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Abstract

The Artin groups of FC type can be characterized as the smallest class of Artin groups which is closed under free products amalgamated over special subgroups (subgroups generated by a subset of canonical generators) and which contains the finite-type Artin groups. There is a computationally feasible normal form for special cosets of FC-type Artin groups. In particular, the word problem is solvable in quadratic time. It is also shown that FC-type Artin groups are asynchronously automatic and that the set of positive words in a standard presentation of an Artin group of FC type is isomorphic to the monoid given by the same presentation. © 1998 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.
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0. Introduction

Artin groups are a natural generalization of braid groups. Braid groups can be thought of as encoding all the patterns that can be woven into a set of (almost) parallel strands. Artin found a presentation for each braid group as a finite system of generators and relations and solved the word problem [1]; i.e., he gave an algorithm which decides whether a given product of generators is the identity element of the group. The braid groups have been well studied since then and are of continuing interest. They have applications to the study of knots and links and are related to the mapping class groups.

The braid groups are contained in a larger class of Artin groups known as the finite-type Artin groups. Many of the properties of braid groups extend naturally to finite-type Artin groups. Garside [10] and Deligne [8] solved the word problem for finite-type Artin groups. Thurston [9] showed that braid groups are biautomatic. Biautomaticity implies, among other things, that the word problem can be solved in quadratic time. Charney [4] showed that the finite-type Artin groups are biautomatic. The Artin groups
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of infinite-type are not amenable to the same techniques and very little is known about
them. Peifer has shown that some of the infinite-type Artin groups have solvable word
problem, more specifically, that those of large type are automatic [13] and that those
of extra-large type are biautomatic [14]. Chermak has shown that locally non-spherical
Artin groups have solvable word problem [7]. Van Wyk [17] has shown that the
right-angled Artin groups are biautomatic and it follows from work of Hermiller and
Meier [11] that, in fact, any graph product of biautomatic Artin groups is a biautomatic
Artin group.

What follows is a solution to the word problem for another class of infinite-type Artin
groups, Artin groups of FC type. This class is defined by Charney and Davis [6] as
follows. Let \((W,S)\) be a Coxeter system. The associated Artin group \(A\) is of FC type if
the following condition is satisfied: if \(T \subseteq S\) and every pair of elements of \(T\) generates
a finite subgroup of \(W\), then \(T\) generates a finite subgroup of \(W\). The Artin groups of
FC type can be characterized as the smallest class of Artin groups closed under amalga-
mations over special subgroups and containing the finite-type Artin groups. This class
contains graph products of finite-type Artin groups (and hence the right-angled Artin
groups) but is essentially different from the locally non-spherical and large type Artin
groups. The solution is via a normal form which yields an asynchronously automatic
structure. It is not known, in general, whether asynchronously automatic groups admit
a polynomial-time solution to the word problem. The normal form presented below
has computational properties which are independent of the generic algorithms associ-
ated with automaticity. These properties yield a quadratic time solution to the word
problem.

Section 1 provides background on Artin groups collected principally from papers
of Charney [4,5] and Charney and Davis [6]. Section 2 gives the core result: Artin
groups of finite type have a system of special coset representatives analogous to mini-
mal coset representatives of Coxeter groups. The representatives of the trivial subgroup
reconstitute the geodesic normal form in [5]. The coset representatives of those special
subgroups containing positive elements coincide with those defined in [6], which were
the inspiration for the generalization given here. Section 3 extends the normal form
for coset representatives to FC-type Artin groups. In this case, the representatives of
the cosets of the trivial subgroup do not give a biautomatic structure but they do yield
a normal form which can be computed in quadratic time. The normal form is used to
show the following two facts: (a) the monoid defined by the same generators and rela-
tions as in the standard presentation for an FC-type Artin group is exactly the monoid of
positive elements of that group and (b) FC Artin groups are asynchronously automatic.

1. Finite-type Artin groups

This section contains preliminary information about finite-type Artin groups due pri-
marily to Garside [10] and Deligne [8]. It uses facts about Coxeter groups that can be
found in the first two chapters of [3]. For more details, see [4–6].
A **Coxeter matrix** is a symmetric matrix \((m_{ij})\) with entries in \(\{1,2,\ldots,\infty\}\) such that \(m_{ii} = 1\) and \(m_{ij} \geq 2\), for \(i \neq j\). A **Coxeter system** associated to an \(n \times n\) Coxeter matrix is a pair \((W,S)\), where \(S = \{s_1,s_2,\ldots,s_n\}\) is a finite set and \(W\) is the group with presentation

\[W = \langle S \mid (s_is_j)^{m_{ij}} = 1, \ m_{ij} \neq \infty \rangle.\]

The corresponding **Artin group** \(A\) is the group with presentation

\[A = \langle S \mid \text{prod}(s_is_j;m_{ij}) = \text{prod}(s_j,s_i;m_{ij}) \rangle,\]

where \(\text{prod}(s,t;m)\) denotes the alternating product \(sts \cdots\) containing \(m\) factors. If \(W\) is finite, \(A\) is said to be of **finite type**. For example, the braid group on \(n\) strands, \(B_n\), is an Artin group whose standard presentation is

\[B_n = \langle s_1,\ldots,s_{n-1} \mid s_is_{i+1}s_1 = s_{i+1}s_is_{i+1}, \ s_is_j = s_js_i \ \text{if} \ |i-j| > 1 \rangle.\]

Braid groups are of finite type since the Coxeter group \(W\) corresponding to \(B_n\) is \(S_n\), the symmetric group on \(n\) objects. Note that in the presence of the relations \(s_i^2 = 1\), the Artin group relations are equivalent to the corresponding Coxeter group relations. In other words, there is a homomorphism \(\pi: A \to W\) given by adding the relations \(s_i^2 = 1\).

For \(T \subseteq S\), let \((W_T,T)\) denote the Coxeter system corresponding to the \(T \times T\) sub-matrix of the Coxeter matrix for \(W\). Let \(A_T\) denote the Artin group corresponding to \(W_T\). It follows from Tits’ solution to the word problem in Coxeter groups that \(W_T\) is isomorphic to the subgroup of \(W\) generated by \(T\) under the natural map. This is also true for Artin groups; i.e., \(A_T\) is isomorphic to the subgroup of \(A\) generated by \(T\) under the natural map. This was shown by Deligne [8] for \(A\) of finite-type and by van der Lek [16] in general. The groups \(W_T\) and \(A_T\) are called the **special subgroups** of \(W\) and \(A\), respectively. Cosets of special subgroups are called **special cosets**. Special subgroups satisfy a nice intersection property [16]: \(A_T \cap A_U = A_{T \cap U}\).

For the rest of this section, suppose \(W\) is finite and \(A\) is the associated finite-type Artin group. Let \(A^+\) be the monoid with the same presentation as \(A\); i.e., \(A^+ = F(S)^+ / \sim\), where \(F(S)^+\) is the free monoid on \(S\) and \(\sim\) is the equivalence relation generated (via transitive closure) by the equivalences \(uwv \sim uw'v\) if \(w = \text{prod}(s,t;m_{st})\) and \(w' = \text{prod}(t,s;m_{ts})\), for some \(s,t \in S\). Note that since the monoid relations preserve length, word length is additive in \(A^+\). Deligne [8, Theorem 4.14] has shown that the natural map \(A^+ \to A\) is an injection. Thus, \(A^+\) may be regarded as a submonoid of \(A\), called the monoid of **positive elements**.

To each element \(w\) of the Coxeter group \(W\), there is a unique positive element \(\mu \in A^+\) of minimal length such that \(\pi(\mu) = w\). If \(\mu \neq 1\), we call \(\mu\) a **minimal**. The set ofimals is denoted by \(M\). Since \(S \subseteq M\), \(M\) is another finite generating set for \(A\). The word length of an element \(a \in A\) over the generating set \(M\) will be called the **\(M\)-length** of \(A\), denoted \(|a|_M\); similarly, the word length over \(S\) will be called the **\(S\)-length**, denoted \(|a|_S\). The generating set \(M\) is easier to work with for some purposes.
For example, Paterson and Razborov [12] have shown that unless $P = NP$, there is no polynomial-time algorithm independent of the number of strands to produce a minimal length representation of a braid from a given one in the generators $S$. However, there is such an algorithm over the generating set $M$ [9, Corollary 9.5.3]. For $T \subseteq S$, let $M_T$ be the set of minimals in $A_T$.

**Lemma 1** (Chamiey [4, Lemma 2.3]). Let $\Delta$ be the minimal corresponding to the (unique) longest element of $W$. For all $a \in A$, $\mu \in M$, and $s \in S$,

1. $\Delta^2 a = a \Delta^2$,
2. there is $\mu^* \in M$ such that $\Delta = \mu^* \mu$,
3. there is $\tilde{\mu} \in M$ such that $\Delta \mu = \tilde{\mu} \Delta$, and
4. there is $s \in S$ such that $\Delta s = s \Delta$.

For $T \subseteq S$, let $A_T$ denote the minimal corresponding to the longest element of $W_T$. Define the partial orderings $\leq_\ell$ and $\leq_r$ on $A^+$ by

$$a \leq_\ell b \text{ if } b = ca \text{ for some } c \in A^+$$

and

$$a \leq_r b \text{ if } b = ac \text{ for some } c \in A^+.$$
It follows from Lemma 2 that (a) a word $p_k \cdots p_2 p_1$ over $M$ is an rmd if and only if $p_i = \max \min (p_{i+1} p_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k - 1$ and (b) the language of rmds is a regular language over $M$.

