



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://ScienceDirect)

## Linear Algebra and its Applications

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/laa](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/laa)

## Topological classification of affine operators on unitary and Euclidean spaces

Tetiana Budnitska

Institute of Mathematics, Kyiv, Ukraine

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 22 June 2010

Accepted 7 September 2010

Available online 13 October 2010

Submitted by R. Brualdi

## AMS classification:

37C15

15A21

## Keywords:

Affine mappings

Topological conjugacy

Canonical forms

## ABSTRACT

We study affine operators on a unitary or Euclidean space  $U$  up to topological conjugacy. An affine operator is a map  $f : U \rightarrow U$  of the form  $f(x) = Ax + b$ , in which  $A : U \rightarrow U$  is a linear operator and  $b \in U$ . Two affine operators  $f$  and  $g$  are said to be topologically conjugate if  $g = h^{-1}fh$  for some homeomorphism  $h : U \rightarrow U$ .

If an affine operator  $f(x) = Ax + b$  has a fixed point, then  $f$  is topologically conjugate to its linear part  $A$ . The problem of classifying linear operators up to topological conjugacy was studied by Kuiper and Robbin [Topological classification of linear endomorphisms, Invent. Math. 19 (2) (1973) 83–106] and other authors.

Let  $f : U \rightarrow U$  be an affine operator without fixed point. We prove that  $f$  is topologically conjugate to an affine operator  $g : U \rightarrow U$  such that  $U$  is an orthogonal direct sum of  $g$ -invariant subspaces  $V$  and  $W$ ,

- the restriction  $g|_V$  of  $g$  to  $V$  is an affine operator that in some orthonormal basis of  $V$  has the form

$$(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_1 + 1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, \varepsilon x_n)$$

uniquely determined by  $f$ , where  $\varepsilon = 1$  if  $U$  is a unitary space,  $\varepsilon = \pm 1$  if  $U$  is a Euclidean space, and  $n \geq 2$  if  $\varepsilon = -1$ , and

- the restriction  $g|_W$  of  $g$  to  $W$  is a linear operator that in some orthonormal basis of  $W$  is given by a nilpotent Jordan matrix uniquely determined by  $f$ , up to permutation of blocks.

© 2010 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

E-mail address: [budnitska\\_t@ukr.net](mailto:budnitska_t@ukr.net)

0024-3795/\$ - see front matter © 2010 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

doi:10.1016/j.laa.2010.09.009

### 1. Introduction

We consider the problem of classifying affine operators on a unitary or Euclidean space  $V$  up to topological conjugacy. An *affine operator*  $f : V \rightarrow V$  is a mapping of the form  $f(x) = Ax + b$ , where  $A : V \rightarrow V$  is a linear operator and  $b \in V$ .

For simplicity, we always take  $V = \mathbb{F}^n$  with  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{R}$  and the usual scalar product, then  $f : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$  has the form

$$f(x) = Ax + b, \quad A \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}, \quad b \in \mathbb{F}^n.$$

Two affine operators  $f, g : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$  are said to be *conjugate* if there is a bijection  $h : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$  that transforms  $f$  to  $g$ ; that is,

$$g = h^{-1}fh \quad (\text{with respect to function composition}). \tag{1}$$

They are

- (a) *linearly conjugate* if  $h$  in (1) is a linear operator;
- (b) *affinely conjugate* if  $h$  is an affine operator;
- (c) *biregularly conjugate* if  $h$  is a *biregular map*, which means that  $h$  and  $h^{-1}$  have the form

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (\varphi_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, \varphi_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)), \tag{2}$$

in which all  $\varphi_i$  are polynomials over  $\mathbb{F}$ ;

- (d) *topologically conjugate* if  $h$  is a *homeomorphism*, which means that  $h$  and  $h^{-1}$  are continuous and bijective.

Conjugations (a)–(c) are topological. Moreover,

$$(a) \Rightarrow (b) \Rightarrow (c) \Rightarrow (d);$$

that is, linear conjugacy implies affine conjugacy implies biregular conjugacy implies topological conjugacy.

Let us survey briefly known results on classifying affine operators up to conjugations (a)–(d):

- (a) Each transformation of *linear conjugacy* with  $y = Ax + b$  corresponds to a change of the basis in  $\mathbb{F}^n$  and has the form

$$(A, b) \mapsto (S^{-1}AS, S^{-1}b), \quad S \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n} \text{ is nonsingular}. \tag{3}$$

A canonical form of affine operators with respect to these transformations is easily constructed: if  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ , then we can take  $A$  in the Jordan canonical form and reduce  $b$  by those transformations (3) that preserve  $A$ ; that is, by transformations  $b \mapsto S^{-1}b$  for which  $S^{-1}AS = A$ . Since  $S$  commutes with the Jordan matrix  $A$ , it has the form described in [[9], Section VIII, §1].

