Journal of Crohn's and Colitis (2009) 3, 47-91



SPECIAL ARTICLE

European evidence-based Consensus on the prevention, diagnosis and management of opportunistic infections in inflammatory bowel disease

J.F. Rahier^{*}, S. Ben-Horin, Y. Chowers, C. Conlon, P. De Munter, G. D'Haens, E. Domènech, R. Eliakim, A. Eser, J. Frater, M. Gassull, M. Giladi, A. Kaser, M. Lémann, T. Moreels, A. Moschen, R. Pollok, W. Reinisch, M. Schunter, E.F. Stange, H. Tilg, G. Van Assche, N. Viget, B. Vucelic, A. Walsh, G. Weiss, Y. Yazdanpanah, Y. Zabana, S.P.L. Travis, J.F. Colombel on behalf of the European Crohn's and Colitis Organisation (ECCO)

Received 9 December 2008; received in revised form 24 February 2009; accepted 25 February 2009

KEYWORDS

Inflammatory bowel disease; Opportunistic infections; Prevention; Vaccination

Contents

1.	Introd	luction
2.	Defin	tions and risk factors \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 5°
	2.1.	Definition of an immunocompromised patient
	2.2.	Definition of opportunistic infection
	2.3.	What makes an IBD patient immunocompromised?
	2.4.	Risk factors for developing an opportunistic infection
		2.4.1. Immunomodulator therapy
		2.4.2. Exposure to pathogens and geographic clustering

* Corresponding author. Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University Hospital UCL Mont Godinne, 1 avenue du Dr G Therasse, 5330 Yvoir, Belgium. Tel.: +32 81 42 32 51; Fax: +32 81 42 32 67.

E-mail address: jfrahier@gmail.com (J.F. Rahier).

1873-9946/\$ - see front matter © 2009 European Crohn's and Colitis Organisation. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.crohns.2009.02.010

		2.4.3.	5	
		2.4.4.	Comorbidities	
		2.4.5.	Malnutrition	
3.	Hepat	itis C viru	us, hepatitis B virus and human immunodeficiency virus	53
	3.1.	Hepatit	tis C virus (HCV) infection.	53
		3.1.1.	Background	53
		3.1.2.	Impact of immunomodulator therapy on the natural history of the disease	53
		3.1.3.	Preventive measures	54
		3.1.4.	Diagnostic approach, screening and treatment of underlying infection	54
		3.1.5.	Infection occurring during immunomodulator therapy	54
	3.2.	Hepatit	tis B virus (HBV) infection.	
		3.2.1.	Background	
		3.2.2.	Impact of immunomodulator therapy on the natural history of the disease	
		3.2.3.	Preventive measures	
		3.2.4.	Diagnostic approach, screening and treatment of underlying infection	
		3.2.5.	Infection occurring during immunomodulator therapy	
	3.3.	Human	immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection	
		3.3.1.	Background	
		3.3.2.	Impact of immunomodulator therapy on the natural history of the disease	
		3.3.3.	Preventive measures	
		3.3.4.	Diagnostic approach, screening and treatment of the underlying infection	
		3.3.5.	Infection occurring during immunomodulator therapy	
4.	Herpe		(HSV, VZV, EBV, CMV), human papilloma virus, JC virus and influenza virus	
	4.1.		egalovirus (CMV) infection.	
		4.1.1.	Background	
		4.1.2.	Impact of immunomodulator therapy on natural history of the disease	
		4.1.3.	Preventive measures	
		4.1.4.	Diagnostic approach, screening and treatment of the underlying infection	
		4.1.5.	Infection occurring during immunomodulator therapy	
	4.2.		simplex virus (HSV)	
	1.2.	4.2.1.	Background	
		4.2.2.	Impact of immunomodulator therapy on natural history of the disease	
		4.2.3.	Preventive measures	
		4.2.4.	Diagnostic approach, screening and treatment of the underlying infection	
		4.2.5.	Infection occurring during immunomodulator therapy	
	4.3.		la zoster virus (VZV)	
	1.5.	4.3.1.	Background	
		4.3.2.	Impact of immunomodulator therapy on the natural history of the disease	
		4.3.3.	Preventive measures	
		4.3.4.	Diagnostic approach, screening and treatment of the underlying infection	
		4.3.5.	Infection occurring during immunomodulator therapy	
	44		-Barr virus (EBV)	
	7.7.	4.4.1.	Background	
		4.4.2.	Impact of immunomodulator therapy on the natural history of the disease	
		4.4.3.	Preventive measures	
		4.4.4.	Diagnostic approach, screening and treatment of the underlying infection	
		4.4.5.	Infection occurring during immunomodulator therapy	
	4.5.		papilloma virus (HPV)	
	ч.J.	4.5.1.	Background	
		4.5.2.	Impact of immunomodulator therapy on natural history on the disease	
		4.5.3.	Preventive measures	
		4.5.4.	Diagnostic approach, screening and treatment of the underlying infection	
		4.5.5.	Infection occurring during immunomodulator therapy	
	4.6.			61
	4.0.	4.6.1.	Background	
		4.6.1.	Impact of immunomodulator therapy on natural history on the disease	
		4.6.2. 4.6.3.		
			Preventive measures	
		4.6.4. 4.6.5.	Diagnostic approach, screening and treatment of the underlying infection	
	<i>د</i> ۸		Infection occurring during immunomodulator therapy	
	4.7.	4.7.1.		
			Background	
		4.7.2.	Impact of immunomodulator therapy on natural history on the disease	03

		4.7.3. 4.7.4.	Preventive measures	
-	D	4.7.5.	Infection occurring during immunomodulator therapy	63
5.	5.1.	Backard	Jungal infection	63
	5.2.		of immunomodulator therapy on natural history of the disease	
	5.3.		tive measures	
	5.5.	5.3.1.	Immunisation and chemoprophylaxis for parasitic or fungal infections except <i>P. jiroveci</i>	64
		5.3.2.	Immunisation and chemoprophylaxis for <i>P. jiroveci</i>	
	5.4.	Diagnos	stic approach, screening and treatment of the underlying infection	
		5.4.1.	Diagnostic approach and screening	
		5.4.2.	Interpretation of diagnostic tests for non-specialists	
		5.4.3.	Treatment of the infection	
	5.5.	Infectio	on occurring during immunomodulator therapy	
6.	Tuber	culosis.		68
	6.1.		ound	
	6.2.	Impact	of immunomodulator therapy on natural history of the disease	68
	6.3.	Prevent	tive measures	69
		6.3.1.	Impact of preventive actions	69
		6.3.2.	Chemoprophylaxis	69
		6.3.3.	Concerns about hepatotoxicity	69
	6.4.	Diagnos	stic approach, screening and treatment of the underlying infection	
		6.4.1.	Diagnostic approach and screening	
		6.4.2.	Tuberculin test distortion by BCG and immunomodulator therapy	
		6.4.3.	Interferon γ assays	
	6.5.		on occurring during immunomodulator therapy	
		6.5.1.	Management of immunomodulator therapy	
7.			tion	
	7.1.		pecoccus pneumoniae	
		7.1.1.	Background	
		7.1.2. 7.1.3.	Impact of immunomodulator therapy on the natural history of the disease	
		7.1.3.	Preventive measures	
		7.1.4.	Infection occurring during immunomodulator therapy	
	72		ella pneumophila	
	1.2.	7.2.1.	Background	
		7.2.2.	Impact of immunomodulator therapy on natural history of the disease	
		7.2.3.	Preventive measures	
		7.2.4.	Diagnostic approach, screening and treatment of the underlying infection	
		7.2.5.	Infection occurring during immunomodulator therapy	
	7.3.	Salmon	nella species	
		7.3.1.	Background	
		7.3.2.	Impact of immunomodulator therapy on natural history of the disease	
		7.3.3.	Preventive measures	72
		7.3.4.	Diagnostic approach, screening and treatment of the underlying infection	72
		7.3.5.	Infection occurring during immunomodulator therapy	
	7.4.		a monocytogenes	
		7.4.1.	Background	
		7.4.2.	Impact of immunomodulator therapy on natural history of the disease	
		7.4.3.	Preventive measures	
		7.4.4.	Diagnostic approach, screening and treatment of the underlying infection	
		7.4.5.	Infection occurring during immunomodulator therapy	
	7.5.		lia species	
		7.5.1.	Background	
		7.5.2. 7.5.3.	Impact of immunomodulator therapy on natural history of the disease	
		7.5.3. 7.5.4.	Preventive measures	
		7.5.4.	Infection occurring during immunomodulator therapy	
	7.6.		dium difficile	
	7.0.	7.6.1.		
		7.6.2.	Impact of immunomodulator therapy on the natural history of the disease	
		7.6.3.	Preventive measures	

		7.6.4. 7.6.5.	Diagnostic approach, screening and treatment of the underlying infection	
8.	Speci	al situat	ions	75
	8.1.	Patient	ts travelling frequently or to less economically developed countries	75
		8.1.1.	Guidelines for the IBD patient travelling to less economically developed countries	75
		8.1.2.	Pre-travel consultation	75
		8.1.3.	Do these diseases behave differently in IBD patients?	76
		8.1.4.	Do travel-associated diseases behave differently in patients on immunomodulators?	76
		8.1.5.	The influence of immunosuppression on the safety and efficacy of preventive measures	76
	8.2.	Travell	ers' diarrhoea	78
		8.2.1.	Background	78
		8.2.2.	Treatment and self-treatment	78
	8.3.	Screen	ing for latent tuberculosis	79
	8.4.	Malaria	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	79
	8.5.	Preven	tion of insect bites	80
	8.6.	Guidel	ines for evaluating the returning traveller	80
		8.6.1.	General investigations	80
		8.6.2.	Strongyloidiasis	80
9.	Vacci	nation ar	Ind systematic work-up to consider before introducing immunomodulator therapy	80
	9.1.	Detaile	ed interview	80
	9.2.	Physica	al examination	80
	9.3.	Labora	tory tests	80
	9.4.	Screen	ing for tuberculosis	81
	9.5.	Vaccin	ation	81
Con	tributo	ors		81
Refe	erence	s		81

1. Introduction

The treatment of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) has been revolutionised over the past decade by the increasing use of immunomodulators, mainly azathioprine (AZA)/6-mercaptopurine (6-MP) and methotrexate (MTX), together with the advent of biological therapy. Immunomodulators are being used more often and earlier in the course of the disease.¹ The introduction of biologic agents, especially inhibitors of the key proinflammatory cytokine, tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- α) initiated a new therapeutic era, whose use has grown continuously since their introduction in 1998.² With such immunomodulation, the potential for opportunistic infection is a key safety concern for patients with IBD.

Opportunistic infections pose particular problems for the clinician: they are often difficult to recognise and are associated with appreciable morbidity or mortality, because they are potentially serious and hard to treat effectively. Enhancing awareness and improving the knowledge of gastroenterologists about opportunistic infections are important elements to optimise patient outcomes through the development of preventive or early diagnostic strategies.

A long list of opportunistic infections has been described in patients with IBD. Many questions remain unanswered, not only concerning the need for screening, preventive measures or the best diagnostic approach, but also on appropriate treatment and management of immunomodulator therapy once infection occurs. This led the European Crohn's and Colitis Organisation (ECCO) to establish a Consensus meeting on opportunistic infections in IBD. The formal process of a Consensus meeting has been described,³ but the purpose is to quantify expert opinion in the context of a systematic review of existing evidence. To organise the work, infections were classified into six major topics (see plan). Specific questions were asked for each infectious agent. The different topics were distributed to working groups that comprised junior and senior gastroenterologists with infectious disease experts. Each group performed a systematic review of the literature and answered questions on their topic, using recommendation grades and levels of evidence according to the Oxford Centre for Evidence Based Medicine. A two-day meeting in December 2007 with all participants fashioned the ECCO Statements after tough discussion (Anonymous, Centre for Evidence Based Medicine, Oxford. Levels of evidence and grades of recommendation. http:// www.cebm.net/levels_of_evidence.asp.). This paper is therefore the product of work by gastroenterologists and infectious disease experts. It provides guidance on the prevention, detection and management of opportunistic infections in patients with IBD. After a section on definitions and risk factors for developing opportunistic infection, there are five sections on different infectious agents, followed by a section on information and guidance for patients with IBD travelling frequently or to less economically developed countries. In the final section, a systematic work up and vaccination programme is proposed for consideration in patients exposed to immunomodulator therapies.

The proposals may appear relatively radical, with the potential for major impact on current practice, but we believe that these recommendations will help clinicians optimise patient outcomes by reducing morbidity and mortality related to opportunistic infections in patients with IBD. Since local antibiotic resistance, availability and practice varies, doses of specific drugs are not included. Local guidelines or specialist advice on dose and administration should be consulted as appropriate.

2. Definitions and risk factors

2.1. Definition of an immunocompromised patient

An immunocompromised host has an alteration in phagocytic, cellular, or humoral immunity that increases the risk of an infectious complication or an opportunistic process. Patients may also be immunocompromised if they have a breach of their skin or mucosal defence barriers that permits microorganisms to cause either local or systemic infection.⁴

There is no clearcut definition of an immunocompromised state. Three categories are recognised by the Centers for Disease Control,⁵ depending on the severity of immunosuppression:

- Persons who are severely immunocompromised not as a result of HIV infectionSevere immunosuppression can be the result of congenital immunodeficiency, leukaemia, lymphoma, generalised malignancy or therapy with alkylating agents, antimetabolites, radiation, or large doses of corticosteroids (2 mg/kg body weight, or >20 mg/day of prednisolone, Section 2.4.1)
- 2. Persons with HIV infection
- 3. Persons with conditions that cause limited immune *deficits* (conditions include hyposplenism and renal failure, among others.)

2.2. Definition of opportunistic infection

An opportunistic infection may be defined as a serious, usually progressive infection by a micro-organism that has limited (or no) pathogenic capacity under ordinary circumstances, but which has been able to cause serious disease as a result of the predisposing effect of another disease or of its treatment.⁶ They are sometimes known as infections of unusual occurrence.

2.3. What makes an IBD patient immunocompromised?

ECCO Statement OI 2A

IBD patients should not be considered systemically immunocompromised in the absence of immunomodulatory therapy or malnutrition [EL5, RG D], despite evidence for a defect in mucosal innate immunity. IBD patients on different immunomodulators are probably not equally immunocompromised, but there is currently no single method of evaluating the degree of immunosuppression [EL5, RG D]

There is increasing evidence of an aberrant innate immune response occurring proximally and leading to T-cell activation in IBD.⁷ Evidence includes decreased defensin expression by Paneth cells, impairment of neutrophil chemotaxis and decreased candidacidal or bactericidal functions. Changes in intestinal barrier function, downregulation of junctional complexes and defect in NOD2 pathways contribute substantially to defective innate immunity.^{8,9} Description of the numerous mechanisms contributing to this dysimmunity is beyond the scope of this article. It is notable, however, that preliminary clinical trials of treatments that may stimulate immunity have yielded positive results, which further supports the concept of defective innate immunity in IBD.^{10,11} Despite evidence of defective mucosal immunity, there is no proof of a systemic immune defect in patients with IBD in the absence of concomitant immunomodulator therapy.

Patients with IBD are therefore rendered immunocompromised through their treatment. Immunomodulators commonly used in inflammatory bowel disease are corticosteroids, azathioprine, methotrexate, calcineurin inhibitors, anti-tumor necrosis factor agents, or other biologics. Their modes of action differ, but they all compromise to some extent the patient's immune response. Unfortunately there is no biological means of measuring the degree of immunosuppression in patients with IBD. According to the Centers for Disease Control, IBD patients belong to category '1' (Section 2.1).

2.4. Risk factors for developing an opportunistic infection

ECCO Statement OI 2B

Those particularly at risk for opportunistic infections are patients with combinations of immunomodulator therapies [EL3b, RG C] and those with malnutrition [EL4, RG D], which may be linked to disease severity. In addition, comorbidities should be considered. Age may be an independent risk factor for opportunistic infections in IBD [EL5, RG D]

Predisposing factors not only lower the patient's resistance to opportunistic infection, but enable the infection to develop and progress to an extent that is not otherwise seen.⁶ In the IBD literature, very few data are available regarding risk factors for developing an opportunistic infection. Information was therefore collected from such IBD literature as there is, as well as from patients with rheumatological disease and from the general population. We have defined two categories of risk: those that are external to the patient (immunomodulator therapy, exposure to pathogens, or geographic clustering) and those that are inherent to the patient (age, comorbidity and malnutrition).

2.4.1. Immunomodulator therapy

ECCO Statement OI 2C

The immunomodulators commonly used in IBD and associated with an increased risk of infections include corticosteroids, thiopurines, methotrexate, calcineurin inhibitors, anti-TNF agents and other biologics [EL1, RG A]. For corticosteroids, a total daily dose equivalent to $\geq 20 \text{ mg}$ of prednisolone for ≥ 2 weeks is associated with an increased risk of infections [EL2, RG B]

Viral, bacterial, parasitic and fungal infections have all been associated with the use of immunomodulator therapy in IBD. Despite different mechanisms of action, any of those drugs can lead to any type of infection. No strict correlation between a specific immunomodulator drug and a certain type of infection has been observed. For example, an increased risk of granulomatous infections is generally attributed to anti-TNF therapy, but in a meta-analysis of serious infections during anti-TNF therapy, only 12 of the 126 reported infections were identified as granulomatous.¹² Toruner and colleagues found that corticosteroid use was more commonly associated with fungal (Candida spp.) infections, azathioprine with viral infections and anti-TNF therapy with fungal or mycobacterial infections.¹³ There was, however, considerable overlap. Furthermore, these drugs are commonly prescribed together, so the infectious event might be the consequence of cumulative immunosuppressive activity.

Data that identify immunomodulators as risk factors for opportunistic infection come mainly from the rheumatologic literature.¹⁴ For corticosteroids there are no precise data in the IBD population that identify a dose associated with increased risk of infection. Nevertheless, the risk of post-operative infections has been clearly linked to concurrent use of corticosteroids in IBD patients undergoing elective surgery.¹⁵ In rheumatologic patients, a dose-related, increased risk of infection is associated with concurrent corticosteroids.^{16,17} The overall risk of infection increases for doses of prednisolone >10 mg/day, or cumulative dose >700 mg. In addition, a duration of steroid therapy >2 weeks predisposes to infections.¹⁸

Each immunomodulator carries an increased risk of infection, although to a varying degree that has not yet been quantified. Of fundamental importance is the observation that combinations of immunomodulator therapy are associated with an incremental increase in the relative risk of opportunistic infection (three fold increased risk (OR 2.9, 95% CI 1.5–5.3) of opportunistic infection if any one immunomodulator was used, increasing substantially (OR 14.5, 95% CI 4.9–43) if two or more drugs were used concomitantly).¹³

2.4.2. Exposure to pathogens and geographic clustering

ECCO Statement OI 2D

Exposure to pathogen is a risk factor for opportunistic infection in the immunocompromised population. Avoiding close contact with pathogens and endemic areas may be beneficial in reducing the risk of infection in IBD patients [EL5, RG D]. Special consideration should be given to patients from endemic areas, or patients who do not respond to immunomodulators as expected

For pathogens that are ubiquitous, it is impractical to reduce exposure. However, it is logical to avoid high intensity exposure (such as sharing a room with a person, including a child, with active infection). Living in an area where tuberculosis or other diseases such as histoplasmosis or coccidioidomycosis are endemic, inevitably increases the risk for contracting an opportunistic infection in the normal population, let alone those who are on immunomodulator therapy.¹⁹ Consequently special attention should be given to patients travelling to or living in areas of endemic infection. This is specifically addressed in Section 8. Several microorganisms have been shown to be capable of replicating in water. In addition, both municipal water and ice cubes in drinks have been the source of nosocomial outbreaks of infection. In less economically developed countries, the immunocompromised patient may best be advised to avoid tap water and ice made from tap water.²⁰

2.4.3. Age

Immunosenescence is defined as the state of dysregulated immune function that contributes to an increased susceptibility of the elderly to infection and possibly to autoimmune disease and cancer.²¹ In this population, there is good evidence of functional alterations in cells from the innate and adaptative immune systems.^{22–25} Despite this background, there is surprisingly little evidence that immune dysregulation has direct relevance to the infections commonly seen in the elderly population, except for reactivation of tuberculosis and decreased effectiveness of influenza vaccination in the elderly.

