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#### Abstract

The permanental polynomial of a graph $G$ is $\pi(G, x) \triangleq \operatorname{per}(x I-A(G))$. From the result that a bipartite graph $G$ admits an orientation $G^{e}$ such that every cycle is oddly oriented if and only if it contains no even subdivision of $K_{2,3}$, Yan and Zhang showed that the permanental polynomial of such a bipartite graph $G$ can be expressed as the characteristic polynomial of the skew adjacency matrix $A\left(G^{e}\right)$. In this note we first prove that this equality holds only if the bipartite graph $G$ contains no even subdivision of $K_{2,3}$. Then we prove that such bipartite graphs are planar. Unexpectedly, we mainly show that a 2 -connected bipartite graph contains no even subdivision of $K_{2,3}$ if and only if it is planar 1-cycle resonant. This implies that each cycle is oddly oriented in any Pfaffian orientation of a 2-connected bipartite graph containing no even subdivision of $K_{2,3}$. Accordingly, we give a way to compute the permanental polynomials of such graphs by Pfaffian orientation.
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## 1. Introduction

In this note, we always consider finite and simple graphs. Let $G$ be a graph with vertex-set $V(G)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ and edge-set $E(G)=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{m}\right\}$. The adjacency matrix $A(G)=\left(a_{i j}\right)_{n \times n}$ of $G$ is defined as

$$
a_{i j}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if vertex } v_{i} \text { is adjacent to vertex } v_{j}, \\ 0 & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

The permanent of an $n \times n$ matrix $A$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{per}(A)=\sum_{\sigma \in \Lambda_{n}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i \sigma(i)}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Lambda_{n}$ denotes the set of all permutations of $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$.
The permanental polynomial of $G$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(G, x)=\operatorname{per}(x I-A(G))=\sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{k} x^{n-k}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I$ is the identity matrix of order $n$. It is easy to see that $(-1)^{k} b_{k}$ is the sum of the $k \times k$ principle subpermanents of $A$ [16]. It was mentioned in [2,16] that if $G$ is a bipartite graph, then $b_{2 k} \geq 0$ and $b_{2 k+1}=0$ for all $k \geq 0$; In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{n}=\operatorname{per}(A(G))=m^{2}(G), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m(G)$ is the number of perfect matchings of $G$.

[^0]It was in 1981 that the permanental polynomial was first investigated in chemistry in [13], where some relations between the permanental polynomial and the structure of conjugated molecules were discussed. Later, Cash [3,4] investigated the mathematical properties of the coefficients and zeros of the permanental polynomials of some chemical graphs. This suggests that the permanental polynomial encodes a variety of structural information. Gutman and Cash [11] also demonstrated several relations between the coefficients of the permanental and characteristic polynomials. See $[5,6,12]$ for more about the permanental polynomials. We can compute the determinant of an $n \times n$ matrix efficiently by Gaussian elimination. Although $\operatorname{per}(A)$ looks similar to $\operatorname{det}(A)$, it is harder to be computed. Valiant [17] proved that it is a \#P-complete problem to evaluate the permanent of a $(0,1)$-matrix. For these reasons, we want to compute the permanental polynomial of a graph by the determinant of a matrix.

Pfaffian orientations of graphs can be used to the enumeration of perfect matchings. Now we recall some definitions. A subgraph $H$ of a graph $G$ is called nice if $G-V(H)$ has a perfect matching [15]. Let $G^{e}$ be an orientation of a graph $G$ and $C$ a cycle of even length in $G$. $C$ is oddly oriented in $G^{e}$ if $C$ contains an odd number of edges that are directed in either direction of the cycle. An orientation of $G$ is Pfaffian if every nice cycle $C$ is oddly oriented. The skew adjacency matrix of $G^{e}$, denoted by $A\left(G^{e}\right)$, is defined as follows,

$$
a_{i j}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if }\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right) \in E\left(G^{e}\right) \\ -1 & \text { if }\left(v_{j}, v_{i}\right) \in E\left(G^{e}\right) \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

If a graph $G$ has a Pfaffian orientation $G^{e}$, then [15]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(A\left(G^{e}\right)\right)=m^{2}(G) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We say an edge $e$ of a graph $G$ is oddly subdivided in a graph $G^{\prime}$ if $G^{\prime}$ is obtained from $G$ by subdividing $e$ an odd number of times. Otherwise, we say $e$ is evenly subdivided. The graph $G^{\prime}$ is said to be an even subdivision of a graph $G$ if $G^{\prime}$ can be obtained from $G$ by evenly subdividing each edge of $G$.