- (b) Each transformation of *affine conjugacy* corresponds to an affine change of the basis in  $\mathbb{F}^n$ . We say that an affine operator  $x \mapsto Ax + b$  is *nonsingular* if its matrix  $A$  is nonsingular. Blanc [1] proved that nonsingular affine operators  $x \mapsto Ax + b$  and  $x \mapsto Cx + d$  over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 are affinely conjugate if and only if their matrices  $A$  and  $C$  are *similar*; i.e.,  $S^{-1}AS = C$  for some nonsingular  $S$ .

- (c) Blanc [1] also obtained classification of nonsingular affine operators over an algebraically closed field  $\mathbb{K}$  of characteristic 0 up to *biregular conjugacy*:

- two nonsingular affine operators over  $\mathbb{K}$  with fixed points are biregularly conjugate if and only if their matrices are similar ( $p$  is called a *fixed point* of  $f$  if  $f(p) = p$ );
- each nonsingular affine operator  $f : \mathbb{K}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^n$  without fixed point is biregularly conjugate to an “almost-diagonal” affine operator

$$(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_1 + 1, \lambda_2 x_2, \dots, \lambda_n x_n), \tag{4}$$

in which  $1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{K} \setminus 0$  are all eigenvalues of the matrix of  $f$  repeated according to their multiplicities. The affine operator (4) is uniquely determined by  $f$ , up to permutation of  $\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ .

(d) Affine operators on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  were classified up to *topological conjugacy* by Ephrämowitsch [8]. In the present paper, we extend this classification to affine operators on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . In Sections 2 and 3, we classify affine operators of the following two types, respectively:

*Type 1: affine operators that have fixed point and have no eigenvalue being a root of 1.* The problem of classifying affine operators with fixed point up to topological conjugacy is the problem of classifying all linear operators up to topological conjugacy. Indeed, each linear operator  $x \mapsto Ax$  can be considered as the affine operator  $x \mapsto Ax + 0$  with the fixed point  $x = 0$ . Conversely, if affine operators are considered up to topological conjugacy, then each  $x \mapsto Ax + b$  with a fixed point can be replaced by its linear part  $x \mapsto Ax$  since by Lemma 2.1 from Section 2 they are topologically conjugate.

Kuiper and Robbin [14,16] obtained a criterion of topological conjugacy of linear operators over  $\mathbb{R}$  without eigenvalues that are roots of 1. In Theorem 2.2, we recall their criterion, extend it to linear operators over  $\mathbb{C}$ , and give a canonical form for topological conjugacy of a linear operator over  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  without eigenvalues that are roots of 1.

For simplicity, we do not consider linear operators with an eigenvalue being a root of 1; the problem of topological classification of such operators was studied by Kuiper and Robbin [14,16], Cappell and Shaneson [3,4,5,6,7], Hsiang and Pardon [10], Madsen and Rothenberg [15], and Schultz [17].

*Type 2: affine operators without fixed point.*

In Theorem 3.1 we prove that each affine operator  $f$  over  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{R}$  without fixed point is topologically conjugate to exactly one affine operator of the form

$$x \mapsto (I_k \oplus J_0)x + [1, 0, \dots, 0]^T$$

or, only if  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$x \mapsto (I_k \oplus [-1] \oplus J_0)x + [1, 0, \dots, 0]^T,$$

in which  $k \geq 1$  and  $J_0$  is a nilpotent Jordan matrix uniquely determined by  $f$ , up to permutations of blocks ( $J_0$  is absent if  $f$  is bijective).

For each square matrix  $A$  over  $\mathbb{F} \in \{\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}\}$ , there are a nonsingular matrix  $A_*$  and a nilpotent matrix  $A_0$  over  $\mathbb{F}$  such that

$$A \text{ is similar to } A_* \oplus A_0, \tag{5}$$

We summarize criteria of topological conjugacy of affine operators in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $f(x) = Ax + b$  and  $g(x) = Cx + d$  be affine operators over  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{R}$ .*

- *Suppose that  $f$  and  $g$  have fixed points. Then  $f$  and  $g$  are topologically conjugate if and only if  $x \mapsto Ax$  and  $x \mapsto Cx$  are topologically conjugate.*
- *Suppose that  $f$  has a fixed point and  $g$  has no fixed point. Then  $f$  and  $g$  are not topologically conjugate.*
- *Suppose that  $f$  and  $g$  have no fixed points.*
  - *If  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$  then  $f$  and  $g$  are topologically conjugate if and only if  $A_0$  is similar to  $B_0$ .*
  - *If  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$  then  $f$  and  $g$  are topologically conjugate if and only if the determinants of  $A_*$  and  $C_*$  have the same sign ( i.e.,  $\det(A_*C_*) > 0$ ) and  $A_0$  is similar to  $C_0$ .*

**2. Affine operators with fixed point**

In this section, we give a canonical form under topological conjugacy of an affine operator  $f(x) = Ax + b$  that has a fixed point and whose matrix  $A$  has no eigenvalue that is a root of unity.