On the other hand, there are data to demonstrate that certain infections are more prevalent in the elderly than in younger adults. This increased prevalence ranges from 3–20 fold for community-acquired pneumonia and urinary tract infections respectively. The most commonly encountered infections in the elderly are from pyogenic bacteria. In contrast (and perhaps notable from an immunopathogenic perspective), viral infections are rare in comparison with the younger population, with the specific and again notable exceptions of influenza, reactivation of herpes zoster and viral gastroenteritis.²²

Although increasing age is without doubt a risk factor for infection in the general population, it is surprising that this was not found in many series, 26,27 although, a single case–control study of 100 patients identified age >50 as a further predisposing factor (OR 3.0, 95% CI 1.2–7.2 relative to age <25 years). This is an important practical consideration.¹³ It is of greatest importance to remain cautious when treating this subgroup of the IBD population, especially with anti-TNF therapy. Increasing age has also been identified as a significant predictor of infection in a cohort with rheumatoid arthritis.¹⁶

2.4.4. Comorbidities

Four comorbidities have been identified as significant risk factors for infection in rheumatoid arthritis patients: chronic lung disease, alcoholism, organic brain disease and diabetes mellitus.¹⁶ No relevant comorbidities have been associated with infections in patients with IBD. It seems likely that this reflects the youthful age and limited co-morbidity of most patients with IBD, and as with age, pragmatic caution is again advisable when considering immunomodulator therapy in patients with comorbid conditions.

2.4.5. Malnutrition

Malnutrition appears to be the major cause of decreased immune function worldwide. It is not only a major risk factor

for infection, but conversely chronic infection is itself an important cause of malnutrition, because it increases metabolic demand over a long period.²² Without adequate nutrition, the immune system is deprived of the components needed to generate an effective immune response. The immune response can in turn influence nutritional status, since TNF α has a profound influence on nutrient absorption and metabolism.²⁸

Nutritional deficiency is associated with impaired cellmediated immunity, as well as decreased phagocyte function, cytokine production, secretory antibody affinity and response, and impairment of the complement system.²⁹ Immune disorders related to nutritional deficiency range from increased opportunistic infections and cancers to suboptimal responses to vaccinations.²⁸ Consistent with cause and effect, supplements of micronutrients improve immune responses, reduce the incidence of respiratory infections and ameliorate the impaired response to vaccination.³⁰ Nutritional deficiency is common in Crohn's disease and micronutrient deficiency (such as to zinc, copper, or selenium) often go unrecognised.

A person at "nutritional risk" is someone whose consumption and/or absorption of specific nutrients is deficient.²⁸ Numerous factors contribute to malnutrition in IBD: anorexia (due to increased levels of cytokines); drug– nutrient interaction (corticosteroids decrease intestinal absorption and increase renal excretion of calcium; sulphasalazine decreases folate absorption); malabsorption (bacterial overgrowth causing steatorrhoea affects fat-soluble vitamins and B12 absorption); inadequate intake (fear of abdominal pain, or altered taste sensation with metronidazole); reduced caloric intake due to partial small bowel obstruction; ileal resection (vitamin B12); and jejunal disease or resection (iron deficiency), let alone short bowel syndrome.³¹

Depressed cellular immunity has been observed in malnourished CD, both *in vivo* and *in vitro*.³² Nevertheless, the correlation between malnutrition and risk of infection has not been extensively studied in IBD. Yamamoto found an increased risk of intra-abdominal septic complications in patients with an albumin level of <30 g/L.³³ It is still unclear whether this was cause or consequence, since a low serum albumin often reflects decreased synthesis as a consequence of infection or disease activity and is not a good way of assessing malnutrition in IBD patients. By comparison, a low serum total protein or albumin has been associated with opportunistic infection in patients with polymyositis or dermatomyositis.³⁴

Better measures of nutritional status are the body mass index (BMI) and the simple expedient of asking a dietitian to conduct a formal nutritional assessment of intake and expenditure. Evaluation is readily achieved when a dietitian is part of the IBD service, conducting a clinic parallel to an IBD clinic. Formal dietetic assessment when starting immunomodulator therapy (or, indeed when considering surgery) in those with a BMI <20 kg/m² is something that rarely occurs to a gastroenterologist. Since nutritional support can reverse the impact of malnutrition on impaired immune function, it is a practical measure that should readily be implemented. The lack of evidence supporting this approach simply reflects the lack of research in this area.

3. Hepatitis C virus, hepatitis B virus and human immunodeficiency virus

3.1. Hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection

ECCO Statement OI 3A

No Consensus could be reached for HCV screening prior to starting immunomodulators. Immunomodulators are not necessarily contraindicated in active chronic HCV (HCVAb+, HCV RNA+). The decision depends on the severity of IBD and the stage of the liver disease

Acute HCV infection should be treated according to standard practice without stopping immuno-modulators [EL5, RG D]

3.1.1. Background

The hepatitis C virus (HCV) is a hepatotropic RNA virus that belongs to the family flaviviridae. In Europe it is estimated that 0.2-2% of the population is infected with HCV. In most cases transmission of hepatitis C virus occurs parenterally. Sexual, perinatal, and sporadic transmission are reported, but infrequent. Acute HCV infection is often asymptomatic without jaundice. Chronic HCV infection develops in about 85% of all cases. Among patients with chronic HCV infection, 20% develop liver cirrhosis within 20 years of disease duration, with a high rate of hepatocellular carcinoma (1–2% per year).

3.1.2. Impact of immunomodulator therapy on the natural history of the disease

The effect of corticosteroids on the course of HCV infection in IBD patients has not been studied. Data from liver transplant patients suggest that slow tapering of steroids after liver transplantation for patients with HCV infection should be recommended, to improve HCV-related liver disease.³⁵ It is reasonable to assume, therefore, corticosteroids used in the treatment of IBD, have no detrimental effect on the course of HCV.

The impact of azathioprine on HCV infection in IBD patients has also not been evaluated. It has been demonstrated *in vitro* that azathioprine has antiviral activity against flaviviridae, including HCV.³⁶ Once again, extrapolating from patients undergoing liver transplantation for HCV infection, azathioprine can be used in IBD patients infected with HCV. As for methotrexate, a small series of hepatitis C patients with arthropathy showed no detrimental effect from treatment with methotrexate.³⁷

Likewise, the role of TNF- α in the regulation and replication of HCV is unclear. Case series suggest that anti-TNF therapy has no adverse effect or might even improve HCV infection.^{38–42} Peterson presented data on 22 HCV patients treated with either infliximab or etanercept for rheumatoid arthritis.⁴³ There were no significant differences between liver function tests and viremia assessments at baseline and follow up.

The best evidence that anti-TNF therapy might be beneficial for HCV infection comes from a study of etanercept as an adjuvant to interferon and ribavirin therapy for naïve patients with chronic HCV infection.⁴⁴ Anti-TNF strategy seems to improve virological response to a combined IFN- α_{2b} /ribavirin therapy in these patients.

3.1.3. Preventive measures

General measures to reduce or prevent HCV infection are appropriate, since vaccination or chemoprophylaxis for potential infection is not available.

3.1.4. Diagnostic approach, screening and treatment of underlying infection

Diagnostic approach and screening

No Consensus could be reached for HCV screening (including HCV antibody testing or HCV PCR in the event of positive antibody testing) prior to starting immunomodulators.

Treatment of the infection

Immunodulators can be used in IBD patients regardless of concomitant HCV infection. On the other hand, antiviral treatment for HCV infection in conjunction with Crohn's disease is generally not recommended, since interferon therapy may worsen disease, although this remains controversial.⁴⁵ This is in contrast to ulcerative colitis where interferon therapy does not appear to have an adverse affect.⁴⁶

3.1.5. Infection occurring during immunomodulator therapy

There are no reports of acute HCV infection developing during immunomodulator therapy. Interruption of immunomodulator therapy is not necessarily recommended.

3.2. Hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection

ECCO Statement OI 3B

HBV vaccination is recommended in all HBV seronegative patients with inflammatory bowel disease. Efficacy of hepatitis B vaccination is influenced by the number of immunomodulators given [EL3b, RG B]. Higher doses of the immunising antigen may be necessary to achieve success [EL3b, RG C]. Serological response should be measured after the completion of vaccination

ECCO Statement OI 3C

Before and during immunomodulator treatment, HBsAg+ carriers should receive pre-emptive therapy with anti-viral agents (nucleoside/nucleotide analogues) regardless of the degree of viremia in order to avoid hepatitis B flare [EL4, RG D]

ECCO Statement OI 3D

All IBD patients should be tested for HBV (HBsAg, anti-HBs, anti-HBcAb) to rule out HBV infection [EL5, RG D]

ECCO Statement OI 3E

Patients with evidence of chronic active HBV infection should receive standard antiviral therapy [EL1, RG B]. As IFN therapy might worsen underlying inflammatory bowel disease, nucleoside/nucleotide analogues should be used preferentially [EL5, RG D]

ECCO Statement OI 3F

There is no established treatment for acute HBV infection. Immunosuppressive therapy should be delayed until resolution of acute infection [EL5, RG D]

3.2.1. Background

Hepatitis B (HBV) virus is a hepatotropic DNA virus belonging to the Hepadna virus family. HBV is transmitted parenterally, sexually, and perinatally. Approximately 70% of patients with acute hepatitis B have anicteric or subclinical hepatitis, while the remainder present with icteric hepatitis, or occasionally fulminant hepatic failure. The rate of progression from acute to chronic hepatitis B depends largely on the age of infection. It is estimated at 90% for infection acquired perinatally and 20-50%, or 5% for infection at age 1-5 years or during adulthood, respectively.⁴⁷⁻⁵⁰ Chronic hepatitis B is characterised by viral replication in hepatocytes and the immune response towards the virus, with consequent hepatitic necrosis and inflammatory response. The early phase of chronic HBV infection features high viral replication associated with active liver disease, while the later, low replicative phase is characterised by remission of overt liver disease. In contrast, patients with perinatal HBV infection exhibit another clinical course during their early decades, with active viral replication and the absence of hepatic injury, which is considered an immunotolerant phase of disease. It is important to note that even in patients who recover from acute hepatitis B, HBV DNA is still detectable in the hepatocytes of most patients.⁵¹ In some of these patients traces of HBV DNA are detectable in peripheral blood many years after resolution of acute hepatitis B.^{52,53}

A flare of HBV infection refers to an abrupt increase transaminases in patients with chronic hepatitis B. Acute flares reflect an increase in the immune response against HBV, which might explain why flares of disease are predominantly associated with withdrawal of immunosuppressive therapy (e.g. corticosteroids or cytotoxic agents). They rarely occur during immunosuppression. Indeed, corticosteroid 'priming' (a deliberate short course of corticosteroid treatment followed by abrupt withdrawal) has been evaluated as a strategy to increase the response rate towards antiviral therapy in HBV, although ineffective. Post-steroid flares have been associated with hepatic decompensation.

3.2.2. Impact of immunomodulator therapy on the natural history of the disease

The effect of corticosteroid, immunomodulator, or anti-TNF α therapy on the course of HBV infection in IBD patients has not been studied prospectively. Consequently, recommendations for the management of chronic HBV infection during immunomodulator therapy are based on observations in patients undergoing treatment for other chronic inflammatory conditions, or cytotoxic treatment of solid tumors or haematologic malignancies, as described by societies including the American Association for the Study of Liver Disease (AASLD).⁵⁴ Data derived from HBsAg+ cancer patients indicates that reactivation of HBV replication occurs in 20–50% of hepatitis B carriers undergoing immunosuppressive or cancer chemotherapy. Most are asymptomatic flares, but icteric flares and even hepatic decompensation or death have been observed.⁵⁴ Reactivation of HBV replication in patients treated for lymphoma are more common when chemotherapy regimens include corticosteroids.⁵⁵ There are case reports of symptomatic and severe HBV flares in HBsAg+ IBD patients receiving infliximab.^{56–58} One report has described HBV reactivation in an anti-HBcAb+ and HBsAg– patient.⁵⁹

3.2.3. Preventive measures

Seronegative patients

HBV vaccination is recommended in all seronegative IBD patients, because of the potential consequence of steroids or immunomodulator therapy should HBV be acquired. It is reasonable to take the risk of acquiring HBV into account. Seropositive patients with prior evidence of HBV infection HBsAg+ patients: In chronic HBsAg+ carriers, prophylactic antiviral treatment with nucleotide/nucleoside analogues is recommended, best started 2 weeks prior to the introduction of steroids, azathioprine, or anti-TNF α therapy and continued for 6 months after their withdrawal. In line with recommendations from AASLD, patients with high baseline HBV DNA levels (>2000 IU/mL), should continue antiviral treatment until endpoints applicable to immunocompetent patients are reached, according to specific guidelines for HBV treatment. Most data exists for lamivudine, but other nucleotide/nucleoside analogues may be used. If immunomodulator therapy (such as azathioprine) is expected to last >12 months, nucleotide/nucleoside analogues with a lower propensity than lamivudine for provoking drugresistant mutations of HBV DNA might be preferred. Interferon-alpha (IFN α) is best avoided for two reasons: first, IFN α may exacerbate Crohn's disease and second, IFN α may cause additional bone marrow suppression.

HBsAg- patients: HBV reactivation may occur in patients who are HBsAg-negative but anti-HBc and anti-HBs-positive, as well as in those with isolated anti-HBc. Since this is infrequent and information in the patient population receiving cytotoxic or immunosuppressive therapy is limited, routine prophylaxis for these individuals is not recommended.^{60,61} Such patients should be monitored routinely for elevation of AST/ALT, as well as for changes in HBV serology and HBV DNA as clinically indicated.

3.2.4. Diagnostic approach, screening and treatment of underlying infection

Diagnostic approach and screening

All IBD patients are best tested for HBV infection (HBsAg, anti-Hbs Ab, anti-HBcAb) to assess infection or vaccination status. In patients presenting with evidence of HBV infection, HBeAg, anti-HBe, and HBV DNA should be assessed as recommended by local guidelines for the management of HBV. *Treatment of chronic HBV infection*

Treatment with IFN- α of chronic active HBV infection and concomitant Crohn's disease is generally not recommended,

because IFN- α may exacerbate Crohn's disease. Patients with ulcerative colitis and concomitant HBV infection may receive IFN- α , since an adverse effect on the course of IBD is less likely.⁴⁶ Nucleotide/nucleoside analogues have not been tested in IBD patients on immunomodulator treatment, but case series suggest that they are safe and effective.

3.2.5. Infection occurring during immunomodulator therapy

There are no reports of newly acquired (acute) HBV infections during immunomodulator or biological therapies. Apart from fulminant hepatitis, where expert opinion has advocated nucleotide/nucleoside treatment, there is no established treatment for acute HBV infection. HBV infection in adults resolves in the vast majority of patients. Corticosteroids may increase the replication rate of HBV by direct effects on viral replication as well as inhibition of the immune response and might worsen disease or increase the chance of chronic infection. The effect of immunomodulators on acute HBV infection has not been studied prospectively.

3.3. Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection

ECCO Statement OI 3G Testing for HIV should be considered for patients with inflammatory bowel disease prior to starting immunomodulator therapy, based on anecdotal reports of increased risk and severity of HIVrelated infections in patients receiving immunomodulator therapy [EL4, RG D]. Re-testing is indicated for patients at high-risk

ECCO Statement OI 3H

The diagnosis of inflammatory bowel disease in HIVpositive patients, should be reviewed and treatment managed in conjunction with appropriate specialists [EL5, RG D]. Treatment of HIV in patients with inflammatory bowel disease, including chemoprophylaxis, should follow standard guidelines [EL1, RG B]. Immunomodulators are not necessarily contraindicated in HIV-positive patients [EL4, RG D]

3.3.1. Background

The human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) belongs to the human retrovirus family. The hallmark of HIV is transcription of its genomic RNA to DNA by an enzyme called 'reverse transcriptase'. Infection is mediated by binding of viral gp120 to the CD4 co-receptor that is expressed on the surface of CD4+T helper cells, monocytes/macrophages and dendritic cells. Certain co-receptors such as CCR5 and CXCR4 are mandatory for viral entry. The consequence is a progressive quantitative and qualitative deficiency of T-helper cells and a subsequent impairment of T-cell mediated immune responses. If T-helper cell concentrations ultimately decline below a certain threshold, patients are at high risk of developing opportunistic

diseases, including infections and malignancies that are AIDSdefining illnesses. Transmission of HIV occurs by homo- or heterosexual contact, blood or blood products and by infected mothers to their infants, whether intrapartum, perinatally, or via breast feeding. The clinical manifestations of HIV infection comprise a broad spectrum from an acute HIV syndrome associated with primary infection, to a prolonged phase of clinical latency, to the state of symptomatic advanced disease. Thanks to highly active anti-retroviral therapy (HAART), viral replication can be effectively suppressed, so that an almost normal immune status can be regained in HIV-infected patients.

3.3.2. Impact of immunomodulator therapy on the natural history of the disease

The effect of corticosteroids on the course of HIV infection in IBD patients has not been studied. Corticosteroids are known to decrease CD4+ counts after acute administration. which may be a consequence of re-distribution of leukocytes. Chronic corticosteroid administration has a lesser effect.⁶² Nevertheless, corticosteroids are used as adjunctive therapy in the treatment of complications of HIV infections such as lymphoma or Pneumocystis jiroveci infection.63 A single centre study investigated the effects of 40 mg/day prednisolone as an adjunct to antiretroviral therapy in 24 HIVinfected subjects with >200 CD4+ T cells/mm³ in a randomized placebo-controlled trial. After 8 weeks, no effect was observed on markers of T-cell activation or apoptosis. Two subjects assigned to prednisolone were subsequently found to have asymptomatic osteonecrosis of the hip. The authors concluded that the potential role of corticosteroids as adjunct therapy will be limited by concerns regarding their toxicity; however, further studies of other agents to limit cellular activation in AIDS are warranted.⁶⁴ It is reasonable to use corticosteroids for the therapy of IBD patients with HIV infection receiving HAART who have achieved immune reconstitution and undetectable viral loads, but no data are available.

Azathioprine and its effect on HIV infection in IBD patients have also not been evaluated. Anecdotal evidence suggests that treatment with azathioprine leads to exacerbation of HIV disease and should be avoided.⁶⁵ However, there is one case report describing long-term non-progressive HIV-1 infection and excellent graft survival in a patient after renal transplantation receiving a conventional immunosuppressive regimen, namely azathioprine and prednisolone.⁶⁶ No data on the use of azathioprine in patients with IBD and active or successfully treated HIV infection are available, so as with steroids, carefully monitored treatment is appropriate if necessitated by the clinical pattern of IBD.

TNF- α has been implicated in the pathogenesis of HIV infection, by contributing to HIV replication through activation of NF- κ B.⁶⁷ Increased TNF- α concentrations have also been associated with advanced stages of HIV infection and the occurrence of infectious complications. It has also been proposed that increased circulating TNF- α , interpreted as a reflection of a frustrated immune response unable to control HIV,⁶⁸ may even accelerate the disease.⁶⁹ There are, however some studies on the effects of anti-TNF- α therapy on the course of HIV infection which have been reviewed.⁷⁰ These small studies of 6–11 patients have indicated that neither infliximab nor etanercept in single (etanercept) or

double doses (infliximab 10 mg/kg two weeks apart) worsened HIV infection. A third study investigated the effect of a four week therapy with etanercept (25 mg twice weekly) in 16 untreated HIV patients with smear positive tuberculosis and CD4+ cells >200/mm³. The clinical response to antituberculous chemotherapy was at equivalent or superior to a historical treatment group,⁷¹ although it is difficult to recommend such a high-risk strategy. These data suggest that anti-TNF therapy may be given to IBD patients with coexisting HIV infection and might not have the detrimental effects on HIV infection that theory might suggest.⁷²

3.3.3. Preventive measures

General measures to prevent HIV infection are appropriate. These include educational initiatives to avoid sexual transmission by using condoms and avoiding shared needles in intravenous drug users. Post-exposure prophylaxis is appropriate for health professionals exposed to contaminated injection needles or blood from HIV-positive individuals. Local guidelines are likely to be available and specialist advice is appropriate.

3.3.4. Diagnostic approach, screening and treatment of the underlying infection

Diagnostic approach and screening

All IBD patients undergoing immunomodulator or biological therapy are best tested for HIV infection (through HIV p24 antigen and antibody testing, with PCR only if acute infection is suspected) to rule out active infection, because of the potential consequence of such therapy should HIV be acquired. It is reasonable to take the risk of acquiring HIV into account.

Treatment of the infection

Due to the lack of clinical data on the effect of immunereconstitution following treatment with HAART on the course of concomitant HIV and IBD, no recommendations are available.⁷⁰ It is reasonable to assume that HAART will control viral replication and induce immune reconstitution, so that HIV-infected IBD patients will have fewer infectious complications from immunosuppressive IBD therapy than if they did not receive HAART. However, the different immunopathology of Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis may mean that the effects and benefits of HAART on the underlying IBD may also differ.