Fischer and Little [7] gave a characterization of a graph that has an orientation with each cycle being oddly oriented. From this result, we have that a bipartite graph $G$ admits an orientation $G^{e}$ such that every cycle is oddly oriented if and only if it contains no even subdivision of $K_{2,3}$. Accordingly, Yan and Zhang [19] showed that the permanental polynomial of such a bipartite graph $G$ can be expressed as the characteristic polynomial of the skew adjacency matrix $A\left(G^{e}\right): \pi(G, x)=$ $\operatorname{det}\left(x I-A\left(G^{e}\right)\right.$ ).

In this note we want to investigate bipartite graphs for which the permanental polynomials can be computed by the characteristic polynomials. In Section 2 we obtain that a bipartite graph admits this property if and only if it has a Pfaffian orientation such that all the cycles are oddly oriented, if and only if it contains no even subdivision of $K_{2,3}$.

In Section 3 we mainly characterize bipartite graphs containing no even subdivision of $K_{2,3}$. The starting point of this section is to show that these graphs are planar. Then we find that if such graphs are 2-connected, they each has a bipartite ear decomposition starting with any cycle. This implies that such graphs are elementary bipartite graphs. Unexpectedly, we obtain the main result that a 2 -connected bipartite graph $G$ contains no even subdivision of $K_{2,3}$ if and only if it is planar 1-cycle resonant. In fact, planar 1-cycle resonant graphs have already been introduced and investigated extensively in [8-10,18]. Various characterizations [9] and constructional features [10] for planar 1-cycle resonant graphs have been given. These characterizations enable ones to design efficient algorithms to decide whether a 2-connected planar bipartite graph is 1 -cycle resonant $[10,18]$.

From the previous main result, in Section 4 we have that each cycle of a 2-connected bipartite graph $G$ containing no even subdivision of $K_{2,3}$ is nice and is oddly oriented in any Pfaffian orientation of $G$. Based on this, we provide a way to compute the permanental polynomials of bipartite graph containing no even subdivision of $K_{2,3}$ by Pfaffian orientation.

## 2. A criterion for computing permanental polynomials

An elegant characterization for Pfaffian bipartite graphs was given by Little.
Theorem 2.1 ([14]). A bipartite graph admits a Pfaffian orientation if and only if it does not contain an even subdivision of $K_{3,3}$ as a nice subgraph.

Fischer and Little gave a characterization for the existence of an orientation of a graph such that all the even cycles are oddly oriented as follows.

Theorem 2.2 ([7]). A graph has an orientation under which every cycle of even length is oddly oriented if and only if the graph contains no subgraph which is, after the contraction of at most one cycle of odd length, an even subdivision of $K_{2,3}$.

For bipartite graphs, we have the following immediate corollary.
Corollary 2.3. There exists an orientation of a bipartite graph $G$ such that all the cycles of $G$ are oddly oriented if and only if $G$ contains no even subdivision of $K_{2,3}$.

Based on such results, Yan and Zhang found that the permanental polynomial of a bipartite graph that has no even subdivision of $K_{2,3}$ can be computed by the determinant.