We may, and will, consider only linear operators since the following lemma reduces the problem of classifying affine operators with fixed point to the problem of classifying linear operators.

**Lemma 2.1** [2]. *An affine operator  $f(x) = Ax + b$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{R}$  is topologically conjugate to its linear part  $f_{\text{lin}}(x) = Ax$  if and only if  $f$  has a fixed point. If  $p$  is a fixed point of  $f$ , then*

$$f_{\text{lin}} = h^{-1}fh, \quad h(x) := x + p.$$

**Proof.** If  $f(p) = p$ , then  $Ap + b = p$  and

$$\begin{aligned} (h^{-1}fh)(x) &= (h^{-1}f)(x + p) = h^{-1}(A(x + p) + b) \\ &= h^{-1}(Ax + (p - b) + b) = h^{-1}(Ax + p) = Ax = f_{\text{lin}}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, if  $f$  and  $f_{\text{lin}}$  are topologically conjugate, then  $f$  and  $f_{\text{lin}}$  have the same number of fixed points. Since  $f_{\text{lin}}(0) = 0$ ,  $f$  has a fixed point too.  $\square$

For each  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , write

$$J_n(\lambda) := \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & & & & 0 \\ 1 & \lambda & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ 0 & & & 1 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \quad (n\text{-by-}n).$$

For each  $n \times n$  complex matrix  $A = [a_{kl} + b_{kl}i]$ ,  $a_{kl}, b_{kl} \in \mathbb{R}$ , we write

$$\bar{A} = [a_{kl} - b_{kl}i] \tag{6}$$

and denote by  $A^{\mathbb{R}}$  the *realification* of  $A$ ; that is, the  $2n \times 2n$  real matrix obtained from  $A$  by replacing each entry  $a_{kl} + b_{kl}i$  with the block

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{kl} & -b_{kl} \\ b_{kl} & a_{kl} \end{bmatrix} \tag{7}$$

Each square matrix  $A$  over  $\mathbb{F} \in \{\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}\}$  is similar to

$$A_0 \oplus A_{01} \oplus A_1 \oplus A_{1\infty}, \tag{8}$$

in which all eigenvalues  $\lambda$  of  $A_0$  (respectively,  $A_{01}$ ,  $A_1$ , and  $A_{1\infty}$ ) satisfy the condition

$$\lambda = 0 \quad (\text{respectively, } 0 < |\lambda| < 1, |\lambda| = 1, \text{ and } |\lambda| > 1).$$

Note that  $A_0$  is the same as in (5) and  $A_{01} \oplus A_1 \oplus A_{1\infty}$  is similar to  $A_*$  in (5).

In this section, we prove the following theorem; its part (a) in the case  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$  was proved by Kuiper and Robbin [14,16].

**Theorem 2.2.** (a) Let  $f(x) = Ax$  and  $g(x) = Bx$  be linear operators over  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  without eigenvalues that are roots of unity, and let  $A_0, \dots, A_{1\infty}$  and  $B_0, \dots, B_{1\infty}$  be constructed by  $A$  and  $B$  as in (8).

(i) If  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$  then  $f$  and  $g$  are topologically conjugate if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 \text{ is similar to } B_0, \quad \text{size } A_{01} = \text{size } B_{01}, \quad \det(A_{01}B_{01}) > 0, \\ A_1 \text{ is similar to } B_1, \quad \text{size } A_{1\infty} = \text{size } B_{1\infty}, \quad \det(A_{1\infty}B_{1\infty}) > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

(ii) If  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$  then  $f$  and  $g$  are topologically conjugate if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 \text{ is similar to } B_0, \quad \text{size } A_{01} = \text{size } B_{01}, \\ A_1 \oplus \bar{A}_1 \text{ is similar to } B_1 \oplus \bar{B}_1, \quad \text{size } A_{1\infty} = \text{size } B_{1\infty}. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

(b) Each linear operator over  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  without eigenvalues that are roots of unity is topologically conjugate to a linear operator whose matrix is a direct sum that is uniquely determined up to permutation of summands and consists of

(i) in the case  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$  :

- any number of summands

$$J_k(0), [1/2], J_k(\lambda)^{\mathbb{R}}, [2] \tag{11}$$

( $[1/2]$  and  $[2]$  are the  $1 \times 1$  matrices with the entries  $1/2$  and  $2$ ), in which  $\lambda$  is a complex number of modulus 1 that is determined up to replacement by  $\bar{\lambda}$  and that is not a root of unity,

- at most one  $1 \times 1$  summand  $[-1/2]$ , and
- at most one  $1 \times 1$  summand  $[-2]$ ;

(ii) in the case  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$  :

$$J_k(0), [1/2], J_k(\lambda), [2], \tag{12}$$

in which  $\lambda$  is a complex number of modulus 1 that is determined up to replacement by  $\bar{\lambda}$  and that is not a root of unity.