The following lemmas describe what happens to orthogonal elements [5, Lemma 2.8] and rmds [5, Lemma 3.1] after multiplication by a single minimal (Fig. 1).

**Lemma 3.** Let $a, b \in A^+$, $\sigma \in M$. If $a \perp_r b$ then $\sigma a \wedge_r b \in M \cup \{1\}$.

**Lemma 4.** Let $a, b \in A^+$ have rmds $a = a_m a_{m-1} \cdots a_1$ and $b = b_n b_{n-1} \cdots b_1$ and let $\mu \in M$. Then

(i) if $b = a\mu$ then $n = m$ or $m + 1$ and there are $c_i, d_i \in M \cup \{1\}$ such that $a_i = c_i d_i$ and $b_i = d_i c_{i-1}$, where $d_{m+1} = 1$ and $c_0 = \mu_i$; and

(ii) if $b = \mu a$ then $n = m$ or $m + 1$ and there are $c_i \in M \cup \{1\}$ such that $b_n b_{n-1} \cdots b_1 c_{i-1} = \mu a_m \cdots a_i$.

It follows that if $a \preceq_r \mu$ then $|a|_M \leq |\mu|_M$, for $* = r$ or $\ell$. By Lemma 1, it is possible to write each $a \in A$ as $a = p A^{r-k}$ for some $p \in A^+$ and some $k \geq 0$. After cancelling as much as possible between the positive and negative parts, the form $ab^{-1}$ is obtained with $a, b \in A^+$ such that $a \perp_r b$. This form will be called the right normal form or rnf. If $a$ and $b$ are written as rmds, the result is a normal form over $M \cup M^{-1}$ for elements of $A$. This normal form will also be called rnf when it is clear that we are considering words rather than groups elements. Charney [5] has shown that the language of rnf is a biautomatic geodesic normal form with uniqueness.
Let $T \subseteq S$. For $n \geq 1$, $\Delta_T = \max_{r} \Delta^n_T$ and so $\Delta^n_T$ is an rmd. Also, every positive element of $A_T$ precedes $\Delta^n_T$ for sufficiently large $n$ under both partial orderings $\preceq_T$ and $\preceq_r$. Consequently, certain expressions involving powers of $\Delta_T$ become stable for sufficiently large powers. For example, in Lemma 6 it is shown that if $T \subseteq S$, $a, b \in A^+$, and $E(n)$ denotes the expression $a \wedge_T \Delta^n_T b$, then $E(n) = E(|a|_M)$ for all $n \geq |a|_M$.

**Definition 1.** Suppose that for each integer $n$, $E(n)$ is an expression over elements of $A$, products, powers, and lattice operations. Suppose there is an integer $N$ such that for all $n \geq N$, $E(n) = E(N)$. Then the set $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \geq N\}$ is called the **stable range** of $E(n)$.

The following lemmas give bounds for the stable ranges of some eventually stable expressions.

**Lemma 5.** Let $T \subseteq S$. Let $b, c \in A^+$ with $k = |c|_M$. If $\Delta^n_T b \preceq_r c$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\Delta^k_T b \preceq_r c$.

**Proof.** This is clearly true if $k \geq n$ so suppose otherwise. Let $c = c_k c_{k-1} \ldots c_1$ (rmd). The proof is by induction on $k$. For $k = 1$, $c = c_1 \in M$ implies

$$c_1 \preceq_r \max_{r} (\Delta^n_T b) = \max_{r} (\max_{r} (\Delta^n_T b)) = \max_{r} (\Delta_T b).$$

Thus, $c \preceq_r \Delta_T b$. For $k > 1$, $c \preceq_r \Delta^n_T b$ implies $c_{k-1} \ldots c_1 \preceq_r \Delta^n_T b$ so $\Delta^{n-k}_T b \preceq_r c_{k-1} \ldots c_1$ by induction. Thus, $\Delta^{k-1}_T b = b_1 c_{k-1} \ldots c_1$, for some $b_1 \in A^+$. Since $c \preceq_r \Delta^n_T b$, $\Delta^n_T b = b_2 c$, for some $b_2 \in A^+$. Thus,

$$b_2 c_k c_{k-1} \ldots c_1 = \Delta^n_T b = \Delta^{n-k+1}_T \Delta^{k-1}_T b = \Delta^{n-k}_T \Delta_T b_1 c_{k-1} \ldots c_1.$$

Cancelling $c_{k-1} \ldots c_1$ gives $c_k \preceq_r \Delta^{n-k+1}_T b_1$. As in the $k = 1$ case, this implies $c_k \preceq_r \Delta_T b_1$. Thus, for some $b_3 \in A^+$,

$$\Delta^k_T b = \Delta_T \Delta^{k-1}_T b = \Delta_T b_1 c_{k-1} \ldots c_1 = b_3 c_k c_{k-1} \ldots c_1.$$ 

**Lemma 6.** Let $T \subseteq S$ and $a, b \in A^1$. Then $|a|_M$ is in the stable range of $a \wedge_T \Delta^n_T b$.

**Proof.** Let $c = a \wedge_T \Delta^n_T b$. Then $c \preceq_r a$ so $|c|_M \leq |a|_M$. By Lemma 5, $c \preceq_r \Delta^{n|a|_M}_T b \preceq_r \Delta^{|a|_M}_T b$. Hence, $c \preceq_r a \wedge \Delta^{a|a|_M}_T b$. On the other hand, if $n \geq |a|_M$ then $a \wedge \Delta^{a|a|_M}_T b \preceq_r c$. 

The notation and terminology of [9] for dealing with normal forms will be used when it is necessary to more carefully distinguish between groups elements and words over a generating set. For any finite generating set $X$ of a group $G$, let $X^{-1}$ denote a set disjoint from $X$ of formal inverses of the elements of $X$. Let $X^\pm = X \cup X^{-1}$. Let $X^{\pm*} = (X \cup X^{-1})^*$ denote the free monoid of finite sequences or **words** over $X^{\pm}$. If $Y \subseteq X$, $Y^{\pm*}$ is a submonoid of $X^{\pm*}$. The sequence of length zero is called the **empty word** and is denoted by $\varepsilon$. There is a natural monoid epimorphism from $X^{\pm*}$
to $G$. If $w$ is a word in $X^{\pm}$, let $\overline{w}$ denote the image of $w$ under this natural monoid epimorphism.

For $a, b \in M^*$, say $a \perp_r b$ if $\overline{a} \perp_r \overline{b}$ and let $a \land_r b$ be the word corresponding to the rmd of $\overline{a} \land_r \overline{b}$. Extend $\perp_r$ and $\land_r$ similarly. These lattice operations on words can be computed in quadratic time.

**Lemma 7.** For $a, b \in M^*$, the lattice operations $a \land_r b$ and $a \land_r b$ can be computed in $O((|a|_M + |b|_M)^2)$ time.

**Proof.** It suffices to show this for $\land_r$ since the argument for $\land_r$ is symmetric. Let $a = a_m a_{m-1} \cdots a_1$. By Lemma 2, $\maxmin_r(a)$ can be found by reading $a$ from left to right in pairs: $a_m a_{m-1}$ is replaced by $a'_m a'_{m-1}$ (rmd), $a'_{m-1} a_{m-2}$ is replaced by $a''_{m-1} a''_{m-2}$ (rmd), and so on, where the first factor in a replacement pair may be $1$. Then $\maxmin_r(a) = a'_1$. Similarly compute $\maxmin_r(b)$. Set $c_1 = \maxmin_r(a \land_r b)$. (There are finitely many possible meets of minimals which may be considered to have been computed in advance.) Compute $\maxmin_r(ac_1^{-1})$ by replacing $a'_1$ by $a'_1 c_1^{-1}$ in the string $a'_m \cdots a'_2 a'_1$ and processing the string from left to right as before. Compute $\maxmin_r(bc_1^{-1})$ similarly and set $c_2 = \maxmin_r(ac_1^{-1}) \land_r \maxmin_r(bc_1^{-1})$. Repeat until $c_{k+1} = 1$. Then $a \land_r b = c_k c_{k-1} \cdots c_1$, with $k \leq \min(|a|_M, |b|_M)$. Thus, $a \land_r b$ is calculated in $2(k + 1)$ passes of length at most $\max(|a|_M, |b|_M)$.

The following lemma provides nice coset representatives for special cosets containing positive elements [6, Lemma 4.5.3]. It will be generalized in the following section.

**Lemma 8.** Let $a \in A$ and $T \subseteq S$. If $aA_T \cap A^+ \neq \emptyset$ then it contains a least element with respect to $\preceq_r$.

2. **Finite-type minimal coset representatives**

Any Artin group of FC type can be written as a free product with amalgamation whose factors are (ultimately) finite-type Artin groups. The standard normal form for elements of an amalgamated product requires sets of coset representatives in the factor groups. This section describes a good set of coset representatives for finite-type Artin groups. (The amalgam normal form is described in the next section.)

Let $(W, S)$ be a Coxeter system with $W$ finite and let $A$ be the corresponding (finite-type) Artin group. Each special coset of $W$ has a unique coset representative of minimal length over $S$ (see [3]). There is an analogous system of distinguished coset representatives for $A$. However, length over the generating set $M$ does not provide unique special coset representatives for $A$. A stronger partial ordering on $A$ is needed. Such a partial ordering is defined below as a lexicographic combination of the partial orderings on $A^1$ discussed in the previous chapter. Its main properties are summarized in the following theorem.
Theorem 1. There is a partial ordering $\preceq_R$ on $A$ such that

(i) every special coset $xAT$ has a least element $m(xAT)$ with respect to $\preceq_R$,
(ii) $m(xAT)$ has minimal $M$-length among coset representatives, and
(iii) if $A_U$ is a special subgroup such that $A_U \cap xAT \neq \emptyset$ then $m(A_U \cap xAT) = m(xAT)$.