Theorem 2.4 ([19]). Let $G$ be a bipartite graph containing no even subdivision of $K_{2,3}$. Then there exists an orientation $G^{e}$ of $G$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(G, x)=\operatorname{det}\left(x I-A\left(G^{e}\right)\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact we can show that the converse of the theorem is also valid.
Theorem 2.5. Let $G$ be a bipartite graph of order $n$. Then an orientation $G^{e}$ of $G$ satisfies $\pi(G, x)=\operatorname{det}\left(x I-A\left(G^{e}\right)\right)$ if and only if each cycle of $G$ is oddly oriented in $G^{e}$.
Proof. Let

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(x I-A\left(G^{e}\right)\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} x^{n-i}
$$

Then we have

$$
a_{2 k+1}=b_{2 k+1}=0, \quad k=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

and

$$
b_{2 k}=\sum_{H} \operatorname{per}(A(H)), \quad a_{2 k}=\sum_{H} \operatorname{det}\left(A\left(H^{e}\right)\right), \quad k=0,1, \ldots,
$$

where both sums range over all induced subgraphs $H$ of $G$ with $2 k$ vertices.
For the completeness we reprove the sufficiency. If $G$ has an orientation $G^{e}$ such that every cycle is oddly oriented, then the restriction of $G^{e}$ on each induced subgraph $H$ of $G$ is a Pfaffian orientation $H^{e}$. Hence $\operatorname{per}(A(H))=\operatorname{det}\left(A\left(H^{e}\right)\right)$. That means that $b_{i}=a_{i}$ for each $i \geq 1$. Hence $\pi(G, x)=\operatorname{det}\left(x I-A\left(G^{e}\right)\right)$.

We now prove the necessity. Suppose that $G$ has a cycle $C$ that is evenly oriented in $G^{e}$. For convenience, let $V(C)=$ $\{1,2, \ldots, 2 k\}$ and $C=12 \cdots(2 k) 1$. Now we consider the subgraph $G[C]$ induced by the vertices of $C$. Let $G^{e}[C]$ be the orientation $G^{e}$ restricted on $G[C]$. From the definitions of permanents and determinants, we have that $\operatorname{per}(A(G[C]))=$ $\sum_{\sigma} a_{1 \sigma(1)} a_{2 \sigma(2)} \cdots a_{2 k \sigma(2 k)}$ and $\operatorname{det}\left(A\left(G^{e}[C]\right)\right)=\sum_{\sigma} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) a_{1 \sigma(1)}^{\prime} a_{2 \sigma(2)}^{\prime} \cdots a_{2 k \sigma(2 k)}^{\prime}$, where $a_{i \sigma(i)}$ and $a_{i \sigma(i)}^{\prime}$ are the elements in the $i$-th row and $\sigma(i)$-th column of $A(G[C])$ and $A\left(G^{e}[C]\right)$, respectively, and both sums range over all the permutations of $\{1,2, \ldots, 2 k\}$. For any given $\sigma$, if some $a_{i \sigma(i)}$ equals zero, then $a_{1 \sigma(1)} a_{2 \sigma(2)} \cdots a_{2 k \sigma(2 k)}=a_{1 \sigma(1)}^{\prime} a_{2 \sigma(2)}^{\prime} \cdots a_{2 k \sigma(2 k)}^{\prime}=0$. Otherwise, $a_{1 \sigma(1)} a_{2 \sigma(2)} \cdots a_{2 k \sigma(2 k)}=1$ and $a_{1 \sigma(1)}^{\prime} a_{2 \sigma(2)}^{\prime} \cdots a_{2 k \sigma(2 k)}^{\prime}=1$ or -1 . Hence $a_{1 \sigma(1)} a_{2 \sigma(2)} \cdots a_{2 k \sigma(2 k)} \geq$ $\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) a_{1 \sigma(1)}^{\prime} a_{2 \sigma(2)}^{\prime} \cdots a_{2 k \sigma(2 k)}^{\prime}$. For the cycle $\sigma=(12 \cdots 2 k)$, we know that $\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)=-1$ and $a_{1 \sigma(1)}^{\prime} a_{2 \sigma(2)}^{\prime} \cdots a_{2 k \sigma(2 k)}^{\prime}=1$ since $C$ is evenly oriented. So $\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) a_{1 \sigma(1)}^{\prime} a_{2 \sigma(2)}^{\prime} \cdots a_{2 k \sigma(2 k)}^{\prime}=-1$, while $a_{1 \sigma(1)} a_{2 \sigma(2)} \cdots a_{2 k \sigma(2 k)}=1$. Hence $\operatorname{det}\left(A\left(G^{e}[C]\right)\right)<$ $\operatorname{per}(A(G[C]))$.