**Proof.** (a) The statement (i) was proved by Kuiper and Robbin [14,16]. Let us prove (ii).

The abelian group  $V = \mathbb{C}^n$  with respect to addition can be considered both as the  $n$ -dimensional vector space  $V_{\mathbb{C}}$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  and as the  $2n$ -dimensional vector space  $V_{\mathbb{R}}$  over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Moreover, we can consider  $V_{\mathbb{C}}$  as a unitary space with the orthonormal basis

$$e_1 = [1, 0, \dots, 0]^T, e_2 = [0, 1, \dots, 0]^T, \dots, e_n = [0, 0, \dots, 1]^T, \tag{13}$$

and  $V_{\mathbb{R}}$  as a Euclidean space with the orthonormal basis

$$e_1, ie_1, e_2, ie_2, \dots, e_n, ie_n. \tag{14}$$

For each

$$v = (\alpha_1 + \beta_1 i)e_1 + \dots + (\alpha_n + \beta_n i)e_n \in V, \quad \alpha_k, \beta_k \in \mathbb{R},$$

its length in  $V_{\mathbb{C}}$  and in  $V_{\mathbb{R}}$  is the same:

$$|v| = (\alpha_1^2 + \beta_1^2 + \dots + \alpha_n^2 + \beta_n^2)^{1/2}.$$

Thus,

$$\text{a mapping } h : V \rightarrow V \text{ is a homeomorphism of } V_{\mathbb{C}} \text{ if and only if } h \text{ is a homeomorphism of } V_{\mathbb{R}}. \tag{15}$$

Each linear operator  $f : V_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow V_{\mathbb{C}}$  defines the linear operator  $f^{\mathbb{R}} : V_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow V_{\mathbb{R}}$  ( $f$  and  $f^{\mathbb{R}}$  coincide as mappings on the abelian group  $V$ ). By (15),

$$\text{two linear operators } f, g : V_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow V_{\mathbb{C}} \text{ are topologically conjugate if and only if } f^{\mathbb{R}}, g^{\mathbb{R}} : V_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow V_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ are topologically conjugate.} \tag{16}$$

Let  $f(x) = Ax$  and  $g(x) = Bx$  be linear operators on  $V_{\mathbb{C}}$  without eigenvalues that are roots of unity. Clearly,  $A$  and  $B$  are their matrices in the orthonormal basis (13). Considering  $f$  and  $g$  as the linear operators  $f^{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $g^{\mathbb{R}}$  of  $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ , we find that the matrices of  $f^{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $g^{\mathbb{R}}$  in the basis (14) are the realifications  $A^{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $B^{\mathbb{R}}$  of  $A$  and  $B$  (see (7)).

Since

$$S^{-1}AS = A_0 \oplus A_{01} \oplus A_1 \oplus A_{1\infty}$$

for some nonsingular  $S$ , we have

$$(S^{\mathbb{R}})^{-1}A^{\mathbb{R}}S^{\mathbb{R}} = A_0^{\mathbb{R}} \oplus A_{01}^{\mathbb{R}} \oplus A_1^{\mathbb{R}} \oplus A_{1\infty}^{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Analogously,

$$B^{\mathbb{R}} \text{ is similar to } B_0^{\mathbb{R}} \oplus B_{01}^{\mathbb{R}} \oplus B_1^{\mathbb{R}} \oplus B_{1\infty}^{\mathbb{R}}.$$

By (16) and the statement (i) of Theorem 2.2(a),  $f$  and  $g$  are topologically conjugate if and only if  $f^{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $g^{\mathbb{R}}$  are topologically conjugate if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} A_0^{\mathbb{R}} \text{ is similar to } B_0^{\mathbb{R}}, \quad \text{size } A_{01}^{\mathbb{R}} &= \text{size } B_{01}^{\mathbb{R}}, \quad \det(A_{01}^{\mathbb{R}}B_{01}^{\mathbb{R}}) > 0, \\ A_1^{\mathbb{R}} \text{ is similar to } B_1^{\mathbb{R}}, \quad \text{size } A_{1\infty}^{\mathbb{R}} &= \text{size } B_{1\infty}^{\mathbb{R}}, \quad \det(A_{1\infty}^{\mathbb{R}}B_{1\infty}^{\mathbb{R}}) > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

For each complex matrix  $M$ , its realification  $M^{\mathbb{R}}$  is similar to  $M \oplus \overline{M}$  (see (6)) because

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -i & i \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -i & i \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a + bi & 0 \\ 0 & a - bi \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since the Jordan canonical form of  $A_0$  is a nilpotent Jordan matrix,  $\overline{A_0}$  is similar to  $A_0$ . Thus, the condition “ $A_0^{\mathbb{R}}$  is similar to  $B_0^{\mathbb{R}}$ ” is equivalent to the condition “ $A_0 \oplus \overline{A_0}$  is similar to  $B_0 \oplus \overline{B_0}$ ” is equivalent to the condition “ $A_0$  is similar to  $B_0$ ”. The condition “size  $A_{01}^{\mathbb{R}} = \text{size } B_{01}^{\mathbb{R}}$ ” is equivalent to the condition “size  $A_{01} = \text{size } B_{01}$ ”. The condition “ $\det(A_{01}^{\mathbb{R}} B_{01}^{\mathbb{R}}) > 0$ ” always holds since

$$\det(A_{01}^{\mathbb{R}} B_{01}^{\mathbb{R}}) = \det(A_{01} B_{01})^{\mathbb{R}} = \det(A_{01} B_{01} \oplus \overline{A_{01} B_{01}}) > 0.$$

We consider the remaining 3 conditions in (17) analogously and get that (17) is equivalent to (10), which proves the statement (ii).

