Volumetric, relaxometric and diffusometric correlates of psychotic experiences in a non-clinical sample of young adults
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A B S T R A C T

Background: Grey matter (GM) abnormalities are robust features of schizophrenia and of people at ultra high-risk for psychosis. However the extent to which neuroanatomical alterations are evident in non-clinical subjects with isolated psychotic experiences is less clear.

Methods: Individuals (mean age 20 years) with (n = 123) or without (n = 125) psychotic experiences (PEs) were identified from a population-based cohort. All underwent T1-weighted structural, diffusion and quantitative T1 relaxometry MRI, to characterise GM macrostructure, microstructure and myelination respectively. Differences in quantitative GM structure were assessed using voxel-based morphometry (VBM). Binary and ordinal models of PEs were tested. Correlations between socioeconomic and other risk factors for psychosis with cortical GM measures were also computed.

Results: GM volume in the left supra-marginal gyrus was reduced in individuals with PEs relative to those with no PEs. The greater the severity of PEs, the greater the reduction in T1 relaxation rate (R1) across left temporo-parietal and right pre-frontal cortices. In these regions, R1 was positively correlated with maternal education and inversely correlated with general psychopathology.

Conclusions: PEs in non-clinical subjects were associated with regional reductions in grey-matter volume reduction and T1 relaxation rate. The alterations in T1 relaxation rate were also linked to the level of general psychopathology. Follow up of these subjects should clarify whether these alterations predict the later development of an ultra high-risk state or a psychotic disorder.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Grey matter (GM) abnormalities have been identified in several structures in schizophrenia patients (Ellison-Wright et al., 2008; Hajiima et al., 2013; Honea et al., 2005; Velakoulis et al., 2006; Vita et al., 2006; Wright et al., 2000). The most heavily implicated are the prefrontal, parietal, temporal, anterior cingulate cortices, and hippocampus (Kanaan et al., 2005). GM volume is reduced in chronic versus first episode schizophrenia patients (Meisenzahl et al., 2008) and falls progressively with age(Vita et al., 2012).

In order to delineate structural brain differences that might predispose to psychosis, it is important to examine them without confounding effects of medication or chronic illness. Studies that examine first-episode or drug naive patients overcome such issues to some extent. A number of studies have also focused on high-risk groups: individuals presenting with the At-Risk Mental State (ARMS) or those deemed to be at ultra-high-risk (UHR) for psychosis (Fusar-Poli et al., 2013; Simon et al., 2011). Studies of GM alterations in this group have found macroscopic changes in the cerebral cortex (Borgwardt et al., 2007; Mechelli et al., 2011). A meta-analysis of VBM studies (Fusar-Poli et al., 2011) showed that at-risk groups tend to show reductions in GM volume in many of the regions implicated in schizophrenia, although the changes are generally less severe (Ziermans et al., 2009, 2012). Other meta-analyses (Borgwardt et al., 2011; Fusar-Poli et al., 2011) also found that reduced GM volume in prefrontal, cingulate, temporo-parietal, insular and cerebellar regions were significant.
The original sample consisted of pregnant women whose expected delivery dates were between April 1991 and December 1992 resulting in the birth of 15,458 foetuses (see Supplementary material, Section 1 for full description of cohort). 4320 subjects from the cohort were assessed for psychotic experiences (PEs) using the Psychotic-like Symptoms (PLIKS) semi-structured interview (Horwood et al., 2008; Zammit et al., 2008), conducted at 17/18 years of age by trained psychologists. Those who were found to have one or more psychotic experience were invited to undergo scanning. The presence of PEs was judged according to clinical criteria of the Schedule for Clinical Assessment in Neuropsychiatry (SCAN) (Wing et al., 1990) and excluded experiences occurring due to waking, falling asleep, fever or drug consumption. PEs were further categorised as ‘suspected’ (n = 44), ‘definite’, (n = 47) and ‘clinical disorder’ (PEs plus functional deterioration and/or help-seeking; n = 32) (Zammit et al., 2013). 126 subjects with PEs were recruited and an equal number of randomly-selected controls, who had completed the same assessments but who were rated as not having had PEs experiences were also scanned. At the time of scanning all subjects were approximately 20 years old (see Table 1 for details).