It follows from van der Lek’s intersection property $(A_U \cap AT = A_{U \cap T})$ that if $A_U \cap xAT$ is nonempty then $A_U \cap xAT$ is a coset of the special subgroup $A_{U \cap T}$. Thus, assuming property (i), the expression $m(A_U \cap xAT)$ in property (iii) is well-defined. Property (iii) implies that $m(xAT)$ lies in every nonempty intersection of the form $A_U \cap xAT$ and hence can be written in terms of the smallest subset of canonical generators sufficient to express some element of $xAT$.

Let $x \in A$ and $T \subseteq S$. Let $x = ab^{-1}$ (rnf). Define $m_T(x)$ by

$$m_T(x) = xAT^{-m}(\Delta_T^m(b\wedge_r \Delta_T^n b)^{-1} \wedge \Delta_T^n),$$

where $m$ is in the stable range of $E(i) = a \wedge_r \Delta_T^m b$ and $n$ is in the stable range of $F(j) = b(a \wedge_r \Delta_T^n b)^{-1} \wedge \Delta_T^n$. This definition can be understood as an algorithm for finding a distinguished representative $m_T(x)$ for the coset $xAT$. This algorithm is outlined by the following system of equations, which also yields $m_T(x)$:

1. $g = a \wedge_r \Delta_T^m b,$
2. $a_1 = a g^{-1},$
3. $b_1 = \Delta_T^m b g^{-1},$
4. $h = b_1 \wedge_\ell \Delta_T^n,$
5. $b_2 = h^{-1} b_1,$
6. $m_T(x) = a_1 b_2^{-1},$

where $m$ is in the stable range of $g$ and $n$ is in the stable range of $h$. For example, consider the element $x = \Delta_T s^{-1}_3$ of the braid group $B_4$, where $T = \{s_1, s_2\}$. As a product of minimals, $\Delta_T s^{-1}_3$ is in rnf; no cancellation can occur between the positive and negative part. The goal is to find a representative of the coset $xAT$ whose positive part is as “small” as possible. Multiplication on the right by $\Delta_T^{-1}$ induces some cancellation:

$$xAT^{-1} = s_1 s_2 s_1 s_2^{-1} s_2^{-1} s_1^{-1} s_1 s_2^{-1} s_3 s_2^{-1} s_1^{-1} = (s_1 s_2)(s_1 s_2 s_3)^{-1}.$$ 

However, another factor of $\Delta_T^{-1}$ yields no further cancellation; $m = 1$ is in the stable range of $g$. We have $a_1 = s_1 s_2$ and $b_1 = s_1 s_2 s_3$. Having reduced the positive part, we let $AT$ reabsorb as much of the negative part as possible: $h = s_1 s_2$, $b_2 = s_3^{-1}$, and $m_T(x) = s_1 s_2 s_3^{-1}$.

Remark 1. By Lemma 6, $m = |a|_M$ is in the stable range of $g$. Thus, $|b_1|_M \leq |a|_M + |b|_M$ and $n = |a|_M + |b|_M$ is in the stable range of $h$. However, an efficient calculation could
use the minimal number of $\Delta_T$s (plus one to check the termination condition) by taking advantage of the fact that $\maxmin_r(\Delta_T^2 b) - \maxmin_r(\Delta_T b)$. For example, to compute $g$, proceed as in the proof of Lemma 7 to find $c_1 = \maxmin_r(a \wedge \Delta_T b)$. In the next pass, use $\Delta_T b'$ in place of $b'$, the replacement string for $\Delta_T b$. Continue by multiplying by one $\Delta_T$ at a time.

**Remark 2.** Note that $m_T(x) \in xA_T$ since by definition, $m_T(x) = xk$, where $k \leq \ell A_T^2$.

**Remark 3.** The elements $a_1, b_1$, and hence $m_T(x)$ do not depend on the orthogonality of $a$ and $b$ since any common right tail will be absorbed by $g$. Thus, $m_T(x)$ can be calculated from any pair $a, b \in A^+$ such that $x = ab^{-1}$.

In fact, $m_T(x)$ does not really depend on $x$ but only on the coset $xA_T$.

**Lemma 9.** If $y \in xA_T$ then $m_T(y) = m_T(x)$.

**Proof.** Let $y \in xA_T$. Then $y = xw$ for some $w \in A_T$. Write $w = eA_T^\ell$ and $x = cA^{-k}$, where $e \in A_T^+$, $c \in A^+$, and $k$ and $\ell$ are even. Then $y = ceA^{-k}A_T^{\ell-2}$. By Remark 3, $m_T(x)$ can be computed by letting $a = c$ and $b = A^{-k}$ in Eqs. (1)–(6):

\begin{align*}
g &= c \wedge_r \Delta_T^m A^k, \\
a_1 &= cg^{-1}, \\
b_1 &= \Delta_T^m A^k g^{-1}, \\
h &= b_1 \wedge_r \Delta_T^2, \\
b_2 &= h^{-1}b_1, \\
m_T(x) &= a_1b_2^{-1},
\end{align*}

where $m$ is even and into the stable range of $c \wedge_r \Delta_T^m A^k$ by at least the length of $e$. Similarly, $m_T(y)$ can be computed by letting $a' = ce$ and $b' = \Delta_T^\ell A^k$:

\begin{align*}
g' &= ce \wedge_r \Delta_T^m A_T^\ell A^k \\
 &= (c \wedge_r \Delta_T^m A_T^\ell A^k e^{-1})e \\
 &= (c \wedge_r e^* \Delta_T^{-m-i} A_T\Delta_T A^k)e \\
 &= (c \wedge_r \Delta_T^{-m-i} A_T^{\ell} A^k)e \\
 &= ge,
\end{align*}

where $i$ is the length of $e$ and $*$ is with respect to $\Delta_T$. In going from (15) to (16) the required fact is that since $e^* \in A_T^\ell$, any bounded (by $c$ in this case) right tail of $e^* \Delta_T^M A^k$
is also a bounded right tail of $\Delta^M_A x$ for sufficiently large $M$ while the converse is obvious.

\begin{align*}
    a'_1 &= a'_{1}g'^{-1} = ce(g)e^{-1} = cg^{-1} = a_1, \\
    b'_1 &= \Delta^M_A b'_1g'^{-1} \\
        &= \Delta^M_A \Delta^T_A \Delta^k (ge)^{-1} \\
        &= \Delta^M_A \Delta^T_A e^{-1} \Delta^k g^{-1} \\
        &= \Delta^M_A w^{-1} \Delta^k g^{-1} \\
        &= w^{-1} \Delta^M_A \Delta^k g^{-1} \\
        &= w^{-1} b_1, \\
    h' &= b'_1 \land \Delta^T_A \Delta''_A \\
        &= w^{-1} b_1 \land \Delta^T_A \Delta''_A \\
        &= w^{-1}(b_1 \land \Delta^T_A \Delta''_A w) \\
        &= w^{-1}(b_1 \land \Delta^T_A \Delta''_A) \\
        &= w^{-1} h, \\
    b'_2 &= h'^{-1} b'_1 = (w^{-1} h)^{-1} w^{-1} b_1 \\
        &= h'^{-1} b_1 = b_2 \\
    m_I(y) &= a'_1 b'^{-1}_2 = a_1 b^{-1}_2 = m_I(x).
\end{align*}

Remark 4. Note that the above proof shows that $a_1$ and $b_2$ themselves only depend on the coset $xA_T$. In fact, since $a_1 \preceq_L a$ for any $a \in A^+$ such that $m_T(x) = ab^{-1}$ for some $b \in A^+$, $a_1 b^{-1}_2$ is the rnf of $m_T(x)$.

By Remark 2 and Lemma 9, there is a way of choosing a distinguished representative $m(xA_T)$ from each special coset $xA_T$, namely, $m(xA_T) = m_T(x)$. Each distinguished representative is the least element in its coset with respect to the partial ordering on $A$ described below.