(b) This statement follows from (a) and the theorems about Jordan canonical form and real Jordan canonical form [[11], Theorems 3.1.11 and 3.4.5].  $\square$

### 3. Affine operators without fixed points

In this section, we prove the following theorem, which gives a criterion of topological conjugacy and a canonical form under topological conjugacy for affine operators that have no fixed points.

**Theorem 3.1.** (a) Let  $f(x) = Ax + b$  and  $g(x) = Cx + d$  be affine operators over  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{R}$  without fixed points. Let  $A_*, A_0$  and  $C_*, C_0$  be constructed by  $A$  and  $C$  as in (5).

- If  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$  then  $f$  and  $g$  are topologically conjugate if and only if  $A_0$  is similar to  $B_0$ .
- If  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$  then  $f$  and  $g$  are topologically conjugate if and only if the determinants of  $A_*$  and  $C_*$  have the same sign ( i.e.,  $\det(A_* C_*) > 0$ ) and  $A_0$  is similar to  $C_0$ .

(b) Each affine operator  $f$  over  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{R}$  without fixed point is topologically conjugate to exactly one affine operator of the form

$$x \mapsto (I_k \oplus J_0)x + [1, 0, \dots, 0]^T \tag{18}$$

or, only if  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$x \mapsto (I_k \oplus [-1] \oplus J_0)x + [1, 0, \dots, 0]^T, \tag{19}$$

in which  $k \geq 1$  and  $J_0$  is a nilpotent Jordan matrix determined by  $f$  uniquely, up to permutations of blocks ( $J_0$  is absent iff  $f$  is bijective).

We give an affine operator  $f(x) = Ax + b$  by the pair  $(A, b)$  and write  $f = (A, b)$ .

For two affine operators  $f : \mathbb{F}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^m$  and  $g : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$ , define the affine operator  $f \oplus g : \mathbb{F}^{m+n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{m+n}$  by

$$(f \oplus g) \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} := \begin{bmatrix} f(x) \\ g(y) \end{bmatrix};$$

that is,

$$(A, b) \oplus (C, d) = \left( \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} b \\ d \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

We write  $f \overset{\mathbb{F}}{\sim} g$  if  $f$  and  $g$  are topologically conjugate over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Clearly,

$$f \overset{\mathbb{F}}{\sim} f' \text{ and } g \overset{\mathbb{F}}{\sim} g' \implies f \oplus g \overset{\mathbb{F}}{\sim} f' \oplus g'. \tag{20}$$

### 3.1. Reduction to the canonical form

In this section, we sequentially reduce an affine operator  $y = Ax + b$  over  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{R}$  without fixed point by transformations of topological conjugacy to (18) or (19).

Step 1: reduce  $y = Ax + b$  to the form

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^p (J_{m_i}(1), a_i) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=p+1}^r (J_{m_i}(1), a_i) \oplus (J_0, s) \oplus (B, c), \tag{21}$$

in which  $J_0$  is the Jordan canonical form of  $A_0$  (see (5)), 1 and 0 are not eigenvalues of  $B$ , each of  $a_1, \dots, a_p$  has a nonzero first coordinate, each of  $a_{p+1}, \dots, a_r$  has the zero first coordinate.

We make this reduction by transformations of linear conjugacy (3) over  $\mathbb{F}$ .

Step 2: reduce (21) to the form

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^p (J_{m_i}(1), a_i) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=p+1}^r (J_{m_i}(1), 0) \oplus (J_0, 0) \oplus (B, 0), \tag{22}$$

in which every  $a_i$  has a nonzero first coordinate.

We make this reduction by using (20) and the conjugations

$$(J_m(1), a) \overset{\mathbb{F}}{\sim} (J_m(1), 0), \quad (J_0, s) \overset{\mathbb{F}}{\sim} (J_0, 0), \quad (B, c) \overset{\mathbb{F}}{\sim} (B, 0), \tag{23}$$

in which the first coordinate of  $a$  is zero. The conjugations (23) hold by Lemma 2.1 since  $(J_m(1), a)$ ,  $(J_0, s)$ , and  $(B, c)$  have fixed points (for example,  $(J_m(1), a)$  has a fixed point, which is a solution of the system  $J_m(1)x + a = x$ ; i.e., of the system  $J_m(0)x = -a$ ).