Informed consent was obtained prior to scanning. Ethical approval was granted by the Cardiff University School of Psychology Ethics Committee and the ALSPAC Ethics and Law Committee. Of the subjects initially scanned, 3 PE subjects and 1 control were unable to complete the full MRI acquisition. While all participants completed the T1-weighted structural scan, the sample sizes for the relaxometry and diffusion MRI scans of the two groups were n = 123 and n = 125, respectively.

2.2. Risk factors

A number of candidate variables hypothesized to contribute to psychosis risk were obtained from the ALSPAC variable catalogue (http://www.bris.ac.uk/alspac/researchers/data-access/data-dictionary/). These included age and gender plus 12 other risk-factors: (1) IQ at age 8 estimated from the WISC (Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children (WISC-IIIUK)) (Golombok and Rust, 1992); (2) current general psychopathology at age 17/18 measured using the computerised Clinical Interview Schedule (revised: CIS-R) (Lewis et al., 1992); (3) parental social class (OPCS, 1991); (4) maternal education; (5) birth weight; (6) perinatal mood and anxiety disorders; (7) stressful life events at age 15/16 measured on the Development and Wellbeing Assessment (DAWBA) questionnaire (Goodman et al., 2000); (8) handedness; (9) tobacco use; (10) alcohol consumed; (11) cannabis consumption (all substance use data gathered at the 3-month follow-up); (12) month of birth.

Any missing data points for each subject were estimated using regression imputation (S. F. Buck, 1960) across the entire cohort. Descriptive and inferential statistics of all selected risk factors are detailed in Table 1.

3. Imaging

3.1. Structural MRI acquisition

All MRI data were acquired at Cardiff University Brain Imaging Research Centre, UK on a 3 T General Electric HDx MRI system (GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI) using an eight-channel receive-only head RF coil. T1-weighted structural images were acquired with a 3D fast spoiled gradient echo (FSPGR) sequence (TR = 7.8 ms, TE = 3.0 ms, flip angle 20°, voxel size = 1 mm³ isomorphic).

3.2. Relaxometry MRI acquisition and pre-processing

Relaxometry images were acquired using driven equilibrium single pulse observation of T1 with high-speed incorporation of RF field homogenities (DESPOTI-HIF) (Deoni, 2007). A series of spoiled gradient echo (SPGR) images was acquired with 8 flip angles plus an additional

2. Methods and materials

2.1. Subjects

Subjects were recruited from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC) (Boyd et al., 2013; Golding et al., 2001) cohort.
Table 1
Descriptive and inferential statistics for the candidate covariates. Descriptive statistics for continuous variables report mean ± standard error for each group. Descriptive statistics for ordinal and categorical variables are reported as tabulated frequencies. Inferential statistics for the 2-group binary classification uses the student t-test for continuous, the χ²-test for categorical and the Cochrane-Armitage χ²-trend test (denoted χ² CM) for ordinal variables. Inferential statistics for the 4-group ordinal classification uses Spearman’s ρ correlation for continuous and ordinal variables and χ² CM test for categorical variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Descriptive statistics</th>
<th>Inferential statistics</th>
<th>Proportion imputed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No PEs</td>
<td>With PEs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Suspect</td>
<td>Definite</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Premorbid IQ</td>
<td>111.44 ± 0.12</td>
<td>104.65 ± 0.31</td>
<td>106.79 ± 0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. CIS-R score</td>
<td>6.30 ± 0.05</td>
<td>9.16 ± 0.17</td>
<td>12.26 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Parental social class</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III (non-manual)</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III (manual)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Highest maternal education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocational</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ordinary Level</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced Level</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Birth weight (g)</td>
<td>3466.2 ± 4.0</td>
<td>3323.4 ± 12.6</td>
<td>3401.7 ± 9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Resuscitated at birth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Stressful life events</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Handedness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No dominance</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Tobacco consumption (cigarettes per day)</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.27 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Cannabis consumption</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Once or twice</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than monthly</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly or more</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Alcohol consumption</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Once or twice</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than monthly</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weekly or more</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Month of birth</td>
<td>2.30 ± 7.52</td>
<td>1.72 ± 7.33</td>
<td>1.31 ± 7.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard covariates (included in all analyses)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>20.11 ± 0.004</td>
<td>20.14 ± 0.013</td>
<td>19.88 ± 0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a The number of cigarettes participant smokes every day on average in the last 30 days.

