



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com





Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences 152 (2014) 819 - 823

ERPA 2014

Elementary school children's behavior towards the inclusion of peers with disabilities, in mainstream physical education classes

Evangelos Bebetsos^{a*}, Vasiliki Derri^a, Filippos Filippou^a, Eleni Zetou^a,

Nikolaos Vernadakis^a

^aSchool of Phy. Education & Sport Science, Democritus University of Thrace, Komotini, 69100, Hellas

Abstract

The aim of this study was twofold: to investigate a) possible differences between boys and girls and b) within groups' differences in regards to their general and modified behavior towards the involvement of schoolmates with disabilities, in their mainstream physical education classes. Participantswere 168 primary grade school children; 76 boys and 92 girls, between 10 to 12 years of age (M=11.15, SD=.70), who completed the Greek version of the Children's' Attitudes towards Inclusion in Physical Education-Revised questionnaire(CAIPE-R). Results indicated only within groups differences; both boys and girls showed higher (more positive) modified behavior on collaborating with a school-mate with disability in the physical education lesson.

© 2014 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/).

Peer-review under responsibility of the Organizing Committee of the ERPA Congress 2014.

Keywords: general behavior; modified behavior; sex differences; moderate mental retardation; physical education.

1. Introduction

The idea of including students with disabilities into a general education classroom has become predominant in many countries over the past years. Inclusion has been identified as placing students with disabilities in mainstream classes, including physical education, and educating them with their non-disabled peers (Block, 2000, 2007; Murata, Hodge, & Little, 2000). Specifically, inclusion focuses on increasingparticipation in learning by all students so that their educational needs can be met (Barton, 1998; DePauw & Doll-Tepper, 2000). When inclusive educational

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +30-25310-39712; fax: +30-25310-39723 *E-mail address*:empempet@phyed.duth.gr

practices areimplemented, students with disabilities who attend schools canreceive educational services with their peers without disabilities in their general educationclasses (Hunt & McDonnell, 2007). Under this line of work, school societies try to support full participation of students with disabilities in all areas of their lives on equal terms and conditions (Campbell & Gilmore, 2003).

In line to theinclusionpolicy, Greek government voted the Public Law 2817/2000. Based on this law, a child with disabilities can study in an ordinary school class with parallel support by the special education teacher or in specifically organized and appropriately staffed classes of inclusion, which function in the schools of mainstream and technical professional education.

Teachers, parents, students and administrators are the critical stakeholders in the movement to create inclusive schools (Hunt & McDonnell, 2007). Inclusion in general schools has many benefits both for students with and without disabilities. According to recent studies, students with disabilities have the same possibilities and opportunities to participate as non-disabled counterparts in school and social events (Mrug&Wallander, 2002). Students without disabilities learn to approach children with different characteristics, develop empathy and acceptance of individual children's differences (Bebetsos,Derri, Zafeiriadis, &Kyrgiridis,2013), become more aware and more responsive to other children's needs (Peck, Carlson, &Helmstetter, 1992), and learn more about persons with a disability (Qi & Ha, 2012). Finally, inclusive education gives the opportunity for the development of positive attitudes of students without disabilities toward peers with disabilities (Mrug et al., 2002). However, Rimmer and his colleagues (2009) in their research underpinned that only 40% of children with physical disabilities and 77% of children with mental disabilities felt that they had the opportunity for equal participation as other students, in a mainstream physical education class.

Researchers (Sherrill, 2004; Theodorakis, Bagiatis, & Goudas, 1995) considered attitude as the key-point in changing behavior towards people with disabilities. Also, according to Sherrill (2004), courses like music, art, and physical educationcan establish an appropriate and suitable environment for integrating students with disabilities into mainstream schools. Particularly physical educationwith a socially structured environment is considered unique in developing all students' social attitudes and behaviorsas these are pre-determined in its social goalsand objectives (Derri, 2007; Ministry of Education, Lifelong Learning and Religious Affairs, 2011).

The results of studies that examined the effect of adapted physical education programs on regular students' attitudes on integration of classmates with disabilities in their physical education class are mixed. Specifically, some studies indicated that students showed more positive attitudes/behaviors (Loovis&Loovis, 1997; Obrusnikova, Valkova, & Block, 2003), while othersyieldedthat students had more negative attitudes/behaviors for the inclusion of children with disabilities in the physical education class, after participating in an intervention program (Ellery &Rauschenbach,2000).In Greece, similar studies showed that application of such program(s) could improve students' attitudes/behaviors towards inclusion of disabledpeers in regular physical education classes (Kippers &Bouramas 2003; Panagiotou, Evaggelinou, Doulkeridou, Mouratidou, &Koidou, 2008).Additionally, past research on gender differences, has identified foster findings, i.e. girls had more positive attitudes/behaviors than boys towards individuals with disabilities (Tripp, French, & Sherrill 1995; Slininger, Sherrill, & Jankowski, 2000).