The susceptibility to infection of IBD patients suffering from HIV greatly depends on the success of HAART. When the CD4+ count is $>350/\mu$ l the risk may be little different to those without HIV. However, potential interactions between immunomodulators and HAART, apart from possible modification of the success of HAART, are largely unknown. There may be cumulative, additive, synergistic, or antagonistic effects of the different drugs in terms of pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, or side effects (www.hiv-druginteractions.org).

3.3.5. Infection occurring during immunomodulator therapy

There are no reports of acute HIV infections during immunomodulator or biological therapy. From a practical point of view, symptomatic HIV infection should be treated according to current guidelines. Interruption of immunomodulator or biological therapy should be considered if there is no response to HAART (either by clinical improvement, or increase in CD4+ count).

4. Herpesviruses (HSV, VZV, EBV, CMV), human papilloma virus, JC virus and influenza virus

4.1. Cytomegalovirus (CMV) infection

ECCO statement OI 4A

Screening for a latent or subclinical CMV infection is not necessary before starting immunomodulator therapy [EL2, RG B]. Latent or subclinical CMV infection is no contraindication for an immunomodulator therapy [EL2, RG B]. CMV colitis should be excluded, preferably by tissue PCR or immunohistochemistry, in immunomodulatory refractory cases of IBD before increasing immunomodulator therapy [EL3, RG C]. In case of severe colitis with CMV detected in the mucosa during immunomodulator therapy, antiviral therapy should be initiated and discontinuation of immunomodulators considered until colitis symptoms improve. In case of systemic CMV infection immunomodulator therapy must be discontinued [EL2, RG B]

4.1.1. Background

The majority of primary infections with CMV are asymptomatic. Clinically apparent infections may present as a mononucleosis-like syndrome, but can affect virtually any organ.^{73,74} Although CMV may persist in a latent form after primary infection, development of severe CMV-related disease during or after immunosuppressive therapy is rare in IBD. There is, however, a risk of hepatitis, colitis, oesophagitis, pneumonia, encephalitis or retinitis.73-75 Although CMV has a world-wide distribution, the prevalence of CMV is higher in developing countries, or areas with poor socioeconomic conditions. This is probably related to closer physical contact, since CMV is transmitted via close personal contact with affected persons excreting the virus in their body fluids, or shedding from throat or uterine cervix.74 10-20% of children are infected with CMV before puberty and CMV seroprevalence increases after infancy to 40-100% in adults.^{74,76} CMV colitis mimicking an acute exacerbation of ulcerative colitis (UC) or Crohn's disease (CD) is associated with a poor outcome and a higher colectomy rate.77-79

4.1.2. Impact of immunomodulator therapy on natural history of the disease

Immunomodulator therapy is often associated with subclinical reactivation of latent CMV infection.⁸⁰ This reactivation is usually asymptomatic, or characterised by a mild, self-limited course. Serious tissue damage is very rare.^{73,81} It is appropriate to draw a distinction between CMV *infection* (detectable by serology or viral DNA), and CMV *disease* (such as colitis, causing end-organ damage).

Several studies have suggested an association between infection with CMV and steroid- or therapy-resistant IBD and

complications, including toxic megacolon.^{78,82–91} A causal relationship, however, has not been proven. CMV infection is common in immunocompromised patients with IBD, but not all CMV infections lead to clinical disease.⁹² Matsuoka has demonstrated that CMV is frequently reactivated in patients with UC treated with steroids or 6-mercatopurine, but disappears without antiviral therapy.⁹³ In their series, CMV antigen concentrations were low in all patients and none had clinical symptoms or CMV detected in biopsy specimens. These data agree with previous studies showing that subclinical reactivation of CMV during immunomodulator or biological therapy is continued.^{94–96} Consequently, with the exception of severe infection (see below), immunomodulator.

4.1.3. Preventive measures

There is no CMV vaccine available. Although different nucleoside analogues are effective therapy for severe CMV infection, the potential for adverse events does not justify standard chemoprophylaxis.^{73,74,80}

4.1.4. Diagnostic approach, screening and treatment of the underlying infection

Diagnostic approach and screening

Only a minority of CMV infections lead to clinical disease, so screening for subclinical CMV infection in IBD patients is not indicated. Different techniques for the diagnosis of CMV infection are available (Table 1). The high seroprevalence in the adult population means that serology is of limited value for the diagnosis of active infection, but detection of CMV-specific antibodies can be used to diagnose recent infection (CMV IgM, change in IgG concentration, or IgG avidity). This identifies patients at risk from CMV reactivation (CMV IgG).^{74,76,97} Conventional viral culture and the faster shell vial culture are highly specific, but have disadvantages including long incubation, lack of viral quantitation, false-negative results if cell culture inoculation is delayed, and lower sensitivity compared to antigenaemia assays or PCR. CMV antigenaemia assays are only semiguantitative, but act as an indirect marker of disseminated infection. They are sufficiently rapid to monitor infection and antiviral treatment in immunocompromised patients, if measurement of viral load by PCR is not readily available.^{74,97} The most commonly used technique for diagnosis of CMV infection and disease is detection of CMV DNA through PCR. The advantages of PCR are rapid results, high sensitivity, the potential for qualitative and quantitative testing, detection in a wide range of samples (whole blood, buffy coat specimens, bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid, stool) and applicability in neutropenic patients. 74, 76, 97

In patients with severe colitis, CMV has been reported in colonic tissue in 21–34% and in 33–36% of steroidrefractory colitis.⁸⁰ Histopathology combined with immunohistochemistry (IHC, using monoclonal antibodies against CMV immediate early antigen) are highly specific and sensitive for verifying CMV infection in tissue or biopsies.

Treatment of the infection

Ganciclovir (for 2-3 weeks) is the therapy of choice for CMV infections. After 3-5 days, a switch to oral

Method		Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)
Histology	H&E staining	10–87	92–100
	Immunohistochemistry	78–93	92-100
Serology	CMV IgM	100	99
	CMV IgG	98–100	96–99
Viral culture	Conventional	45–78	89-100
	Shell vial culture	68-100	89-100
Antigenaemia assay		60–100	83–100
DNA tests	PCR	65-100	40–100

 Table 1
 Sensitivity and specificity of different techniques for diagnosis of CMV infection.⁸⁰

valganciclovir for the rest of the 2- to 3-week course may be considered if available, depending on the clinical course and local specialist advice.^{80,81} In cases of ganciclovir resistance or intolerance (e.g. myelotoxicity), foscarnet (for 2–3 weeks) is an alternative.^{80,81,98}

4.1.5. Infection occurring during immunomodulator therapy

Subclinical or mildly symptomatic reactivation does not require treatment or interruption of immunomodulator therapy and usually passes unrecognised. Severe, systemic CMV reactivation causing meningo-encephalitis, pneumonitis, hepatitis, oesophagitis, or colitis, is rare, but associated with a poor outcome.^{77,79,80} Prompt antiviral treatment with ganciclovir or other agents and discontinuation of immunosuppressive agents is associated with clinical improvement and decreased mortality,^{77–80} so are recommended.

4.2. Herpes simplex virus (HSV)

ECCO statement OI 4B

Screening for latent HSV infection or chemoprophylaxis before onset of immunomodulator therapy is unnecessary [EL2, RG B]. Past or latent HSV infection is no contraindication to immunomodulator therapy [EL2, RG B]. In case of recurrent labial or genital HSV infection, oral antiviral therapy should be considered during immunomodulator therapy [EL2, RG C]. HSV colitis is best excluded by immunohistochemistry or tissue PCR as a cause of immunomodulatory refractory IBD before increasing immunomodulator therapy [EL4, RG D]. In the event of severe HSV during immunomodulator therapy, antiviral therapy should be initiated and immunomodulators discontinued till improvement of symptoms [EL4, RG C]

4.2.1. Background

Primary infection with HSV in immunocompetent individuals usually causes an asymptomatic or mild, self-limited oral–labial (generally HSV type 1) or genital (generally HSV type 2) infection, followed by latent HSV persistence in nerve ganglia.^{75,99} Seroprevalence for *Herpes simplex* virus type 1 (HSV-1) and type 2 (HSV-2) depends on different factors including age, gender, country, region within the country and population subgroup. The worldwide prevalence of HSV-1 by the fourth decade is 45-98%.⁹⁹ HSV-2 seroprevalence correlates with age and gender (higher in women), rising with initiation of sexual activity in adolescence and increasing through adulthood.¹⁰⁰ It is negligible under the age of 12 years, increases to a peak between 15 and 24 years of age and declines with advanced age.

4.2.2. Impact of immunomodulator therapy on natural history of the disease

In immunocompromised individuals HSV infections have a greater potential for dissemination.⁷⁵ HSV reactivation may cause severe systemic infections associated with significant morbidity and mortality including encephalitis, meningitis, pneumonia, oesophagitis, colitis, or hepatitis.^{101–106} Cell-mediated immunity appears to be the dominant process for controlling viral replication.¹⁰⁰ Recurrent oral or genital herpes may be both more frequent and severe in immuno-compromised patients.¹⁰⁷

4.2.3. Preventive measures

There is no vaccine available for HSV. Chemoprophylaxis for HSV infection is unnecessary for the same reasons as CMV (Section 4.1.3). In the event of recurrent labial or genital HSV infection, oral antiviral therapy should be considered: aciclovir 400 mg twice daily, valaciclovir 500 mg daily, or famciclovir 250 mg twice daily are appropriate.¹⁰⁸

4.2.4. Diagnostic approach, screening and treatment of the underlying infection

Diagnostic approach and screening

The presence of high titres of anti-HSV IgG in the serum, the appearance of HSV-specific IgM, or increasing titres of anti-HSV IgG, are indicators of relapsing HSV infection, but only few patients with recurrent HSV infection show a large increase in the HSV antibody titre. Serologic detection of HSV antibodies indicates prior exposure to HSV, but is inadequate for diagnosis. The diagnostic gold standards for HSV infection is PCR or IHC from affected tissue or biopsies.⁹⁹ Screening for latent HSV-infection in IBD patient is not indicated.

Treatment of the infection

The nucleoside analogue aciclovir is effective therapy.^{75,107} Aciclovir selectively inhibits the replication of herpesviruses by inhibiting the viral polymerase after intracellular uptake and conversion to aciclovir triphosphate.¹⁰⁹ Other antiviral drugs for the treatment of HSV infection are valaciclovir, a prodrug of aciclovir, penciclovir, or its prodrug famciclovir.^{75,107}

4.2.5. Infection occurring during immunomodulator therapy

Since most cases of systemic HSV reactivation in immunocompromised patients are subclinical or run a mild, self-limited course, they do not require discontinuation of immunomodulators or systemic antiviral therapy.¹¹⁰ Nevertheless, immunomodulators should not be initiated during active HSV infection, since it may exacerbate or disseminate during immunosuppressive therapy.⁷⁵ Thought should be given to the potential for disseminated HSV infection when considering azathioprine in a patient with active labial or genital HSV. Severe HSV infection causing hepatitis,^{101,104,111} encephalitis,¹¹² colitis,^{102,106,111,113} or pneumonitis^{104,114} during immunosuppressive therapy for IBD are extremely rare. Antiviral therapy with intravenous aciclovir or alternative (Section 4.2.4) and discontinuation of immunosuppressants are appropriate.^{102,106,113} HSV colitis is very rare even in patients with IBD, but it might cause or mimic an acute relapse.^{102,106,111,113} The risk of colectomy is high.¹⁰⁶

4.3. Varicella zoster virus (VZV)

ECCO statement OI 4C

If the medical history of chickenpox, shingles and VZV vaccination is negative, immunisation with VZV vaccine should be performed at least 3 weeks before onset of immunomodulator therapy, and preferably at diagnosis of IBD [EL5, RG D]. Previous VZV infection is not a contraindication to immunomodulator therapy, but should not be started during active infection with chickenpox or herpes zoster [EL4, RG D]. In the event of VZV infection during immunomodulator therapy, antiviral treatment should be started [EL1, RG B] and immunomodulator therapy discontinued in severe cases if possible [EL5, RG D]. Reintroduction of IM therapy is possible after vesicles and fever have resolved [EL5, RG D]

4.3.1. Background

Unlike other herpesviruses, primary infection with VZV is nearly always symptomatic. It causes chickenpox (varicella), characterised by fever, malaise and typical vesicular skin lesions¹¹⁵ and after reactivation of latent VZV in dorsal root ganglia, herpes zoster (shingles) may develop. In the prevaccine era almost all children became infected by the age of 15 years.

4.3.2. Impact of immunomodulator therapy on the natural history of the disease

Reactivation of VZV is mainly found in patients aged >50 years or immunocompromised patients. It typically manifests as a painful, unilateral, vesicular rash distributed in one or more dermatomes. Immunosuppression increases the risk of dissemination and complications such as pneumonia, hepatitis, encephalitis, or haemorrhagic disorders (thrombocytopenia or disseminated intravascular coagulopathy).^{115,116}

4.3.3. Preventive measures

For children with IBD not on immunomodulator therapy, recommendations for immunisation are the same as the general population.¹¹⁷ Depending on local guidelines, routine live-virus VZV vaccination is given at the age of 12–

18 months with a booster at 11–12 years of age.^{115–118} For immunocompromised children, including those on highdose corticosteroids (14 day course of prednisolone >2 mg/kg pr (or equivalent), or a total of \geq 20 mg prednisolone/day for children with a weight >10 kg), live-virus vaccine is contraindicated until immunomodulators have been discontinued for at least 3 months.¹¹⁸

Unimmunised, immunocompetent adults with IBD should best receive active immunisation with a 2-dose series of live varicella vaccine at least 3 weeks before immunomodulators are started.^{115,118}

Passive immunisation with a high-titre preparation of VZV IgG antibodies (VZIG) is appropriate for unimmunised, seronegative, high-risk patients with IBD (immunosuppression, pregnancy) who have had close exposure to a person with chicken pox or herpes zoster. VZIG should be given within 96 h of exposure in a recommended dose (125 units, or 1 vial/10 kg of body weight to a maximum 625 units).^{115,117,118} After administration of VZIG, patients should be observed for 28 days. In the event of clinical symptoms of VZV infection, immediate antiviral therapy should be initiated¹¹⁸ although specialist advice is best taken.

4.3.4. Diagnostic approach, screening and treatment of the underlying infection

Diagnostic approach and screening

VZV has a worldwide distribution, with a preference for temperate climates, where seroprevalence is >90% in adults.¹¹⁵ Serology is of limited value for the diagnosis of acute VZV infection, because testing for VZV IgM and IgG antibodies lack specificity and sensitivity.¹¹⁵ Nevertheless, detection of VZV IgG antibodies reliably determines former VZV infection if a history of varicella is unknown or uncertain. PCR, viral culture and IHC or hybridization methods are more sensitive for confirming a diagnosis of current VZV infection or reactivation if there is clinical uncertainty.^{115,116}

Treatment of the infection

Aciclovir for chickenpox and zoster and valaciclovir or famciclovir for zoster are licensed antiviral agents. Alternatives in aciclovir-resistant cases are foscarnet.¹¹⁵

4.3.5. Infection occurring during immunomodulator therapy

Primary infection or reactivation of VZV during immunomodulator therapy leading to chickenpox or herpes zoster is uncommon.^{26,110,119–124} Immunomodulators should not be initiated during chickenpox or shingles. Recurrent herpes zoster, depending on the severity or frequency, is a relative contraindication to immunomodulators.¹¹⁵

Only a few cases of severe varicella or herpes zoster associated with immunomodulators in IBD or rheumatoid arthritis have been reported, but most experienced clinicians have seen or heard of a case. However, disseminated VZV should be considered a medical emergency and treated as soon as possible. Except for 3 fatal cases, ^{125–127} all patients recovered after intravenous antiviral therapy with aciclovir. In some cases, immunomodulators were temporarily discontinued^{121,128–138} until improvement of clinical symptoms, then restarted without further problems.

4.4. Epstein–Barr virus (EBV)

ECCO statement OI 4D

Screening for latent or subclinical EBV infection or chemoprophylaxis before onset of immunomodulator therapy is not recommended [EL2a, RG B]. In severe clinical EBV infection during immunomodulator therapy, antiviral therapy should be initiated and immunomodulator therapy discontinued [EL4, RG D]. In the event of EBV-related lymphoma during immunomodulator therapy, immunomodu- lators should be stopped, because discontinuation of immunomodulators often leads to spontaneous regression. In case of absent spontaneous regression or progression of lymphoma after interruption of immunomodulators chemotherapy should be considered [EL4,RGD]

4.4.1. Background

Like other members of the herpesvirus family, EBV infects more than 90% of the world's adult population, regardless of geographical location. EBV seropositivity increases with age (>96% when over 60 years of age).^{139–141} Primary EBV infection is often asymptomatic, or causes infectious mononucleosis, which usually takes a mild and self-limiting course. After primary infection EBV remains latent in circulating B lymphocytes for life.^{139–141}

4.4.2. Impact of immunomodulator therapy on the natural history of the disease

EBV infection has been associated with the development of neoplasia, including lymphoma, sarcoma and carcinoma, especially in those who are immunocompromised.¹⁴² Several studies have shown the potential for self-limited reactivation of latent EBV infection after introduction of immunomodulators, without provoking symptoms or serious EBV-associated disease.^{95,96,143,144} Nevertheless, some data suggest that even a transient increase in EBV DNA load may increase risk of lymphoma.^{95,144} An EBV load of >1000 copies per 500 ng DNA of peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) seems to be associated with an increased risk of lymphoproliferative disorders in heart transplant patients.¹⁴³

4.4.3. Preventive measures

No EBV vaccine is available. Chemoprophylaxis is not recommended, because reactivation during the treatment of IBD leading to serious clinical disease is exceptionally rare.

4.4.4. Diagnostic approach, screening and treatment of the underlying infection

Diagnostic approach and screening

Serological diagnosis of EBV infection use direct immunofluorescence against IgG or IgM antibodies targeting EBV capsid antigen (VCA), as well as IgG antibodies specific for EBV nuclear antigen 1 (EBNA 1). Primary EBV infection is confirmed by detection of VCA IgM in the absence of EBNA 1 IgG. Recent EBV infection is detected by EBNA 1 IgG without VCA IgM. However, VCA IgM antibodies may be undetectable, or their appearance may be delayed during active infection. Diagnosis is further complicated because VCA IgM may persist for several months after infection. Therefore RT-PCR is both more reliable and more sensitive for early, definitive diagnosis of EBV, especially in serologically indeterminate EBV infections.^{145,146}

Treatment of the infection

In most cases EBV infection does not require antiviral treatment and in normal people the clinical benefit of antiviral therapy for infectious mononucleosis has not been established.¹⁴⁷ In the event of severe EBV-associated disease, therapy with aciclovir or ganciclovir may be given, but efficacy against EBV is not as high as for CMV, HSV or VZV.^{75,148} Specialist advice is appropriate.

4.4.5. Infection occurring during immunomodulator therapy

Only two cases of fatal infectious mononucleosis after primary EBV infection associated with azathioprine therapy in patients with Crohn's disease (CD) have been reported.^{149,150} When severe EBV-associated disease occurs in immunocompromised patients, antiviral therapy with aciclovir or ganciclovir is best initiated promptly, despite the lesser efficacy compared to other herpesviruses. It is possible that ganciclovir is more potent than aciclovir for EBV infection and may help prevent lymphoproliferative disorders, but further data are necessary.¹⁴⁸

A higher rate of lymphoma has been reported in patients with IBD, especially if treated with immunomodulators, compared to the general population.^{151,152–169} In transplant recipients, a viral load of > 1000 copies of EBV per 500 ng DNA from PBMCs may be a marker for an increased risk of EBV-associated lymphoproliferative disorders.¹⁴³ Discontinuation of immunosuppressive therapy often results in spontaneous regression of EBV-associated lymphoma.^{157,165} Prophylaxis with aciclovir or ganciclovir after renal transplantation has been reported to reduce the risk of lymphoma in renal transplant recipients, ¹⁴⁸ but the risk of lymphoma is too low to justify this approach in IBD.

4.5. Human papilloma virus (HPV)

ECCO statement OI 4E

Regular gynaecologic screening for cervical cancer is strongly recommended for women with IBD, especially if treated with immunomodulators [EL2a, RG B]. In patients with extensive cutaneous warts and/or condylomata, discontinuation of immunomodulator therapy should be considered [EL5, RG D]. Routine prophylactic HPV vaccination is recommended for women according to national guidelines [EL2a, RG B]. Current or past infection with HPV is no contraindication for immunomodulator therapy [EL2a, RG B]

4.5.1. Background

Human papillomavirus (HPV) is the most common sexually transmitted infection in the world.¹⁷⁰ The distribution varies widely, depending on gender (higher in women than in men), geographical region (higher in poor countries), age, sexual behaviour and viral type, as well as the methods and site of detection.^{171,172} About 40 types of HPV are sexually transmitted. They are classified into low-risk types, associated with anogenital warts or mild dysplasia, and high-risk types associated with high-grade dysplasia and anal neoplasia (cervical and anal carcinoma).^{173,174} Cutaneous warts are also caused by HPV.