b From a multiple-choice question asking how many times the participant used cannabis in the past 12 months (all substance use data gathered at age 17/18).

c 6 or more units of alcohol in the past year.

d As a scale from 0 (1st January) to 12 (31st December). A Watson-Williams 2-sample F-test and a circular-linear, ρ-correlation using the circular statistics toolbox (Beren & Baclawski, 2009).

* ρ < 0.05.

** ρ < 0.01.

Relaxometry data were pre-processed using FSL v5.0 (Jenkinson et al., 2012). All SPGR/IR-SPGR images were coregistered to each other using a rigid affine transform and skull-stripped (Smith, 2002). Relaxation rate (R1 = 1/T1) maps were derived using the (DESPOT1-HIFI) model (Deoni, 2007), which incorporates correction for B1 field inhomogeneities with in-house code. A synthetic T1-weighted image was computed from the quantitative T1 map with contrast matching that of the FSPGR image. This was used to compute a non-rigid affine inversion-recovery (IR) SPGR image. All images had TE = 2.11 ms and TR = 4.7 ms, voxel resolution: 1.70 × 1.72 × 1.72 mm. SPGR images were acquired with flip angles of 3°, 4°, 5°, 6°, 7°, 9°, 13° and 18°. For the IR-SPGR acquisition, Inversion time = 450 ms and flip angle = 5°. The DESPOT1 protocol constitutes part of the mCDESPOT protocol (Deoni et al., 2008) which was also collected from this cohort. This method and associated findings are reported in Supplementary material, section 2.
transform using a mutual information cost function from the DESPOT space to the FSPGR space. This transform was then applied to the R1 maps so that they are now in the same space as the FSPGR T1-weighted images. Mislocalisation error between the two spaces was quantified to assess any potential impact these might have on subsequent statistical analysis (see Supplementary material, Section 4).

3.3. Diffusion acquisition and pre-processing

Diffusion MRI comprising a cardiac-gated diffusion-weighted spin-echo echo-planar imaging sequence was used to acquire high angular resolution diffusion weighted images (HARDI) (Jones et al., 1999). 60 gradient orientations and 6 unweighted (b = 0 s/mm²) images were acquired with the following parameters: TE = 87 ms, b = 1200 s/mm², 60 slices, slice thickness = 2.4 mm, FoV = 230 × 230 mm, acquisition matrix = 96 × 96, resulting in data acquired with a 2.4 × 2.4 × 2.4 mm isotropic resolution following zero-filling to a 128 × 128 in-plane matrix for the fast Fourier transform. The final image resolution was therefore 1.8 × 1.8 × 2.4 mm.

HARDI data were pre-processed in ExploreDTI v4.8.3 (Leemans et al., 2009). Data were corrected for motion and eddy currents prior to tractography. Motion artefacts and eddy current distortions were corrected with B-matrix rotation (Leemans and Jones, 2009); field inhomogeneities were also corrected using standard approaches (Wu et al., 2008). Diffusion weighted images (DWIs) were non-linearly warped to a synthetic T1-weighted image computed from the quantitative T1 map from the DESPOT processing pipeline (see above) and using the fractional anisotropy map computed from the DWIs as a reference. Warps were computed using ‘Elastix’ (Klein et al., 2010) using normalised mutual information as the cost function and constraining deformations to the phase-encoding direction. The corrected DWIs are therefore in the same (undistorted) space as the mcDESPOT images.