However, no study, to our knowledge, examined how children illustrate general and modified behavior in regard to physical education which is considered ideal for children's social development when its environment is socially structured. Therefore, this study was conducted in an attempt to investigate:a) possible differences between boys and girls and b) within groups' differences, in regards to their general and modified behavior towards the involvement of schoolmates with disabilities, in their mainstream physical education classes. It was hypothesized a) that girls would illustrate better general and modified behavior towards their schoolmates with disabilities.

2. Method

2.1 Participants

The sample consisted of 168 primary grade school children; 76 boys and 92 girls, between 10 to 12 years of age (M=11.15, SD=.70) (Table 1).

Table 1. Participants' Descriptive Characteristics							
Sex	Ν	Age		Grade			
Boys	76 (45.3%)	10	31 (18%)	5 th	83 (50.6%)		
Girls	92 (54.7%)	11	81 (49.4%)	6 th	85 (49.4%)		
		12	56 (32.6%)		· /		

2.2 Instrument

Students completed the Greek version of the Children's' Attitudes towards Inclusion in Physical Education – Revised questionnaire (CAIPE-R) (Panagiotou, 2006). Before completing the questionnaire, students were introduced to a hypothetical scenario: "Before we beginI would like to talk to you about achild whose name is John. Johnhas the sameageas you.Buthasmoderate mentalretardationand therefore he cannot be taughtthings andlearn them as fastas you can.Because he hasmoderate mentalretardation, hecannot speakvery clearlyand that is whyit is sometimesdifficult tounderstand whathe says.Johnlikes to playthe same gamesyou playwhen youexercise,but he is notverygood at these games.Although he can run, he isslower thanyou andgets tiredeasily.He likesfootballbut he cannot kickthe ballvery well. He alsolikesbasketballbut he is not verygood atshooting and dribbling the ball and he cannot understandthe rulesof the game very well".

The (CAIPE-R) questionnaire consists of eleven questions that concern General and Modified Behavior. Specifically, *General Behavior* is measured with six questions (e.g., "It would be OK having *John* come to my PhysicalEducation class"), whereas the remaining five questions concern *Modified Behavior* (e.g., "If you were playing basketball would you be willing to make a pass to *John*?"). A 4-point Likert type scale, from 1=no to 4=yes, was used. At the end of the questionnaire, students were asked to indicate their sex, age and grade. Responses were given in a numerical format. Their participation was voluntary.

3. Results

3.1 Independent samples T-test analysis

To check whether there were statistically significant differences between boys and girls on their general and modified behaviors, T-tests for independent samples were conducted. The results indicated no statistically significant differences between genders(Table 2).

Table 2. Gender comparison on behavior						
	t	df	р			
Behavior	.502	166	.113			
Modified Behavior	-1.570	168	.328			

3.2 Paired Sample T-test analyses

To check whether there were statistically significant within group differences on general and modified behavior, Paired SampleT-tests, were performed. Results indicated that both boys and girls showed higher/greater (more positive) modified behavior than general behavior (Table 3).

Table 3. Within groups behavior differences						
Boys						
	М	SD				
General Behavior	2.96*	.42				
Modified Behavior	3.16*	.47				
Girls						
	М	SD				
General Behavior	2.94**	.43				
Modified Behavior	3.23**	.51				

statistically significant differences within a gender group(p<.01)

4. Discussion and Conclusion

The aim of this study was twofold: to investigate a) possible differences between boys and girls and b) within group differences in regards to their general and modified behavior towards the inclusion of schoolmates with disabilities, in their mainstream physical education classes.

Although, girls' behavior means were higher than boys' (similar findings), no statistically significant sex differences were found. On the contrary, past literature pointed out that generally girls had more positive behaviors than boys towards individuals with disabilities. More specifically, according to Tripp et al. (1995) and Slininger et al. (2000), girls have more favorable attitudes than boys toward peers with disabilities. Fishbein (1996) suggested that girls are more responsible than boys towards individuals with disabilities. Similarly, other studies examining attitudes/behaviors of pre-and in-service physical educators towards general disability topics, like teaching students with disabilities, verified that girlsillustrated more positive attitudes/behaviorson collaborating with disabled individuals compared to boys (Downs &Whilliams, 1994; Papadopoulou, Kokaridas, Papanikolaou, &Patsiaouras,2004). In Greece, in line to the above findings,research results pointed out girls as more responsible toward individuals with disabilities than boys (Kalyvas& Reid, 2003; Kalyvas, Koutsouki, &Skordilis, 2011). These findings are also in accordance with the results of Panagiotouet al., 2008).