In an analogous argument, we have that $\operatorname{det}\left(A\left(G^{e}[H]\right)\right) \leq \operatorname{per}(A(G[H]))$ for any induced subgraph $H$ of $G$. Hence $a_{2 k}=\sum_{H} \operatorname{det}\left(A\left(H^{e}\right)\right)<\sum_{H} \operatorname{per}(A(H))=b_{2 k}$ and $\pi(G, x) \neq \operatorname{det}\left(x I-A\left(H^{e}\right)\right)$.

Corollary 2.6. There exists an orientation $G^{e}$ of a bipartite graph $G$ such that $\pi(G, x)=\operatorname{det}\left(x I-A\left(G^{e}\right)\right)$ if and only if $G$ contains no even subdivision of $K_{2,3}$.
Proof. It follows immediately from Theorem 2.5 and Corollary 2.3.

## 3. Characterizations of bipartite graphs containing no even subdivision of $\boldsymbol{K}_{\mathbf{2 , 3}}$

In this section we will characterize bipartite graphs containing no even subdivision of $K_{2,3}$. We first show that such graphs are planar. Then we establish a close relation between bipartite graphs having no even subdivision of $K_{2,3}$ and planar 1-cycle resonant graphs.

Theorem 3.1 ([1, Kuratowski's Theorem]). A graph is planar if and only if it contains no subdivision of either $K_{5}$ or $K_{3,3}$.
Lemma 3.2. If a bipartite graph contains no even subdivision of $K_{2,3}$, then it is planar.
Proof. We prove the converse-negative proposition. Suppose that a bipartite graph $G$ is not planar. Then by Theorem 3.1 it contains a subdivision $H$ of $K_{3,3}$ or $K_{5}$.

If an edge $e$ of $K_{3,3}$ or $K_{5}$ is oddly subdivided in $H$, then $P$ is the path of even length in $H$ obtained by subdividing $e$. There are two cycles $C_{1}, C_{2}$ containing $e$ in $K_{3,3}$ or $K_{5}$ with $C_{1} \cap C_{2}=\{e\}$, and the corresponding cycles in $H$ are denoted by $C_{1}^{\prime}, C_{2}^{\prime}$. Since $H$ is bipartite, in $C_{1}^{\prime} \cup C_{2}^{\prime}$ there are three pairwise internally disjoint paths of even length connecting the two endvertices of $P$. So we obtain an even subdivision of $K_{2,3}$.

If $H$ is an even subdivision of $K_{3,3}$ or $K_{5}$, then $K_{3,3}$ or $K_{5}$ always contains $K_{2,3}$ as a subgraph, which corresponds to an even subdivision of $K_{2,3}$ in $H$.

A sequence of subgraphs of $G,\left(G_{0}, G_{1}, \ldots, G_{m}\right)$ is a bipartite ear decomposition of $G$ if $G_{0}$ is an edge $x, G_{m}=G$, every $G_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, m$ is obtained from $G_{i-1}$ by adding an path $P_{i-1}$ of odd length which is openly disjoint from $G_{i-1}$ but its endvertices belong to $G_{i-1}$. Such an ear decomposition can also be denoted as $G:=x+P_{0}+P_{1}+\cdots+P_{m-1}$.

Lemma 3.3. A 2-connected bipartite graph $G$ containing no even subdivision of $K_{2,3}$ has a bipartite ear decomposition starting with any cycle in it.
Proof. Let $G_{1}$ be any cycle in $G$. We want to show that $G$ has a bipartite ear decomposition starting with $G_{1}$ by induction. Let $G_{i}$ be a subgraph of $G$ obtained by successively adding $i-1$ ears, $i \geq 1$. If $G_{i} \neq G$, then an edge $u v$ exists in $G-E\left(G_{i}\right)$. For an edge $x y \in E\left(G_{i}\right)$, $u v$ and $x y$ lie on a common cycle $C$ since $G$ is 2 -connected. Let $P$ be a path in $C$ such that the intersections of $P$ and $G_{i}$ are the both endvertices $a$ and $b$ of $P$. If $a$ and $b$ have the same color, then $P$ is a path of even length. Since $G_{i}$ is 2-connected, $G_{i}$ has two internally disjoint paths of even length connecting $a$ and $b$. Hence three pairwise internally disjoint paths of even length of $G$ connect $a$ and $b$. That is, an even subdivision of $K_{2,3}$ exists in $G$ and a contradiction occurs. So $a$ and $b$ have different colors and $P$ is a path of odd length. Now $G_{i+1}:=G_{i}+P$ is a subgraph of $G$ obtained by successively adding $i$ ears, $i \geq 1$. Hence $G$ has a bipartite ear decomposition starting with any cycle in it.

