Adverse skin reactions to low-molecular-weight heparin and systemic allergic reactions coupled with heparin-induced antibody reactions have been described. In this report we describe a case of cardiogenic anaphylactic shock caused by porcine gut heparin infusion in the cardiac surgery theater before starting cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) in a young man with degenerative mitral valve incompetence.

Clinical Summary
A 36-year-old man was referred to the Cardiovascular Institute of Padua University for mitral valve repair of valve incompetence caused by a floppy valve. He had a history for asthma treated with β-stimulants and a documented Acarus species allergy. He had mitral valve incompetence for about 6 years, which more recently progressed to New York Heart Association class II. Physical examination revealed significant systolic murmur (5/6 grade). Electrocardiography disclosed normal sinus rhythm (97 beats/min), mild left ventricular hypertrophy, and an enlarged atrial component. The hematologic and biochemical values were within normal range. Chest radiography showed an increased cardiothoracic ratio. Two-dimensional echocardiography showed severe mitral regurgitation and left atrial and ventricular dilatation with a normal ejection fraction. These data were confirmed by cardiac angiography with hemodynamic study. The spirometry analysis showed mild airways obstruction with reduced tidal volume.

After providing written informed consent, the patient received premedication consisting of 2 mg of oral lorazepam administered 1 hour before the start of anesthesia. The patient was prepared by introduction of an intravenous catheter in the right arm for balanced salt solution infusion and an arterial catheter with local anesthesia in the left radial artery for continuous blood pressure monitoring.

Monitoring also included electrocardiographic leads II and V5 with automated ST-segment analysis and trending, pulse oximetry, and capnography. After a 5-minute preoxygenation period, general anesthesia was induced with thiopental (2.5 mg/kg), followed by fentanyl (5 µg/kg) and vecuronium (0.1 mg/kg). After loss of consciousness, mask ventilation was maintained with 100% oxygen, and 2 minutes later, an endotracheal tube was introduced. Mechanical ventilation with a mixture of oxygen-air (60%) was adjusted to maintain end-tidal carbon dioxide between 30 and 35 mm Hg, with an airway peak pressure of less than 25 mm Hg and a normal capnography curve. Maintenance of anesthesia was achieved with fentanyl up to 20 µg/kg before sternotomy and with a continuous infusion of propofol (3 mg·kg⁻¹·h⁻¹) and cisatracurium (1.5 µg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹). Intravenous antibiotic prophylaxis (cephazolin, 30 mg/kg) was given. After induction of anes-
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After providing written informed consent, the patient received premedication consisting of 2 mg of oral lorazepam administered 1 hour before the start of anesthesia. The patient was prepared by introduction of an intravenous catheter in the right arm for balanced salt solution infusion and an arterial catheter with local anesthesia in the left radial artery for continuous blood pressure monitoring.

Monitoring also included electrocardiographic leads II and V5 with automated ST-segment analysis and trending, pulse oximetry, and capnography. After a 5-minute preoxygenation period, general anesthesia was induced with thiopental (2.5 mg/kg), followed by fentanyl (5 µg/kg) and vecuronium (0.1 mg/kg). After loss of consciousness, mask ventilation was maintained with 100% oxygen, and 2 minutes later, an endotracheal tube was introduced. Mechanical ventilation with a mixture of oxygen-air (60%) was adjusted to maintain end-tidal carbon dioxide between 30 and 35 mm Hg, with an airway peak pressure of less than 25 mm Hg and a normal capnography curve. Maintenance of anesthesia was achieved with fentanyl up to 20 µg/kg before sternotomy and with a continuous infusion of propofol (3 mg·kg⁻¹·h⁻¹) and cisatracurium (1.5 µg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹). Intravenous antibiotic prophylaxis (cephazolin, 30 mg/kg) was given. After induction of anes-
the patient was extubated in the intensive care unit 8 hours later, and he was discharged on the third postoperative day on a regimen of oral anticoagulation (warfarin) without antiplatelet therapy. The patient was discharged home free of cardiac and breathing symptoms, intravenous salbutamol was translated to puff, and methylprednisolone was discontinued. Thrombocytopenia was never observed in this patient.

Oral anticoagulation was discontinued 3 months after the operation, as is our protocol with mitral valve repair. Two months later, after all drug intake had been interrupted (oral anticoagulants, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors), the patient underwent skin prick testing for allergic sensitization measurement. The results were compared with those of 9 patients followed for sensitization to common environmental allergens. Skin testing was performed by the same individual using porcine gut heparin at growing dilution. Skin reactivity was observed only in our patient, and he had positive results for even the highest dilution.

**Discussion**

We have reported a life-threatening case of unexpected and irreversible cardiac shock, despite immediate drug administration, after full heparinization and before commencing CPB.

Anaphylactic reactions were already noted and reported in the clinical setting in the early phase of heparin use. Today, because the purified heparin was introduced in clinical use, cases of porcine heparin anaphylactic reaction have been observed only rarely.3,5

In the English-language literature a fatal case caused by heparin reaction was described by Ansell and colleagues6 in 1986. During a cardiac operation, Harada and coworkers3 reported on a 7-year-old girl with reactions similar to those of our patient caused by heparinized saline solution being used to flush the radial artery. In that case the pressure decreased from 130/90 to 60/0 mm Hg.

The peculiarity of what we are reporting is the systemic reaction with circulatory collapse and airway blockade despite the massive drug attack with catecholamine, steroids, and β-stimulants. The only choice we had to save the patient’s life was to start CPB in overflow (130%), reducing the body temperature (28°C) to ensure a mean arterial pressure of at least 50 mm Hg with 100% oxygen fraction because of the contemporaneous airway ventilation arrest. We decided at this point, with an activated clotting time of 420 seconds, to operate on the patient. The mitral valve was repaired, and the patient was carefully weaned from CPB supported with a continuous infusion of catecholamine and steroid coupled with salbutamol for airway ventilation.
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