The corrected HARDI data were fitted to the diffusion tensor (DT) and corrected for CSF-partial volume effects (Pasternak et al., 2009) and treated as covariates. MD and R1 data were registered to the original FSPGR T1-weighted space using a non-linear affine transform to correct for local expansion and contraction due to non-linear components of the spatial transformation. The modulated GM images were then smoothed with an isotropic Gaussian kernel with a FWHM of 4mm³.

MD and R1 maps were also analysed with VBM. The MD and R1 data were registered to the original FSPGR T1-weighted space using a non-rigid affine transform with the synthetic T1-weighted image as a reference. The grey matter segmentation from the FSPGR was then used to mask the grey matter in each image. The images were then registered to the VBM template using the same warp fields originally used to register the GM images. R1 and MD images were then smoothed with Gaussian kernels of 4mm³ and 8mm³, respectively, to accommodate differences in voxel size. Unlike the GM volume images, modulation was not performed for these images as these variables are not quantifying spatial properties, unlike GM volume. Modulation is therefore not appropriate for these images.

3.4. Voxel Based Morphometry (VBM).

Differences in GM were analysed using Voxel Based Morphometry (VBM) (Ashburner and Friston, 2000; Good et al., 2001) using an optimised VBM protocol in FSL (Douaud et al., 2007). T1-weighted structural images were skull stripped (Smith, 2002) and GM segmented (Zhang et al., 2001). The GM images were then non-linearly registered to the MNI152 brain template and a study-specific template was created from the average of the transformed GM images. All native GM images were non-linearly registered to this study-specific template and “modulated” to correct for local expansion and contraction due to non-linear components of the spatial transformation. The modulated GM images were then smoothed with an isotropic Gaussian kernel with a FWHM of 4mm³.

MD and R1 maps were also analysed with VBM. The MD and R1 data were registered to the original FSPGR T1-weighted space using a non-rigid affine transform with the synthetic T1-weighted image as a reference. The grey matter segmentation from the FSPGR was then used to mask the grey matter in each image. The images were then registered to the VBM template using the same warp fields originally used to register the GM images. R1 and MD images were then smoothed with Gaussian kernels of 4mm³ and 8mm³, respectively, to accommodate differences in voxel size. Unlike the GM volume images, modulation was not performed for these images as these variables are not quantifying spatial properties, unlike GM volume. Modulation is therefore not appropriate for these images.

3.5. Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using a voxel-wise general linear model on the template-space images. Multiple comparisons across voxels were corrected using permutation-based non-parametric testing (Nichols and Holmes, 2002), with threshold-free cluster enhancement (Smith and Nichols, 2009) across 5000 permutations.

Two designs were tested. The first treats PE status as a binary classification (with PE vs. without PE). The second model treats the PE status as a 4-point ordinal scale (no PEs > suspected > definite > clinical disorder). In both cases, age and gender were treated as covariates. Maps of permutation corrected p-values (p_corr) were computed for each image metric (MD and R1) and each design (i.e. binary or 4-point ordinal classification). Effects are treated as significant at p_corr < 0.05. In the cases where was a significant correlation between a risk-factor and PEs, the analysis was also performed with the inclusion of the relevant risk factor as a covariate. In addition, correlations between GM measurements and the other risk factors were tested for, with age and gender treated as covariates.

![Fig. 1](image-url) (A) Significant negative effects of PEs on GM volume using the binary classification of PEs. ROI volume 1976 mm³. MNI coordinates [-64 - 20 32]. (B). mean and standard error of GM volume in significant ROI, with binary classification of PEs.
4. Results

4.1. Demographics

Group effects on each of the candidate risk factors are reported in Table 1. Premorbid IQ, CIS-R scores, parental social class and maternal education all show strong effects in both the binary and the ordinal classifications ($p < 0.01$). A smaller, but still significant, group effect was also observed for birth weight ($p < 0.05$). All other risk factors showed no significant group effects.