Let $(X, \preceq)$ be a poset, $Y \subseteq X$. An element $y_0 \in Y$ is minimal in $Y$ if for every $y \in Y$, $y \preceq y_0$ implies $y = y_0$. If $Y$ contains an element $y_0$ such that $y_0 \preceq y$ for all $y \in Y$, say that $Y$ has a least element and call $y_0$ the least element of $Y$. Least elements are unique by antisymmetry. Least elements are minimal but minimal elements are not always least. However, if $Y$ has a least element $y_0$, then $y_0$ is the unique minimal element of $Y$. 
Define the partial ordering \( \preceq \) on \((A^+ \times A^+)\) lexicographically from the posets \((A^+, \preceq_r)\) and \((A^+, \preceq_t)\); i.e., \((a_1, b_1) \preceq (a_2, b_2)\) if and only if \((a_1 \preceq_r a_2)\) and \((a_1 \neq a_2)\) or \((a_1 = a_2)\) and \((b_1 \preceq_t b_2)\). Since each element \(x\) of \(A\) has a unique rnf, this induces a partial ordering \(\preceq_R\) on \(A\). Define \(\rho : A \to A^+ \times A^+\) by \(\rho(x) = (a, b)\), where \(x = ab^{-1}\) (rnf). Then \(\rho(A) = \{(a, b) \in A^+ \times A^+: a \perp b\}\) and \(\rho^{-1} : A \to A\) is given by \(\rho^{-1}(a, b) = ab^{-1}\). For \(x, y \in A\), say that \(x \preceq_R y\) if \(\rho(x) \preceq \rho(y)\). Let \(x \in A\) and \(T \subseteq S\). Let \(x = ab^{-1}\) (rnf). Let \(P = \{p \in A^+: \exists q \in A^+, (p, q) \in \rho(xA_T)\}\). For each \(p \in P\), let \(Q_p = \{q \in A^+: (p, q) \in \rho(xA_T)\}\). Then for each \(p \in P\), \(Q_p \neq \emptyset\). Using the notation of Eqs. (1)–(6), it follows from Remark 4 and the definition of \(a_1\) that for any \(y \in xA_T\), if \(y = pq^{-1}\) with \(p, q \in A^+\) then \(a_1 \preceq_t p\). Thus, \(P\) has least element \(a_1\) with respect to \(\preceq_t\). Similarly, \(b_2\) is the least element with respect to \(\preceq_t\) of \(Q_{a_1}\). It follows that \(m(xA_T) = a_1b_2^{-1}\) is the least element with respect to \(\preceq_R\) of \(xA_T = \rho^{-1}(\bigcup_{p \in P} \{p\} \times Q_p)\). This completes the proof of part (i) of Theorem 1.

To prove part (ii) of Theorem 1, it suffices to show that for any \(y \in xA_T\), if \(y = ab^{-1}\) with \(a, b \in A^+\), then, using the notation of Eqs. (1)–(6), \(|a_1|_M \leq |a|_M\) and \(|b_2|_M \leq |b|_M\). That \(|a_1|_M \leq |a|_M\) is clear from the definition of \(a_1\). The following lemmas are used to prove the second inequality.

**Lemma 10.** Suppose \(T \subseteq S\), \(c \in A^+,\ c = \gamma_1\gamma_2 \cdots \gamma_k\ (rmd)\), and \(n \geq 0\). Then \(\Delta^+_n c = \delta_1\delta_2 \cdots \delta_n\gamma_1\gamma_2 \cdots \gamma_k\ (rmd)\) for some \(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_k \in M\) and \(\delta_1, \delta_2, \ldots, \delta_n \leq_r \Delta_T\) with \(0 \leq m \leq n\).

**Proof.** Lemma 4(ii) and induction. \(\Box\)

**Lemma 11.** Let \(T \subseteq S\). For any \(a \in A^+\) with \(rmd a = \alpha_n \cdots \alpha_2 \alpha_1\), let \(k(a)\) denote the smallest integer such that \(\alpha_j \preceq_r \Delta_T\) for \(k(a) < j \leq n\). Then for all \(b, g \in A^+\), \(k(b) \leq k(bg)\).

**Proof.** This proof is by induction on \(|g|_M\). For \(|g|_M = 0\), \(b = bg\) so \(k(b) = k(bg)\). Suppose the lemma is true for \(|g|_M < m\). Let \(b, g \in A^+\), with \(g = \gamma_1\gamma_2 \cdots \gamma_k\ (rmd)\). Let \(b' = b\gamma_1\gamma_2 \cdots \gamma_{k-1}\) and suppose that the rmd of \(b'\) is \(b' = \beta_n \cdots \beta_2 \beta_1\). Then by Lemma 4(i), there are elements \(\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n\) and \(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{n+1}\) of \(M \cup \{1\}\) such that \(\beta_1 = \sigma_0 \alpha_1\) and the rmd of \(b'\gamma_1\) is \(\eta_0 \cdots \eta_1\eta_1\) with \(j = n\) or \(j = n + 1\) and \(\eta_1 = \sigma_0 \alpha_{n-1}\) (where \(\alpha_{n+1} = 1\) and \(\sigma_0 = \gamma_1\)). Let \(k = k(b')\). Since \(\beta_k \npreceq_r \Delta_T\), either \(\sigma_k \npreceq_r \Delta_T\) or \(\alpha_k \npreceq_r \Delta_T\). Hence either \(\eta_{k+1} \npreceq_r \Delta_T\) or \(\eta_k \npreceq_r \Delta_T\) so \(k(bg) = k(b'\gamma_1) \geq k = k(b')\). By the induction hypothesis, \(k(b') \geq k(b)\) so \(k(bg) \geq k(b)\). \(\Box\)

Let \(b = \beta_1 \beta_2 \cdots \beta_k\ (rmd)\). Then by Lemma 10,

\[\Delta^+_n b = \delta_1\delta_2 \cdots \delta_n\beta_1' \beta_2' \cdots \beta_k'\ (rmd)\]

for some \(\beta_1', \beta_2', \ldots, \beta_k' \in M\), and \(\delta_1, \delta_2, \ldots, \delta_n \leq_r \Delta_T\). By Lemma 11,

\[b_1 = \Delta^+_n b^{-1} = \delta_1' \cdots \delta_n' \beta_1'' \cdots \beta_k''\ (rmd),\]
where \( j < k \), and \( \delta'_1, \delta'_2, \ldots, \delta'_\ell \preceq \Delta_T \). Since \( n \) is in the stable range of \( h = b_1 \wedge \alpha \Delta_T^n \), \( n \geq t \). Thus, \( \delta'_1 \cdot \cdots \cdot \delta'_\ell \preceq h \) and hence \( b_2 = h^{-1}b_1 \preceq \beta'_1 \cdot \beta'_2 \cdot \beta'_\ell \). This gives \( |b_2|_M \leq j \leq k = |b|_M \), which is the desired inequality.

Suppose \( (X, \preceq) \) is a poset with least element \( x_0 \). If \( Y \subseteq X \) and \( x_0 \in Y \) then \( x_0 \) is the least element of \( Y \). Thus part (iii) is a consequence of part (i) and the following lemma.

**Lemma 12.** If \( A_U \cap xA_T \neq \emptyset \) then \( m(xA_T) \in A_U \).

**Proof.** Suppose \( y \in A_U \cap xA_T \). Then by Lemma 9, \( m(xA_T) = m_T(y) \). Write \( y = ab^{-1} \). Then \( a,b \in A_T^+ \). Using the notation of Eqs. (1)–(6), since \( a_1 \preceq a, a_1 \in A_T^+ \), the intersection is nonempty. Therefore, there is a least element \( d_0 \) with respect to \( \preceq \) of \( \Delta_T^n A_U \) (Lemma 8). Thus, \( b_1 \in d_0 A_T^+ \), so \( b_1 = d_0 e \) for some \( e \in A_T^+ \). Since \( d_0 \preceq \Delta_T^n \preceq \Delta_T^\ell \), \( h = b_1 \wedge A_T^n = d_0 e \wedge A_T^n \) is \( d_0 f \), where \( f = e \wedge d_0^{-1} \Delta_T^n \preceq \ell \). Thus, \( b_2 = h^{-1}b_1 = f^{-1}d_0^{-1}d_0 = f^{-1} \in A_T^+ \). \( \Box \)

**Proposition 1.** The language \( L_T \) of minimal coset representatives of \( A_T \) in a finite-type Artin group \( A \) is regular.

We note some facts about regular languages. The details can be found in [9]. Let \( K \) and \( L \) be regular languages over an alphabet \( M \). Then \( M^* - K, K \cap L, K \cup L, \) and the concatenation \( KL = \{ kl \in M^* : k \in K, l \in L \} \) are regular. Let \( L_0 = \{ \varepsilon \} \), and let \( L^n = LL^{n-1} \) for \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \). The Kleene closure of \( L \) is \( L^* = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} L^n \). It is not hard to see that \( L^* \) is regular if \( L \) is. Note that \( L^* \) contains the empty word even if \( L \) does not. Note also that the Kleene closure \( M^* \) of the finite language \( M \) in the monoid of words \( M^* \) generated by the alphabet \( M \) is exactly \( M^* \) so the notations agree. Define the reverse of a word \( w = \mu_1 \mu_2 \ldots \mu_n \) to be \( rev(w) = \mu_n \mu_{n-1} \ldots \mu_1 \), the word spelled backwards. Then the reverse \( rev(L) = \{ rev(w) : w \in L \} \) of a regular language \( L \) is regular. Thus if \( M^{-1} \) is a set of formal inverses of \( M \), the formal inverse \( L^{-1} \) of a regular language \( L \) over \( M \) is a regular language over \( M^{-1} \). (Replace each label \( \mu \in M \) of an arrow of the FSA for \( rev(L) \) with the label \( \mu^{-1} \) to get an FSA for \( L^{-1} \).)

Let \( R \) be the set of words over \( M \) representing right greedy minimal decompositions. Let \( L_1 = \{ ab^{-1} \in RR^{-1} : a \perp_T b \} \). It is shown in [5] that \( R \) and \( L_1 \) are regular and that in fact, \( L_1 \) gives a biautomatic structure with uniqueness for \( A \). Let \( L_T = \{ w \in L_1 : w = m(wA_T) \} \). By Theorem 1, \( L_T \) is in one-to-one correspondence with the left cosets of \( A_T \) in \( A \); i.e., \( L_T \) is a normal form with uniqueness for \( A/A_T \).

**Proof of Proposition 1.** Let \( L'_2 = \{ ab^{-1} \in RR^{-1} : a \perp_T A_T b \} \) and \( L'_3 = \{ ab^{-1} \in RR^{-1} : b \perp_T A_T \} \).