The above result shows that such graphs relate with 1-cycle resonant graphs. A connected graph is said to be $k$-cycle resonant if, for $1 \leq t \leq k$, any $t$ disjoint cycles in $G$ are mutually resonant, that is, there is a perfect matching $M$ of $G$ such that each of the $t$ cycles is an $M$-alternating cycle. $k$-cycle resonant graphs were introduced by Guo and Zhang [8] as a natural generalization of $k$-resonant benzenoid systems, which originate from Clar's aromatic sextet theory and Randić's conjugated circuit model. They obtained that a $k$-cycle resonant graph is bipartite [8]. In the following theorem, we can see that such two types of graphs are equivalent under the 2 -connected condition.

Theorem 3.4. A 2-connected bipartite graph $G$ contains no even subdivision of $K_{2,3}$ if and only if $G$ is planar 1-cycle resonant.
Proof. We first prove the necessity. From Lemma 3.3, $G$ has a bipartite ear decomposition $G:=C+P_{1}+P_{2}+\cdots+P_{r}$ starting with any cycle $C$ in it. Because the ears $P_{i}^{\prime}$ 's for $1 \leq i \leq r$ are all of odd length, the graph $G-V(C)$ has a perfect matching $M$ which covers all the internal vertices of each $P_{i}$. So every cycle of $G$ is nice. By Lemma 3.2 $G$ is planar. Hence $G$ is planar 1-cycle resonant.

Now we prove the sufficiency. If $G$ contains a subgraph $H$ which is an even subdivision of $K_{2,3}$, then in the subgraph $H$, there are three pairwise internally disjoint paths $l_{1}, l_{2}$ and $l_{3}$ of even length joining two given vertices. Since $G$ is planar, we embed it in the plane so that $l_{2}$ lies in the interior of the cycle $C:=l_{1} \cup l_{3}$. Since $G$ is 1 -cycle resonant, $C_{1}:=l_{1} \cup l_{2}$ and $C_{2}:=l_{2} \cup l_{3}$ are nice cycles of $G$. Hence there is an even number of vertices in the interior of $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$, respectively. Further, since $l_{2}$ has an odd number of internal vertices, there is an odd number of vertices in the interior of $C$. This implies that $C$ is not a nice cycle of $G$, contradicting that $G$ is 1 -cycle resonant.

A connected bipartite graph $G$ is elementary if each edge is contained in a perfect matching of $G$. For more details about such graphs see [15,20]. Then by Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 or Theorem 3.4 we have the following result.

Corollary 3.5. A 2-connected bipartite graph $G$ containing no even subdivision of $K_{2,3}$ is a planar and elementary bipartite graph.
A block of a connected graph $G$ is a maximal connected subgraph of $G$ without cutvertices. From Theorem 3.4 we have the following general result.

Corollary 3.6. A connected bipartite graph $G$ contains no even subdivision of $K_{2,3}$ if and only if each block of $G$ is planar 1-cycle resonant.

From the proof of Theorem 3.4, we have the following result.

## Corollary 3.7. If a connected graph is a planar 1-cycle resonant graph, then it contains no even subdivision of $K_{2,3}$.

We have seen in the previous theorem that 2-connected bipartite graphs containing no even subdivision of $K_{2,3}$ are equivalent to planar 1-cycle resonant graphs. In fact, various characterizations, the construction and recognition algorithms for planar 1-cycle resonant graphs have already been obtained in $[8-10,18]$. Before stating these results, we need to give some terminology and notations.

