



Physics Letters B 569 (2003) 25-29

brought to you by 💆 C

PHYSICS LETTERS B

provided by Elsevier - Pub

www.elsevier.com/locate/npe

## Right-handed electrons in radiative muon decay

L.M. Sehgal

Institute of Theoretical Physics (E), RWTH Aachen, D-52056 Aachen, Germany Received 19 June 2003; accepted 4 July 2003

Editor: P.V. Landshoff

## Abstract

Electrons emitted in the radiative decay  $\mu^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu \gamma$  have a significant probability of being right-handed, even in the limit  $m_e \rightarrow 0$ . Such "wrong-helicity" electrons, arising from helicity-flip bremsstrahlung, contribute an amount  $\frac{\alpha}{4\pi} \Gamma_0$  to the muon decay width ( $\Gamma_0 \equiv G_F^2 m_\mu^5 / (192\pi^3)$ ). We use the helicity-flip splitting function  $D_{hf}(z)$  of Falk and Sehgal [Phys. Lett. B 325 (1994) 509] to obtain the spectrum of the right-handed electrons and the photons that accompany them. For a minimum photon energy  $E_{\gamma} = 10 \text{ MeV}$  (20 MeV), approximately 4% (7%) of electrons in radiative  $\mu$ -decay are right-handed. © 2003 Published by Elsevier B.V. Open access under CC BY license.

It is usually thought that in V–A theory, electrons emitted in muon decay are purely left-handed, in the limit  $m_e \rightarrow 0$ . This statement, however, is not true for electrons in the radiative decay  $\mu^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu \gamma$ , where the photon is the result of inner bremsstrahlung. We show in this Letter that radiative muon decay contains a well-defined constituency of right-handed electrons, contributing an amount  $\frac{\alpha}{4\pi}\Gamma_0$  $(\Gamma_0 \equiv G_F^2 m_{\mu}^5/(192\pi^3))$  to the decay width. We calculate the spectrum of these "wrong-helicity" electrons, and of the photons that accompany them. These spectra are compared with the unpolarized spectra, summed over electron helicities. This comparison provides a quantitative measure of the right-handed fraction and its distribution in phase space.

The appearance of "wrong-helicity" electrons in the decay  $\mu^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu \gamma$ , even in the limit  $m_e \rightarrow 0$ , is a consequence of helicity-flip bremsstrahlung in quantum electrodynamics, a feature first noted by Lee and Nauenberg [1]. It was found that in the radiative scattering of electrons by a Coulomb field, the probability of helicity-flip (i.e.,  $e_L \rightarrow e_R$  or  $e_R \rightarrow e_L$ ) did not vanish in the limit  $m_e \rightarrow 0$ . This unexpected result, in apparent contradiction to the naive expectation of helicity conservation in the  $m_e \rightarrow 0$  limit, arises from the fact, that the helicity-flip cross section for bremsstrahlung at small angles has the form

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\theta^2} \sim \frac{\left(\frac{m_e}{E_e}\right)^2}{\left(\left(\frac{m_e}{E_e}\right)^2 + \theta^2\right)^2},\tag{1}$$

which, when integrated over angles, gives a finite nonzero answer in the limit  $m_e \rightarrow 0$ .

In Ref. [2], Falk and Sehgal examined the helicity structure of the bremsstrahlung process in an equivalent particle approach, and showed that helicity-flip radiation  $e_L^- \rightarrow e_R^- + \gamma(z)$ , in the limit  $m_e \rightarrow 0$ , can be described by a simple and universal splitting (or frag-

*E-mail address:* sehgal@physik.rwth-aachen.de (L.M. Sehgal).

<sup>0370-2693 © 2003</sup> Published by Elsevier B.V. Open access under CC BY license doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2003.07.016

mentation) function

$$D_{hf}(z) = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} z,$$
(2)

where  $z = E_{\gamma}/E_e$  is the ratio of the photon energy to the energy of the radiating electron. This function is analogous to the familiar Weizsäcker–Williams function describing helicity-conserving (non-flip) bremsstrahlung

$$D_{nf}(z) = \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \frac{1 + (1 - z)^2}{z} \log\left(\frac{E_e}{m_e}\right).$$
 (3)

Several applications of the helicity-flip function  $D_{hf}(z)$ were considered in [2], including the process  $e_R^- + p \rightarrow v_L + \gamma + X$  ("fake right-handed currents") and  $e_{\lambda}^- e_{\lambda}^+ \rightarrow f \bar{f} \gamma$  (wrong-helicity  $e^+ e^-$  annihilation). It was shown that the splitting function approach reproduced the results of the usual bremsstrahlung calculation in which the limit  $m_e \rightarrow 0$  was taken at the end [3,4]. Subsequently, the equivalent-particle technique has been successfully applied to other helicityflip processes such as  $\pi^- \rightarrow e_L^- \bar{v} \gamma$  and  $Z^0 \rightarrow e_L^- e_L^+ \gamma$ [5].