Similarly, the results of the present study did not support previous ones on the subject of general versus modified behavior. Specifically, both boys and girls in our study showed better modified than general behavior towards disabled peers. Wesuspect that children in our study placed more emphasis on having fun through the game rather than on winning. In contrast, previous researchers argued that children don't agree with the rules on adaptation in sports (Kalyvas et al., 2003)probably becausesuch rules distract them from high levels of competition and challenge. Thus, although children without disabilities wanted peers with disabilities to participate in their P.E. classes but they didn't want them as teammates (Qi & Ha, 2012). Thus, researcherssupposed that this occurred due to the desire of children to have powerful teammates in order to increase their possibilities to win the game. In addition, results of two more studies indicated negative attitudes/behaviors on sport-specific attitudes/behaviors (Jesina et al., 2006; Van Biesen, Busciglio, &Vanlandewijck,2006).

Current findings demonstrate that Greek physical education students seem to be ready to accept the proposed physical education curriculum reform (Ministry of Education, Lifelong Learning and Religious Affairs, 2011) which includes, among others, social goals and objectives that should be achieved by all students. Therefore, physical education teachers should evaluate students, and take into account their opinion/behavior during planning and teaching in order to provide all students with appropriate learning experiences. Students' specific training could generate more positive general (Vickerman, 2007) and modified behaviors towards their peers. Also, these findings could provide assistance to students' educating process in order to develop and perform appropriate behaviors towards their co-existence with peers with disabilities in mainstream physical education classes.Based on the above contradictory findings, further research is required to address male and female students' attitudes and behaviors towards children with disabilities in physical education, particularly in game settings, and to improve them through appropriate learning experiences.

References

Barton, L. (1998). The politics of special educational needs. London: Falmer.

Bebetsos, E., Derri, V., Zafeiriadis, St., & Kyrgiridis, P. (2013). Relationship among Students' Attitudes, Intentions and Behaviors towards the Inclusion of Peers with Disabilities, in Mainstream Physical Education Classes. *International Electronic Journal of Elementary Education*, 5(3), 233-248.

Block, E. M. (2000). A teacher's guide to including students with disabilities in general physical education (2nd ed.). Baltimore: PaulH. Brookes.

Block, E. M. (2007). A teacher's guide to including students with disabilities in general physical education (3rd ed.). Baltimore: PaulH. Brookes. Campbell, J., & Gilmore, L. (2003). Changing student teachers' attitudes towards disability and inclusion. Journal of Intellectual & Developmental

Disability, 28 (4), 369-379.

DePauw, K. P., & Doll-Tepper, G. (2000). Toward progressive inclusion and acceptance: Myth or reality? The inclusion debate and bandwagon discourse. *Adapted Physical Activity Quarterly*, 17(2), 135–143.

Derri, V. (2007). *Physicaleducationinthe* 21st century. *Standards, goals and objectives in elementary education*. Thessaloniki: ChristodoulidiPublications. (in Greek)

- Downs, P., &Whilliams, T. (1994). Student attitudes toward integration of people with disabilities in activity settings: A European comparison. *Adapted Physical Activity Quarterly*, 11, 32-43.
- Hunt, P., & McDonnell, J. (2007). Inclusive education. In S. L. Odom, R. H. Horner, M. E. Snell, & J. Blacher (Eds.), Handbook of developmental disabilities (pp. 269-291). New York: The Guilford Press.
- Ellery, J. P., & Rauschenbach, J. (2000). Impact of disability awareness activities on nondisabled student attitudes toward integrated physical education with students who use wheelchairs. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport, Supplement*, 71(1), A-106.

Fishbein, H. (1996). Peer prejudice and discrimination: Evolutionary, cultural and developmental dynamics. Westview Press, Boulder, CO.

