## 10 Evaluation of knowledge level of adolescents and adults with congenital heart disease: Effectiveness of a structured CHD education program in adolescents

M. Ladouceur<sup>1,\*</sup>, R. Cheurfi<sup>1</sup>, C. Pagnon<sup>1</sup>, S. Cohen<sup>1</sup>, F. Bajolle<sup>1</sup>, L. Iserin<sup>1</sup>, P. Jourdain<sup>2</sup>, D. Bonnet<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> M3C, Hôpital Necker-Enfants malades, Centre Chirurgical Marie-Lannelongue, Hôpital européen Georges-Pompidou, Paris, France

<sup>2</sup> CH René-Dubos, Cardiologie, Pontoise, France

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: magalie.ladouceur@gmail.com (M. Ladouceur)

Background Adolescents with congenital heart disease (CHD) constitute a growing population of individuals for whom a well-planned and well-executed "transition process" is essential. Transition program should include education about medical conditions and promote skills in decision-making and self-care. To improve their level of health related knowledge, a structured education program was implemented in a transition CHD program. This study aimed 1/to evaluate level of knowledge of adolescents who received structured CHD education as compared to adults who did not, 2/to evaluate whether patients who received structured education improve their knowledge.

Methods and results 42 adolescents ( $16 \pm 2$  years old, 21 girls) were included in a structured CHD education program and were compared to 22 adults ( $33 \pm 7$ , 6 women) with CHD who have never followed education program. Knowledge in adolescents was assessed before and after the educational program using questionnaire exploring specific issues related to CHD. A same questionnaire was used in non-educated adult patients. The mean total knowledge score in the educated adolescent group was significantly higher as compared to the non-educated adult with CHD (score =  $15.6/20 \pm 3.6$  vs.  $12.5 \pm 4.5$ , *P* < 0.01). Provision of structured CHD education and female sex were determinant of higher levels of knowledge. A significant improvement of knowledge was observed in adolescents group after CHD education program (range of increase was 23 to 44%). This result was not influenced by age, sex, education level, socio-economic status of parents and disease complexity, and persists at 10 months mean follow-up.

*Conclusion* A structured education program was associated with a higher level of knowledge, above all in male CHD patients. Education at transition period has a significant impact on the adolescent knowledge. Structured education program should improve adult CHD understanding of their heart condition, and could prevent potential complications.

*Disclosure of interest* The authors have not supplied their declaration of conflict of interest.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.acvd.2014.07.011

## 11

## Long-term experience with heart transplantation in children and patients with congenital heart disease

S. Di Filippo<sup>1,\*</sup>, R. Henaine<sup>2</sup>, M. Veyrier<sup>1</sup>, C. Ducreux<sup>1</sup>, J. Ninet<sup>2</sup>, L. Sebbag<sup>3</sup>, P. Boissonnat<sup>3</sup>, A. Roussoulières<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup> Pediatric and Congenital Heart Disease, University Medical Center of Lyon, France

<sup>2</sup> Cardiac Surgery, University Medical Center of Lyon, France

<sup>3</sup> Adult Heart Transplant Department, University Medical Center of Lyon, France

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: sylvie.di-filippo@chu-lyon.fr (S. Di Filippo)

*Methods* Retrospective single-centre analysis of long-term posttransplant outcome, with chart collection of clinical and paraclinical data [this study assessed the long-term outcome of heart (HTx) and heart-lung transplantation (HLTx) in patients with congenital heart disease (CHD) and children with non-congenital cardiac or pulmonary disease.]

Results From 1984 to 2013, 111 first-HTx, 5 HLTx and 6 re-HTx were performed (62 males), in patients aged  $11.7 \pm 8.2$  y: 96 (79%) aged < 18 y. Cardiopathy included 61 cardiomyopathies (50.8%), 50 CHD (41.7%), 6 retransplants (5%). HLTx included 1 Eisenmenger, 1 PPHT, and 2 pulmonary diseases. Patients with cardiomyopathy were younger than CHD (8.7 y vs. 14.9 y). Seventeen (14%) patients had circulatory mechanical support as bridge to transplant. Acute rejection occurred more frequently within the first year post-transplant or > 5th year in non-compliant teenagers. Overall, 33 patients died (27%),  $3.5 \pm 4.6$  y post-Tx (1 day to 16.4 y, med 1.5 months), due to early multivisceral failure in 6 (18%), pulmonary hypertension in 3 (9%), acute rejection in 7 (21%), graft coronary disease in 6 (18%), sepsis in 5 (15%) and miscellaneous in 6. Graft coronary disease occurred in 15 (12.4%): 4 had re-HTx, 6 died and 5 are alive. Five lymphoma occurred, 4 months to 14 y after HTx, cured in 4 (1 died). Patients survival was 85% at 1 y, 81% at 5 y, 70% at 10 y and 61% at 20 y post-transplant. Graft survival rates were respectively 82%, 68% and 52% at 5 y, 10 y and 20 y post-transplant. Survival did not differ with pretransplant disease, age, gender, pretransplant mechanical support. Mortality was higher in patients with coronary disease (40%) than those free from (25%).

*Conclusion* Long-term prognosis after HTx and HLTx is favourable. Graft coronary disease is the main cause of failure, less frequent than in the adult non-CHD heart-transplanted population.

*Disclosure of interest* The authors have not supplied their declaration of conflict of interest.

CrossMark

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.acvd.2014.07.012

## 12 Risk markers of cardiac events in patients with Marfan syndrome diagnosed during childhood



- T. Edouart (MD, PhD)<sup>4</sup>, F. Arnoult (MD)<sup>5</sup>, O. Milleron (MD)<sup>6</sup>
- C. Stheneur (MD)<sup>7</sup>, B. Chevallier (MD, PhD)<sup>8</sup>, C. Zordan (MD)<sup>9</sup>,
- S. Odent (MD, PhD)<sup>10</sup>, N. Philip (MD, PhD)<sup>11</sup>,
- L. Olivier-Faivre (MD, PhD)<sup>12</sup>, B. Leheup (MD)<sup>13</sup>,
- S. Dubois-Girod (MD)<sup>14</sup>, P. Acar (MD, PhD)<sup>15</sup>
- J. Ferrières (MD, PhD)<sup>16</sup>, G. Jondeau (MD)<sup>17</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of paediatric cardiology, centre de compétence pour le syndrome de Marfan et apparentés Children Hospital, Inserm/UPS UMR 1048, I2MC, CHU de Toulouse, Toulouse, France <sup>2</sup> Department of paediatric cardiology, centre de compétence pour le syndrome de Marfan et apparentés Children Hospital, CHU de Toulouse, Toulouse, France

<sup>3</sup> Department of epidemiology, Inserm/UPS UMR 1048, I2MC, CHU de Toulouse, Toulouse, France

<sup>4</sup> Department of paediatric endocrinology, centre de compétence pour le syndrome de Marfan et apparentés Children Hospital, CHU de Toulouse, Toulouse, France

<sup>5</sup> Department of cardiology, centre de référence pour le syndrome de Marfan et apparentés, Bichat Hospital, AP-HP, Paris, France <sup>6</sup> Department of cardiology, centre de référence pour le syndrome de Marfan et apparentés, Bichat Hospital, AP-HP, Paris, France <sup>7</sup> Department of pediatry Ambroise-Paré Hospital, AP-HP, Boulogne, France/centre de référence pour le syndrome de Marfan et apparentés, Bichat Hospital, AP-HP, Paris, France <sup>8</sup> Department of pediatry Ambroise-Paré Hospital, AP-HP, Boulogne, France



CrossMark