Recently, in an analysis of radiative corrections to the electron spectrum in muon decay,  $\mu^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu$ , Fischer et al. [6] have noted that the radiative correction to the helicity of the electron, calculated in an early paper by Fischer and Scheck [7], can be reproduced in a simple way using the helicity-flip function  $D_{hf}(z)$ . This has motivated us to examine the helicitydependence of the radiative decay  $\mu^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu \gamma$ , to determine the incidence and spectrum of wronghelicity (right-handed) electrons in this channel.

The electron spectrum in ordinary (non-radiative) muon decay  $\mu^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu$  has, in Born appoximation, the well-known form

$$\left(\frac{d\Gamma}{dx\,d\cos\theta_e}\right)^{\text{non-rad}} = \Gamma_0 [x^2(3-2x) + x^2(1-2x)\cos(\theta_e)], \qquad (4)$$

where  $x = 2E_e/m_{\mu}$  and  $\theta_e$  is the angle of the electron relative to the spin of the muon. We can obtain from this the spectrum of the radiative channel  $\mu^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_{\mu} \gamma$ , using the splitting functions  $D_{hf}$  or  $D_{nf}$ . In the specific case of right-handed electrons in the final state, the spectrum, in the limit  $m_e \rightarrow 0$  (collinear bremsstrahlung), is given by

$$\left(\frac{d\Gamma}{dx_e d\cos\theta_e}\right)_{e_R^-}^{\text{rad}}$$
  
=  $\int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 dz \left(\frac{d\Gamma}{dx d\cos\theta_e}\right)^{\text{non-rad}} D_{hf}(z)$   
 $\times \delta(x_e - x(1-z))\theta(xz - x_{\gamma 0}),$  (5)

where the  $\theta$ -function in the integrand has been inserted to allow for a minimum energy cut on the photon:

$$x_{\gamma} \equiv \frac{2E_{\gamma}}{m_{\mu}} \geqslant x_{\gamma 0}.$$
 (6)

The result of the integration is

$$\left(\frac{d\Gamma}{dx_e d\cos\theta_e}\right)_{e_R^-}^{\text{rad}} = \Gamma_0 \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \Big[ A(x_e, x_{\gamma 0}) + \cos(\theta_e) B(x_e, x_{\gamma 0}) \Big], \qquad (7)$$

where

$$A(x_e, x_{\gamma 0}) = -\frac{2}{3} \left[ 1 - (x_e + x_{\gamma 0})^3 \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 - (x_e + x_{\gamma 0})^2 \right] (2x_e + 3) - 3x_e \left[ 1 - (x_e + x_{\gamma 0}) \right], B(x_e, x_{\gamma 0}) = -\frac{2}{3} \left[ 1 - (x_e + x_{\gamma 0})^3 \right] + \frac{1}{2} (1 + 2x_e) \left[ 1 - (x_e + x_{\gamma 0})^2 \right] - x_e \left[ 1 - (x_e + x_{\gamma 0}) \right].$$
(8)

Integrating over  $\cos \theta_e$  and  $x_e$   $(0 \le x_e \le 1 - x_{\gamma 0})$ , we obtain

$$\Gamma_{e_{R}^{-}}^{\mathrm{rad}}(x_{\gamma 0}) = \Gamma_{0} \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \left[ \frac{1}{4} - x_{\gamma 0}^{2} + x_{\gamma 0}^{3} - \frac{1}{4} x_{\gamma 0}^{4} \right].$$
(9)

If no cut is imposed on the photon energy (i.e.,  $x_{\gamma 0} = 0$ ) the spectrum of right-handed electrons given in Eq. (7) reduces to

$$\left(\frac{d\Gamma}{dx_e \, d\cos\theta_e}\right)_{e_R^-}^{\text{rad}}$$
  
=  $\Gamma_0 \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \frac{1}{6} (1 - x_e)^2$   
 $\times \left[(5 - 2x_e) - \cos(\theta_e)(2x_e + 1)\right],$  (10)

which coincides with the result obtained by Fischer and Scheck [7].