**Claim.** \( L_T = L'_2 \cap L'_3 \).
Proof. Suppose \( ab^{-1} \leq L_T \). By the uniqueness property of \( L_1 \) and Remark 4, \( a = a_1 \) and \( b = b_2 \) in the notation of Eqs. (1)-(6). Thus, by Eqs. (1)-(6), \( a \perp \L_\alpha \Delta_T b \) hence \( a \perp \Delta_T b \). Similarly, \( b \perp \Delta_T^\alpha \) hence \( b \perp \Delta_T \). Thus \( ab^{-1} \in L_2 \cap L_3^\alpha \). For the converse, we need the fact that for any \( a, b \in M^* \), if \( a \perp \Delta_T \), then \( a \perp \Delta_T^\alpha \) for all \( m = 1, 2, \ldots \); and if \( a \perp \Delta_T \), then \( a \perp \Delta_T^\alpha \) for all \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \). This follows from Lemma 2 and induction. Now suppose \( ab^{-1} \in L_2 \cap L_3^\alpha \). Then applying Eqs. (1)-(5) and using the above fact we find that \( a_1 = a \) and \( b_2 = b \). Thus, by Remark 4, \( ab^{-1} \in L_1 \) and by Eq. (6), \( m(ab^{-1} \Delta_T) = ab^{-1} \). Thus \( ab^{-1} \in L_T \). \( \square \)

Let \( L_2 = \{ ab^{-1} : a, b \in M^*, a \perp \Delta_T \} \) and \( L_3 = \{ ab^{-1} : a, b \in M^*, \max min_r(\Delta_T b) \} \). For any \( a, b \in M^* \), \( a \perp \Delta_T \) if \( \max min_r(a) \perp \max min_r(b) \), and \( a \perp \Delta_T \) if \( \max min_r(a) \perp \max min_r(b) \). If \( a \in R \), then \( a_1 = \max min_r(a) \). Thus, \( L_1 = \{ \} \). It follows that \( L_T = L_1 \cap L_2 \cap L_3 \) so it suffices to show that \( L_2 \) and \( L_3 \) are regular.

For \( w \in M^* \), let \( w_i \) denote the \( i \)th letter in \( w \). Define \( w_0 \) to be 1. Then \( w_1 \) denotes the last letter of \( w \) if \( |w| > 0 \) and \( w_1 = 1 \) if \( w = \varepsilon \). For each \( \mu \in M \cup \{1\} \), define \( E_\mu = \{ w \in M^* : w_1 = \mu \} \).

Claim. \( E_\mu \) is regular for each \( \mu \in M \).

Proof. Construct an FSA with states \( M \cup \{1\} \), with start state 1, \( \mu \) the only accept state, and for each \( v \in M \), an edge labelled \( v \) from \( s \) to \( v \) for each state \( s \). The language of this FSA is \( E_\mu \). \( \square \)

Claim. For each \( v \in M \), define \( F_v = \{ w \in M^* : v = \max min_r(\Delta_T w) \} \). \( F_v \) is regular for each \( v \in M \).

Proof. Construct an FSA with states \( M \), start state \( \Delta_T \), accept state \( v \), and for each \( \zeta, \eta \in M \), an edge labelled \( \eta \) from \( \zeta \) to \( \max min_r(\zeta \eta) \). The language of this FSA is \( F_v \). \( \square \)

Since \( L_2 = \bigcup_{\mu \perp \Delta_T} E_\mu F_v^{-1} \), the above claims imply that \( L_2 \) is regular.

Let \( B \) be the FSA with states \( M \cup \{1\} \), start state 1, accept states \( \{1\} \cup \{ \mu \in M : \mu \perp \Delta_T \} \), and for each state \( s \), an edge labelled \( v \) from \( s \) to \( \max min_r(v \mu) \). Then the language of \( B \) is \( B = \{ b \in M^* : \max min_r(\L_\alpha \Delta_T) \} \). Thus, \( \rev B = \{ \rev(b) \in M^* : \max min_r(\L_\alpha \Delta_T) \} \). Therefore, \( L_3 = M^*(\rev B)^{-1} \) is regular. This completes the proof of the proposition. \( \square \)

3. FC-type minimal coset representatives

We now define a normal form for special cosets of those Artin groups which can be built from finite-type Artin groups by amalgamating over special subgroups. As explained below, these are exactly the Artin groups of FC type. The normal form for FC-type Artin groups generalizes the normal form given above for finite-type Artin
groups. The restriction to cosets of the trivial subgroup gives a solution to the word problem for Artin groups of FC type.

Let $A$ be an Artin group with canonical generating set $S$ and associated Coxeter group $W$. The Artin group $A$ is of FC type if condition (a) below is satisfied. Let the class of iterated special amalgams (ISA) be the smallest class of Artin groups which is closed under free products amalgamated over special subgroups and which contains the finite-type Artin groups. As observed by Davis, the class ISA is exactly the class of FC-type Artin groups.

**Proposition 2.** Let $A$ be an Artin group with canonical presentation $\langle S|R\rangle$ and associated Coxeter group $W$. Then the following are equivalent:

(a) If $T \subseteq S$ and every pair of elements of $T$ generates a finite subgroup of $W$, then $T$ generates a finite subgroup of $W$.

(b) $A$ is an iterated special amalgam (ISA) of finite-type Artin groups.

**Proof.** If $A$ satisfies (a), then either $A$ is of finite type, in which case it clearly satisfies (b), or there are distinct elements $s$ and $t$ of $S$ which generate an infinite subgroup of $W$. Let $A_J$ provisionally denote the subgroup of $A$ generated by $S - J$. Then $A$ is the amalgamated product of $A_{\{s\}}$ and $A_{\{t\}}$ along $A_{\{s,t\}}$. Continue in this way until $A$ has been decomposed into a nested product of finite-type groups. For (b) implies (a), consider that a special amalgam of two groups satisfying (a) will still satisfy (a) since if $T$ is any subset of generators in the amalgam in which every pair generates a finite subgroup of $W$, then $T$ must be entirely contained in one of the factor groups and so generates a finite subgroup of its Coxeter group which in turn is a subgroup of $W$. 

### 3.1. Definition and properties

Theorem 1 generalizes to FC-type Artin groups. The language of special coset representatives is still regular and the normal form of the distinguished coset representative can be calculated from any word representing any element of the coset in quadratic time.

**Theorem 2.** Let $A$ be an Artin group of FC type with canonical generating set $S$, associated Coxeter group $W$, and set of minimals $M$. For each $T \subseteq S$, there is a recursive function $m_T : M^{\pm*} \rightarrow M^{\pm*}$ such that for every $w \in M^{\pm*}$,

(i) $m_T(w) \in \overline{WA}_T$,

(ii) for every $v \in M^{\pm*}$, if $\overline{v} \in \overline{WA}_T$ then $m_T(v) = m_T(w)$,

(iii) for all $U \subseteq S$, if $A_U \cap \overline{WA}_T \neq \emptyset$ then $m_T(w) \in M^{\pm*}_U$,

(iv) $m_T(M^{\pm*})$ is a regular language over $M^{\pm1}$, and

(v) $m_T(w)$ can be computed in $O(|w|^2_M)$ time.

To prove this theorem, we will use the following facts about amalgamated products (see [15, Theorem 1]). A *transversal* is a set of coset representatives; i.e., if $G$ is a
group and \( H \) is a subgroup of \( G \), a subset \( T \) of \( G \) is called a transversal of \( G/H \) (\( H \backslash G \)) if for every \( x \in G \), there is exactly one \( t \in T \) such that \( xH = tH \) (\( Hx = Ht \)).

**Theorem 3.** Let \( G = G_1 \ast_H G_2 \) and let \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) be transversals containing 1 of \( G_1/H \) and \( G_2/H \), respectively. For every \( x \in G \), there is a unique finite sequence \( (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n; a) \) in \( (C_1 \cup C_2)^* \times H \) such that \( x = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n a \) and (i) no \( x_i \) is trivial and (ii) no two consecutive \( x_i \) are in the same transversal.

Let \( x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n a \) be called the amalgam normal form of \( x \) with respect to the given amalgamated product decomposition of \( G \) and the given transversals. Let \( n \) be called the amalgam length of \( x \) with respect to the amalgamated product \( G = G_1 \ast_H G_2 \) and denote it by \( |x|_+ \).

**Corollary 1.** Let \( g, c \in G \). Let \( g = g_1 g_2 \cdots g_n a \) be the amalgam normal form of \( g \) and suppose \( g_n \in C_1 \). Suppose \( |c|_* \leq 1 \) and let \( c = c_1 h \) be the normal form of \( c \) in the case that \( |c|_* = 1 \). Then

\[
gc = \begin{cases} 
g_1 g_2 \cdots g_n g_{n+1} b & \text{if } c \in G_2 - H, 
g_1 g_2 \cdots g_{n-1} g'_d d' & \text{if } c \in G_1 - (g_n a)^{-1} H, 
g_1 g_2 \cdots g_{n-1} h' & \text{if } c \in (g_n a)^{-1} H, \end{cases}
\]

where \( g_{n+1} \) is the element of \( C_2 \) such that \( ac_1 = g_{n+1} a'' \) for some \( a'' \in H \), \( b = a'' h \), \( g'_n \) is the element of \( C_1 \) such that \( g_n ac = g'_n a' \) for some \( a' \in H \), and \( h' = g_n ac \).