Let $H$ be a subgraph of a connected graph $G$. A bridge $B$ of $H$ is either an edge in $G-E(H)$ with two endvertices in $H$, or a subgraph of $G$ induced by all edges incident with a vertex in a component $B^{\prime}$ of $G-V(H)$. A vertex in $H$ is said to be an attachment vertex of $H$ if it is incident with an edge in $G-E(H)$. The vertices in $V(B) \cap V(H)$ are the attachment vertices of $B$ to $H$. Two bridges of a cycle $C$ avoid one another if all the attachment vertices of one bridge lie between two consecutive attachment vertices of the other bridge along $C$.

Following Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 1 of Ref. [9], we have the following characterizations.
Theorem 3.8. Let $G$ be a 2-connected planar bipartite graph. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(1) $G$ contains no even subdivision of $K_{2,3}$,
(2) $G$ is planar 1-cycle resonant,
(3) For any cycle $C$ in $G, G-V(C)$ has no odd component,
(4) For any cycle $C$ in $G$, any bridge of $C$ has exactly two attachment vertices which have different colors,
(5) For any cycle C in G, any two bridges of $C$ avoid one another. Moreover, for any 2-connected subgraph B of $G$ with exactly two attachment vertices, the attachment vertices of B have different colors.
The next result gives a structural description of planar 1-cycle resonant graphs.


Fig. 1. The graph with evenly oriented cycles.
Theorem 3.9 ([10]). A 2-connected graph $G$ is planar 1-cycle resonant graph if and only if $G$ has a bipartite ear decomposition $G:=C_{0}+P_{1}+\cdots+P_{k}$ such that $C_{0}$ is a cycle and the endvertices $x, y$ of each $P_{i}$ are adjacent in $G_{i-1}$ or $\{x, y\}$ is a vertex cut of $G_{i-1}$.

This theorem can be used to construct 2-connected bipartite graphs containing no even subdivision of $K_{2,3}$. In addition, it derives an algorithm of time complexity $O\left(n^{2}\right)$, where $n$ is the number of vertices of $G$, to determine whether a 2 -connected plane graph is 1-cycle resonant; See [10] for more details.

In [18] a linear time algorithm with respect to the number of vertices to decide whether a 2 -connected plane bipartite graph $G$ is 1 -cycle resonant was provided. This algorithm is designed by testing whether the attachment vertices of any bridge of the outer cycle $C$ of $G$ satisfy statement (4) in Theorem 3.8 and the attachment vertices $u, v$ of any maximal 2-connected subgraph $H$ of any bridge $B$ of $C$ have different colors. If the above conditions hold, we proceed recursively for $G:=H$. Otherwise, $G$ is not 1 -cycle resonant.

If a given planar bipartite graph $G$ is connected, we can implement the above method to each 2-connected block of $G$ to test whether it is 1 -cycle resonant. If answers are all "yes", the graph $G$ contains no even subdivision of $K_{2,3}$. Hence we can present a linear time algorithm to determine whether a given planar bipartite graph $G$ contains no even subdivision of $K_{2,3}$ in this approach.

## 4. Computing permanental polynomials

In the last section some characterizations and recognition of bipartite graphs containing no even subdivision of $K_{2,3}$ are given. We now compute the permanental polynomials of such graphs. An algorithm is presented firstly to construct their orientations with each cycle being oddly oriented. The following polynomial time algorithm has already been provided in [15] to construct Pfaffian orientations for plane graphs.
Algorithm 4.1 ([15]). Let $G$ be a connected plane graph.

1. Find a spanning tree $T$ in $G$ and orient it arbitrarily.
2. Let $G_{1}=T$.
3. If $G_{i}=G$, stop. Otherwise, take an edge $e_{i}$ of $G$ not in $G_{i}$ such that $e_{i}$ and $G_{i}$ bound an interior face $f_{i}$ of $G$, and orient $e_{i}$ such that an odd number of edges on the boundary of $f_{i}$ is oriented clockwise.
4. Set $i+1=i$ and $G_{i+1}=G_{i} \cup\left\{e_{i}\right\}$. Go to step 3 .