4.5.2. Impact of immunomodulator therapy on natural history on the disease

Immunomodulators do not generally aggravate the course of the disease, but there is concern that HPV-associated tumors may be more common after years of immunomodulator therapy.

4.5.3. Preventive measures

Since 2006 a prophylactic quadrivalent vaccine (Gardasil[®], Silgard[®]) using L1 virus-like particles (VLP) of HPV-6, -11, -16 and -18 is available in Europe. In 2007, a bivalent vaccine (Cervarix®) containing L1 VLPs of HPV-16 and -18 was approved in Europe. Both vaccines are highly immunogenic, safe and offer high protection (95–100%) against HPV infection in immunocompetent patients.^{175,176}

Depending on local guidelines, routine HPV vaccination is recommended for females aged 11–12 years before onset of sexual activity. In the event of missed or delayed vaccination, HPV vaccination is also recommended for females aged 13–18 years. It is not recommended for males, females aged younger than 9 years, or older than 26 years because the efficacy, safety and cost-effectiveness of HPV vaccination in these cohorts has not been established.^{177–181} HPV immunisation uses a non-live agent, so it may be administered to immunocompromised IBD patients.¹¹⁷

4.5.4. Diagnostic approach, screening and treatment of the underlying infection

Diagnostic approach and screening

Measurement of serum antibodies (IgG and IgA) to type-specific virus-like particles (VLPs) or capsids is a useful marker of prevalent or persistent HPV exposure and reflects infection whatever the anatomical site. Such antibodies are inadequate for diagnosis of HPV infection, because not all patients seroconvert after HPV exposure and HPV antibodies can take a year or more to appear.^{182,183} Identification of HPV DNA via PCR is specific for diagnosis of a HPV infection, but since HPV infection is transient and usually clears within 2 years, it is limited to the detection of current infection.¹⁸⁴

Cervical smear testing in immunocompromised women is recommended as for the general population.^{185,186} A practical point is to ask female patients on immunomodulators whether they have had a cervical smear. HPV screening is not recommended for men in the general population, because there is currently no evidence that screening or treatment reduces the risk of progression to (anal) cancer in this group.¹⁷⁰

Treatment of the infection

No antiviral agents for eradicating or treating of HPV infections are known. Treatment options for HPV-associated carcinoma include surgery, chemo- and radiotherapy.^{185,187}

4.5.5. Infection occurring during immunomodulator therapy

Two studies describe a higher prevalence of abnormal cervical ('Pap') smears associated with HPV-16 and -18 in women with IBD compared to the general population. The risk of an abnormal smear associated with HPV-16 and -18 has also been reported to increase in patients on immunomodulator therapy.^{188,189} Therefore women with IBD and especially those on immunomodulators are best advised to have regularly screening as high risk patients according to local or ACOG guidelines.¹⁹⁰ They may be considered candidates for HPV vaccine regardless of their sexual history.^{188,189} Nevertheless, infection with HPV is no contraindication to immunosuppression.

Anal carcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) in particular are considered to rare complications of IBD (perhaps more common in those with chronic fistulating Crohn's disease) and may be associated with infection with carcinogenic types of HPV.¹⁸⁷ There are reports of an increased frequency of anogenital warts in immunocompromised patients.¹⁹¹ Discontinuation of immunomodulators may be helpful in patients with extensive anogenital warts. Infection with HPV while on immunomodulators does not otherwise present a clinical problem, although there are occasional cases of disseminated cutaneous warts in patients who have been on azathioprine for years. Treatment is best conducted with a dermatologist, but the risk of exacerbating the underlying IBD by withdrawing azathioprine has to be considered and discussed with the patient.

4.6. JC virus

ECCO Statement OI 4F

Progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML) is caused by reactivation of JC viral infection, which is latently present in 60-80% of adult Europeans. Specific screening recommendations for the risk of PML cannot be issued at present, but prescribing physicians should be aware of the disease [EL5, RG D]

ECCO Statement OI 4G

Patients with profound medical immuno-suppression, specifically those with anti $\alpha 4$ integrin therapy, and with new onset neurological symptoms should receive a contrast enhanced MRI of the brain and lumbar puncture for CSF analysis of JC viral load to detect PML [EL5, RG D]

4.6.1. Background

Progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML), is a rare but usually fatal opportunistic brain infection caused

by reactivation of latent JC (polyoma) virus infection, that has become more common in the HIV/AIDS pandemic. Polyoma virus infection is ubiguitous in Europe, most commonly at young age and usually remains dormant for life. When three cases of PML in patients with multiple sclerosis (MS) and Crohn's disease (CD) were linked to treatment with the anti- α 4 integrin antibody natalizumab, the commercial and investigational use of leucocyte trafficking inhibitors directed at $\alpha 4$ integrins was suspended (February 2005). Natalizumab therapy was subsequently resumed for multiple sclerosis^{192–194} and for CD in the US, but it has as yet been denied a European licence for CD. An FDA warning (2008) about an increased risk of PML among patients treated with monoclonal anti-CD20 antibodies was issued after two patients with systemic lupus erythematosus treated with rituximab died of PML, illustrating the necessity of increased vigilance for other therapeutic antibodies.^{195,196}

4.6.2. Impact of immunomodulator therapy on natural history on the disease

Reactivation of JC virus in the brain results in demyelination, giant astrocytosis and destruction of glial cells. PML is clearly associated with profound immune suppression such as AIDS, organ transplantation and haematological malignancy.

4.6.3. Preventive measures

Because of the risk of PML, natalizumab is available for MS only through a restricted distribution programme called theTOUCH[™]PrescribingProgram (see: http://www.fda.gov/ bbs/topics/NEWS/2006/NEW01380.html, http://www. emea.europa.eu/humandocs/Humans/EPAR/tysabri/ tysabri.htm; http://www.fda.gov/bbs/topics/NEWS/2008/ NEW01775.html,). A similar programme has become available for CD in the US, restricting its use to patients who have refractory disease after failing both immunomodulators and anti-TNF agents. Withdrawal of other immunomodulators, screening for and subsequent monitoring JC virus infection is mandatory. There have now been >20000 patient-treatment years and (as of Q1 2009), at least two further cases of PML associated with natalizumab have been reported. The risk for individuals during extended treatment (>2 years) remains to be established.

4.6.4. Diagnostic approach, screening and treatment of the underlying infection

Anti-adhesion molecule therapy offer major promise for the prevention of relapse of MS and CD, but the currently estimated 1/1500 risk of PML in patients exposed to natalizumab and the lack of adequate therapy for PML, calls for reliable screening strategies.^{197,198} Nevertheless, whether JC viral load assessments in blood, urine, or cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) can predict the risk of PML is debated and needs further study. Patients with medicallyinduced immunosuppression, specifically with anti-integrin therapy, should be closely monitored for new neurological symptoms such as lethargy or personality change, and the appearance of neurological signs should prompt a contrastenhanced cranial MRI and referral to an infectious disease specialist or neurologist for consideration of lumbar puncture to assess CSF JC viral load.

4.6.5. Infection occurring during immunomodulator therapy

No single therapy has demonstrated efficacy for the treatment of PML as a consequence of JC virus reactivation in the brain. Controlled clinical trials with antiviral or cytotoxic agents including interferon-a2b, cytarabine, cidofovir and topotecan have been negative.199 Since PML almost exclusively occurs in immunocompromised patients, any effort to overcome the immunosuppression should be considered. Immunomodulators should be discontinued immediately. Expert opinion from a neurologist or infectious disease specialist experienced in the management of patients with PML should be sought. Case reports, including a patient with multiple sclerosis treated with natalizumab, have suggested benefit of cytarabine for five days.^{193,200} For patients with inflammatory forms of PML identified by MRI and neurologic deterioration, high dose intravenous glucocorticosteroids may be considered to decrease cerebral oedema, although steroids will increase immunosuppression.

4.7. Influenza virus

ECCO Statement OI 4H Patients on immunomodulator therapy are considered to carry an enhanced risk for the development of influenza infections [EL4, RG C]

ECCO Statement OI 4I

An effective strategy to prevent influenza infections consists of annual vaccination with trivalent inactivated influenza vaccine [EL1a, RG A]. Routine influenza vaccination of all patients with inflammatory bowel disease on immunomodulators is recommended [EL2, RG B]. The live attenuated vaccine is not recommended. Vaccination appears not to have an impact on the activity of inflammatory bowel disease [EL4, RG D]. Seroconversion after influenza vaccine is not reduced by corticosteroids, methotrexate or anti-TNF therapy, nor by dual therapy with these agents, so monitoring the serological response is not warranted [EL2a, RG B]. Thiopurines or ciclosporin reduce influenza vaccine seroconversion rates [EL2a, RG B]

ECCO Statement OI 4J

Antiviral treatment in patients diagnosed early with influenza during an epidemic should be considered. Prophylaxis should follow national guidelines [EL5, RG D]

4.7.1. Background

There are two types of influenza virus that cause human epidemics: type A and type B. Influenza virus A is divided into subtypes, of which H1N1 and H3N2 are circulating globally.²⁰¹ Infection with influenza is associated with mortality, depending on risk stratification.²⁰²

4.7.2. Impact of immunomodulator therapy on natural history on the disease

No data exist on the incidence of influenza infection in patients with IBD, but immunomodulator therapy is generally considered to enhance the risk of influenza infection.²⁰¹

4.7.3. Preventive measures

Vaccination

Annual vaccination is the most effective method for preventing influenza virus infection and is therefore recommended for patients on immunomodulators in guidelines from the American Center for Disease Control and Prevention.²⁰¹ Two types of vaccines are available. Live attenuated influenza vaccine (LAIV) should only be used for healthy persons age 5-49 years, so is not recommended for patients on immunomodulators. In contrast, the trivalent inactivated influenza vaccine (TIV) may be used for any person older than 6 months, including those on immunomodulators.²⁰¹ Little is known about the adaptive immune response to influenza vaccination in IBD patients, whether or not on immunomodulators. In organ transplant patients, several studies have shown that immunomodulators diminish antibody development to influenza vaccination, sometimes necessitating a two-dose vaccination regimen.^{203–209} In patients with rheumatoid arthritis, anti- $TNF\alpha$ treatment has been reported to reduce antibody titres after influenza vaccination. A pediatric study in IBD showed a similar reduction of protective antibody development to influenza vaccination in patients on immunomodulators, without any influence on the activity of IBD. ²¹⁰ However, the immune response remains sufficient to warrant annual influenza vaccination.^{211–213} Based on risk stratification for influenza infection, IBD patients on immunomodulators are considered to be at risk and best receive annual TIV vaccination.¹¹⁷ This preventive strategy is uncommonly applied in IBD patients and proof of benefit is circumstantial.²¹⁴⁻²¹⁶

Chemoprophylaxis

The drugs oseltamivir and amantadine both decrease the risk of symptomatic infection, when given in the early phase after contact with a patient with influenza. When given to a people in an institution during an outbreak, it reduced the extent and severity of the outbreak.²¹⁷ Post-exposure prophylaxis for household contacts is recommended in Sweden and Germany.²¹⁸

4.7.4. Diagnostic approach, screening and treatment of the underlying infection

Diagnostic approach and screening

Influenza is characterised by the sudden onset of fever with subsequent tracheobronchitis, although any upper respiratory infection syndrome can occur. In most cases, the diagnosis is based upon symptoms. Diagnostic tests for influenza include viral culture, serology, rapid antigen testing, reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), and immunofluorescence assays. Influenza antiviral agents should only be used for treatment of acute clinical symptoms compatible with influenza at a time when public health agencies report that influenza is prevalent in the community, or when influenza is specifically diagnosed by rapid antigen tests.

Treatment of the infection

Four antiviral agents with activity against influenza virus are available: amantadine, rimantadine, zanamivir, and oseltamivir. Resistance of influenza virus to amantadine and rimantadine is appreciable, so these drugs are rarely appropriate. When zanamivir or oseltamivir are started within 48 h of the onset of symptoms, a reduction in fever and cough from 1.5 days to 3 days has been demonstrated. Significant differences compared to placebo were found only in those treated within 36 h of onset for oseltamivir and within 30 h of onset for zanamivir.^{219,220} Country-specific European guidelines recommend antiviral therapy for patients at high risk of complications, except Germany where there is a strong recommendation to treat all patients.²¹⁸

4.7.5. Infection occurring during immunomodulator therapy Management of immunomodulator therapy

No data are available on the use of antiviral drugs for chemoprophylaxis or treatment of active influenza infection in patients with IBD, whether or not on immunomodulators. It seems advisable for immunocompromised patients to start antiviral therapy within 36 h of illness in the event of active influenza infection during an epidemic, in order to reduce risk of influenza-related complications.²⁰¹

5. Parasitic and fungal infection

5.1. Background

ECCO Statement OI 5A The risk of parasitic or fungal infection in inflammatory bowel disease has not been quantified. Systemic infections are exceptional, but mortality appears to be high [EL4, RG D]

Parasitic or fungal infections, like other opportunistic infections, are a consequence of a generic rather than disease- or therapy-specific risk among immunocompromised individuals. As a consequence, recommendations are empirical, based on first principles, or clinical judgement rather than a sound evidence-base. The infections considered in this section are the parasites Toxoplasma gondii and Strongyloides stercoralis, and fungal infections with Aspergillus spp., Candida spp., Cryptococcus neoformans, Histoplasma capsulatum and P. jiroveci (formerly P. carinii). The results of the systematic literature search are shown in Tables 2 and 3. Most sources are case reports, with substantial reporting bias relating to immunomodulator or biological therapy used to treat IBD or rheumatoid arthritis. Reports are skewed by one paper on granulomatous infectious disease associated with anti-TNF therapy. This study used the Adverse Event Reporting System (AERS), which is a passive reporting system that

Table 2 Reports of parasitic infection.								
Pathogen n Single, double, triple IMs Anti-TNF related CsA related Deaths Refer								
Strongyloides stercoralis		S = 2 D = 1	1/3	0/3	1 out of 3 outcomes	225		
Toxoplasma gondii5Unknown5/50/5Unknown221								
Legend: Single, double or triple IMs = concomitant therapy with one (S), two (D), or three (T) immunomodulators, CsA; ciclosporin,								

documents adverse reactions to medications in the US. The difficulty with the data is that there is no denominator, so the incidence of infection is unknown. Furthermore, it does not report outcomes.²²¹ Two additional studies report *P.jiroveci* after infliximab therapy. The first is a review of 84 cases of *P. jiroveci* with data gleaned from AERS between 1998 and 2003 for patients with rheumatoid arthritis being treated with infliximab. There are no denominators and no outcome data.²²² The second is a Japanese study²²³ reporting on 5000 patients receiving infliximab for rheumatoid arthritis. The incidence of *P. jiroveci* in this population was 0.4%. Once again, there are no outcome data.

As a consequence, the risk of parasitic and fungal infections in inflammatory bowel disease cannot currently be quantified. A report of 1169 patients who had all received an anti-TNF therapy (GAIN (n=315) and CHARM (854)), identified opportunistic infections in 2.4% of 1169 patients, with all but one infection being non-systemic candidiasis.²²⁴

5.2. Impact of immunomodulator therapy on natural history of the disease

Corticosteroids, ciclosporin, tacrolimus, mycophenolate mofetil and anti-TNF therapy are. potent inhibitors of microbial specific T cell function, potentiating opportunistic infection with fungal species, S. *stercoralis* and a variety of intracellular pathogens. Immunosuppression not only reduces the threshold for infection, but also promotes dissemination and may induce pyrogenic or other systemic physiological responses. Pulmonary involvement is a feature with most systemic infections and fungal or parasitic pneumonia are potentially life threatening. Systemic cryptococcosis can cause pneumonia, but more commonly causes meningitis, sometimes without meningism. Consequently a high index of suspicion should accompany any complaint of breathlessness, cough, or confusion in a patient being treated with immunomodulators, with a low threshold for performing a chest radiograph, CT scan or MRI and lumbar puncture with specific diagnostic tests as appropriate (Section 5.4, Table 5). Strongyloides hyperinfection with alveolar haemorrhage and disseminated disease is more frequently reported in patients receiving high doses of steroids or other immunomodulators. The diagnosis should be suspected in any patient with pneumonia from an endemic area. Early implementation of therapy (such as parenteral ivermectin for disseminated strongyloidiasis) can be life-saving. Diagnostic delays usually reflect the failure to consider the possibility of systemic opportunistic infection when signs are few in the early stages.

5.3. Preventive measures

5.3.1. Immunisation and chemoprophylaxis for parasitic or fungal infections except *P. jiroveci*

ECCO Statement OI 5B

No vaccines exist for preventing fungal infection. Environmental exposure should be avoided. Primary chemoprophylaxis is currently not indicated. Secondary chemoprophylaxis should be discussed with an appropriate specialist [EL5, RG D]

Fungi are found in soil or farm dust. Some appear ubiquitous (*Aspergillus* spp., *Candida* spp.), while others are associated with animals (*C. neoformans* in pigeon droppings)

Pathogen	n	Single, double or triple IMs	Anti-TNF related	CsA related	Deaths	References
Aspergillus spp	33	S=6 D or T=27	31/33	4/33	5 out of 6 outcomes 27 outcomes unknown	226 221 227
Candida spp	89	S, D or T therapy unclear	65/89	0/89	Nil deaths reported but 38 outcomes unknown	13,221,228, 229
Cryptococcus neoformans	17	S=4 D or T=12 Unknown=1	14/17	0/17	1 out of 5 known outcomes 12 outcomes unknown	221,230–234
Histoplasma capsulatum	57	S or D or T therapy unclear	57/57	0/57	3 out of 18 outcomes 39 unknown outcomes	2,13,221,235–238
Pneumocystis jiroveci (P. carinii)	139	S, D, T therapy unclear	119/139	4/139	2 of 5 known outcomes 134 unknown outcomes	227,228,239–245

and some, such as *H. capsulatum*, are geographically distributed in the southern United States or Central Africa. Parasites are more commonly associated with endemic areas and gastroenterologists should be aware of travel to, or from, the tropics and the sub-tropics, where S. stercoralis is patchily endemic. There are, however, no vaccines for fungal or parasitic infections, so preventive measures depend on making immunocompromised individuals aware of the risks when travelling to endemic areas. General advice includes avoiding farms, pigeon lofts, or an extended duration of stay. This is, of course, not always possible, so a high index of suspicion is appropriate when treating patients, either inhabitants or travellers, from such areas. It is worth considering that it may be inappropriate to translate Western thresholds for treatment of IBD with immunomodulators to residents of endemic areas.

5.3.2. Immunisation and chemoprophylaxis for P. jiroveci

ECCO Statement OI 5C

No vaccines exist for preventing *P. jiroveci* pneumonia. For those patients on triple immunomodulators with one of these being a calcineurin inhibitor or anti-TNF therapy, standard prophylaxis with co-trimoxazole is recommended if tolerated [EL4, RG D]. For those on double immunomodulators, with one of these being a calcineurin inhibitor or anti-TNF therapy, a Consensus could not be reached on the use of prophylactic co-trimoxazole

There is no consistency in the approach to prophylaxis against P. jiroveci in patients with IBD treated with immunomodulators, despite some suggested guidelines.²⁴⁶ That heavily immunosuppressed patients are at risk from *P. iiroveci* is not in doubt, but most patients with IBD treated with calcineurin inhibitors or infliximab are generally well nourished, on concomitant immunomodulators for a relatively short duration and are as much at risk from other opportunistic infections. This is unlike patients with HIV or those on immunomodulators after transplant surgery. A surrogate marker of severe immuno-suppression in IBD patients is lacking. Since neither the necessity nor benefit has been established in IBD patients, recommendations from the Consensus are based on expert opinion and experience from other immunocompromised patients. A recent meta analysis showed a 91% reduction of occurrence of PCP when chemoprophylaxis with cotrimoxazole was administered in patients with haemotological cancers or transplants.²⁴⁷ Patients with HIV disease and a CD4+ count <200/mL had fewer infections with P. jiroveci when maintained on cotrimoxazole.²⁴⁸ It is rare for patients to acquire P. jiroveci when the CD4+ count is >200/mL. In another patient population, 13 cases of PCP were diagnosed among 519 patients undergoing allogeneic haematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Three of these were on prophylaxis, but these patients had a very low CD4+ count (median $131/\mu$ L).²⁴⁹

Consequently the Consensus took into account the simplicity and general lack of toxicity of chemoprophylaxis with

cotrimoxazole along with the high mortality of active infection. Combinations of immunomodulators were considered particularly important. Participants were asked to vote (Yes or No. Y/N) on whether they would advise primary prophylaxis for patients on immunomodulator therapy. Table 4 displays the results of voting about prophylaxis for P. jiroveci by the Consensus. The infectious disease specialists were unanimous in recommending prophylaxis for patients with single, let alone double immunosuppression. This no doubt reflects their experience on the consequences and difficulty in treating P. jiroveci, which gastroenterologists see exceptionally rarely. In contrast, the views of the gastroenterologists no doubt reflect their experience on the frequent use of such agents without any opportunistic infection, let alone infection with P. jiroveci. It is for this reason that the votes are reported, since they illustrate contrasting views. More research is urgently needed to identifying immune parameters for defining atrisk patients.