Suppose we have group presentations \( G_1 = \langle S_1 | R_1 \rangle \), \( G_2 = \langle S_2 | R_2 \rangle \), and \( H = \langle S_{12} | R_1 \cap R_2 \rangle \), where we abbreviate \( S_1 \cup S_2 = S_{12} \). Suppose also that for each \( i = 1, 2 \), we have (i) for each \( c \in C_i \) a chosen word \( \tilde{c} \in S_i^* \) such that \( \tilde{c} = c \) and (ii) an algorithm which accepts any word \( u \in S_i^* \) and returns a pair \( (\tilde{c}, h) \in \tilde{C}_i \times S_{12}^* \) such that \( u = \tilde{c} h \). Then by the above corollary, there is an algorithm to put any word in \( (S_1 \cup S_2)^* \) into an amalgam normal form for \( G \). Given \( w \in (S_1 \cup S_2)^* \), parse \( w \) into subwords \( w = w_1 w_2 \ldots w_n \) such that for each \( i = 1, \ldots, n \), \( w_i \in S_i^* \cup S_2^* \) and for each \( i = 1, \ldots, n-1 \), if \( w_i \in S_1^* \) then \( w_{i+1} \in S_2^* \) and vice versa. Apply the appropriate algorithm to \( w_1 \) to obtain the pair \( (u_1, v_1) \) and replace the subword \( w_1 \) of \( w \) with \( u_1 v_1 \). If \( \overline{w_1} = 1 \) then \( u_1 v_1 \in S_2^* \) so \( u_1 v_1 w_2 \in S_2^* \cup S_2^* \) and we can apply the other algorithm to replace \( u_1 v_1 w_2 \) with \( u_2 v_2 \). Otherwise, \( v_1 w_2 \in S_1^* \cup S_2^* \) so we can apply one of the algorithms to replace \( v_1 w_2 \) with \( u_2 v_2 \). We continue in this fashion until after \( n \) steps we have an amalgam normal form of length at most \( n \).

**Proof of Theorem 2.** The proof is by recursion on \( ISA \) so we first verify statements (i)–(v) for finite-type Artin groups. By Remark 4, the function \( m_T \) defined above can be considered as having range \( M^{\pm*} \). Suppose we are given a word \( w \in M^{\pm*} \). Since the finite-type groups are biautomatic, we can write \( w \) in right normal form (rnf) \( w = ab^{-1} \) with \( a \) and \( b \) in their right greedy canonical decompositions (rgcds) in quadratic time [9, Theorem 2.3.10]. We must check that the operations performed on \( a \) and \( b \) to obtain \( m_T(\overline{w}) \) can be done algorithmically in time \( O( (|a|_M + |b|_M)^2 ) \). Since rnf is a geodesic
normal form, an algorithm of complexity \(O((|a|_M + |b|_M)^2)\) will be of complexity \(O(|w|_M^2)\). We check that the computations in Eqs. (1)-(6) can be done in quadratic time. By Remark 1 and Lemma 7, the \(g\) calculation is \(O((|a|_M + (|a|_M + |b|_M))^2)\) and the \(h\) calculation is \(O((2(|a|_M + |b|_M))^2)\). The other calculations are products in which the length of each factor is a linear function of \(|a|_M + |b|_M\). Since \((M, rnf)\) is a biautomatic structure, these can be done in \(O((|a|_M + |b|_M)^2)\) time. Thus, part (v) holds for the finite-type case and in particular, \(mT\) is a recursive function. Statements (i)-(iv) for the finite-type case now follow from Remark 1, Lemmas 1, 5, and Proposition 1, respectively.

Now suppose that \(A = A_1 \ast A_{12} A_2\), where \(A_1 = A_{T_1}\) and \(A_2 = A_{T_2}\) are ISA groups and \(A_{12} = A_{T_1 \cap T_2}\). Suppose that for \(i = 1, 2\), for each \(T \subseteq T_i\), there is a recursive function \(m(\cdot, A_{T_i}, A_i) : M^\pm_{T_i} \to M^\pm_{T_i}\) satisfying conditions (i)-(v) wherein \(S\) is replaced by \(T_i\). Let \(S = T_1 \cup T_2\). We want to construct a recursive function \(m_T(\cdot) = m(\cdot, A_T, A) : M^\pm \to M^\pm\) satisfying (i)-(v) for each \(T \subseteq S\). Let \(T \subseteq S\). Let \(w \in M^\pm\). The first step in obtaining \(m_T(w)\) is to find the amalgam normal form (anf) of \(\bar{w}\). Given a word \(u \in M^\pm\), let \(\hat{c} = m(u, A_{12}, A_1)\) and \(h = m(u_{c}^{-1}, A_0, A_1)\). By recursion hypotheses (i)-(iii), \(\hat{c}\) and \(h\) are well-defined and the pair \((\hat{c}, h)\) has the necessary properties to carry out the algorithm for finding anfs. By recursion hypothesis (v), each coset representative can be found in quadratic time. Thus, we have a recursive quadratic time function \(a : M^\pm \to M^\pm\) such that for any \(v \in M^\pm\), \(a(v)\) is the anf of \(\bar{v}\). Let \(a(w) = w_1 w_2 \ldots w_n a\) (anf). If \(n = 0\), define \(m_T(w) = m(w, A_{T \cap T_1}, A_1)\). Otherwise, suppose, without loss of generality, that \(w_n \in M^\pm\). Replace \(w_n a\) with \(w'_n = m(u_{n}^{-1}, A_{T \cap T_1}, A_1)\).

If \(m(w'_n, A_{12}, A_1) \neq \epsilon\) or if \(n = 1\), the process terminates. Otherwise, replace \(w_{n-1} w'_n\) in the resulting word by \(w_{n-1} = m(w_{n-1} w'_n, A_{T \cap T_1}, A_2)\) and continue in this fashion until a terminating condition is encountered. The result of this process will be \(m_T(w) = w_1 w_2 \ldots w'_k\), where \(w'_k = m(w'_k, A_{T \cap T_1(k)}, A_{i(k)})\) and \(w'_k \notin M^\pm\) if \(k \neq 1\). This is achieved after at most one more pass (backward) through \(w\) which is quadratic on each subword \(w_i\), hence quadratic in the \(M\)-length of \(w\).

This gives us a recursive function \(m_T\) which satisfies (v). It also satisfies (i) by (i) of the recursion hypothesis. To prove (ii), we first show that \(m_T(w)\) has minimal amalgam length among elements of \(\bar{w}A_T\). Write \(m_T(w) = w_1 w_2 \ldots w'_k\) as above. Our claim is certainly true if \(w'_k \in M^\pm_{T_1}\) since the amalgam length is zero in this case. So we suppose this is not the case. Suppose there is \(v = v_1 v_2 \ldots v_m\) in amalgam normal form with \(\bar{v} \in \bar{w}A_T\) and \(m < k\). Then there is \(u = u_1 u_2 \ldots u_{\epsilon-1} c\) (anf) such that \(\bar{u} \in \bar{A}_T\) and \(\bar{w} \bar{u} = \bar{v}\). Consider the sequence

\[w, wu_1, \ldots, wu_1 \ldots u_{\epsilon-1}, wu_1 \ldots u_{\epsilon-1} u_{\epsilon-1} c\]

and let \(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{\epsilon}\) be the corresponding sequence of amalgam normal forms. Since \(m < k\), there must be a first place \(j\) in the sequence such that \(|x_j| < k\). Then by the above corollary, \(x_j - 1 = w_1 w_2 \ldots w_{k-1} w''_l b\) and \(w''_l b u_j \in A_{12}\). But \(w''_l b = w''_k u_1 u_2 \ldots u_{j-1}\) so \(w''_l b u_j A_T \subseteq w''_k A_T\). Thus, \(w''_k A_T \cap A_{12} \neq \emptyset\) so by condition (iii) of the recursion hypothesis, \(w'_k = m(w'_k, A_{T \cap T_1(k)}, A_{i(k)}) \in M^\pm_{T_1}\) and this contradicts our assumption.
To prove (ii), let \( v, w \in M^\pm \) and suppose \( \overline{v} \in \overline{w}A_T \). Then both \( m_T(v) \) and \( m_T(w) \) have minimal amalgam length in \( \overline{w}A_T \) so we can write \( v' - m_T(v) = v_1 v_2 \cdots v_{n-1} v_n \) and \( w' = m_T(w) = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_{n-1} w_n \) in the normal form described above. Since \( \overline{v'} \in \overline{w}A_T \) (by (i)), there is \( x \in M^\pm \) in amalgam normal form \( x = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_m a \) such that \( \overline{v'} x = \overline{w'} \). Consider the sequence of amalgam normal forms \( y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_m \) corresponding to the sequence 
\[
\overline{v'}, \overline{v'} x_1, \ldots, \overline{v'} x_1 \cdots x_{m-1}, \overline{v'} x = \overline{w'}.
\]
Each element of the sequence is in \( \overline{w}A_T \) and so has amalgam length at least \( n \). By the above corollary and induction, for each \( j = 0, 1, \ldots, m \),
\[
y_j = v_1 v_2 \cdots v_{n-1} v_{n,j} v_{n+1,j} \cdots v_{n+k,j} b_j,
\]
where \( v_{n,j} \in A_i(n) \) and \( k_0 = k_n = 0 \). Thus, \( v_i = w_i \) for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1 \) and \( a(v_n x) = a(w_n x) = w_n \). By (ii) of the recursion hypothesis,
\[
v_n = m(v_n, A_T \cap T_1(n), A_i(n)) = m(a(v_n x), A_T \cap T_1(n), A_i(n)) = m(w_n, A_T \cap T_1(n), A_i(n)) = w_n.
\]