4.2. Binary classification

GM volume in a region of the left supramarginal gyrus (Fig. 1, Table 2) was decreased in subjects with PEs compared to subjects with no PEs. There were no group effects on MD or R1.

4.3. Ordinal model

There was a significant negative effect in R1 in left temporoparietal and right pre-frontal regions (Fig. 2, Table 3), with R1 lowest for the suspected and definite PE groups. No significant effects were identified with GM volume or MD.

![Fig. 2.](image-url) (A) Regions of significant effects of PEs on R1 inferred using the ordinal classification of PEs in left parietal and right frontal cortices. Parietal ROI: volume 11,088 mm$^3$, MNI coordinates $[-64 -20 32]$. Frontal ROI: volume 2696 mm$^3$, MNI coordinates $[42 52 0]$. (B) Mean and standard error of R1 value in the significant ROI, with ordinal classification of PEs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Overlap with ROI (# voxels)</th>
<th>min $p_{corr}$</th>
<th>MNI coordinates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supramarginal gyrus (left)</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>$-64 -20 32$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postcentral (left)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>$-62 -18 -30$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior temporal (left)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>$-56 -24 -18$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2

Regions of AAL atlas overlapping with regions of significant effect on GM volume, using the binary classification of PEs.
nor were they associated with PEs. No other significant cortices [data not shown] which did not overlap with the R1 changes MD and birth-weight, mostly in posterior cingulate, parietal and occipital regions has the potential to impinge on many important linguistic and executive functions. The discrepancy between our two main findings might be interpreted as different neuroanatomical substrates for general psychopathology, and more specific pathology relating to psychosis. However, there were no significant relationships with GM volume and CIS-R, or any other risk factors. The regions where R1 is reduced with PEs are consistent with some of those previously found to show cortical pathology in psychosis risk-groups (Fusar-Poli et al., 2011; Smieskova et al., 2010), particularly pre-frontal and superior temporal regions. It is possible that GM volume reduction becomes more apparent at later stages of development. A meta-analysis (Fusar-Poli et al., 2011) found that GM volume was significantly reduced in older at-risk groups (25+ years) compared to younger at-risk groups.

The interpretation that reduced R1 is reflective of reductions in cortical myelination (Lutti et al., 2014) is consistent with previous histological and post-mortem studies (see (Bakhshi and Chance, 2015; Esiri and Crow, 2008; Harrison and Harrison, 1999) for reviews). Of relevance are studies that have shown evidence of reduced oligodendrocyte number (Hof et al., 2002, 2003; Uranova et al., 2004), notably in frontal cortex particularly affecting layer VI, but not in the adjacent white-matter (Uranova et al., 2004). This could be a pathological corollary of the R1 relaxometry findings. More evidence exists of reductions in myelin basic protein (MBP) staining in schizophrenia (Chambers and Perrone-Bizzozero, 2004; Honer et al., 1999) and altered expression of myelin-related genes (Hakak et al., 2001; Katsel et al., 2005; Roussos and Haroutunian, 2014).

In addition, there is evidence that the developmental trajectory of myelination predicts the trajectory of grey matter volume (Gilmore et al., 2012; Sowell et al., 2003), although this relationship is complex (Deoni et al., 2015). It is possible that changes seen in cortical myelination will manifest as changes in cortical volume later in life and there is some evidence supporting this in our data (see Supplementary material, Section 5). Changes in cortical myelination may be related to synaptic pruning in late adolescence (Huttenlocher and Dabholkar, Buchanan et al., 2004). GM Abnormalities in those at increased genetic risk (Bhojraj et al., 2011), have also been detected in this region. However, when we compared our simple binary- with an ordinal-based model closer to the idea of a continuum of psychopathology, the binary model of PEs was associated with reduced volume whereas the ordinal model was not.