Note that  $p \geq 1$  since otherwise (22) is a linear operator with the fixed point 0, but  $f$  has no fixed point.

Step 3: reduce (22) to the form

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^p (J_{m_i}(1), e_1) \oplus (C, 0) \oplus (J_0, 0), \tag{24}$$

in which  $e_1 = [1, 0, \dots, 0]^T$  and  $C := \bigoplus_{i=p+1}^r J_{m_i}(1) \oplus B$  is nonsingular.

We use the conjugation

$$(J_m(1), a) \overset{\mathbb{F}}{\sim} (J_m(1), e_1), \tag{25}$$

in which the first coordinate of  $a$  is nonzero; that is,  $a$  is represented in the form

$$a = b[1, a_2, \dots, a_n]^T, \quad b \neq 0.$$

The conjugation (25) is linear (see (3)); it holds since

$$(S J_m(1) S^{-1}, S e_1) = (J_m(1), a)$$

for

$$S = b \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & 0 \\ a_2 & 1 & & & \\ a_3 & a_2 & 1 & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \\ a_n & \vdots & a_3 & a_2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Step 4: reduce (24) to the form

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^p (I_{m_i}, e_1) \oplus (C, 0) \oplus (J_0, 0). \tag{26}$$

We use the conjugation

$$(J_m(1), e_1) \overset{\mathbb{F}}{\sim} (I_m, e_1), \tag{27}$$

which was constructed by Blanc [1]; he proved that

$$h(J_m(1), e_1) = (I_m, e_1)h,$$

in which the homeomorphism  $h : \mathbb{F}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^m$  is biregular (see (2)) and is defined by

$$h : (x_1, \dots, x_m) \mapsto (x_1, x_2 + P_1, x_3 + P_2, \dots, x_m + P_{m-1})$$

with

$$P_k := (-1)^k \binom{x_1 + k - 1}{k + 1} k + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^i \binom{x_1 + i - 1}{i} x_{k+1-i}$$

and

$$\binom{\varphi}{r} := \frac{\varphi(\varphi - 1)(\varphi - 2) \cdots (\varphi - r + 1)}{r!} \quad \text{for each } \varphi \in \mathbb{F}[x_1].$$

Step 5: reduce (26) to the form

$$(I_1, [1]) \oplus (D, 0) \oplus (J_0, 0), \tag{28}$$

in which  $D := I \oplus C$  is nonsingular.

We use the conjugations

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^p (I_{m_i}, e_1) \overset{\mathbb{F}}{\sim} (I_p, [1, \dots, 1]^T) \oplus (I_q, 0) \overset{\mathbb{F}}{\sim} (I_1, [1]) \oplus (I_{q+p-1}, 0);$$

the last conjugacy holds since  $(I_2, [1, 1]^T) \overset{\mathbb{F}}{\sim} (I_2, e_1)$ , which follows from

$$\left( S^{-1} I_2 S, S^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = (I_2, e_1), \quad S := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ (see (3)).}$$

Step 6: reduce (28) to the form (18) or (19). In this step we consider two cases.

Case  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ . For  $\varepsilon = \pm 1$  and each nonsingular real  $m \times m$  matrix  $F$  that has an even number of Jordan blocks of each size for every negative eigenvalue, we have the conjugation

$$f \overset{\mathbb{R}}{\sim} g, \quad f := (I_1, [1]) \oplus (\varepsilon F, 0), \quad g := (I_1, [1]) \oplus (\varepsilon I_m, 0). \tag{29}$$

Indeed,  $g = h^{-1}fh$  for the mapping  $h : \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$  defined by

$$h : \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \varepsilon F^x y \end{bmatrix}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, y \in \mathbb{R}^m$$

since

$$hg \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = h \begin{bmatrix} x + 1 \\ \varepsilon y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x + 1 \\ \varepsilon^2 F^{x+1} y \end{bmatrix} = f \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \varepsilon F^x y \end{bmatrix} = fh \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}.$$

The mapping  $h$  is a homeomorphism since

- $h$  is continuous because the series

$$F^x = e^{xG} = I + xG + \frac{(xG)^2}{2!} + \frac{(xG)^3}{3!} + \dots \tag{30}$$

has indefinite radius of convergence, where  $G$  is a real matrix such that  $F = e^G$  (it exists since by [[12], Theorem 6.4.15(c)] for a real  $M$  there is a real  $N$  such that  $M = e^N$  if and only if  $M$  is nonsingular and has an even number of Jordan blocks of each size for every negative eigenvalue);



- the inverse mapping

$$h : \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \varepsilon F^{-x}y \end{bmatrix}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, y \in \mathbb{R}^m$$

is continuous too.

This proves (29).

