

JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS AND APPLICATIONS **76**, 209–212 (1980)

A Generalized Mean

P. T. LANDSBERG

*Department of Mathematics, University of Southampton, Southampton, Hampshire, England**Submitted by G.-C. Rota*

A class of means is defined and an inequality is established for them. Some standard inequalities, as well as new ones, can be obtained as special cases.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $f(T_1, \dots, T_n)$ be an n -parameter function and $g_i(T_i)$ be n one-parameter functions. Then a mean, T , of the T_i with respect to f may be defined by

$$(a) \quad f(T_1, \dots, T_n) = f(T, \dots, T).$$

This is the definition of Chisini [1]. A somewhat more specialized definition occurs if one chooses

$$f(T_1, \dots, T_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(T_i)$$

in the above definition. Then the equation for T is

$$(b) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(T_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(T).$$

This is still a little more general than the Hardy *et al.* definition in Ref. [2, Chap. 3], which utilizes, in effect,

$$f(T_1, \dots, T_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i g(T_i),$$

the p_i being probabilities. The equation for T is then

$$(c) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n p_i g(T_i) = g(T).$$

We shall here pursue the intermediate case (b).

Let $C_i(T)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), $\phi(T)$ be positive functions of a real positive variable T , and let $\phi(T)$ be non-decreasing. Define

$$k_\phi \equiv T[\{C_i\}, \phi; \{T_i\}] \quad \text{by} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{T_i}^T \frac{C_i(t)}{\phi(t)} dt = 0. \quad (1)$$

This definition is of type (b) with

$$g_i(T_i) = \int_A^{T_i} \frac{C_i(t)}{\phi(t)} dt,$$

A being a constant.

2. AN INEQUALITY

We prove next

$$k_1 \equiv T[\{C_i\}, 1; \{T_i\}] \geq T[\{C_i\}, \phi; \{T_i\}] \equiv k_\phi. \quad (2)$$

Clearly for general $k > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} X &\equiv \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{T_i}^k \frac{C_i(t)}{\phi(t)} dt \geq \sum_{(T_i \leq k)} \frac{1}{\phi(k)} \int_{T_i}^k C_i(t) dt - \sum_{(T_i > k)} \frac{1}{\phi(k)} \int_k^{T_i} C_i(t) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\phi(k)} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{T_i}^k C_i(t) dt \equiv Y. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

If we chose $k = k_1$ then $Y = 0$ by (1) so that $X \geq 0$ by (3). If we chose next $k = k_\phi$, then $X = 0$ by (1). This reduction in X is produced by a reduction in k since $dX/dk > 0$. It follows that $k_\phi \leq k_1$ as stated in (2).

3. SPECIAL CASES

As usual, let

$$M_r(T, p) \equiv \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i T_i^r \right) / \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \right]^{1/r}$$

$$M_r(T) \equiv \left[n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n T_i^r \right]^{1/r}$$

$$G(T, p) \equiv [T_1^{p_1} \cdots T_n^{p_n}]^{1/\sum p_i}$$

$$G(T) \equiv [T_1 \cdots T_n]^{1/n}$$

Then $M(T)$, $G(T)$, $M_{-1}(T)$ are the usual arithmetic, geometric and harmonic means; $M_1(T, p)$, $G(T, p)$ and $M_{-1}(T, p)$ are the corresponding weighted means. Standard means can be obtained from (1) by appropriate choices of functions C_i and ϕ . By choosing $\phi = 1$ as well, inequality (2) can be used, as seen from Table I.

Another special case is the following. If $l > 0$ is fixed and $k > l$ is a variable upper limit, the function ϕ can be used to define a monotonic function of k ,

$$\Phi(k) \equiv \int_l^k \frac{dt}{\phi(t)}.$$

This function is concave provided only ϕ is differentiable and $\phi \geq 0$ in the range of integration. Using $C_i(T) = p_i A$, the equation for k_ϕ and k_1 give

$$\Phi(k_\phi) = \frac{\sum_i p_i \Phi(T_i)}{\sum_i p_i},$$

$$k_1 = \frac{\sum_i p_i T_i}{\sum_i p_i}.$$

Since $k_1 \geq k_\phi$ and $\Phi'(k) > 0$ it follows that

$$\Phi\left(\frac{\sum p_i T_i}{\sum p_i}\right) \geq \frac{\sum p_i \Phi(T_i)}{\sum p_i}.$$

This result corresponds to Eq. (3.4.3), p. 70, of Ref. [1] (stated there for convex functions).

TABLE I
Inequalities Implied by (2)^a

	$C_i(T)$	$\phi(T)$	Constraints	$k_1 \geq k_\phi$	See Ref. [1] page
1.	$A p_i T_i^{r+s-1}$	T^s	$r \neq 0$ $r + s \neq 0$ $s > 0$	$M_{r+s}(T, p) \geq M_r(T, p)$	26
2.	$\frac{A p_i}{T}$	T	case 1 with $r = -1,$ $s = 1$	$G(T, p) \geq M_{-1}(T, p)$	13
3.	$A p_i$	T	case 1 with $r = 0, s = 1$	$M_1(T, p) \geq G(T, p)$	13

^a A and p_1, \dots, p_n are positive constants.

4. CONCLUSION

One of many new inequalities which can be obtained by this method is the following. Consider, for example, k_1 given by

$$\left(\frac{k_1}{e}\right)^{k_1} \equiv \left[\left(\frac{T_1}{e}\right)^{T_1} \left(\frac{T_2}{e}\right)^{T_2} \dots \left(\frac{T_n}{e}\right)^{T_n}\right]^{1/n}$$

and

$$k_\phi \equiv \exp \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\ln T_i)^2 \right]^{1/2} \right\}.$$

Then $k_1 \geq k_\phi$, and to prove it one may use (2) with $C_i(T) = \ln T$ and $\phi(T) = T$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am grateful for discussions with colleagues, notably Drs. C. L. Thompson and V. J. D. Baston (Southampton). The context in physics of this work is discussed in Refs. 3 and 4.

REFERENCES

1. B. DE FENETTI, "Theory of Probability," Vol. 1, Wiley, London, 1974, p. 56.
2. G. H. HARDY, J. E. LITTLEWOOD, AND G. PÓLYA, "Inequalities," Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1934.
3. A. SOMMERFELD, "Thermodynamics and Statistical Mechanics" (F. Bopp and J. Meixner, Eds.), Academic Press, New York, 1956. (Translated from the German by J. Kestin.) Dr. P. Couchman (Rutgers University) kindly drew my attention to problem 1.4 in this book.
4. P. T. LANDSBERG, *Phys. Lett. A* **67** (1978), 1; S. S. SIDHU, *Phys. Lett. A* **76** (1980), 107.