Alternately, it was the ordinal model that showed a reduction in cortical R1 in non-overlapping left temporoparietal and right prefrontal regions also noted to show changes in those at high risk of psychosis using conventional volumetric MRI. Such a broad topography of cortical regions has the potential to impinge on many important linguistic and executive functions. The discrepancy between our two main findings might be interpreted as different neuroanatomical substrates for general psychopathology, and more specific pathology relating to psychosis. However, there were no significant relationships with GM volume and CIS-R, or any other risk factors.

The interpretation that reduced R1 is reflective of reductions in cortical myelination (Lutti et al., 2014) is consistent with previous histological and post-mortem studies (see (Bakhshi and Chance, 2015; Esiri and Crow, 2008; Harrison and Harrison, 1999) for reviews). Of relevance are studies that have shown evidence of reduced oligodendrocyte number (Hof et al., 2002, 2003; Uranova et al., 2004), notably in frontal cortex particularly affecting layer VI, but not in the adjacent white-matter (Uranova et al., 2004). This could be a pathological corollary of the R1 relaxometry findings. More evidence exists of reductions in myelin basic protein (MBP) staining in schizophrenia (Chambers and Perrone-Bizzozero, 2004; Honer et al., 1999) and altered expression of myelin-related genes (Hakak et al., 2001; Katsel et al., 2005; Roussos and Haroutunian, 2014).

In addition, there is evidence that the developmental trajectory of myelination predicts the trajectory of grey matter volume (Gilmore et al., 2012; Sowell et al., 2003), although this relationship is complex (Deoni et al., 2015). It is possible that changes seen in cortical myelination will manifest as changes in cortical volume later in life and there is some evidence supporting this in our data (see Supplementary material, Section 5). Changes in cortical myelination may be related to synaptic pruning in late adolescence (Huttenlocher and Dabholkar, Buchanan et al., 2004). GM Abnormalities in those at increased genetic risk (Bhojraj et al., 2011), have also been detected in this region. However, when we compared our simple binary- with an ordinal-based model closer to the idea of a continuum of psychopathology, the binary model of PEs was associated with reduced volume whereas the ordinal model was not.

Alternately, it was the ordinal model that showed a reduction in cortical R1 in non-overlapping left temporoparietal and right prefrontal regions also noted to show changes in those at high risk of psychosis using conventional volumetric MRI. Such a broad topography of cortical regions has the potential to impinge on many important linguistic and executive functions. The discrepancy between our two main findings might be interpreted as different neuroanatomical substrates for general psychopathology, and more specific pathology relating to psychosis. However, there were no significant relationships with GM volume and CIS-R, or any other risk factors.

The regions where R1 is reduced with PEs are consistent with some of those previously found to show cortical pathology in psychosis risk-groups (Fusar-Poli et al., 2011; Smieskova et al., 2010), particularly pre-frontal and superior temporal regions. It is possible that GM volume reduction becomes more apparent at later stages of development. A meta-analysis (Fusar-Poli et al., 2011) found that GM volume was significantly reduced in older at-risk groups (25+ years) compared to younger at-risk groups.

The interpretation that reduced R1 is reflective of reductions in cortical myelination (Lutti et al., 2014) is consistent with previous histological and post-mortem studies (see (Bakhshi and Chance, 2015; Esiri and Crow, 2008; Harrison and Harrison, 1999) for reviews). Of relevance are studies that have shown evidence of reduced oligodendrocyte number (Hof et al., 2002, 2003; Uranova et al., 2004), notably in frontal cortex particularly affecting layer VI, but not in the adjacent white-matter (Uranova et al., 2004). This could be a pathological corollary of the R1 relaxometry findings. More evidence exists of reductions in myelin basic protein (MBP) staining in schizophrenia (Chambers and Perrone-Bizzozero, 2004; Honer et al., 1999) and altered expression of myelin-related genes (Hakak et al., 2001; Katsel et al., 2005; Roussos and Haroutunian, 2014).