The helicity-flip fragmentation function also gives a simple way of calculating the spectrum of photons accompanying right-handed electrons in  $\mu^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu \gamma$ . In the collinear limit  $(m_e \rightarrow 0)$ , we have

$$\left(\frac{d\Gamma}{dx_{\gamma} d\cos\theta_{\gamma}}\right)_{e_{R}^{-}}^{\text{rad}}$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} dx \int_{0}^{1} dz \left(\frac{d\Gamma}{dx d\cos\theta_{e}}\right)_{\theta_{e}=\theta_{\gamma}}^{\text{non-rad}}$$

$$\times D_{hf}(z)\delta(x_{\gamma} - xz)$$

$$= \Gamma_{0}\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}x_{\gamma}(1 - x_{\gamma})[(2 - x_{\gamma}) - x_{\gamma}\cos(\theta_{\gamma})]. \quad (11)$$

Integrating over all photon energies and over  $\cos \theta_{\gamma}$ we get  $\Gamma_{e_{R}^{-}}^{\text{rad}} = \frac{\alpha}{4\pi} \Gamma_{0}$ , which is the same as Eq. (9) for  $x_{\gamma 0} = 0$ .

The decay width into right-handed electrons, for a given minimum energy  $x_{\gamma 0}$  (Eq. (9)), can be compared with the width summed over electron helicities. The helicity-summed photon spectrum in  $\mu^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu \gamma$  was calculated by Kinoshita and Sirlin [8] and Eckstein and Pratt [9], and the integrated width, for  $x_{\gamma} > x_{\gamma 0}$  is [9]

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{e_{L}^{rad} + e_{R}^{-}}^{rad}(x_{\gamma 0}) \\ &= \Gamma_{0} \frac{2\alpha}{\pi} \Biggl\{ \Biggl( -\frac{17}{12} + \log \Biggl( \frac{m_{\mu}}{m_{e}} \Biggr) \Biggr) \log \Biggl( \frac{1}{x_{\gamma 0}} \Biggr) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} (1 - x_{\gamma 0}) \Biggl[ \frac{1}{6} (1 - x_{\gamma 0})^{3} + 1 \Biggr] \\ &\times \log \Biggl[ \frac{m_{\mu}^{2}}{m_{e}^{2}} (1 - x_{\gamma 0}) \Biggr] \\ &+ \frac{1 - x_{\gamma 0}}{288} (601 - 159 x_{\gamma 0} \\ &+ 171 x_{\gamma 0}^{2} - 61 x_{\gamma 0}^{3} \Biggr) \\ &- \frac{\pi^{2}}{12} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(x_{\gamma 0})^{n}}{n^{2}} \Biggr\}. \end{aligned}$$
(12)

This function is plotted in Fig. 1 and compared with the right-handed width  $\Gamma_{e_{R}^{-}}^{rad}(x_{\gamma 0})$  calculated in Eq. (9). The right-handed fraction  $\Gamma_{e_{R}^{-}}^{rad}/\Gamma_{e_{R}^{-}+e_{L}^{-}}^{rad}$  is shown in Fig. 2, as a function of  $x_{\gamma 0}$ . For a photon



Fig. 1. Radiative decay width  $\Gamma_{e_L}^{\text{rad}} + e_R^{-}(x_{\gamma 0})$  (full line), compared with the right-handed decay width  $\Gamma_{e_R}^{\text{rad}}(x_{\gamma 0})$  (multiplied by factor 10, dashed line) as function of minimum photon energy  $x_{\gamma 0}$ . Decay widths in units of  $\Gamma_0$ .

energy cut  $E_{\gamma} > 10$  MeV (20 MeV), this fraction is approximately 4% (7%). (It may be noted here that the branching ratio of  $\mu^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_{\mu} \gamma$ , summed over electron spins, with a photon energy cut  $E_{\gamma} >$ 10 MeV, was measured in Ref. [10] to be (1.4±0.4)%. The theoretical expression Eq. (12) yields for this quantity the value 1.3%.)

A complete analysis of the channel  $\mu^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu \gamma$ involves a study of the decay intensity in all kinematical variables. A variable of particular interest is the angle  $\theta_{e\gamma}$  between the electron and the photon. For helicity-flip radiation, the characteristic angular distribution is [2]

$$\frac{dD_{hf}(z,\theta^2)}{d\theta^2} \approx \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} z \left(\frac{m_e}{E_e}\right)^2 \frac{1}{\left[\theta^2 + \left(\frac{m_e}{E_e}\right)^2\right]^2},\qquad(13)$$

which is maximum at  $\theta = 0$  (forward direction). By contrast, the helicity-conserving bremsstrahlung has



Fig. 2. Right-handed fraction  $\Gamma_{e_{R}^{-}}^{rad}/\Gamma_{e_{R}^{-}+e_{L}^{-}}^{rad}$  as function of minimum photon energy  $x_{\gamma 0}$ .

the spectrum [2]

$$\frac{dD_{nf}(z,\theta^2)}{d\theta^2} \approx \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \frac{1+(1-z)^2}{z} \frac{\theta^2}{\left[\theta^2 + \left(\frac{m_e}{E_e}\right)^2\right]^2}, \quad (14)$$

which peaks at  $\theta^2 \approx m_e^2/E_e^2$ . This suggests that in the decay  $\mu^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu \gamma$ , the distribution in the angle  $\theta_{e\gamma}$  between the electron and photon could be a useful discriminant in separating the two electron helicities. A full analysis of the helicity-dependent decay spectrum in different kinematical variables will be reported elsewhere.

