with $0 < s < t$.

The proof follows from Lemma 2.2.

3. EXTINCTION OF SOLUTIONS

In this section we shall prove Theorem 1.1 and assume that $1 < p < 2$. Denote

$$s_+ = \max\{s, 0\}$$

for all $s \in (-\infty, +\infty)$.

Define an auxiliary function

$$v(x, t) = k(T - t)_+^{1/(2-p)} \ln(3 + x), \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$k = \left[\frac{1}{3^p \ln 3} \right]^{1/(2-p)}, \quad T = \left(\frac{\|u_0\|_\infty}{k \ln 2} \right)^{2-p}. \quad (3.2)$$

Let us compute

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = -\frac{k}{2-p} (T - t)_+^{(p-1)/(2-p)} \ln(3 + x) \quad (3.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[\left(\frac{k(T - t)_+^{1/(2-p)}}{3 + x} \right)^{p-2} \left(\frac{k(T - t)_+^{1/(2-p)}}{3 + x} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{k(T - t)_+^{1/(2-p)}}{3 + x} \right)^{p-1} = -(p-1) k^{p-1} (T - t)_+^{(p-1)/(2-p)} (3 + x)^{-p}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Using (3.1)–(3.4) we get

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \geq \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right), \quad (3.5)$$

$$v(x, 0) \geq u_0(x), \quad \forall x \in [-1, 1]; \quad v(\pm 1, t) \geq 0, \quad \forall t \in \mathbf{R}. \quad (3.6)$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 and (3.5)–(3.6) we get

$$u(x, t) \leq v(x, t)$$

for all $(x, t) \in \mathbf{Q}$. By the definition of $v(x, t)$ we have

$$u(x, t) \leq v(x, t) = 0$$

for all $(x, t) \in \mathbf{I} \times (T, +\infty)$. Thus the proof of Theorem 1.1 is completed.

4. POSITIVITY OF SOLUTIONS

In this section we shall prove Theorem 1.2 and assume that $p \geq 2$. In the case $p = 2$, the conclusion of Theorem 1.2 follows from the theory of the linear uniformly parabolic equations. Here we consider only the case $p > 2$.

In order to prove Theorem 1.2 we need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let u be a weak solution of (1.1)–(1.2) and $p > 2$. If*

$$u_0(0) > 0,$$

then there exists a time T_0 such that

$$u(x, t) > 0$$

for all $(x, t) \in (-1, 1) \times (T_0, +\infty)$.

Proof. Let us consider the fundamental solution of Eq. (1.1)

$$\Phi_{k,R}(x, t; x_0) = kRS(t)^{-1/\lambda} \left\{ 1 - \left[\frac{|x - x_0|}{S^{1/\lambda}(t)} \right]^{p/(p-1)} \right\}_+^{(p-1)/(p-2)} \tag{4.1}$$

for all positive constants k and R and all $x_0 \in (-1, 1)$, where

$$S(t) = R^\lambda + \lambda \left(\frac{p}{p-2} \right)^{p-1} k^{p-2} t, \quad \lambda = 2(p-1). \tag{4.2}$$

From

$$u_0(0) > 0,$$

it follows that

$$u_0(x) > k, \quad \forall x \in (-R, R) \subset \mathbf{I}$$

for some positive constant R . Clearly, we have

$$\frac{\partial \Phi_{k,R}(x, t, 0)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\left| \frac{\partial \Phi_{k,R}(x, t, 0)}{\partial x} \right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial \Phi_{k,R}(x, t, 0)}{\partial x} \right) \quad (4.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{k,R}(x, 0; 0) &\leq u_0(x), \quad \forall x \in [-1, 1]; \\ \Phi_{k,R}(\pm 1, t; 0) &= 0, \quad \forall t \in (0, T^*), \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

where

$$T^* = \frac{1 - R^\lambda}{\lambda k^{p-2} (p/p - 2)^{p-1}}. \quad (4.5)$$

By Lemma 2.1, using (1.2), (4.3), and (4.4), we get

$$u(x, t) \geq \Phi_{k,R}(x, t, 0) \quad (4.6)$$

for all $(x, t) \in [-1, 1] \times (0, T^*)$, which implies that

$$u(x, T^*) > 0 \quad (4.7)$$

for all $x \in (-1, 1)$. By (4.7) and Lemma 2.3 we get

$$u(x, t) > 0$$

for all $(x, t) \in (-1, 1) \times (T^*, +\infty)$. Thus the proof is completed.

LEMMA 4.2. *Let u be a weak solution of (1.1)–(1.2) and $p > 2$. If*

$$u_0(x_0) > 0 \quad x_0 \neq 0, \quad (4.8)$$

then there exists a time T such that

$$u(0, T) > 0. \quad (4.9)$$

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that

$$0 < x_0 < 1. \quad (4.10)$$

From (4.8), it follows that

$$u_0(x) > k_0 = \frac{1}{2} u_0(x_0) > 0$$

for all $x \in (x_0 - R_0, x_0 + R_0)$ with some small positive number R_0 .