In addition, there is evidence that the developmental trajectory of myelination predicts the trajectory of grey matter volume (Gilmore et al., 2012; Sowell et al., 2003), although this relationship is complex (Deoni et al., 2015). It is possible that changes seen in cortical myelination will manifest as changes in cortical volume later in life and there is some evidence supporting this in our data (see Supplementary material, Section 5). Changes in cortical myelination may be related to synaptic pruning in late adolescence (Huttenlocher and Dabholkar, Buchanan et al., 2004). GM Abnormalities in those at increased genetic risk (Bhojraj et al., 2011), have also been detected in this region. However, when we compared our simple binary- with an ordinal-based model closer to the idea of a continuum of psychopathology, the binary model of PEs was associated with reduced volume whereas the ordinal model was not.

Alternately, it was the ordinal model that showed a reduction in cortical R1 in non-overlapping left temporoparietal and right prefrontal regions also noted to show changes in those at high risk of psychosis using conventional volumetric MRI. Such a broad topography of cortical regions has the potential to impinge on many important linguistic and executive functions. The discrepancy between our two main findings might be interpreted as different neuroanatomical substrates for general psychopathology, and more specific pathology relating to psychosis. However, there were no significant relationships with GM volume and CIS-R, or any other risk factors.

The regions where R1 is reduced with PEs are consistent with some of those previously found to show cortical pathology in psychosis risk-groups (Fusar-Poli et al., 2011; Smieskova et al., 2010), particularly pre-frontal and superior temporal regions. It is possible that GM volume reduction becomes more apparent at later stages of development. A meta-analysis (Fusar-Poli et al., 2011) found that GM volume was significantly reduced in older at-risk groups (25+ years) compared to younger at-risk groups.

The interpretation that reduced R1 is reflective of reductions in cortical myelination (Lutti et al., 2014) is consistent with previous histological and post-mortem studies (see (Bakhshi and Chance, 2015; Esiri and Crow, 2008; Harrison and Harrison, 1999) for reviews). Of relevance are studies that have shown evidence of reduced oligodendrocyte number (Hof et al., 2002, 2003; Uranova et al., 2004), notably in frontal cortex particularly affecting layer VI, but not in the adjacent white-matter (Uranova et al., 2004). This could be a pathological corollary of the R1 relaxometry findings. More evidence exists of reductions in myelin basic protein (MBP) staining in schizophrenia (Chambers and Perrone-Bizzozero, 2004; Honer et al., 1999) and altered expression of myelin-related genes (Hakak et al., 2001; Katsel et al., 2005; Roussos and Haroutunian, 2014).

In addition, there is evidence that the developmental trajectory of myelination predicts the trajectory of grey matter volume (Gilmore et al., 2012; Sowell et al., 2003), although this relationship is complex (Deoni et al., 2015). It is possible that changes seen in cortical myelination will manifest as changes in cortical volume later in life and there is some evidence supporting this in our data (see Supplementary material, Section 5). Changes in cortical myelination may be related to synaptic pruning in late adolescence (Huttenlocher and Dabholkar, Buchanan et al., 2004). GM Abnormalities in those at increased genetic risk (Bhojraj et al., 2011), have also been detected in this region. However, when we compared our simple binary- with an ordinal-based model closer to the idea of a continuum of psychopathology, the binary model of PEs was associated with reduced volume whereas the ordinal model was not.

Alternately, it was the ordinal model that showed a reduction in cortical R1 in non-overlapping left temporoparietal and right prefrontal regions also noted to show changes in those at high risk of psychosis using conventional volumetric MRI. Such a broad topography of cortical regions has the potential to impinge on many important linguistic and executive functions. The discrepancy between our two main findings might be interpreted as different neuroanatomical substrates for general psychopathology, and more specific pathology relating to psychosis. However, there were no significant relationships with GM volume and CIS-R, or any other risk factors.
The narrow age-range of the participants limiting the developmental inferences that can be drawn. A further limitation is the lack of follow-up information on the cohort, pertaining to progression or remission of PEs. As a result the clinical significance of PEs is unclear. In particular, the risk of a later UHR state, or psychotic disorder is unknown.