Applying transformations of linear conjugation (3) to (28), we reduce  $D$  to the form  $P \oplus (-Q)$ , in which  $P$  is a nonsingular real  $p \times p$  matrix without negative real eigenvalues, and  $Q$  is a nonsingular real  $q \times q$  matrix whose eigenvalues are positive real numbers. The affine operator (28) takes the form

$$(I_1, [1]) \oplus (P, 0) \oplus (-Q, 0) \oplus (J_0, 0);$$

by (20) and (29), it is topologically conjugate to

$$(I_1, [1]) \oplus (I_p, 0) \oplus (-I_q, 0) \oplus (J_0, 0). \tag{31}$$

Taking  $\varepsilon = 1$  and  $F = -I_2$  in (29), we obtain

$$(I_1, [1]) \oplus (-I_2, 0) \overset{\mathbb{R}}{\sim} (I_3, e_1).$$

Applying this conjugation several times, we reduce (31) to the form (18) or (19). We have proved that each affine operator over  $\mathbb{R}$  without fixed point is topologically conjugate to (18) or (19).

Case  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ . Let us prove that

$$f \overset{\mathbb{C}}{\sim} g, \quad f := (I_1, [1]) \oplus (D, 0), \quad g := (I_1, [1]) \oplus (I_m, 0), \tag{32}$$

in which  $D$  is the nonsingular complex  $m \times m$  matrix from (28). Indeed,  $g = h^{-1}fh$ , where  $h : \mathbb{C}^{m+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m+1}$  is defined by

$$h : \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} x \\ D^x y \end{bmatrix}, \quad x \in \mathbb{C}, y \in \mathbb{C}^m.$$

The mapping  $h$  is a homeomorphism since  $D^x$  is represented in the form (30) with  $F := D$  (the matrix  $G$  exists since by [[12], Theorem 6.4.15(a)] if  $M$  is nonsingular then there is a complex  $N$  such that  $M = e^N$ ).

This proves (32). Using it, reduce (28) to the form (18). We have proved that each affine operator over  $\mathbb{C}$  without fixed point is topologically conjugate to (18).

### 3.2. Uniqueness of the canonical form

In this section, we prove the uniqueness of the canonical form defined in Theorem 3.1(b).

Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two affine operators of the form (18) or (19); that is,

$$f = f_* \oplus f_0, \quad f_* = (I_{(\varepsilon)}, e_1) : \mathbb{F}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^p, \quad f_0 = (J_0, 0) : \mathbb{F}^{n-p} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{n-p},$$

and

$$g = g_* \oplus g_0, \quad g_* = (I_{(\delta)}, e_1) : \mathbb{F}^q \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^q, \quad g_0 = (J'_0, 0) : \mathbb{F}^{n-q} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{n-q},$$

in which  $\varepsilon, \delta = \pm 1$ ,

$$I_{(1)} := I, \quad I_{(-1)} := I \oplus [-1],$$

and  $J_0$  and  $J'_0$  are nilpotent Jordan matrices. Let  $f$  and  $g$  be topologically conjugate.

For each  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , the images of  $f^i$  and  $g^i$  are the sets

$$V_i := f^i \mathbb{F}^n = \mathbb{F}^p \oplus J_0^i \mathbb{F}^{n-p}, \quad W_i := g^i \mathbb{F}^n = \mathbb{F}^q \oplus J_0'^i \mathbb{F}^{n-q},$$

and so they are vector subspaces of  $\mathbb{F}^n$  of dimensions

$$\dim V_i = p + \text{rank } J_0^i, \quad \dim W_i = q + \text{rank } J_0^i. \tag{33}$$

Since  $f$  and  $g$  are topologically conjugate, there exists a homeomorphism  $h : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$  such that  $hf = gh$ . Then

$$hf^i = g^i h, \quad hf^i \mathbb{F}^n = g^i h \mathbb{F}^n = g^i \mathbb{F}^n, \quad h V_i = W_i. \tag{34}$$

By [13], each two homeomorphic vector spaces have the same dimension; that is, the last equality implies

$$\dim V_i = \dim W_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Fix any odd integer  $m \geq \max(n - p, n - q)$ . Then  $J_0^m = J_0'^m = 0$  and by (33)

$$p = \dim V_m = \dim W_m = q.$$

Thus,  $f_* = (I_{(\varepsilon)}, e_1)$  and  $g_* = (I_{(\delta)}, e_1)$  are affine bijections  $V_* \rightarrow V_*$  on the same space

$$V_* := V_m = W_m = \mathbb{F}^p.$$

By (34), the restriction of  $h$  to  $V_*$  gives some homeomorphism  $h_* : V_* \rightarrow V_*$ . Restricting the equality  $hf = gh$  to  $V_*$ , we obtain

$$h_* f_* = g_* h_*. \tag{35}$$

Therefore,  $f_*$  and  $g_*$  are topologically conjugate.

If  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ , then  $\varepsilon = \delta = 1$ .