Summary:

(i) The decay  $\mu^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu \gamma$  contains in the final state a constituency of right-handed electrons, which contribute an amount  $\frac{\alpha}{4\pi}\Gamma_0$  to the decay width, in the limit  $m_e \rightarrow 0$ .

(ii) The spectrum of the right-handed electrons is given by Eq. (7), and reduces to Eq. (10) if no cut

on photon energy is imposed. The latter differs in a characteristic way from the spectrum of left-handed electrons, which (on account of the soft  $1/x_{\gamma}$  nature of helicity-conserving bremsstrahlung) tends to follow the non-radiative pattern Eq. (4). Thus the energy spectra, integrated over angles are  $(d\Gamma/dx_e)_R \sim (1-x_e)^2(5-2x_e)$ ,  $(d\Gamma/dx_e)_L \sim x_e^2(3-2x_e)$ , while the angular distribution, integrated over energies, is  $(d\Gamma/d\cos\theta_e)_{L,R} \sim (1-\frac{1}{3}\cos\theta_e)$ , the same for  $e_L^-$  and  $e_R^-$ .

(iii) The photon spectrum associated with righthanded electrons is  $(d\Gamma/dx_{\gamma})_R \sim x_{\gamma}(1 - x_{\gamma})(2 - x_{\gamma})$ , and is hard compared to that accompanying lefthanded electrons  $(d\Gamma/dx_{\gamma})_L \sim 1/x_{\gamma}$ .

(iv) The right-handed fraction  $\Gamma_{e_R^-}/(\Gamma_{e_R^-} + \Gamma_{e_L^-})$  has been calculated as a function of the photon energy cut  $x_{\gamma 0}$ , and amounts to 4% (7%) for  $E_{\gamma} > 10$  MeV (20 MeV).

(v) The radiatively corrected decay width of the muon, usually written as

$$\Gamma_{\mu} = \Gamma_0 \left[ 1 + \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \left( \frac{25}{8} - \frac{\pi^2}{2} \right) \right] \tag{15}$$

can be regarded as a sum of two mutually exclusive helicity contributions [6]

$$\Gamma_{\mu} = \Gamma_{\mu} \left( e_L^- \right) + \Gamma_{\mu} \left( e_R^- \right)$$

where

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{\mu}(e_{L}^{-}) &= \Gamma_{0}\bigg[1 + \frac{\alpha}{\pi}\bigg(\frac{23}{8} - \frac{\pi^{2}}{2}\bigg)\bigg],\\ \Gamma_{\mu}(e_{R}^{-}) &= \Gamma_{0}\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\frac{1}{4}. \end{split}$$

(vi) A full analysis of  $\mu^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu \gamma$ , aimed at finding regions of phase space with enhanced concentration of right-handed electrons will be reported elsewhere.

## Acknowledgement

I wish to thank Volker Schulz for discussions, and for help in the preparation of this manuscript.

## References

- [1] T.D. Lee, M. Nauenberg, Phys. Rev. 133 (1964) B1549.
- [2] B. Falk, L.M. Sehgal, Phys. Lett. B 325 (1994) 509.

- [3] H.F. Contopanagos, M.B. Einhorn, Nucl. Phys. B 377 (1992) 20.
- [4] S. Jadach, J.H. Kühn, R.G. Stuart, Z. Was, Z. Phys. C 38 (1988) 609;

S. Jadach, J.H. Kühn, R.G. Stuart, Z. Was, Z. Phys. C 45 (1990) 528, Erratum.

[5] L. Trentadue, M. Verbeni, Phys. Lett. B 478 (2000) 137, hepph/0003044;

L. Trentadue, M. Verbeni, Nucl. Phys. B 583 (2000) 307, hep-ph/0006113;

- A.V. Smilga, Comments Nucl. Part. Phys. 20 (1991) 69.
- [6] M. Fischer, S. Groote, J.G. Körner, M.C. Mauser, hepph/0203048, Phys. Rev. D, in press.
- [7] W.E. Fischer, F. Scheck, Nucl. Phys. B 83 (1974) 25.
- [8] T. Kinoshita, A. Sirlin, Phys. Rev. 113 (1959) 1652.
- [9] S.G. Eckstein, R.R. Pratt, Ann. Phys. 8 (1959) 297.
- [10] R.R. Crittenden, W.D. Walker, J. Ballam, Phys. Rev. 121 (1961) 1823.