In conclusion, there is evidence of a link between cortical volume and R1 in cortex and verified psychotic experiences in young adults drawn from the general population. The alterations in R1 may be reflective of decreased cortical myelination, although macromolecular composition may also be responsible. The effect is consistent with an altered neurodevelopmental trajectory, prior to measurable changes in cortical volume as typically seen in schizophrenia patients and ultra high risk groups. There is also evidence that this marker of neurodevelopment is affected by socioeconomic environment.
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1997; Paus et al., 2008), which leads to later reductions in cortical volume. The effects observed in the present study may reflect either a delay or a reduction of this process. Further imaging of the cohort will help verify this. It is also interesting to note that the temporoparietal region where the effect of R1 is seen, has been identified as having the most protracted rate of cortical development (Sowell et al., 2003) which might render it vulnerable to a range of insults over time. Furthermore the absence of correlations between RI measures and early neurodevelopmental factors (birthweight and premorbid IQ at age 8) indicate that such factors are not implicated in the proposed myelin-related pathogenesis of psychosis which occurs at developmental stages closer to the age of the cohort. It is important to note that T1 relaxation is also sensitive to other factors such as macromolecular composition (proteins and lipids) (Bottomley et al., 1984; Rooney et al., 2007) and iron content (Gelman et al., 2001), so the interpretation of reduced R1 reflecting reduced cortical myelination should be made with caution.

The correlation analysis revealed significant associations between CIS-R score and maternal education, a proxy for socio-economic status, on R1, in regions overlapping with those where the main effect with PEs was observed. It is therefore possible that myelination, and possibly the neurodevelopmental processes described above, are delayed or diminished by poor socioeconomic environment, perhaps via physiological stress mechanisms, e.g., infection and inflammation (Najjar and Pearlman, 2014). The correlations with these factors and the absence of any cortical R1 effects when covaring for these factors suggest myelination, macromolecular composition or iron content is related to more general psychopathology and socioeconomic influences rather than specifically psychosis. The absence of any apparent effect in cortical diffusivity, suggests that change in cell density is not necessarily associated with PEs when seen in a non-clinical context. As with GM volume change, this may be something that does not manifest until later stages of illness. Again longitudinal studies will help clarify this.

There were negative correlations between cortical diffusivity and birth weight, indicating a possible early neurodevelopment influence on the cytoarchitecture of mature cortex, but we found no relationship with psychopathology, despite such an association being documented (Abel et al., 2010; Rifkin et al., 1994). Furthermore, this effect appeared to be independent of any other measured properties in cortex.

The study has a number of strengths, namely the size and population base of the sample uncontaminated by for example medication exposure. Psychopathology was verified using a structured clinical interview and there was extensive socio-demographic and clinical data available on the sample. The imaging methodology was state-of-the-art and included novel application of measures to at-risk groups for psychosis. Weaknesses include the cross sectional nature of the imaging data and the narrow age-range of the participants limiting the developmental

Table 4

Regions of the AAL atlas where R1 significantly correlates with CIS-R and maternal education.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Negative correlation with CIS-R score</th>
<th>Positive correlation with maternal education</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overlap with ROI (# voxels)</td>
<td>min $p_{corr}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior frontal (right)</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior orbitofrontal (right)</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle frontal (left)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle frontal (right)</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle orbitofrontal (right)</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior orbitofrontal (right)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial orbitofrontal (right)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gyrus rectus (left)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gyrus rectus (right)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal/temporal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supramarginal gyrus (left)</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior temporal (left)</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle temporal (left)</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overlap with ROI
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