For \( w \in M^\pm \), let \( M(w) \) be the smallest subset of \( M \) such that \( w \in M(w)^\pm \). Part (iii) is proven by showing that the process of putting a word \( w \) into normal form does not increase \( M(w) \); i.e., \( M(m_T(w)) \subseteq M(w) \). This suffices because if \( A_U \cap \overline{w}A_T \neq \emptyset \) then there is \( u \in M^\pm_U \) such that \( \overline{u}A_T = \overline{w}A_T \). It then follows from part (ii) and the above claim that \( M(m_T(w)) = M(m_T(u)) \subseteq M(u) \subseteq M^\pm \). Let \( w \in M^\pm \). The first step in proving the claim is to show that \( M(a(w)) \subseteq M(w) \). Parse \( w \) into subwords \( w = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n \) such that \( M(w_j) \subseteq T_{i(j)} \), where \( i(j) \in \{1, 2\} \) for each \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \). Let \( w'_j = m(w_1, A_{12}, A_i(j)) \) and \( a_j = m(w_j^{-1} w_1, A_0, A_i(1)) \). By recursion hypothesis (iii), \( M(w'_j) \subseteq M(w_1) \) and \( M(a_j) \subseteq M(w_1) \cap M_{12} \). Thus, \( M(w'_j a_j) \subseteq M(w_1) \) so altering \( w \) replacing \( w_1 \) with \( w'_1 a_1 \) does not increase \( M(w) \). Similarly, at the \( j \)th stage of converting \( w \) to \( a(w) \), we let \( w'_j = m(a_{j-1} w_j, A_{12}, A_i(j)) \) and \( a_j = m(w'_j w_j, A_0, A_i(j)) \). Then \( M(w'_j) \subseteq M(a_{j-1} w_j) \) and \( M(a_j) \subseteq M(a_{j-1} w_j \cap M_{12} \). Thus, \( M(a(w)) \subseteq M(w) \). The second and final step is to show that if \( w = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n a \) is in \( \text{anf} \), then \( M(m_T(w)) \subseteq M(w) \). Let \( w'_n = m(w na, A_T \cap T_0(a), A_i(n)) \). Then \( M(w'_n) \subseteq M(w_1 a) \) and replacing \( w_1 a \) with \( w'_n \) will not increase \( M(w) \). If \( m(w'_n, A_{12}, A_i(n)) = e \), we replace \( w_{n-1} w'_n \) with \( w'_{n-1} = m(w_{n-1} w'_n, A_T \cap T_{i(n-1)}(a), A_i(n-1)) \) without increasing \( M(w) \).

To prove (iv), let \( L_i = m(M^\pm, A_{12}, A_i) \) and \( N_i = m(M^\pm, A_T \cap T_1, A_i) \). By recursion hypothesis (iv), \( L_i \) and \( N_i \) are regular. Let \( L^- = L_i - \{e\} \). Then \( L^- \) is regular. By the definition of \( m_T \),
\[
m_T(M^\pm) = L_1(L_2 L_1^-)^* N_2 \cup L_2(L_1^- L_2^-)^* N_1
\]
so \( m_T(M^\pm) \) is a regular language over \( M^\pm \). \( \Box \)
3.2. $A^+$ injects

Given an Artin group $A$ with canonical presentation, let $A^+$ denote the monoid with the same presentation. Deligne has shown [8, Theorem 4.14] that the canonical map $\pi : A^+ \rightarrow A$ is injective in the case that $A$ is of finite type. This holds for FC-type Artin groups as well.

**Theorem 4.** Let $A$ be an Artin group of FC-type. Then the canonical map $\pi : A^+ \rightarrow A$ is injective.

**Proof.** For two positive words $u$ and $v$, let $u \sim_{A^+} v$ denote the statement that $u$ and $v$ represent the same element in the monoid $A^+$. Let $\tilde{u}$ denote the monoid equivalence class of the word $u$. Let $u$ and $v$ be positive words such that $\pi(\tilde{u}) = \pi(\tilde{v})$ and suppose $x, y \in F(S)^+$ are normal forms such that $u \sim_{A^+} x$ and $v \sim_{A^+} y$. Then $\pi(x) = \pi(\tilde{u}) = \pi(\tilde{v}) = \pi(y)$ and so $x = y$ by the uniqueness property of the normal form. Hence, $u \sim_{A^+} x = y \sim_{A^+} v$. Thus, it suffices to show that any positive word can be brought into normal form by monoid equivalences.

Suppose $A$ is of finite type and $T \subseteq S$. Let $w \in M^*$. Let $w' = m(w, T, A)$ and $a = m(w'^{-1}w, T, A)$. Then by Eqs. (1)–(6), $w' \in M^*$ and $a \in M^*_T$. Therefore, by Deligne's theorem, $w \sim_{A^+} w'a$.

Suppose $A_1$ and $A_2$ are FC-type Artin groups with the same property; i.e., for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, for all $T \subseteq S_i$, for all $w \in M^*_T$, $w \sim_{A^+_i} w'a$. Suppose $A = A_1 \ast A_2$. Let $T \subseteq S$. Let $w \in M^*$. Parse $w = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n$ as usual. By the recursion hypothesis, $w_1 \sim_{A^+_1} w'_1 a_1$, where $w'_1 = m(w, A_1)$ and $a_1 = m(w'^{-1}w_1, A_0, A_1(1))$. Similarly, $a_1 w_2 \sim_{A^+_2} w'_2 a_2$, etc., so we have $w \sim_{A^+} a(w)$ since every equivalence in $A^+_i$ is an equivalence in $A^+_e$. So, without loss of generality, assume $w = a(w) = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n a$. Let $w'_n = m(w_n, A_T, A_{(n)})$ and $k_n = m(w'^{-1}w_n a, A_0, A_{(n)})$. If $n \neq 1$ and $m(w'_n, A_{12}, A_{(n)}) = e$, let $w'_{n-1} = m(w_{n-1} w'_n, A_T, A_{(n-1)})$ and $k_{n-1} = m(w'^{-1}w_{n-1} w'_n a, A_0, A_{(n-1)})$, etc., according to the algorithm for finding $m_T(w) = w_1 w_2 \cdots w'_n$. Then $w'_j k'_i \sim_{A^+_i} w'_j w'_{j+1}$ for $j = m, \ldots, n - 1$ and $w'_k a \sim_{A^+_e} w_n a$. Let $k = a(k_m k_{m+1} \cdots k_n) = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_n b$. If $\ell = 0$, let $k' = m(k, A_0, A_1)$. Otherwise, let $k' = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_{\ell-1} u'_{\ell}$, where $u'_{\ell} = m(u_{\ell} b, A_0, A_{(\ell)})$. Note that $u'_{\ell} \neq e$. Then $w \sim_{A^+} m_T(w) k'$. Therefore, the desired property holds for $A$ as well. Taking $T = \emptyset$ yields that $w$ and its normal form represent the same element of $A^+$.

3.3. Asynchronous automaticity

For paths $u$ and $v : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ in a geodesic metric space $X$ and a constant $k > 0$, we say that $u$ and $v$ are (synchronous) $k$-fellow travellers if the uniform distance between $u$ and $v$ is bounded by $k$, i.e., if $d_X(u(t), v(t)) \leq k$, for all $t \geq 0$. Let $u \sim_k v$ denote that $u$ and $v$ are $k$-fellow travellers. We say that $u$ and $v$ are asynchronous $k$-fellow travellers,
denoted \( u \sim^k v \), if there are unbounded nondecreasing functions \( \phi, \psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty) \) such that \( u\phi \leq^k v\psi \).

The definition of an asynchronously automatic group is long and will not be given here. The reader is referred to [2]. The following fact will be used to show that FC-type Artin groups are asynchronously automatic (see [2, Theorem 7.3, Section II]). Let \( G \) be a group, \( M \) a set of semigroup generators for \( G \), and \( \Gamma = \Gamma(G, M) \) the Cayley graph. For a word \( w \) over \( S \cup S^{-1} \), the convention is to let \( w \) also represent the corresponding continuous path \( w : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \Gamma \) from the identity to \( w \) which is parameterized by arc length on \([0, |w|]\) and constant on \([|w|, \infty)\). Suppose \( L \) is a regular language over \( M \) that maps finite-to-one onto \( G \) under the canonical map and suppose there is a constant \( k \) such that for all \( u, v \in L, \mu \in M \), if \( \mu = \bar{\psi} \), then \( u \preceq^k v \). Then \((M, L)\) is an asynchronously automatic structure for \( G \).

Let \( A \) be a finite-type Artin group. For \( w \in M^{\pm\ast} \), let \( r(w) \) denote the rnf of \( w \). Recall that if \( w \) is positive, the rnf is the rmd. It is shown in [5] that \( r(M^{\ast\ast}) \) is a biautomatic normal form for \( A \). Let \( K \) be a bidirectional fellow travelling constant for this normal form; that is, let \( K \) be a positive real number such that for any rnf \( w \) and any \( \sigma \in M^{\pm\ast} \), \( \sigma w \preceq^K r(\sigma w) \) and \( w\sigma \preceq^K r(\sigma w) \). Then the language of coset representatives for finite-type Artin groups satisfies the following left fellow traveller property.