Theorem 4.2 ([15]). Let $G$ be a connected plane graph. The orientation $G^{e}$ given by Algorithm 4.1 is a Pfaffian orientation of $G$. Such an orientation can be constructed in polynomial time.

Here we will show the following result.
Theorem 4.3. Let $G$ be a 2-connected bipartite graph containing no even subdivision of $K_{2,3}$. Then each cycle is oddly oriented in a Pfaffian orientation $G^{e}$ of it.
Proof. Since $G^{e}$ is a Pfaffian orientation of $G$, each nice cycle is oddly oriented. From Theorem 3.4, each cycle of $G$ is nice. So each cycle of $G$ is oddly oriented in $G^{e}$.

For a connected bipartite graph containing no even subdivision of $K_{2,3}$, we can give an orientation so that each cycle is oddly oriented according to the following rule $R$ : For each 2 -connected block of $G$, we implement Algorithm 4.1 to get its Pfaffian orientation; For each cut edge of $G$ we orient it arbitrarily. By Theorem 4.3 we can see that for such an orientation of $G$ each cycle is indeed oddly oriented.
Remark 4.4. Implementing Algorithm 4.1 directly to a connected plane bipartite graph containing no even subdivision of $K_{2,3}$, we obtain a Pfaffian orientation, but cannot necessarily obtain the required orientation: an evenly oriented cycle may exist.

See the graph in Fig. 1 for example. The subgraph induced by the solid edges is a spanning tree $T$ with an orientation shown in Fig. 1. By Algorithm 4.1, we first add the edge $v_{5} v_{6}$ to $T$ and orient it from $v_{5}$ to $v_{6}$ so that the interior face bounded
by it is oddly oriented. Then we add the edges $v_{3} v_{4}$ and orient it from $v_{4}$ to $v_{3}$ so that the face boundary $v_{1} v_{4} v_{3} v_{2} v_{1} v_{7} v_{6} v_{5} v_{1}$ has an odd number of edges oriented clockwise along it. Finally we add the edge $v_{8} v_{9}$ and orient it from $v_{8}$ to $v_{9}$ so that the face boundary $v_{4} v_{8} v_{9} v_{10} v_{9} v_{3} v_{4}$ has an odd number of edges oriented clockwise along it. We can see that in resulting orientation, the cycles $v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4} v_{1}$ and $v_{3} v_{4} v_{8} v_{9} v_{3}$ are evenly oriented.

Based on Corollary 2.3 and Theorems 2.5 and 4.3 , we immediately have the following approaches to compute permanental polynomials.

Corollary 4.5. Let $G$ be a bipartite graph containing no even subdivision of $K_{2,3}$. Then $\pi(G, x)=\operatorname{det}\left(x I-A\left(G^{e}\right)\right)$, where $G^{e}$ is a Pfaffian orientation of $G$ established by the rule $R$.

Corollary 4.6. Let $G=(U, V)$ be a bipartite graph with $|U|=|V|$ containing no even subdivision of $K_{2,3}$. Then $\pi(G, x)=$ $\operatorname{det}\left(x^{2} I+B^{T} B\right)$, where B is the skew biadjacency matrix of a Pfaffian orientation $G^{e}$ of $G$ established by the rule $R$.

Proof. By choosing suitable ordering of vertices, the skew adjacency matrix $A\left(G^{e}\right)$ of $G^{e}$ takes the form

$$
A\left(G^{e}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & B \\
-B^{T} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $B$ is the skew biadjacency matrix of $G^{e}$. Let $A, B, C$ and $D$ be $n \times n$ matrices with $\operatorname{det} A \neq 0$ and $A C=C A$. It is known that $\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ll}A & B \\ C & D\end{array}\right)=\operatorname{det}(A D-C B)$. Following from $(x I) B^{T}=B^{T}(x I)$, we obtain that $\operatorname{det}\left(x I-A\left(G^{e}\right)\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(x I-\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & B \\ -B^{T} & 0\end{array}\right)\right)=$ $\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}x I & -B \\ B^{T} & x I\end{array}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(x^{2} I+B^{T} B\right)$. According to Corollary 4.5, we get that $\pi(G, x)=\operatorname{det}\left(x^{2} I+B^{T} B\right)$.
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