- Jesina, O., Lucas, S., Kudlácek, M., Janecka, Z., Machová, I., &Wittmannová, J. (2006). Effect of an intervention program on attitude of elementary school children toward inclusion of children with disability. Proceedings of the 8th European Conference of Adapted Physical Activity. Faculty of Physical Culture, Palacky University, Olomouc.
- Kalyvas, V., & Reid, G. (2003). Sport Adaptation, Participation and Enjoyment of Students with and without Disabilities. *Adapted Physical Activity Quarterly, 20,* 182-199.
- Kalyvas, V. A., Koutsouki, D., & Skordilis, E. K. (2011). Attitudes of Greek Physical Education Students towards Participation In a Disability-Infusion Curriculum. *Education Research Journal*, 1(2), 24-30.
- Kippers, T., &Bouramas, G. (2003). Attitudes Toward Integration of Children With Disabilities: The effect of the implementation of the Paralympic Education Material "Athens 2004" on 5th and 6th grade primary school children in Greece. UnpublishedPost Graduate Dissertation, KatholiekeUniversiteit Leuven, Belgium.
- Loovis, E.M., &Loovis, C.L. (1997). A disability of awareness unit in physical education and attitudes of elementary school student. *Perception* and Motor Skills, 84, 768-770.
- Ministry of Education, Lifelong Learning and Religious Affairs (2011). From today to the new school with the student first. Retrieved from http://ebooks.edu.gr/2013/newps.php (in Greek)
- Mrug, S., &Wallander, L. J. (2002). Self-Concept of Young People with Physical Disabilities: does integration play a role? International Journal of Disability, Development and Education, 49(3),267-274.
- Murata, N. M., Hodge, S. R., & Little, J. R. (2000). Students' attitudes, experiences and perspectives on their peers with disabilities. *Clinical Kinesiology*, 54 (3), 59-66.
- Obrusnikova, I., Valkova, H., & Block, M. (2003).Impact of inclusion in general physical education on students without disabilities. *Adapted PhysicalActivity Quarterly*, 20(3), 230-245.
- Panagiotou, A.(2006). Impact of "Paralympics Day in schools" program in students' attitude towards integration of disable children in a physical education class, within a typical school. UnpublishedMasterDegreeDissertation. Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece.
- Panagiotou, A. K., Evaggelinou C., Doulkeridou, A., Mouratidou, K., &Koidou, E. (2008). Attitudes of 5th and 6th grade Greek students toward the inclusion of children with disabilities in physical education classes after a Paralympic education program. *European Journal of Adapted Physical Activity*, 1(2),31-43.
- Papadopoulou, D., Kokaridas, D., Papanikolaou, Z., &Patsiaouras, A. (2004). Attitudes of Greek physical education teachers toward inclusion of students with disabilities. *International Journal of Special Education*, 19(2), 104-111.
- Peck, C., Carlson, P., &Helmstetter, E. (1992). Parent and teacher perceptions of outcomes for typically developing children enrolled in integrated early childhood programs: A statewide survey. *Journal of Early Intervention*, 16, 53-63.
- Qi, J., & Ha, S. A. (2012). Inclusion in Physical Education: A review of literature. International Journal of Disability, Development and Education, 59(3), 257-281.
- Rimmer, J. A., Wang, E., Yamaki, K., & Davis, B. (2009). Documenting disparities in obesity and disability. FOCUS Technical Brief, 24, 1-16.
- Sherrill, C. (2004). Adapted Physical Activity, Recreation and Sport: Cross disciplinary and Lifespan (6th Ed.). McGraw Hill, Companies, Inc. USA.
- Slininger, D., Sherrill, C., & Jankowski, C. (2000). Children's attitudes toward peers with severe disabilities-revisiting Contact Theory. Adapted Physical Activity Quarterly, 17, 176-196.
- Theodorakis, Y., Bagiatis, K., & Goudas, M. (1995). Attitudes toward teaching individuals with disabilities: application of planned behavior theory. *Adapted Physical Activity Quarterly*, 12, 151-160.
- Tripp, A., French, A., & Sherrill, C. (1995). Contact Theory and Attitudes of Children in Physical Education Programs Toward Peers With Disabilities. *Adapted Physical ActivityQuarterly*, 12(4),323-332.
- Van Biesen, D., Busciglio, A., &Vanlandewijck, Y. (2006). Attitudes towards inclusion of children with disabilities: the effect of the implementation of "A Paralympic School Day" on Flemish elementary children. Proceedings of the 8thEuropean Conference of Adapted PhysicalActivity.Faculty of Physical Culture, PalackyUniversity, Olomouc.
- Vickerman, P. (2007). Training physical education teachers to include children with special educational needs: Perspectives from physical education initial teacher training providers. *European Physical Education Review*, 13(3), 385-402.