**Lemma 13.** Let \( A \) be a finite-type Artin group, \( T \subseteq S, \sigma \in M, \) and \( x \in A \) such that \( m_T(x) = x \). Then for any \( \varepsilon \in \{1, -1\} \), there is \( \eta \in M_T \cup \{1\} \) such that (i) \( \sigma^x \varepsilon = m_T(\sigma^x \eta)^{\varepsilon} \) and (ii) \( \sigma^x \varepsilon^{2k} = m_T(\sigma^x \eta)^{\varepsilon} \).

**Proof.** Part (i) is first proven for \( \varepsilon = 1 \). Let \( a \) and \( b \) be the rmds in \( M^\ast \) such that \( ab^{-1} \) is the rnf of \( x \). Then by Eqs. (1)–(6) with \( a = a_1 \) and \( b = b_2 \), \( a \preceq r, A_T b, \) for all \( n \geq 0 \). By Lemma 3, \( \sigma a \wedge, A_T^\ast b \in M \cup \{1\} \), for all \( n \geq 0 \). Let \( J \) be the stable range of \( \sigma a \wedge, A_T^\ast b \). Let \( N \in J \) and let \( g = \sigma a \wedge, A_T^N b \). Let \( \mu = \max_{\sigma}(A_T^N b) \). Then by Lemma 2, \( \mu = \max_{\sigma}(A_T b) \). Since \( g \in M \cup \{1\} \), we have \( g \preceq, \mu \). Thus, \( J = \{n \in N : n \geq 1\} \) and we may take \( N = 1 \). Also note that \( b \wedge, A_T^\ast = 1 \) so \( A_T b \wedge, A_T^\ast = A_T, \) for \( m \geq 1 \). Now find \( m_T(\sigma x) \) according to Eqs. (1)–(6) with \( \sigma a \) in place of \( a \).

\[
\begin{align*}
g &= \sigma a \wedge, A_T b \in M \cup \{1\}, \\
a_1 &= \sigma a g^{-1}, \\
b_1 &= A_T b g^{-1}, \\
h &= b_1 \wedge, A_T^m, \\
b_2 &= h^{-1} b_1, \\
m_T(\sigma ab^{-1}) &= a_1 b_2^{-1}.
\end{align*}
\]

Thus,

\[
m_T(\sigma x) &= \sigma ab^{-1} A_T^{-1} h.
\]
Since $b_1 \preceq \Delta_T b$,

$$h = b_1 \cap \Delta_T^m \preceq \Delta_T b \cap \Delta_T^m = \Delta_T.$$ 

Taking $\eta = h^{-1} \Delta_T$ gives part (i) for $\varepsilon = 1$. To show part (i) for $\varepsilon = -1$, let $v = m_T (\sigma^{-1} x)$. By the above argument, there is $\eta \in M \cup \{1\}$ such that $m_T (\sigma y) = \sigma \eta^{-1}$. Thus,

$$m_T (\sigma^{-1} x) = m_T (y) = y$$
$$= \sigma^{-1} \sigma y \eta^{-1} \eta$$
$$= \sigma^{-1} m_T (\sigma y) \eta$$
$$= \sigma^{-1} m_T (x) \eta.$$ 

By the definition of $K$,

$$\sigma^t x \preceq r (\sigma^t x) = r (m_T (\sigma^t x) \eta^t) \preceq m_T (\sigma^t x) \eta^t.$$ 

Thus, part (ii) follows from the triangle inequality and part (i). \qed

The left fellow travelling property for the language of special cosets extends asynchronously to all Artin groups of FC-type via the following lemma (Fig. 2).

**Lemma 14.** Let $G$ be a group with finite generating set $S$. Let $u = u_1 u_2 \ldots u_n$, $v = v_1 v_2 \ldots v_n$, and $h_0, h_1, \ldots, h_n$ be words over $S \cup S^{-1}$ such that

(a) $h_{i-1} u_i = v_i h_i$,
(b) $h_{i-1} u_i \preceq v_i h_i$, for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and
(c) $|h_i| \leq \ell$, for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n$.

Then $h_0 u = v h_n$ and $h_0 u \preceq v h_n$.

**Proof.** For $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, let $\phi_i$ and $\psi_i$ be the parameterizations such that $h_{i-1} u_i \phi_i \preceq v_i h_i \psi_i$. Let $p'(t)$ be the (discontinuous) path that traverses $h_0 u_1$ at speed $\phi_1$, jumps to $v_1$ and traverses $\overline{v_1 h_1 u_2}$ at speed $\phi_2$, and so on, ending at $\overline{h_0 u}$ having traversed $\overline{v_1 v_2 \cdots v_{n-1} h_{n-1} u_n}$. See Fig. 2. Similarly, define $q'$ to be the path that traverses $v_1 h_1$, $\overline{v_1 v_2 h_2} \ldots, \overline{v_1 v_2 \cdots v_{n-1} v_n h_n}$ in order according to their respective parameterizations $\psi_i$. Modify $p'$ and $q'$ by having $p'$ wait for $q'$ or vice versa at $u_1 u_2 \cdots u_i$ so that both paths jump from that point to $\overline{v_1 v_2 \cdots v_i}$ at the same time for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1$. Let $p$ be the path along $h_0 u$ which coincides with $p'$ except that $p$ waits at $\overline{u_1 u_2 \cdots u_i}$ while $p'$ traverses $\overline{v_1 v_2 \cdots v_i}$. Let $q$ be the path along $v h_n$ which coincides with $q'$ except that $q$ waits at $\overline{v_1 v_2 \cdots v_i}$ while $q'$ traverses $\overline{v_1 v_2 \cdots v_i}$. Then for any $t \geq 0$,

$$d(p(t), q(t)) \leq d(p(t), p'(t)) + d(p'(t), q'(t)) + d(q'(t), q(t)) \leq \ell + k + \ell. \quad \Box$$

**Lemma 15.** For any FC-type Artin group $A$, there is a constant $k > 0$ such that for any $T \subseteq S$, any $w \in M^\pm$, and any $\sigma \in M^\pm$, there is $h \in M_{T \cap T}^\pm \cup \{1\}$ such that

(i) $\sigma m_T (w) = m_T (\sigma w) h$ and
(ii) $\sigma m_T (w) \preceq m_T (\sigma w) h$. 

Proof. If $A$ is of finite type, the lemma follows from Lemma 13. Suppose $A = A_1 \ast A_2$ is a special amalgam of ISA groups and $A_1$ and $A_2$ satisfy (i) and (ii). Let $k_1$ and $k_2$ be the respective asynchronous fellow travelling constants. Let $k$ be the respective asynchronous fellow travelling constants. Suppose, without loss of generality, that $w = m_1(w)$ and write $w = w_1w_2 \cdots w_n$ in normal form. We consider separately the cases $c \in M_1(i)$ and $c \not\in M_1(i)$. If $c \in M_1(i)$, condition (i) yields

$$
\sigma w = \sigma w_1w_2 \cdots w_n
= w_1'h_1w_2 \cdots w_n
= w_1'h_2w_2 \cdots w_n
= \cdots
= w_1'w_2' \cdots w_n' h_n,
$$

where $h_i \in M_{12}^{-1}$ for $1 \leq i < n$ and $h_n \in M_T^{\pm 1}$. Condition (ii) yields $\sigma w_1 \sim w_1'h_1$ in $\Gamma(A_{(1)}, M_{(1)})$, and $h_jw_j \sim w_j' h_j$ in $\Gamma(A_{(j)}, M_{(j)})$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Since $\Gamma(A_i, M_i)$ may be regarded as a subgraph of $\Gamma(A, M)$, if $u \sim v$ in $\Gamma(A_i, M_i)$, then $u \sim v$ in $\Gamma(A, M)$. Part (ii) in this case follows from Lemma 14 with $k = \max\{k_1, k_2\} + 2$. If $c \not\in M_1(i)$, putting $w_0$ into normal form yields

$$
\sigma w = \sigma w_1w_2 \cdots w_n
= w_0'w_1w_2 \cdots w_n
= w_0'w_1'h_1w_2 \cdots w_n
= w_0'w_1'w_2h_2 \cdots w_n
= \cdots
= w_0'w_1'w_2' \cdots w_n' h_n,
$$

Since $\sigma \in M^{\pm 1}$, we have $|w_0'|, |\sigma'| \leq 1$. Let $w_0 = \varepsilon$. Then $\sigma w_0 = \sigma_0'\sigma'$ and $\sigma w_0 \sim w_0' \sigma'$. Thus, Lemma 14 yields (ii) with $k = \max\{k_1, k_2, 2\} + 2$. This choice of $k$ also works in the previous case. \[\square\]
Theorem 5. Let \( A \) be an \( FC \)-type Artin group and let \( M \) be the set of minimals of \( A \). Let \( L = \text{rev}\{m_\emptyset(w) : w \in M^{+*}\} \). Then \((M, L)\) is an asynchronously automatic structure for \( A \).

Proof. By Theorem 2(iv), \( L \) is the reverse of a regular language and is therefore regular. By Theorem 2(i), the natural map \( L \to A \) is one-to-one. By Lemma 15(ii), for any \( \sigma \in M^{\pm 1} \), \( \sigma m_\emptyset(w)^{k+1} m_\emptyset(\sigma w) \) so \( \text{rev}(m_\emptyset(w)) \sigma^{k+1} \text{rev}(m_\emptyset(\sigma w)) \). Thus, by the characterization of asynchronously automatic given above, \((M, L)\) is an asynchronously automatic structure for \( A \). \( \square \)
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