There are multiple regimen for primary chemoprophylaxis: Trimethoprim-sulphamethoxazole (TMP-SMZ) is the prophylactic agent of choice with one one-strength tablet daily (80-400 mg) or half-dose daily of a double strength tablet (160-800 mg) or a double-strength tablet 3 times per week.

General measures to prevent infection may well be as important as chemoprophylaxis. These include the nutritional state of the patient, dose, duration and combination of immunomodulator therapy. In one 7 year follow up study of patients treated with ciclosporin, 3/86 patients (3.5%) died of opportunistic infections, but only 1 from *P. jiroveci* and 2 of *Aspergillus fumigatus* pneumonia. Some of these were treated with ciclosporin 8 mg/kg/day for up to 12 months.²²⁷ In another 7 year follow up study there were no cases of *P. jiroveci* in 72 patients without chemoprophylaxis when the ciclosporin dose was limited to 5 mg/kg/day to achieve serum concentrations of 200–400 ng/mL, as well as limiting the duration to 3–6 months and introducing azathioprine in the last 4 weeks of steroid and ciclosporin therapy.²⁵⁰

5.4. Diagnostic approach, screening and treatment of the underlying infection

5.4.1. Diagnostic approach and screening

ECCO Statement OI 5D Screening for parasitic or fungal infection prior to immunomodulator therapy is generally considered unnecessary [EL5, RG D]. Specialist advice is appropriate for patients returning from endemic areas

There is no evidence to support a general policy of screening for parasitic or fungal infections prior to initiating immunomodulator or biological therapy. Patients returning from endemic areas or a past history of parasitic

Table 4	Results of Consensus voting on indications for <i>P jiroveci</i> prophylaxis.
---------	---

Clinical situation	Recommendation for primary prophylaxis (Yes/total voters)
Prednisolone >20 mg/day for over 3 months Prednisolone >20 mg/day with azathioprine/mercaptopurine or methotrexate Any immunomodulator with ciclosporin or infliximab Triple immunosuppression	4/22 (all 4 were infectious disease specialists)4/22 (all 4 were infectious disease specialists)14/2222/22

or fungal infections represent special cases. In the case of *S. stercoralis*, however, screening of patients with risk factors is best performed, although no method is ideal. Risk factors include sustained travel to, or residence in, endemic areas such as the tropics, or the Appalachians in the US (see also Section 8.6). Serologic testing is widely available and sensitive, but not specific. Relying on stool studies alone is inadequate and skin testing is experimental. Positive serology in a patient with a compatible clinical history preparing to undergo steroid therapy may be considered sufficient grounds for therapy (with an imidazole drug or ivermectin). Specialist advice should be sought.

ECCO Statement OI 5E Clinicians should be alert to the possibility of parasitic or fungal infections in patients with inflammatory bowel disease who have unexplained symptoms, including fever, dyspnoea, or confusion and who are generally immunocompromised [EL5, RG D]

5.4.2. Interpretation of diagnostic tests for non-specialists

Specialist advice is recommended on the approach and interpretation of diagnostic tests. The succinct details below and Table 5 are intended as a general guide for non-specialists.

S. stercoralis

Microscopic identification of larvae in stool or duodenal fluid is the usual method of detection, but repeated samples may be required due to poor sensitivity. Larvae may be detected in sputum from patients with disseminated strongyloidiasis. Serology is indicated when the organism cannot be demonstrated by direct microscopy. Immunocompromised persons with disseminated strongyloidiasis usually have detectable IgG antibodies. Cross-reactions in patients with filariasis and other nematode infections may occur, so a positive test warrants continuing efforts to establish a parasitological diagnosis.

T. gondii

Detection of Toxoplasma-specific antibodies is the primary diagnostic method for a recent infection by *T. gondii*. Initially, test for Toxoplasma-specific IgG antibodies. A positive Toxoplasma-specific IgG titre indicates infection with the organism at some time. A negative IgM titre usually excludes recent infection, but a positive IgM titre is difficult to interpret because IgM antibodies may be detectable for as long as 18 months after acute infection. The most common clinical presentation of T. gondii infection among patients immunocompromised patients is a focal encephalitis. Patients with T. gondii encephalitis are almost uniformly seropositive for anti-toxoplasma IgG antibodies. Anti-toxoplasma IgM antibodies are usually absent. Definitive diagnosis of T. gondii encephalitis (TE) requires a compatible clinical syndrome; identification of one or more mass lesions by CT or MRI: and detection of the organism in a clinical sample. For TE, this requires a brain biopsy, which is most commonly performed by a stereotactic CT-guided needle biopsy. Most clinicians rely initially on an empiric diagnosis, which can be established as an objective response, on the basis of clinical and radiographic improvement, to specific anti-T. gondii therapy in the absence of a likely alternative diagnosis. Brain biopsy is reserved for patients failing to respond to specific therapy.

Candida spp

The predominant organism is *C. albicans* (>60%) but there is a trend towards non-albicans (*C. glabrata*, *C. tropicalis*, *C. parapsilosis*). There are multiple direct and indirect methods of diagnosing *Candida* infection. A positive culture from a normally sterile body site is the gold standard. Cultures from blood, CSF, joint aspirate or other sterile surgical sites are generally diagnostic. *Candida* species will grow in standard blood culture bottles. Culture from most other sites cannot differentiate colonisation from infection,

 Table 5
 Summary of diagnostic approaches to parasitic and fungal infections.

Pathogen	Culture	Serology	Molecular	Other
Pneumocystis jiroveci	-	-	+/-	Direct visualisation/cytology
Strongyloides stercoralis	-	+	-	Direct visualisation/histology
Toxoplasma gondii	-	+	(+/-)	
Candida spp.	+	(+/-)	(+/-)	
Aspergillus spp.	+	+		Clinical context+radiology
Histoplasma capsulatum	+	+	(+/-)	Radiology+direct visualisation (histology)/antigen detection
Cryptococcus neoformans	+	-	-	Cytology/antigen detection

so must be interpreted in the clinical context. PCR and nonculture-based detection methods are being investigated but have not reached clinical use.

Aspergillus spp

Diagnosis is difficult, especially in the immunocompromised. Bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) is only 50% sensitive and a definitive diagnosis may require invasive procedures or biopsy. Infiltrates on chest CT or a 'halo' sign in the correct clinical context is enough to commence therapy.

Non-culture based procedures include antigen or DNA detection. Serum ELISA detecting galactomannan is FDA-approved. Two positive ELISAs plus radiology plus clinical scenario is interpreted as 'probable invasive aspergillosis'. Microbiological or histopathological demonstration of fungal elements in body tissue or fluid **is ideal**, but not all branching fungi are *Aspergillus* spp., so other moulds need to be considered.

Histoplasma capsulatum

Most infections are identified as incidental findings on a chest radiograph but this fungus can disseminate. Diagnosis is made by culture, fungal stains of body fluids or tissues, or tests for antibodies or antigens. Culture is frequently negative in mild cases. Histopathology is rapid, but only 50% sensitive. Only a few yeast-forms may be present and can be misidentified as other fungi, such as *Candida* spp., *Penicillium* sp., or *Pneumocystis* sp.

Antibodies are detectable in 90% of patients after 2-6 weeks, but may not be present in immunosuppressed patients. A polysaccharide antigen is generally detectable in body fluids such as urine, CSF, or BAL fluid. It is detectable earlier than antibody serology or culture and has the potential to monitor therapy.

C. neoformans

Laboratory diagnosis is established by the isolation of the organism in culture, histopathology, or detection of a polysaccharide capsular antigen. Analysis of CSF usually reveals a low white cell count with a normal or low-CSF glucose concentration and a positive cryptococcal antigen test. Cryptococcal antigen in the CSF (detected by latex agglutination) is very reliable and may also be positive in plasma. The cryptococcal antigen detection test is not useful for monitoring the course of therapy.

Table 6 General guidance for treating parasitic or fungal infections

P. jiroveci (P. carinii)

P.jiroveci is now classified as an atypical fungus. Diagnosis is based on the identification of *P. jiroveci* in bronchopulmonary secretions obtained as induced sputum or BAL fluid. Occasionally transbronchial or open lung biopsy is necessary. *P. jiroveci* trophozoites and cysts can be identified by light microscopy. Increasingly, immunofluorescence tests are used.

Molecular techniques, including polymerase chain reaction, have a high sensitivity and specificity but are not yet commercially available.

5.4.3. Treatment of the infection

ECCO Statement OI 5F Specialist advice is appropriate for treating systemic parasitic or fungal infections [EL5, RG D].

Parasitic and fungal infections are uncommon and individual circumstances such as the level of diagnostic confidence, degree of immunosuppression, comorbidity and concomitant therapy make therapeutic decisions complex. Consequently treatment should be initiated and monitored and secondary chemoprophylaxis considered if immunomodulator therapy is re-introduced following specialist advice. General guidance for treatment is shown in Table 6, followed by more specific information, but specialist advice should be sought when treating these unusual infections.

P. jiroveci

Prophylaxis regimen: Co-trimoxazole (trimethoprim + sulphamethoxazole), double strength, 1 tablet three times a week.

Preferred treatment regimen: Co-trimoxazole (trimethoprim + sulphamethoxazole) for about 3 weeks. Cautions: sulphonamide may cause marrow suppression or renal impairment requiring dose adjustment or alternative therapy.

Second line treatment: Intravenous pentamidine for hospitalised patients, but may cause hyper- or hypotension,

Pathogen	Preferred regimen	Second-line	Duration
Pneumocystis jiroveci	Co-trimoxazole (trimethoprim+ sulphamethoxazole)	Pentamidine	14–21 days
Strongyloides stercoralis	Ivermectin	Albendazole	2–3 days
Toxoplasma gondii	Sulphadiazine and pyrimethamine	Clindamycin plus pyrimethamine	21–28 days
Invasive Candida albicans	Fluconazole	Caspofungin	At least 14 days
Invasive Aspergillus sp.	Voriconazole	Amphotericin B deoxycholate	Until resolution of symptoms
Histoplasma capsulatum	Amphotericin B liposomal (Ambisome) then Itraconazole	Amphotericin B deoxycholate	2–3 months
Cryptococcus neoformans	Amphotericin B deoxycholate plus 5-flucytosine	Fluconazole	6–10 weeks

Specialist advice is necessary, since dose and duration of therapy depend on precise circumstances.

hyper- or hypoglycaemia, pancreatitis, renal impairment and numerous drug interactions. Clindamycin with primaquine is an alternative for outpatients.

S. stercoralis

Prophylaxis regimen: for patients exposed in an endemic area who are serology positive, take specialist advice.

Preferred treatment regimen: Ivermectin. Cautions: may exacerbate asthma, or cause rash or fever.

Second line treatment: Albendazole, but may cause hepatic impairment or marrow suppression.

T. gondii

Preferred treatment regimen: Sulphadiazine and pyrimethamine, after a loading dose of pyrimethamine, for about 3 weeks. Give folinic acid. Cautions: sulpha allergy, marrow suppression.

Second line treatment: Clindamycin with pyrimethamine and folinic acid.

Invasive candidiasis

Preferred treatment regimen (if C. albicans): Fluconazole (if no previous use of fluconazole) for at least 2 weeks after last positive blood culture, or the symptoms and signs have resolved (if not candidaemic). Cautions: hepatic impairment; clearance reduced in renal impairment.

Preferred treatment regimen (if not *C. albicans*): Intravenous amphotericin B deoxycholate for at least 14 days after the last positive blood culture, or the symptoms and signs have resolved (if not candidaemic). Cautions: renal impairment, hypokalaemia, hypersensitivity reactions.

Second line treatment: Caspofungin intravenously for at least 14 days after last positive blood culture or the symptoms and signs have resolved, ambisome, or abelcet.

Invasive aspergillosis

Preferred treatment regimen: Voriconazole until resolution of symptoms and signs.

Second line treatment: Intravenous amphotericin B deoxycholate until resolution of symptoms and signs. Cautions: Renal impairment; hypokalaemia; hypersensitivity reactions. Alternatives: Ambisome or abelcet.

H. capsulatum

Preferred treatment regimen: Intravenous amphotericin B liposomal (Ambisome) for about 3 weeks, followed by itraconazole for 2–3 months. Cautions: renal impairment, hypokalaemia, hepatic impairment, drug interactions. Measure itraconazole concentration.

Second line treatment: Amphotericin B deoxycholate followed by itraconazole, or ketoconazole for 3–6 months for mild disease.

C. neoformans

Preferred treatment regimen: Intravenous amphotericin B deoxycholate plus 5-flucytosine for 6–10 weeks. Can use ambisome or abelcet as alternatives to deoxycholate form of amphotericin. Cautions: renal impairment, hypokalaemia, infusion reactions, marrow suppression.

Second line treatment: Amphotericin B lisomal (Ambisome) plus 5-flucytosine, followed by fluconazole. If no CNS involvement, fluconazole alone for 3 months may be sufficient.

5.5. Infection occurring during immunomodulator therapy

ECCO Statement OI 5G

Starting or continuing immunomodulator therapy during treatment of parasitic or fungal infection depends on individual circumstances [EL5, RG D]. Reintroduction of immunomodulators after treatment is possible, in conjunction with secondary chemoprophylaxis. Specialist advice is appropriate

In the event of parasitic or fungal infection other than oral or vaginal candidiasis, immunomodulator therapy should be stopped if possible and standard therapy for the infection implemented. Common sense dictates that if an opportunistic infection arises as a consequence or in association with immunosuppression, then it is unwise to reintroduce such therapy in that patient unless all other options are considered. None of the case reports, describe reintroduction of therapy after effective treatment of systemic parasitic or fungal infection. If a decision is made to reintroduce immunomodulator once the infection has responded to treatment, because there are no other options for controlling the IBD, then consideration should be given to secondary prophylaxis and specialist advice taken. This takes into account the treatment options available for the IBD, the general condition and wishes of the patient.

6. Tuberculosis

6.1. Background

Tuberculosis (TB) and malaria are the most common serious chronic infectious diseases in the world. The incidence of tuberculosis is increasing at the start of the third millennium, with the appearance of multiresistant (MDR-TB) and extremely resistant (XDR-TB) Mycobacterium tuberculosis. The worldwide incidence of TB in 2005 was 79/100,000 inhabitants. In contrast, the incidence of TB in 1997 was estimated by the World Health Organisation (WHO) at 10-24/100,000 inhabitants in most European countries, but higher (50-99/100,000) in some Southern and Eastern European countries. Although the incidence, prevalence and mortality of TB have decreased in Europe, it remains a global burden. The infection is more prevalent in developing countries, but migration, together with the HIV pandemic (an important reservoir for TB) have increased concerns of TB in economically-developed areas.^{251,252} In the pre-infliximab era, people with IBD appeared to be at higher risk of TB than the general population. Immunomodulators appear to be the main reason for this increased risk.²⁵³

6.2. Impact of immunomodulator therapy on natural history of the disease

Anti-TNF therapy further increases the risk of TB infection. When TB occurs in patients on anti-TNF therapy, it is more commonly atypical (extrapulmonary in >50%, disseminated in 25% of cases), making the diagnosis more difficult. Mortality in patients with TB during anti-TNF therapy has been reported to be up to 13%.^{254–258}

6.3. Preventive measures

ECCO Statement OI 6A

Patients diagnosed with latent TB should be treated with a complete therapeutic regimen for latent TB [EL1b, RG A]

ECCO Statement OI 6B

When there is latent TB and active IBD, anti-TNF therapy should be delayed for at least 3 weeks after starting chemotherapy, except in cases of greater clinical urgency after specialist advice [EL5, RG D]

ECCO Statement OI 6C

Chemotherapy for latent TB may vary according to geographic areas or patient's epidemiological background [EL5, RG D]. Specialist advice is appropriate

6.3.1. Impact of preventive actions

Preventive actions (meaning an active search for latent TB by chest X-ray, tuberculin skin testing, or gamma interferon assays) have a beneficial impact on the incidence of overt TB during anti-TNF therapy. Carmona and colleagues compared the rates of overt TB among patients with rheumatic diseases from the Spanish Society of Rheumatology Database on Biologic Products (BIOBADASER). Patients had a 21-fold higher risk of overt TB compared to the background Spanish population before preventive actions were proposed. The incidence of TB decreased by 78% after the adoption of official recommendations (Section 6.4.1). In a post-marketing surveillance of IFX among 5000 Japanese patients with rheumatoid arthritis, Takeuchi and co-workers confirmed that chemoprophylaxis decreased the number of cases with overt TB.^{223,259}

6.3.2. Chemoprophylaxis

TB chemoprophylaxis regimens principally include options based on isoniazid (INH) for 6–9 months.^{259–263} Depending on the geographic area or patient's background, the possibility of TB infection from multidrug-resistant strains should be considered. A generally effective regimen of INH for 6 months does not always prevent infection, since TB has been reported in two rheumatologic patients on this regimen.^{264,265} More aggressive chemoprophylaxis is appropriate for at risk patients, including those from sub-Saharan Africa.^{260,266}

6.3.3. Concerns about hepatotoxicity

ECCO Statement OI 6D

In spite of the hepatotoxic potential of some commonly used drugs in IBD, there are no reports indicating increased risk for isoniazid hepatotoxicity [EL4, RG C], but biochemical monitoring is considered essential

Isoniazid-related hepatotoxicity occurs in approximately 0.15% of patients. It may occasionally be severe and lifethreatening. The risk of liver damage with isoniazid is unrelated to the dose or blood concentration, so dosemodification will not prevent severe liver injury in established hepatotoxicity. An increased risk of isoniazid-related hepatotoxicity in patients with rheumatologic disease on concomitant methotrexate or sulphasalazine has been reported, but the association has not been established in IBD. Minor transaminase elevations (<3-fold) are common (10-20%) during isoniazid therapy and of no consequence. Some authors recommend clinical, rather than routine biochemical monitoring, for patients on isoniazid treatment, but most advise monitoring liver function at intervals, with cessation or alteration of therapy if the transaminases exceed >3-fold elevation associated with hepatitis symptoms or jaundice, or >5-fold in the absence of symptoms.^{259,267–271}

6.4. Diagnostic approach, screening and treatment of the underlying infection

6.4.1. Diagnostic approach and screening

ECCO Statement OI 6E

Careful evaluation (including history of epidemiological risk factors, physical examination, chest X-ray and tuberculin skin test according to national guidelines) for latent TB before the use of anti-TNF therapy is mandatory [EL1b, RG A]. It should also be considered before corticosteroids or other immunomodulators in patients at high risk of TB. Interferongamma release assays (IGRA) are likely to complement the tuberculin skin test and are preferred in BCG vaccinated individuals if available [EL4, RG D]. The performance of a second tuberculin skin test may be considered in immunocompromised patients 1–8 weeks after a first negative tuberculin skin test, according to national guidelines [EL5, RG D]

International guidelines recommend TB risk evaluation before anti-TNF therapy, based on epidemiological risk factors, physical examination, chest X-ray, and tuberculin skin test (TST) for latent TB, but there are local variations. A diagnosis of latent TB should be considered when there is a history of recent exposure to the disease and positive initial tuberculin skin test (TST) or positive booster TST and no radiological evidence of active TB. A positive Mantoux reaction for TST is defined by an induration diameter \geq 5 mm. An abnormal chest radiograph suggestive of old TB (calcification >5 mm, pleural thickening, or linear opacities) should also be considered suggestive of latent TB even if other criteria are absent.^{260,272–274} These recommendations apply particularly to anti-TNF therapy. Experience suggests that TB complicating treatment for IBD with corticosteroids or immunomodulators is extremely rare, although the increased risk in populations at high risk (elderly white males, alcohol abuse, patients from subcontinental Asia, or Africa) should still be considered.