Similar to (4.6), we get

$$u(x, t) \geq \Phi_{k_0, R_0}(x, t; x_0) \tag{4.11}$$

for all $(x, t) \in \mathbf{I} \times (0, T_0)$, where

$$T_0 = \min \{T_0^+, T_0^-\} \quad T_0^- = \frac{|x_0 + 1|^\lambda - R_0^\lambda}{\lambda k^{p-2}(p/p - 2)^{p-1}},$$

$$T_0^+ = \frac{|x_0 - 1|^\lambda - R_0^\lambda}{\lambda k^{p-2}(p/p - 2)^{p-1}} \tag{4.12}$$

which implies

$$u(x, T_0) > 0 \tag{4.13}$$

for all $(x, t) \in (2x_0 - 1, 1)$.

If $x_0 < \frac{1}{2}$, then by (4.11) we have (4.9).

If $x_0 \geq \frac{1}{2}$, we define

$$x_1 = x_0 - d_k,$$

where

$$x_0 > d_k = \frac{1}{k}(1 - x_0) > 0$$

and $k > 2$ is a positive integer.

Similar to (4.13), there exists a time T_1 such that

$$u(x, T_0 + T_1) > 0 \tag{4.14}$$

for all $x \in (2x_1 - 1, 1)$.

If $x_1 < \frac{1}{2}$, then by (4.14) we have (4.9).

If $x_1 \geq \frac{1}{2}$, we define

$$x_2 = x_1 - d_k = x_0 - 2d_k.$$

Similar to (4.13), there exists a time T_2 such that

$$u(x, T_0 + T_1 + T_2) > 0 \quad (4.14)$$

for all $x \in (2x_2 - 1, 1)$.

Repeating the above process we can find two positive integer n^* and k^* such that

$$x_{n^*} = x_0 - n^*d_{k^*}, \quad -1 < 2x_{n^*} - 1 < 0$$

and

$$u(x, T_1 + T_2 + \cdots + T_{n^*}) > 0$$

for all $x \in (2x_{n^*} - 1, 1)$. Thus the proof is completed.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. From Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2 there exists a time T such that

$$u(x, T) > 0$$

for all $x \in (-1, 1)$. By Lemma 2.3, we have the conclusion of Theorem 1.2. Thus the proof is completed.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to deeply thank Professor Wu Zhuoqun and Professor Yang Shande for their elaborate instruction in preparing this paper. The author also would like to deeply thank the referee for his suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. L. K. MARTINSON AND K. B. PAPLOV, Unsteady shear flows of a conducting fluid with a rheological power law, *Magnit. Gidrodinamika* **2** (1970), 50–58.
2. N. ANTONSEV, Axially symmetric problems of gas dynamics with free boundaries, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **216** (1974), 473–476.
3. O. A. LADYZENSKAYA, New equation for the description of incompressible fluids and solvability in the large boundary problem for them, *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* **102** (1967), 95–118.
4. CHEN YAZHE, Hölder continuity of the gradient of the solutions of certain degenerate parabolic equations, *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B* **3** (1987), 343–356.
5. KAZUO KOBAYASI, Uniqueness of solutions of degenerate diffusion equations with measures as initial conditions, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA* **12** (1988), 1053–1060.
6. E. DI BENEDETTO AND M. A. HERRERO, On the Cauchy problem and initial traces for degenerate parabolic equation, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **314** No. 1 (1989), 187–224.

7. MASAYOSHI TSUTSUMI, On solutions of some doubly nonlinear degenerate parabolic equations with absorption, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **132** (1988), 187–212.
8. E. DiBENEDETTO, “Degenerate Parabolic Equations,” Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.
9. YUAN HONGJUN, Regularity of the free boundary for a degenerate parabolic equation, *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A* **15** (1994), 89–97.
10. ZHAO JUNNING AND YUAN HONGJUN, Lipschitz continuity of solutions and interfaces of the evolution P-Laplacian equation, *Northeast. Math. J.* **8**, No. 1 (1992), 21–38.
11. YUAN HONGJUN, Hölder continuity of weak solutions for a nonlinear degenerate parabolic equation, *Acta Sci. Natur. Univ. Jilin.* **96** No. 2 (1991), 36–52.
12. J. R. ESTEBAN AND J. L. VASQUEZ, On the equation of non-Newtonian filtration in one-dimensional porous media, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA* **10** (1986), 1303–1325.
13. J. R. ESTEBAN AND J. L. VASQUEZ, Homogeneous diffusion in \mathbb{R} with power-like nonlinear diffusivity, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **103**, No. 1 (1988), 39–80.
14. L. C. EVANS, Application of nonlinear semigroup theory to certain partial differential equations, in “Nonlinear Evolution Equations” (M. G. Crandall, Ed.), Academic Press, San Diego, 1978.