Let  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ . For each homeomorphism  $\varphi$  on a Euclidean space, write  $o(\varphi) = 1$  or  $-1$  if it is orientation preserving or reversing. In particular, if  $\varphi$  is a nonsingular affine operator  $(A, b)$ , then

$$o(\varphi) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \det A > 0, \\ -1 & \text{if } \det A < 0. \end{cases}$$

By (35),

$$o(h_* f_*) = o(g_* h_*), \quad o(h_*)o(f_*) = o(g_*)o(h_*), \quad o(h_*)\varepsilon = \delta o(h_*),$$

and so  $\varepsilon = \delta$ .

The nilpotent Jordan matrices  $J_0$  and  $J_0'$  coincide up to permutation of blocks since by (33) the number of their Jordan blocks is equal to  $n - \dim V_1$ , the number of their Jordan blocks of size  $\geq 2$  is equal to  $(n - \dim V_2) - (n - \dim V_1)$ , the number of their Jordan blocks of size  $\geq 3$  is equal to  $(n - \dim V_3) - (n - \dim V_2)$ , and so on.

Thus,  $\varepsilon = \delta$  and  $f$  coincides with  $g$  up to permutation of blocks in  $J_0$  and  $J_0'$ .

### 3.3. Conclusion

Let  $f(x) = Ax + b$  be an affine operator over  $\mathbb{F} \in \{\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}\}$ .

We have showed in Sections 3.1 and 3.2 that  $f$  is topologically conjugate to exactly one affine operator of the form (18) or (19), which proves the statement (b) of Theorem 3.1.

Let  $A_*$  and  $A_0$  be any nonsingular and nilpotent parts of  $A$  defined in (5). Using the reduction of  $f$  to the canonical form described in Section 3.1, we find that

- $f$  reduces to the form (18) if  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$  and  $\det A_* > 0$ , or if  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ .
- $f$  reduces to the form (19) if  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$  and  $\det A_* < 0$ ,

and  $J_0$  in (18) and (19) is the Jordan canonical form of  $A_0$ . This proves the statement (a) of Theorem 3.1.

**Corollary 3.2.** *An affine operator  $f(x) = Ax + b$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  has no fixed point if and only if it is linearly conjugate to an affine operator of the form*

$$g(x) = (J_k(1) \oplus C)x + d, \quad (36)$$

in which  $d$  has a nonzero first coordinate.

Indeed, (36) has no fixed point since the first coordinates of  $g(v)$  and  $v$  are distinct for all  $v$ . Conversely, if  $f(x) = Ax + b$  has no fixed point, then it is linearly conjugate to an affine operator of the form (21), in which  $p \geq 1$  by Step 2.

## Acknowledgments

The author gratefully acknowledges the many helpful suggestions of S.I. Maksymenko and V.V. Sharko during the preparation of the paper.

## References

- [1] J. Blanc, Conjugacy classes of affine automorphisms of  $\mathbb{K}^n$  and linear automorphisms of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  in the Cremona groups, *Manuscripta Math.* 119 (2) (2006) 225–241.
- [2] T.V. Budnitska, Classification of topological conjugate affine mappings, *Ukrainian Math. J.* 61 (2009) 164–170.
- [3] S.E. Cappell, J.L. Shaneson, Linear algebra and topology, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* 1 (1979) 685–687.
- [4] S.E. Cappell, J.L. Shaneson, Nonlinear similarity of matrices, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* 1 (1979) 899–902.
- [5] S.E. Cappell, J.L. Shaneson, Non-linear similarity, *Ann. of Math.* 113 (2) (1981) 315–355.
- [6] S.E. Cappell, J.L. Shaneson, Non-linear similarity and linear similarity are equivariant below dimension 6, *Contemp. Math.* 231 (1999) 59–66.
- [7] S.E. Cappell, J.L. Shaneson, M. Steinberger, J.E. West, Nonlinear similarity begins in dimension six, *Amer. J. Math.* 111 (1989) 717–752.
- [8] W. Ephrämowitsch, Topologische Klassifikation affiner Abbildungen der Ebene, *Mat. Sb.* 42 (1) (1935) 23–36.
- [9] F.R. Gantmacher, *AMS Chelsea Publishing*, Providence, RI, 1998.
- [10] W.C. Hsiang, W. Pardon, When are topologically equivalent orthogonal transformations linearly equivalent, *Invent. Math.* 68 (2) (1982) 275–316.
- [11] R.A. Horn, C.R. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985.
- [12] R.A. Horn, C.R. Johnson, *Topics in Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988.
- [13] W. Hurewicz, H. Wallman, *Dimension Theory*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NY, 1941.
- [14] N.H. Kuiper, J.W. Robbin, Topological classification of linear endomorphisms, *Invent. Math.* 19 (2) (1973) 83–106.
- [15] I. Madsen, M. Rothenberg, Classifying  $G$  spheres, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* 7 (1) (1982) 223–226.
- [16] J.W. Robbin, Topological conjugacy and structural stability for discrete dynamical systems, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 78 (1972) 923–952.
- [17] R. Schultz, On the topological classification of linear representations, *Topology* 16 (1977) 263–269.