6.4.2. Tuberculin test distortion by BCG and immunomodulator therapy

Diagnosis of latent TB by TST may be distorted by prior BCG (Bacillus Calmette-Guerin) vaccination, because vaccinated individuals may become positive reactors to purified protein derivate (PPD). This distortion is almost insignificant in adults > 30 years of age, irrespective of age at vaccination or re-vaccination. TST may also be negative in patients on corticosteroids for >1 month, or thiopurines or methotrexate for >3 months. The TST cannot adequately be interpreted if corticosteroids are not discontinued for >1 month and immunomodulators for >3 months. Consequently, a booster TST may be appropriate for patients on immunomodulators with a negative TST 1-8 weeks after the first test. A falsenegative TST may also occur during active IBD without immunosuppression. In clinical practice, booster TST diagnoses 8-14% additional cases of latent TB among rheumatologic or IBD patients.^{263,271,275–277} Any TST >5 mm should be considered positive for latent TB.

6.4.3. Interferon γ assays

Two new techniques of interferon γ release assays (IGRA) that target two specific proteins of *M. tuberculosis* (ESAT-6 and CFP-10). These are not affected by BCG-vaccination or environmental mycobacterial exposure and are commercially available (ELISPOT and QuantiFERON®-TB). Multiple studies, especially in immunocompetent patients, have demonstrated that IGRA is more sensitive and specific than a standard TST. In immunocompromised patients, IGRA seems to be more sensitive and specific than a standard TST. Further studies are urgently needed.^{278–288}

6.5. Infection occurring during immunomodulator therapy

6.5.1. Management of immunomodulator therapy

ECCO Statement OI 6F

TB must be excluded in the event of persistent fever or non-specific clinical deterioration during immunomodulator therapy. If TB is diagnosed, anti TB-therapy must be started, anti-TNF therapy must be stopped and can be resumed after two months if needed [EL4, RG D]. It appears that 5-ASA, azathioprine, methotrexate or steroids do not need to be discontinued, provided that multi drug resistant TB has been excluded [EL4, RG D] In case of active TB, TB treatment should ideally be completed before starting biological therapy.

In the initial report of TB incidence during anti-TNF therapy, 57% of cases were extra pulmonary and mortality was 13%. TB should be excluded as a cause of deterioration during anti-TNF therapy even if the clinical features are not suggestive of TB. 256,263

No prospective or controlled data are available on the ideal timing of anti-TNF therapy once TB treatment has begun. It has been proposed that TB therapy should be supervised by a thoracic physician or infectious disease specialist. It has also been suggested that anti-TNF treatment is either best delayed until completion of an anti-tuberculosis treatment, or that it should be avoided until at least 2 months after TB treatment has begun. ^{289,290}

Although there are no data assessing the impact of thiopurine therapy on the risk of TB in patients also receiving anti-TNF therapy, results from a small case–control study in rheumatoid arthritis have shown that the incidence of TB among patients using corticosteroids and immunomodulators is not increased.²⁶⁴ This suggests that these medications can be continued during treatment of TB, although larger studies are warranted.

7. Bacterial infection

7.1. Streptococcus pneumoniae

ECCO Statement OI 7A

Patients with inflammatory bowel disease on immunomodulators are considered to be at risk patients for pneumococcal infections [EL4, RG C]

ECCO Statement OI 7B

Preventive strategy consists of a pneumococcal vaccination and with a single revaccination 3– 5 years if the patient is still immunocompromised [EL5, RG D]. Immunity to S. pneumoniae after polysaccharide vaccination is not affected by corticosteroids or anti-TNF therapy [EL2a, RG B], nor by azathioprine [EL2b, RG C]. Methotrexate treatment is associated with much lower pneumococcal vaccine-induced seroconversion [EL2a, RG B]

ECCO Statement OI 7C

Immunomodulator therapy should be temporarily withheld until the resolution of active infection [EL5, RG D]. Treatment of pneumonia in patients on immunomodulators must always cover S. pneumoniae

7.1.1. Background

S. *pneumoniae* is a Gram-positive facultative anaerobic coccus which may cause serious or lethal infections including

pneumonia, sepsis, or meningitis.²⁹¹ IBD patients on immunomodulators are considered high-risk patients for invasive pneumococcal disease.^{117,214} In cohort studies bacterial pneumonia is one of the most prevalent infections in IBD patients on immunomodulators.^{26,292} Invasive infection with *S. pneumoniae* related to immunomodulators in IBD has been reported.²⁹³ Current recommendations for the 23-valent pneumococcal vaccine include patients on immunomodulators.²¹⁶

7.1.2. Impact of immunomodulator therapy on the natural history of the disease

Host defences against *Streptococcus* spp. depend on both humoral and cellular immunity. Predisposing conditions to pneumococcal infection are numerous, including immunosuppression in about a third of all diagnosed cases.²⁹⁴ We did not find studies relating specific drugs to risk, although cases have been described with several drugs, including anti-TNF therapy. Although the incidence seems to be increased in immunocompromised patients, we did not find studies documenting the degree of increased severity or worse outcomes in these patients.

7.1.3. Preventive measures

The 23-valent pneumococcal vaccine should ideally be administered before the start of immunomodulator therapy, since immunomodulators may reduce the antibody response to the vaccine. This has been shown in patients with rheumatic diseases.^{291,295–299} Therefore, the vaccine is best administered at the time of IBD diagnosis, or at least two weeks before the start of immunomodulators.²⁹¹ Repeat vaccination is recommended after three to five years if the patient remains on immunomodulator therapy^{117,291} (http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/PDF/rr/rr4608.pdf).

7.1.4. Diagnostic approach, screening and treatment of the underlying infection

Diagnostic approach and screening

The most frequent and severe manifestations of pneumococcal infection are pneumococcal pneumonia and pneumococcal meningitis (with or without pneumococcal bacteremia). For both conditions it is not possible to differentiate a pneumococcal aetiology from other bacterial causes on the basis of the history or clinical signs. Whenever possible, relevant clinical samples (blood, cerebrospinal fluid, good respiratory sample) should be taken, analysed and cultured upon presentation, but this should not delay treatment.

Treatment of the infection

Empirical treatment should be started immediately for either meningitis or pneumonia covering *S. pneumoniae* and other common bacterial causes. The choice of antibiotic should follow local guidelines based on local epidemiology, because penicillin susceptibility varies widely.^{300,301}

7.1.5. Infection occurring during immunomodulator therapy Management of immunomodulator therapy

Antibiotic treatment of pneumonia in patients with IBD should always cover S. *pneumoniae*. Penicillin is the standard antibiotic for penicillin-susceptible pneumonia and meningitis, but local advice on resistance is appropriate, ³⁰² especially since their immunosuppression may be

associated with an increased risk of penicillin resistance. In the event of invasive pneumococcal infection, immunomodulator therapy is best temporarily withheld until resolution of the infection.^{26,293,303}

7.2. Legionella pneumophila

ECCO Statement OI 7D Patients with inflammatory bowel disease on immunomodulator therapy with pneumonia should be tested for *L. pneumophila* [EL4, RG D]

ECCO Statement OI 7E

Immunomodulator therapy should temporarily be withheld until resolution of the active infection [EL5, RG D]

7.2.1. Background

L. pneumophila is an aerobic Gram-negative coccobacillus causing pneumonia, which can be fatal.³⁰⁴ The most common route of transmission is airborne and reservoirs include aquatic systems such as cooling towers, evaporative condensers, humidifiers and decorative fountains.³⁰⁵

7.2.2. Impact of immunomodulator therapy on natural history of the disease

Immunomodulator therapy is considered a high-risk condition for infection with *L. pneumophila*.³⁰⁴ Invasive *L. pneumophila* infections, some with fatal outcome, related to immunomodulators for IBD or rheumatological patients have been reported.^{306–311}

7.2.3. Preventive measures

No vaccine is available and effective chemoprophylaxis has not been described. Since most epidemics of *L. pneumophila* can be linked to water reservoirs, prophylactic measures include regular cleaning and maintenance of different water systems.³⁰⁵

7.2.4. Diagnostic approach, screening and treatment of the underlying infection

Diagnostic approach and screening

Clinically and radiologically *Legionella pneumonia* cannot be distinguished from pneumococcal pneumonia. The key to diagnosis is appropriate microbiological culture, in association with real-time PCR if available. Serological testing and antigen detection in the urine are also available.³⁰⁴ *Treatment of the infection*

Treatment for *L. pneumophila* consists of macrolide or fluoroquinolone antibiotics. Empirical treatment of severe community-acquired pneumonia should always cover *L. pneumophila* especially in the immunocompromised.^{300,301}

7.2.5. Infection occurring during immunomodulator therapy Management of immunomodulator therapy

Curative treatment consists of macrolide or fluoroquinolone antibiotics.^{304,309} Immunomodulator therapy is best temporarily withheld until resolution of the active infection,

although recurrent infection has been reported, so careful consideration is necessary about the benefit of continuing immunomodulators.³⁰⁹

7.3. Salmonella species

ECCO Statement OI 7F

Patients receiving immunomodulators are at risk of more severe infections with *Salmonella enteritidis* and *S. typhimurium* [EL4, RG C]

ECCO Statement OI 7G

Prevention of *Salmonella* sp. infections consists of food hygiene (avoiding raw eggs, unpasteurized milk and insufficiently cooked or raw meat) [EL5, RG D]

ECCO Statement OI 7H

Immunomodulators should be temporarily withheld until resolution of the active infection [EL5, RG D]

7.3.1. Background

Salmonella is an aerobic Gram-negative bacillus causing enterocolitis or systemic infection. S. enteritidis and S. typhimurium are the most common serotypes.^{312,313} Infection is typically acquired through consumption of contaminated food or water. Early infection starts within the gastrointestinal tract, but patients may present with symptoms of disseminated infection such as sepsis, meningitis, urinary tract infection, or reactive arthritis.³¹²

7.3.2. Impact of immunomodulator therapy on natural history of the disease

Immunomodulator therapy is considered a high-risk predisposing condition for intestinal or systemic infections with *Salmonella* spp.³¹⁴ Invasive *Salmonella* spp infection, some with fatal outcome related to immunomodulator therapy for IBD or rheumatologic patients have been reported.^{221,306,310,315–319}

7.3.3. Preventive measures

Prevention consists of food hygiene: advise immunocompromised patients to avoid the consumption of raw eggs (fresh mayonnaise), unpasteurised milk and undercooked or raw meat (including carpaccio).³¹²

7.3.4. Diagnostic approach, screening and treatment of the underlying infection

Diagnostic approach and screening

The diagnosis should always be considered in patients with fever. Definitive diagnosis of enteric fever is made by isolating *S. typhi* or other *Salmonella* sp. from blood, stool, or urine. *Treatment of the infection*

Salmonellosis is treated with antibiotics such as fluoroquinolones or third-generation cephalosporins, depending on the local susceptibility pattern.

7.3.5. Infection occurring during immunomodulator therapy

Management of immunomodulator therapy

Empirical treatment for severe infections without a clear focus or suspicion of enteric fever should always cover *Salmonella* sp., using fluoroquinolones or cephalosporins. Curative treatment of confirmed Salmonellosis consists of fluoroquinolones or third-generation cephalosporins, depending on local susceptibility patterns.³⁰² Immunomodulator therapy is best temporarily withheld until resolution of the active infection, although recurrent infection and asymptomatic carriage can occur. Confirmation of clearance through stool culture in immunocompromised patients seems advisable.

7.4. Listeria monocytogenes

ECCO Statement OI 7I

Patients receiving immunomodulators are at risk of systemic and central neurological infections with *L. monocytogenes* [EL4, RGC]. The incidence appears higher in patients treated with anti-TNF therapy compared to other immunomodulators

ECCO Statement OI 7J

Prevention includes avoidance of unpasteurized milk or cheese, uncooked meat and raw vegetables, especially during pregnancy [EL5, RG D]. Patients on anti-TNF therapy who present with meningitis or other neurological symptoms demand full attention and should be thoroughly investigated as soon as such symptoms develop [EL5, RG D]

ECCO Statement OI 7K

Anti-TNF therapy should be discontinued during infection. No Consensus was reached on whether anti-TNF therapy should not be re started

7.4.1. Background

L. monocytogenes is an aerobic Gram-positive and facultative intracellular bacillus.³²⁰ It is an opportunistic food-borne pathogen which has the capacity to survive many foodprocessing procedures. *L. monocytogenes* can cause relatively mild gastroenteritis, but in IBD or rheumatologic patients on immunomodulator therapy, it may lead to systemic sepsis, meningoencephalitis, or rarely cholecystitis and arthritis.^{221,306,310,317,321–326} The mortality rate of systemic infection is as high as 30%, even with antibiotic therapy.³²⁷ Infection during pregnancy often leads to spontaneous abortion or stillbirth.³²⁷

7.4.2. Impact of immunomodulator therapy on natural history of the disease

Immunomodulator therapy is considered a high-risk predisposing condition for infections with *L. monocytogenes*.³²⁷ Compared to other immunomodulator therapies, anti-TNF α treatment appears to carry a particular risk for serious infection with *L. monocytogenes*.^{310,326}

7.4.3. Preventive measures

Prevention consists of food hygiene: avoid soft or unpasteurised cheese, unpasteurised milk, undercooked meat and raw vegetables.^{326,327}

7.4.4. Diagnostic approach, screening and treatment of the underlying infection

Diagnosis is made by appropriate microbiological culture and curative treatment consists of ampicillin, amoxicillin, or sulphamethoxazole/trimethoprim.³⁰²

7.4.5. Infection occurring during immunomodulator therapy

Management of immunomodulator therapy

Early infection starts within the gastrointestinal tract. A high index of suspicion in patients on immunomodulator therapy who present with signs of meningitis or other neurological symptoms is appropriate, with intensive investigation including lumbar puncture as soon as such symptoms develop.³²⁶ When patients have meningoencephalitis without initial proof of *Listeriosis*, the pathogen should still be covered by the antibiotic regimen. No data are available on whether immunomodulators should be temporarily or indefinitely withheld in the event of active infection.

7.5. Nocardia species

ECCO Statement OI 7L

Patients receiving anti-TNF therapy have been reported to be at risk of systemic and cutaneous infections with *Nocardia* spp., particularly when they are also treated with corticosteroids [EL4, RG C]

ECCO Statement OI 7M

The prevention of *Nocardia* sp. infections consists of avoiding direct contact with soil or inhalation of soil contaminated dust [EL5, RG D]

ECCO Statement OI 7N

Anti-TNF therapy should be discontinued indefinitely in the event of infection with *Nocardia* sp. [EL5, RG D]

7.5.1. Background

Nocardia species are aerobic Gram-positive, weakly acidfast actinomycetes. They are ubiquitous soil organisms, responsible for local skin infections through direct contact, or necrotising pulmonary infections through inhalation.³²⁸ Haematogenous dissemination to the brain occurs in up to 33% of all cases, most of which occur in immunocompromised hosts.³²⁸

7.5.2. Impact of immunomodulator therapy on natural history of the disease

Nocardia species infection is increasingly found in the immunocompromised patient. People with IBD or rheumatologic disease on immunomodulator therapy are considered at risk. Reports of cutaneous, pulmonary, or neurologic Nocardia sp. infection in patients on anti-TNF α treatment or corticosteroids have been published.^{221,310,329–331}

7.5.3. Preventive measures

The prevention of cutaneous *Nocardia* sp. infections consists of skin hygiene, avoiding soil-infected skin lesions and avoiding inhalation of soil-contaminated dust.³²⁸

7.5.4. Diagnostic approach, screening and treatment of the underlying infection

Diagnostic approach and screening

Nocardia sp. can be diagnosed rapidly by examination of sputum, pleural, or bronchial lavage fluid by Gram stain and a modified acid-fast stain. Long-term culture up to six weeks is necessary to grow the pathogen.³²⁸

7.5.5. Infection occurring during immunomodulator therapy

Management of immunomodulator therapy

Treatment consists of sulphamethoxazole/trimethoprim and/or ceftriaxone. Antibiotics should be continued until the disappearance of all lesions, which can take several months.³²⁸ All immunocompromised patients (regardless of the site of disease) and patients with neurological involvement are best treated for at least one year and some suggest indefinitely, especially if patients continue to be immunosuppressed as a result of their disease or treatment.²⁹⁴ In order to obtain complete resolution of the infection, case reports suggest that anti-TNF α treatment should be discontinued indefinitely.^{329,330}

7.6. Clostridium difficile

7.6.1. Background

ECCO Statement OI 70

The pattern, virulence and presentation of *C. difficile* are currently changing. Inflammatory bowel disease is an independent risk factor for infection with *C. difficile*. In inflammatory bowel disease *C. difficile* is mostly community-acquired. Patients with colitis are particularly susceptible. Concomitant diagnosis of inflammatory bowel disease and *C. difficile*-associated diarrhoea (CDAD) is a predictor of an increased need for hospitalisation and increased mortality [EL2, RG B]

The pathogenicity of *C. difficile* is dependent on toxin production. Two main toxins are secreted by the vegetative forms of the germ, toxins A (enterotoxin) and B (cytotoxin). *C. difficile*-associated disease (CDAD) typically presents with

watery diarrhoea (at least five bowel movements of liquid or unformed stool during 36 h), malaise, abdominal pain, fever, or leukocytosis.^{332,333}

A retrospective observational study at a US referral centre in 2007, reported a significant rise of *C. difficile* infections in IBD patients, from 1.8% in 2004 to 4.6% in 2005.³³⁴ The adjusted odds ratio for the development of CDAD was 2.9 (95% CI 2.1–4.1) in IBD patients compared to non-IBD. The adjusted OR was 2.1 for CD (95% CI 1.3–3.4), 4.0 for UC (95% CI 2.4–6.6) and the diagnosis of IBD was an independent risk factor for CDAD.³³⁵ A significant rise in hospitalisation for IBD complicated by *C. difficile* infections between 1998 and 2004 has been reported (24/1000 vs 39/1000 for UC, 8/1000 vs 12/1000 for CD), including prolongation of hospital stay and a four-fold increase in mortality.³³⁶ Colectomy is necessary in a substantial number of patients.

7.6.2. Impact of immunomodulator therapy on the natural history of the disease

Immunomodulators are a known risk factor for acquisition of *C. difficile* and development of CDAD. Experience from solid organ transplantation shows an increase in incidence and severity of CDAD after transplantation.³³⁷ Immunosuppression may also be an independent risk factor for mortality in patients with CDAD.³³⁸ Data regarding IBD patients, immunosuppression and CDAD remain sparse. In one study maintenance immunomodulators, but not biologic therapy, were independently associated with the emergence of CDAD in IBD.³³⁴

7.6.3. Preventive measures

ECCO Statement OI 7P

Chemoprophylaxis for CDAD is not warranted. Hygiene procedures in a nosocomial setting are recommended [EL2, RGB]. The safety and efficacy of probiotics remain to be established

Alcoholic hand rubs do not eliminate *C. difficile* spores. Furthermore, the presence of disinfectants can provoke sporulation. Mechanical elimination of spores by soap and handwashing is recommended.³³⁹ Hypochlorite solutions (unbuffered or phosphate-buffered) have been shown to reduce *C difficile* contamination even in high touch areas (bed rails, switches, bed-side telephones, or call buttons).³⁴⁰ Even though data from controlled trials are lacking, studies suggest a decrease in CDAD cases when bleach is used as a cleaning agent.^{341,342} Patients diagnosed with, or strongly suspected with infection, should be placed in isolation (single rooms) or cohorted together. Care workers should wear disposable gowns and gloves when entering the patient's room.

Recurrent CDAD has been treated effectively by Saccharomyces boulardii, confirmed by meta-analysis.³⁴³ On the other hand, methods and source data for this metaanalysis are disputable, so they do not provide sufficient evidence to recommend probiotics or prove their safety in the treatment of CDAD. Occasional cases of fungaemia in immunocompromised patients taking *S. boulardii* have been reported.

$\mathbf{7.6.4.}$ Diagnostic approach, screening and treatment of the underlying infection

Diagnostic approach and screening

ECCO Statement OI 7Q

Screening for *C. difficile* is recommended at every flare in patients with colonic disease [EL3, RGD]. Tests for both cytotoxins A and B are recommended [EL2, RG B]

In routine clinical practice, several different laboratory tests can be used to diagnose C. difficile infection: toxin detection (93% laboratories in Europe, of which 79% use enzyme immunoassay for toxin A and/or toxin B and 17% use the tissue cytotoxicity assay for toxin B), culture of C. difficile (55% laboratories), glutamate dehydrogenase detection (6% laboratories) and PCR (2% laboratories).344 Enzyme immunoassays (EIA): detect the toxins (A and/or B) produced by toxigenic strains of C. difficile. There are numerous commercially available EIAs with different sensitivities and specificities (ranging from 63-99% and 75-100% respectively). Since development of CDAD does not depend on the presence of both toxins, while toxin Anegative C. difficile strains account for up to 3% of CDAD, EIAs designed to detect only toxin A are likely to underreport CDAD. Toxin A-specific EIAs were applied in 58% laboratories, surveyed in 2003.^{345,346} although more now use EIAs designed to detect both toxin A and toxin B. Culture of C difficile: performed on selective agar (e.g. cycloserine, cefoxitim agar). Before innoculation with stool, an enrichment step can be performed by exposure to alcohol to select spore-forming bacteria. Incubation time is usually 48 h. C. difficile can then be identified by morphological criteria, characteristic odour and antigendetection by latex agglutination. Cytotoxicity assay: for C difficile toxin B (TcdB). This still represents the diagnostic gold standard despite its long turnaround time (24-48 h).^{347,348} It uses the cytopathic effect of toxin B on the cytoskeletal structure of mammalian cell culture lines, which can be abrogated by C. difficile or C. sordelli antitoxin. PCR: detection by amplifying the tcdB gene. Sensitivity and specificity for PCR were 87.1% and 96.5% respectively, compared to the cytotoxicity assay. Positive and negative predictive values were 60.0 and 99.2% respectively.³⁴⁹ Real time PCR had a higherculture than EIAs. Detection in IBD: Between 5.5% and 19% stool samples are reported to be positive for C. difficile among IBD patients with a relapse.^{350,351} Consequently, stool testing for C. difficile seems to have a high yield during flares of IBD and is generally considered appropriate. The endoscopic picture of C. difficile-associated disease usually shows diffuse or scattered erythema. Pseudomembranes are only rarely found and their absence does not exclude infection. For CDAD in IBD, no patient who was positive for C. difficile showed the pathognomonic pseudomembranes in endoscopy. In the general population, endoscopy has only limited sensitivity (50%) and cannot be recommended as a diagnostic tool for CDAD.³⁵²

Treatment of the infection

ECCO Statement OI 7R

Metronidazole and oral vancomycin are equally effective in treating mild to moderate CDAD [EL1, RG B]. It remains to be established if this applies to patients with inflammatory bowel disease. Other antibiotics should be stopped if possible. For severe CDAD, vancomycin has been shown to be superior in patients without inflammatory bowel disease [EL1, RGB] and is therefore preferable. In case of multiple recurrences, pulsed dosing of vancomycin is a reasonable treatment option and withdrawal of immunomodulators should be considered [EL2, RG B]

In a meta-analysis, antimicrobial exposure has been shown to be an independent risk factor for both C. difficile carriage (pooled OR=4.2, 95% CI=3.1-5.9) and CDAD (pooled OR=5.9, 95% CI=4.0-8.5) in the general population.³⁵³ Almost all classes of antibiotics and broad spectrum antibiotics in particular are associated with CDAD, with the exception of aminoglycosides. Recent studies have emphasized the importance of fluoroguinolones, which seem to pose the greatest risk for the development of CDAD compared to other common antimicrobials.^{354,355} The first step in the treatment of CDAD is withdrawal of antibiotics, a measure which leads to recovery in up to 25% of non-IBD patients with CDAD.^{356,357} Data from IBD are lacking. Metronidazole is generally first line therapy for patients experiencing a first, or even a second episode of CDAD³⁵⁸ although this depends on local susceptibility. The usual oral treatment regime is 200-250 mg four times daily or 400-500 mg three times daily for 10 to 14 days. The glycopeptide antibiotic vancomycin is highly effective for CDAD and preferable for multiple recurrences of CDAD, or if there is local resistance to metronidazole.359 Vancomycin is not superior to metronidazole for the first or second episode of CDAD, but is more costly and runs the risk of promoting resistance among enterococci.357,360 Nevertheless, for patients with symptoms of severe CDAD, or if the patient's condition fails to improve or deteriorates on metronidazole, then early use of vancomycin is recommended.³⁶¹ Signs of severe CDAD include a systemic inflammatory response (tachycardia, fever), electrolyte imbalance, volume depletion, hypotension, ileus, toxic megacolon or peritonitis. The dose of vancomycin for acute CDAD is 125 mg every 6 h, which is of equivalent efficacy to 500 mg four times daily.³⁶² To reduce the recurrence rate of CDAD, a tapered or pulsed treatment regimen with vancomycin has been proposed on the basis of significantly reduced relapse rates following pulsed schedules. A pulsed schedule means vancomycin 125–500 mg every three days for 2– 3 weeks.³⁵⁹ It is unexpected, but notable that reported recurrence rates in IBD patients with CDAD are low compared to the general population (0.1% vs 8.7%) which questions the necessity of a pulsed treatment approach.³³⁵ More data are needed and much higher recurrence rates of CDAD have been reported.

7.6.5. Infection occurring during immunomodulator therapy

ECCO Statement OI 7S In CDAD it remains to be established whether concomitant therapy with immunomodulators should be withheld [EL5, RG D]

There are insufficient data to recommend a strategy for managing CDAD in patients on immunomodulators. Azathioprine/6-mercaptopurine therapy, but not biological (anti-TNF α) therapy has been significantly associated with *C difficile* infection.³³⁴ Up to 78% of IBD patients positive for *C difficile* in this study were reported to be taking immunomodulators or anti-TNF α therapy, but the study was not sufficiently powered to address attributable risk. Clearly the risk and benefit of immunomodulator therapy should be questioned in such patients, but it remains a matter of clinical judgement as to whether immunomodulators should be withdrawn. Steroids have been reported to be of therapeutic value in severe CDAD in a single small case-series³⁶³ so there seems no reason to avoid corticosteroids.

8. Special situations

8.1. Patients travelling frequently or to less economically developed countries

8.1.1. Guidelines for the IBD patient travelling to less economically developed countries

The traveller with IBD is exposed to two main risks during travel:

- (i) relapse, exacerbation, or complications of the underlying IBD due to gastrointestinal infections acquired during travel, change in dietary habits, decreased compliance with IBD medication, or lack of such medication due to bad planning or unexpected change in the travel itinerary
- (ii) Acquiring infectious diseases endemic to developing countries which may be more severe in IBD patients who are immunosuppressed.

These patients are therefore best advised to consult their gastroenterologist as well as a professional travel advisory clinic prior to travel. The clinician should ensure that the traveller understands the risks involved from their proposed itinerary. The medical requirements of the patient and degree of immunocompromise should also be taken into consideration when planning the journey, to minimise medical risks during travel.

8.1.2. Pre-travel consultation

Pre-travel interventions should be evaluated for both safety and efficacy. For example, patients with IBD taking IMs should be discouraged from visiting South American or sub-Saharan African countries where yellow fever is endemic, or yellow fever vaccine (a live attenuated vaccine) is required. Furthermore, patients with IBD should ideally be provided with adequate medication, instructions for emergency self-treatment in the event of an exacerbation of their underlying disease in a remote location where medical assistance may not be readily available, and adequate health insurance which includes cover for evacuation by air.

Guidelines regarding which vaccinations to take and when, or what preventive measures or drugs to use when travelling to less economically developed countries are frequently updated by the Infectious Diseases Society of America.³⁶⁴ Vaccinepreventable diseases include: hepatitis A, typhoid fever, yellow fever, Japanese B encephalitis, meningococcal meningitis, tick born encephalitis, poliomyelitis, influenza, mumps, measles, diphtheria, and tetanus. Malaria, traveller's diarrhoea, tuberculosis and insect-borne diseases are also considered.

This section addresses three principal questions:

- 1. Do these diseases behave differently when affecting IBD patients?
- 2. Do these diseases behave differently in IBD patients treated with IM/biologicals?
- 3. What is the degree of immunosuppression and what is its influence on the success of preventive measures and on their safety.

8.1.3. Do these diseases behave differently in IBD patients?

ECCO Statement OI 8A

The clinical manifestations, complications and response to therapy of travel-associated diseases among travellers with inflammatory bowel disease are unknown. Infections with enteropathogenic microorganisms may cause reactivation of quiescent inflammatory bowel disease [EL4, RG C]. Patients with inflammatory bowel disease should have a pre-travel consultation. Travellers with inflammatory bowel disease should be aware of the balance of risks between immunomodulator therapy and travel related infections

The effect of travel-associated diseases on the clinical manifestations of IBD has never been studied. However, several epidemiological investigations indicate that infections with enteropathogens which might be acquired during travel, can both provoke the initial onset of IBD and are associated with reactivation of quiescent disease.³⁶⁵ Powell and Wilmont showed in the 1960s that following epidemics of *Salmonella*, *Shigella*, or *Yersinia* sp., a small but reproducible percentage of patients develop typical IBD.³⁶⁶ The onset of IBD has also been described following sporadic infection with enteropathogens.³⁶⁷

8.1.4. Do travel-associated diseases behave differently in patients on immunomodulators?

ECCO Statement OI 8B

The effect of immunomodulators on the onset and severity of preventable, travel-associated diseases in patients with inflammatory bowel disease is not fully known [EL5, RG D] An extensive literature search yielded only scant case reports regarding the contraction of travel-associated or vaccine-preventable infections by immunocompromised patients. For example, steroid use was associated with fatal paralytic poliomyelitis in one patient following administration of live oral polio vaccination to his daughter. Six patients in south-east Asia developed malaria, one fatal, one asymptomatic, while taking steroids and other immunosuppressants. Malaria has also been reported in a patient receiving azathioprine and other immunosuppressive drugs after kidney transplantation. It is interesting that ciclosporin may have a protective influence, including an anti-parasitic effect in malaria and a possible decrease in HBV replication. Anti-TNF drugs have been associated with reactivation of HBV in some patients and malaria (in one patient).

In most cases, the diseases did not behave differently and the drugs were not given as mono-therapy. Moreover, the disease for which the drug was administered was not IBD in most cases. Thus it is impossible to extrapolate the real effect of a single drug on the severity of these preventable diseases in patients with IBD.

8.1.5. The influence of immunosuppression on the safety and efficacy of preventive measures

Immunisation of patients with IBD against travel-associated vaccine-preventable diseases is highly desirable, given their altered immune status which predisposes them to infectious diseases and possible severe course of disease, once contracted.

Three main issues have to be addressed when considering vaccination of patients with IBD on immunomodulators:

- 1. The safety of the vaccine
- 2. The possibility of exacerbating IBD due to vaccination
- 3. The efficacy of vaccination and modes of monitoring acquisition of immunity.

Vaccination safety

Effect of vaccination on IBD: Many alterations in immune function have been described in patients with IBD and it is generally considered that an over-active adaptive immune system is driving the chronic inflammatory state in these patients. There are no reports of an increased rate of adverse outcomes following immunisation in patients with IBD not being treated with IMs. Furthermore, no vaccine has been shown to be associated with the initiation or exacerbation of IBD, despite speculation regarding the measles vaccine.³⁶⁸

ECCO Statement OI 8C

Immunisation before travel for patients with inflammatory bowel disease who are not on immunomodulators should follow standard guidelines for healthy travelers, according to travel destination [EL5, RG D]

Inactivated vaccines: There are few systematic data regarding the safety of vaccines in patients with IBD being treated with immunomodulators, so inference from immunosuppressed patients with other disorders is necessary. Killed, inactivated or recombinant vaccines have been administered to many patients with variable degrees of immunosuppression and IBD, as well as other disorders (transplantation, rheumatic disorders, and chronic pulmonary disease). Most studies have examined responses to DTP, Influenza, Pneumococcus or Hepatitis B vaccines. There are no reports of infectious complications caused by killed or inactivated vaccines and adverse events have been found to be similar to healthy controls. Therefore, clinical guidelines consistently advocate vaccination of immunosuppressed patients for appropriate indications.^{369–372}

ECCO Statement OI 8D Vaccination is best given before immunomodulator therapy [EL 4, RG D]

ECCO Statement OI 8E

Non-live vaccines are generally considered safe in patients with inflammatory bowel disease regardless of immunomodulator therapy, but may be less effective. This includes – Diphtheria and tetanus toxoids, acellular pertussis, inactivated parenteral poliovirus vaccine, Influenza, Pneumococcal polysaccharide, recombinant Hepatitis B vaccines [EL2a, RGB], as well as Hepatitis A, parenteral Typhoid (*Salmonella typhi* Vi polysaccharide), Meningococcal polysaccharide, oral killed Cholera, inactivated Japanese encephalitis, Human papilloma virus and inactivated tick-borne encephalitis vaccines [EL4, RG C]

Live-attenuated vaccines, including family exposure: These are generally considered unsafe for immunosuppressed patients, due to concerns about the possibility of causing disease by the otherwise attenuated organism. For instance, a patient on long standing prednisolone treatment (12.5 mg/ day) was reported to succumb to fatal paralytic poliomyelitis 2 months after his daughter received live oral polio vaccine.³⁷³ However, live-poliomyelitis vaccine-associated disease has also been reported in persons with an intact immune system, so the implications of this case are difficult to interpret. Nevertheless, the CDC guide on contraindications to vaccination advise against administering liveattenuated vaccines to patients treated by long-term immunosuppressive therapy, including steroids. Such vaccines include Measles-Mumps-Rubella (MMR), Typhoid Ty21a, Vaccinia, Yellow fever, live-attenuated influenza vaccine (LAIV) and BCG, which are designated as contraindicated in such patients.³⁷² On the other hand, in a single retrospective observational study, 49 children with Juvenile Rheumatoid Arthritis received the MMR vaccine while being treated with methotrexate (MTX, mean dose 11 mg/m²), some also being treated with prednisolone. None of the patients developed measles following the vaccination.³⁷⁴ Moreover, MMR was administered safely to 31 pediatric patients after liver transplants under tacrolimus or ciclosporin.³⁷⁵ The CDC does not take a position on the safety to the parent receiving immunomodulators whose child is vaccinated. This is not that uncommon in patients with IBD, when the mother or father of a baby due to have MMR may be receiving steroids, azathioprine or other IMs. However, no case of measles has been reported in such circumstances.

Varicella live virus vaccine is probably safe in patients who have stopped thiopurines or MTX for at least one week before and one week after vaccination although longer than a week's cessation of thiopurines or MTX may be desirable. However, the available data is derived from the pediatric population. In studies recently reviewed by the Canadian national advisory committee on vaccination over 1000 pediatric patients with acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (ALL) in remission received varricella vaccine. Many of these children were under maintenance therapy, which was withheld for 1 week before to 1 week after vaccination. Mild vaccine-related rash was seen in 40-50% of patients, and fever in 20%. Of patients who developed rash, 40% were treated by acyclovir, and no severe life-threatening adverse events were reported. Thus, varicella vaccine to ALL children was incorporated into clinical guidelines advocating withholding chemotherapy at least 1 week before to 1 week after vaccine.³⁷⁶ However, there is no data to support the safety of this approach in immunosuppressed IBD patients.

Despite the lack of evidence, some expert opinion groups contend that live-virus vaccines can be given safely to children receiving prolonged prednisolone treatment at a dose (<2 mg/kg/day or <20 mg prednisone/day if weighing more than 10 kg), and also to children receiving higher doses for less than 14 days.^{117,372}

ECCO Statement OI 8F

Live attenuated vaccines are contra-indicated in IBD patients on immunomodulator therapy (MMR, Typhoid Ty21a, Vaccinia, Yellow fever, live attenuated influenza vaccine, varicella, oral polio and BCG) [EL5, RG D]. Live-virus vaccines are probably safe in patients on less than 20 mg prednisone daily, or on higher doses provided they have been given for less than 14 days [EL5, RG D]

ECCO Statement OI 8G

It is generally recommended that administration of live attenuated vaccines should be avoided for at least 3 months after treatment with immunomodulators is stopped. This delay may be reduced to 1 month in case of use of corticosteroids alone. Immunomodulator therapy should also be withheld for at least 3 weeks from the time of a live vaccine injection [EL5, RGD]

Acquisition of adequate immunity [Vaccine response in patients on immunomodulators or biological therapy]

CD and UC patients have been reported to have a reduced humoral response to booster Tetanus immunisation, independent of steroid therapy.³⁷⁷ The ability of immunosuppressed patients to acquire immunity to infectious agents following vaccination varies according to the type of vaccine and the specific immunosuppression regimen.

Influenza vaccine: Thus, in three controlled studies, patients with rheumatoid arthritis on anti-TNF therapy generated similar rates of protective antibodies to influenza vaccine, albeit at a lower mean titre, compared to patients not taking these drugs, or normal controls.^{211–213} Similarly, patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated with MTX did not have reduced immunity after influenza vaccine immunisation.^{211,213} In two controlled studies of lupus patients, azathioprine, but not prednisolone (10 mg), reduced seroconversion to influenza vaccine.^{378,379} In another study of 59 renal transplant recipients, patients treated with azathioprine and prednisolone had similar seroconversion rates to influenza vaccine as healthy controls, but patients on ciclosporin and prednisolone exhibited reduced immunity.³⁸⁰ Prednisolone at doses >10 mg/day did not affect the immune response to influenza vaccine, either in patients with chronic pulmonary disease in a prospective controlled trial³⁸¹ or rheumatoid arthritis.²¹¹

Pneumococcal vaccine: In two controlled studies, patients with rheumatoid arthritis on various anti-TNF agents achieved comparable protective anti-pneumococcal antibody titres compared to controls. Patients treated with MTX had reduced antibody titres to pneumococcal vaccination.^{298,299} Prednisolone was not associated with reduced immunity. Renal transplant recipients on prednisolone (mean 18 mg/day) and azathioprine (mean 140 mg/day) had near normal immunity to pneumococcus following pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine, compared to healthy controls.³⁸² Prednisolone at a dose >10 mg/day did not affect immunity to pneumococcus in patients with chronic pulmonary disease.³⁸³

Typhoid vaccine: In contrast, diminished cellular and humoral responses to the oral administration of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhi Ty21a vaccine was found among UC patients after colectomy compared to healthy individuals, presumably due to lack of colonic colonisation by the bacteria.³⁸⁴ On the other hand, immunisation with oral inactivated B-subunit whole-cell cholera vaccine was similarly effective among UC patients after colectomy, compared to controls.³⁸⁵

ECCO Statement OI 8H

Colectomy may impair the acquisition of immunity following oral administration of *S. enteritidis* serovar Typhi Ty21a vaccine, but not oral inactivated Cholera vaccine [EL2b, RG C]. Immunisation with parenteral *S. typhi* Vi polysaccharide is preferred in patients with inflammatory bowel disease who have had a colectomy [EL5, RG D]

Hepatitis A vaccine: In one study on the effect of HAV immunisation, eight liver transplant recipients were compared to 16 patients with chronic liver disease. None of the transplant patients responded to HAV vaccine, compared to 7 of 14 with chronic liver disease (p<0.02).³⁸⁶ In another study, 37 liver transplant recipients were compared both to healthy controls and patients with chronic liver disease. Maximal seroconversion of transplanted patients, observed at 7 months post-vaccination, was only 26%. Immunity correlated with higher leukocyte and lymphocyte counts. No correlation was found with azathioprine

or blood levels of calcineurin inhibitors.³⁸⁷ Another study has reported seroconversion rates up to 41% in liver transplant patients and 24% in renal transplant patients after HAV immunisation.³⁸⁸

ECCO Statement OI 8I

All patients with inflammatory bowel disease should have Hepatitis A vaccination according to guidelines for the general population before travel to endemic areas. Response to Hepatitis A immunisation in immunocompromised patients with inflammatory bowel disease is unknown [EL4, RG C]. Monitoring the acquisition of immunity by repeat serologic assays should be considered [EL5, RG D]

8.2. Travellers' diarrhoea

8.2.1. Background

Traveller's diarrhoea, which may be severe and incapacitating, is the most common health problem reported during travel to developing countries.³⁸⁹ The duration is usually 1 to 5 days, but 5–10% of travellers report diarrhoea that lasts for 2 weeks or longer, and 1-3% report diarrhoea that lasts four weeks or longer.³⁹⁰ It is unknown if patients with IBD are at higher risk for acquiring traveller's diarrhoea. However, this common disease, particularly if prolonged, may lead the traveller or the clinician to a wrong diagnosis of an exacerbation of IBD and to unnecessary self-treatment with medication for IBD. Nevertheless, infection with enteropathogens may provoke a relapse of quiescent IBD. Furthermore, travellers being treated with immunomodulators are at greater risk for acquiring food- and water-borne Salmonella sp., Cryptosporidium parvum, Isospora belli, Microsporidia, or Cyclospora sp. infection. For these reasons, patients with IBD should pay greater attention to precautions regarding food and water. Cryptosporidium is resistant to chlorination or iodination and prevention requires use of either boiled or filtered water, or commercially bottled beverages. Travellers are also best advised to avoid swallowing water while swimming in water that may be contaminated.

8.2.2. Treatment and self-treatment

Travellers to developing countries are often advised to carry a fluoroquinolone for empirical self-treatment of traveller's diarrhoea. Azithromycin, which was found to be comparable to quinolones³⁹¹ should be considered for self-treatment of traveller's diarrhoea in the following situations:

- (i) patients who take a fluoroquinolone as part of their treatment for IBD
- (ii) Travellers to countries where endemic bacteria are known to have high levels of fluoroquinolone resistance (e.g. Thailand and India)
- (iii) Patients who have no response to a quinolone within 36–48 \mbox{h}

(iv) Pregnant women and children <16 years (for whom a fluoroquinolone is contraindicated).

Rifaximin (Xifaxan^M, Salix Pharmaceuticals), an oral, nonabsorbed rifamycin antibiotic, was approved for the treatment of traveller's diarrhoea caused by non-invasive strains of *E. coli* in patients aged >12 years. Rifaximin should not be used in patients with bloody diarrhoea or fever, or in patients who are suspected of having traveller's diarrhoea due to pathogens other than *E. coli* since rifaximin lacks efficacy against invasive pathogens (e.g. *Shigella, Salmonella,* and *Campylobacter* sp.). Since traveller's diarrhoea among patients with IBD has not been studied and IBD travellers may not themselves be able to determine the aetiology of their diarrhoea, empirical selftherapy with rifaximin cannot be advocated at this stage.

The immunocompromised traveller should have a lower threshold than immune competent travellers for initiating self-therapy for traveller's diarrhoea. If diarrhoea persists despite antimicrobial treatment efforts should be made to have a stool examination for ova and parasites.

ECCO Statement OI 8J

Patients with inflammatory bowel disease should pay greater attention to precautions regarding food and water during travel than normal. The immunocompromised patient should have a low threshold for initiating self-therapy for traveler's diarrhoea with quinolones or azithromycin, but not rifaximin. If diarrhoea does not improve within 48 h despite treatment, medical advice should be sought [EL5, RG D]

8.3. Screening for latent tuberculosis

International travellers are at increased risk for tuberculosis, which may become evident months or years after travel. In a multicentre, prospective cohort study, the risk of *M. tuberculosis* infection in long-term immunocompetent travellers to high-endemicity countries, even if not engaged in health-care work, was substantial. It was of similar magnitude to the risk for the local population.³⁹² Though the risks and factors associated with acquisition of tuberculosis have not been defined in travellers with IBD, the clinician caring for patients with IBD may have to consider the following:

- (i) Immunosuppression favours progression of asymptomatic latent tuberculosis to active disease
- (ii) IBD patients not treated with immunomodulators at the time of travel, but who acquired (asymptomatic) TB infection during travel, may be considered for immunomodulators at a later stage.

Attempts should therefore be made to identify latent tuberculosis infection in these patients. Areas that are considered to be moderately to highly endemic for tuberculosis include most of the countries in Africa, Central America, South and Southeast Asia, the Middle East, the former states of the Soviet Union, and parts of South America. Long-term travellers to these countries, who are at risk for tuberculosis, are best advised to have a tuberculin skin test or interferongamma release assay (QuantiFERON TB-Gold test, or ELISPOT) before departure.^{287,393} If the result is negative, they should have a repeat test approximately 8–10 weeks after returning.^{394,395} A two-step tuberculin skin test is recommended initially, particularly if previous exposure is likely. A positive tuberculin skin test or interferon-gamma release assay is an indication for chest radiograph examination and treatment.

These recommendations apply for the following IBD patients:

- (i) Travellers with IBD to areas where tuberculosis is moderately to highly endemic and who are receiving immunomodulator therapy, regardless of the duration of travel
- (ii) Travellers with IBD but without immunomodulators, who travel to areas where tuberculosis is moderately to highly endemic for a duration of 1 month or longer
- (iii) Travellers who might have prolonged exposure to patients with active tuberculosis (such as hospitalised patients, prisoners, or homeless population).

Particular consideration should be given to otherwise fit young people with IBD who travel abroad before or after University, since they frequently travel for extended periods, stay in cheap accommodation, or engage in welfare projects that might put them at higher risk than older people who may travel in greater comfort. Of note, none of the current methods for pre- and post-travel TB screening approaches 100% sensitivity for diagnosing active tuberculosis.

ECCO Statement OI 8K

The risk of *M. tuberculosis* infection in long-term travelers to countries with high-endemicity is of similar magnitude to the average risk of the local population [EL2, RG B]. Patients with inflammatory bowel disease traveling for more than a month to a moderately or highly endemic area should be advised to have a tuberculin skin test or interferon-gamma release assay (IGRA) before departure. If negative, it should be repeated approximately 8–10 weeks after returning. Caution should be exercised in recommending IGRAs, since the predictive value in the immunocompromised is uncertain. Patients with inflammatory bowel disease on immunomodulators should avoid contact with TB patients [EL5, RG D]

8.4. Malaria

Unless pregnant, asplenic, or concomitant HIV infection, patients with IBD appear not to be at higher risk for acquiring malaria or the more severe complications of malaria compared to travellers without IBD, even when taking immunomodulators. Recommendations for malaria prevention, including prevention of mosquito bites and chemoprophylaxis, should be followed according to the existing guidelines. Interactions between antimalarial drugs and drugs for the treatment of IBD, particularly those that are new, should be taken into consideration. Metoclopramide decreases absorption of atovaquone (one of the demi-drug components of the anti-malarial drug Malarone) and may decrease the prophylactic efficacy.

8.5. Prevention of insect bites

Immunocompromised IBD travellers should take extra precautions to prevent bites of insects that are known to transmit diseases that are particularly severe in immunocompromised patients. Examples include reduviid bugs in rural Brazil and sandflies on beaches in exotic locations, which are the vectors of Chagas' disease and visceral leishmaniasis respectively. Patients taking immunomodulators should also be aware that infestation with scabies may lead to a severe variant (Norwegian, or crusted scabies) that is often complicated by secondary bacterial infection.

8.6. Guidelines for evaluating the returning traveller

It is beyond the scope of these guidelines to review the large number of diseases that may affect the returning traveller. Consequently the focus of this section is on specific issues related to patients with IBD who return from developing countries (see Section 8.3 for screening for latent tuberculosis in the returning traveller).

8.6.1. General investigations

The returning traveller from long-term travel in developing countries is best advised to have a full blood count to identify eosinophilia, stool culture for enteric pathogens and microscopy for ova, cysts and parasites. This is particularly relevant to patients with IBD who are immunosuppressed. The sensitivity of microscopic examination of a single stool specimen for the detection of ova, cysts and parasites generally exceed 80%. ^{396,397} Additional stool samples, as well as immunofluorescence or enzyme immunoassay for specific parasites, (e.g. *Giardia lamblia, C. parvum*, or *Entamoeba histolytica*) increase the sensitivity. ^{397,398}

Parasitic infections are more likely to be diagnosed in patients with prolonged diarrhoea.³⁹⁹ Common non-infectious causes of chronic diarrhoea in returning travellers include post-infectious disaccharidase deficiency, irritable bowel syndrome and undiagnosed latent disease such as villous atrophy. In many cases of persistent diarrhoea, no known causative agent is identified, but symptoms usually resolve within one year.^{397,400}

8.6.2. Strongyloidiasis

Strongyloidiasis deserves special consideration. In addition to non-inflammatory diarrhoea that is often associated with eosinophilia, *S. stercoralis* can produce overwhelming infection in immunocompromised persons, as a result of its unique ability to replicate and increase in numbers without leaving its host.⁴⁰¹ Strongyloidiasis can persist indefinitely in a single host and cause hyperinfection years after acquisition when host immunity is impaired, especially by corticosteroid therapy. IBD patients returning from endemic areas (Section 5.4.1) are best evaluated for possible strongyloidiasis, even in the absence of symptoms or eosinophilia. The sensitivity of a single stool examination is low and repeated stool examinations are often needed. The diagnosis is often made by serologic tests⁴⁰² (Table 5). Many experts recommend therapy for seropositive patients, even if stool examinations are negative (Sections 5.4.2 and 5.4.3).

ECCO Statement OI 8L

Long term travellers with inflammatory bowel disease returning from developing countries should have a stool examination for bacterial pathogens, ova and parasites and a complete blood count to identify eosinophilia. For long term travellers with inflammatory bowel disease returning from countries highly endemic for strongyloidiasis, serological blood test for strongyloidiasis should be considered [EL5, RG D]

9. Vaccination and systematic work-up to consider before introducing immunomodulator therapy

9.1. Detailed interview

Ideally the medical history should cover:

- History of bacterial infections (especially urinary tract infection)
- History of fungal infections
- Risk of latent or active tuberculosis: date of the last BCG vaccination potential contact with patients having tuberculosis country of origin, or prolonged stay in an area endemic for tuberculosis

history of treatment for latent or active tuberculosis

- History of varicella-zoster virus infection (chickenpox/ shingles)
- History of herpes simplex virus infection
- Immunisation status for hepatitis B
- History of travel and/or living in tropical area or countries with endemic infections
- Future plans to travel abroad to endemic areas.

9.2. Physical examination

General physical examination best includes a search for features that often pass without comment, because they are of minor significance in people who are generally healthy, but which may have substantial implications when immunosuppressed:

- Systemic or local signs of active infection (including gingivitis, oral or vaginal candidiasis, or intertrigo as features of fungal infection)
- Cervical smear.

9.3. Laboratory tests

Many opportunistic infections are preventable and the potential for severe infection during immunosuppression can be ameliorated if thought is given to identifying risks before starting immunomodulator therapy.

Ideally, baseline tests, potentially performed at diagnosis (see below), should include:

- Neutrophil and lymphocyte cell count
- C-reactive protein (a strikingly elevated CRP indicates an underlying infective process, but may also be caused by inflammation)
- Urine analysis in patients with prior history of urinary tract infection or urinary symptoms
- Varicella zoster virus (VZV) serology in patients without a reliable history of varicella immunisation
- Hepatitis B virus serology
- Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) serology after appropriate counselling
- Eosinophil cell count, stool examination and strongyloidiasis serology (for returning travellers).

9.4. Screening for tuberculosis

Screening for tuberculosis should be considered before using high dose corticosteroids or immunomodulators other than anti-TNF therapy, although it is considered mandatory for the latter group.

- Clinical context of risk (gathered from a detailed history, above)
- Chest radiograph
- Tuberculin skin test or interferon gamma release assay (according to country-specific guidelines).

9.5. Vaccination

Vaccines are best given before introduction of immunomodulator therapy. Consideration could reasonably be given to a vaccination programme at diagnosis of inflammatory bowel disease, since around 80% of patients will be treated with corticosteroids, 40% with thiopurines and 20% with anti-TNF therapy.

As in the general population, the immunisation status of patients with inflammatory bowel disease should be checked and vaccination considered for routinely administered vaccines: tetanus, diphtheria, poliomyelitis.

In addition, every patient with inflammatory bowel disease should be considered for the five following vaccines, ideally at diagnosis for the reasons above:

- VZV varicella vaccine (if there is no medical history of chickenpox, shingles, or VZV vaccination and VZV serology is negative
- Human papilloma virus
- Influenza (trivalent inactivated vaccine) once a year
- Pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine
- Hepatitis B vaccine in all HBV seronegative patients.

Vaccines for patients on immunomodulators traveling in developing countries or frequently traveling around the world should be discussed with an appropriate specialist.

Contributors

- **S Ben-Horin**, Department of Gastroenterology, Sheba Medical Center, Tel-Hashomer Israel.
- Y Chowers, Department of Gastroenterology, Sheba Medical Center, Tel-Hashomer Israel.
- JF Colombel, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Hospital Huriez, Lille, France.

C Conlon, Department of Infectious Diseases, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford, UK.

P De Munter, Department of Internal Medicine, University Hospital, Leuven, Belgium.

G D'Haens, Department of Gastroenterology, Clinical Research Centre, Imelda Hospital, Bonheiden, Belgium.

E Domenech, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Hospital Universitari Germans Trias i Pujol, Badalona, Spain.

R Eliakim, Department of Gastroenterology, Rambam Medical Center, Haifa, Israel.

A Eser, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Medical University, Vienna, Austria.

J Frater, Department of Infectious Diseases, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford, UK.

M Gassull, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Hospital Universitari Germans Trias i Pujol, Badalona, Spain.

M Giladi, The Bernard Pridan Laboratory for Molecular Biology of Infectious Diseases, Tel Haviv, Israel.

A Kaser, Department of Gastroenterology, University Hospital, Innsbruck, Austria.

M Lémann, Department of Gastroenterology, Hospital Saint-Louis, Paris, France.

T Moreels, Department of Gastroenterology, University Hospital, Antwerp, Belgium.

A Moschen, Department of Gastroenterology University Hospital, Innsbruck, Austria.

R Pollok, Department of Gastroenterology, St George's Hospital, London, UK.

W Reinisch, Department of Gastroenterology, University Hospital, Vienna, Austria.

M Schunter, Department of Gastroenterology, Robert Bosch Hospital, Stuttgart, Germany.

EF Stange, Department of Gastroenterology, Robert Bosch Hospital, Stuttgart, Germany.

H Tilg, Department of Gastroenterology, University Hospital, Innsbruck, Austria.

SPL Travis, Department of Gastroenterology, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford, UK.

G Van Assche, Department of Gastroenterology, University Hospital, Leuven, Belgium.

N Viget, Department of Infectious Diseases, Centre Hospitalier de Tourcoing, France.

B Vucelic, Department of Gastroenterology, University Hospital Rebro, Zagreb, Croatia.

A Walsh, Department of Gastroenterology, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford, UK.

G Weiss, Department of Internal Medicine, Medical University, Innsbruck, Austria.

Y Yazdanpanah, Department of Infectious Diseases, Centre Hospitalier de Tourcoing, France.

Y Zabana, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Hospital Universitari Germans Trias i Pujol, Badalona, Spain.

References

1. Cosnes J, Nion-Larmurier I, Beaugerie L, Afchain P, Tiret E, Gendre JP. Impact of the increasing use of immunosuppressants in Crohn's disease on the need for intestinal surgery. *Gut* 2005;54:237–41.

- Rutgeerts P, Van Assche G, Vermeire S. Review article: Infliximab therapy for inflammatory bowel disease–seven years on. *Alimentary pharmacology & therapeutics* 2006;23:451–63.
- Stange EF, Travis SP, Vermeire S, Beglinger C, Kupcinkas L, Geboes K, et al. European evidence based consensus on the diagnosis and management of Crohn's disease: definitions and diagnosis. *Gut* 2006;55(Suppl 1):i1–15.
- Pizzo PA. Fever in immunocompromised patients. N Engl J Med 1999;341:893–900.
- Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP): use of vaccines and immune globulins for persons with altered immunocompetence. *MMWR Recomm Rep* 1993;42:1–18.
- Symmers WS. Opportunistic Infections. the Concept of 'Opportunistic Infections'. Proc R Soc Med 1965;58:341–6.
- 7. Korzenik JR. Is Crohn's disease due to defective immunity? *Gut* 2007;**56**:2–5.
- Marks DJ, Harbord MW, MacAllister R, Rahman FZ, Young J, Al-Lazikani B, et al. Defective acute inflammation in Crohn's disease: a clinical investigation. *Lancet* 2006;367:668–78.
- 9. Xavier RJ, Podolsky DK. Unravelling the pathogenesis of inflammatory bowel disease. *Nature* 2007;448:427–34.
- Dieckgraefe BK, Korzenik JR. Treatment of active Crohn's disease with recombinant human granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor. *Lancet* 2002;360:1478–80.
- Korzenik JR, Dieckgraefe BK, Valentine JF, Hausman DF, Gilbert MJ. Sargramostim for active Crohn's disease. N Engl J Med 2005;352:2193–201.
- Bongartz T, Sutton AJ, Sweeting MJ, Buchan I, Matteson EL, Montori V. Anti-TNF antibody therapy in rheumatoid arthritis and the risk of serious infections and malignancies: systematic review and meta-analysis of rare harmful effects in randomized controlled trials. Jama 2006;295:2275–85.
- Toruner M, Loftus Jr EV, Harmsen WS, Zinsmeister AR, Orenstein R, Sandborn WJ, et al. Risk factors for opportunistic infections in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Gastroenterology* 2008;**134**:929–36.
- Bernatsky S, Hudson M, Suissa S. Anti-rheumatic drug use and risk of serious infections in rheumatoid arthritis. *Rheumatol*ogy (Oxford) 2007;46:1157–60.
- Aberra FN, Lewis JD, Hass D, Rombeau JL, Osborne B, Lichtenstein GR. Corticosteroids and immunomodulators: postoperative infectious complication risk in inflammatory bowel disease patients. *Gastroenterology* 2003;**125**:320–7.
- Doran MF, Crowson CS, Pond GR, O'Fallon WM, Gabriel SE. Predictors of infection in rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis and rheumatism* 2002;46:2294–300.
- 17. Wolfe F, Caplan L, Michaud K. Treatment for rheumatoid arthritis and the risk of hospitalization for pneumonia: associations with prednisone, disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs, and anti-tumor necrosis factor therapy. *Arthritis and rheumatism* 2006;54:628–34.
- Stuck AE, Minder CE, Frey FJ. Risk of infectious complications in patients taking glucocorticosteroids. *Rev Infect Dis* 1989;11:954–63.
- Kovacs JA, Masur H. Prophylaxis against opportunistic infections in patients with human immunodeficiency virus infection. N Engl J Med 2000;342:1416–29.
- Risi GF, Tomascak V. Prevention of infection in the immunocompromised host. Am J Infect Control 1998;26:594–604 quiz 605-596.
- 21. Castle SC. Clinical relevance of age-related immune dysfunction. *Clin Infect Dis* 2000;**31**:578–85.
- Gavazzi G, Krause KH. Ageing and infection. Lancet Infect Dis 2002;2:659–66.
- Nikolich-Zugich J. T cell aging: naive but not young. J Exp Med 2005;201:837–40.
- 24. Solana R, Pawelec G, Tarazona R. Aging and innate immunity. Immunity 2006;24:491-4.

- Weng NP. Aging of the immune system: how much can the adaptive immune system adapt? *Immunity* 2006;24:495–9.
- Colombel JF, Loftus Jr EV, Tremaine WJ, Egan LJ, Harmsen WS, Schleck CD, et al. The safety profile of infliximab in patients with Crohn's disease: the Mayo clinic experience in 500 patients. *Gastroenterology* 2004;**126**:19–31.
- Lichtenstein GR, Feagan BG, Cohen RD, Salzberg BA, Diamond RH, Chen DM, et al. Serious infections and mortality in association with therapies for Crohn's disease: TREAT registry. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2006;4:621–30.
- Gershwin ME, Borchers AT, Keen CL. Phenotypic and functional considerations in the evaluation of immunity in nutritionally compromised hosts. J Infect Dis 2000;182(Suppl 1):S108–14.
- 29. Chandra RK. Nutrition, immunity and infection: from basic knowledge of dietary manipulation of immune responses to practical application of ameliorating suffering and improving survival. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1996;**93**:14304–7.
- Chandra RK. Effect of vitamin and trace-element supplementation on immune responses and infection in elderly subjects. *Lancet* 1992;340:1124–7.
- 31. Krok KL, Lichtenstein GR. Nutrition in Crohn disease. *Current* opinion in gastroenterology 2003;**19**:148–53.
- Ainley C, Cason J, Slavin BM, Wolstencroft RA, Thompson RP. The influence of zinc status and malnutrition on immunological function in Crohn's disease. *Gastroenterology* 1991;100:1616–25.
- 33. Yamamoto T, Allan RN, Keighley MR. Risk factors for intraabdominal sepsis after surgery in Crohn's disease. *Dis Colon Rectum* 2000;43:1141–5.
- Marie I, Hachulla E, Cherin P, Hellot MF, Herson S, Levesque H, et al. Opportunistic infections in polymyositis and dermatomyositis. *Arthritis and rheumatism* 2005;53:155–65.
- Vivarelli M, Burra P, La Barba G, Canova D, Senzolo M, Cucchetti A, et al. Influence of steroids on HCV recurrence after liver transplantation: A prospective study. J Hepatol 2007;47: 793–8.
- Stangl JR, Carroll KL, Illichmann M, Striker R. Effect of antimetabolite immunosuppressants on Flaviviridae, including hepatitis C virus. *Transplantation* 2004;77:562–7.
- Nissen MJ, Fontanges E, Allam Y, Zoulim F, Trepo C, Miossec P. Rheumatological manifestations of hepatitis C: incidence in a rheumatology and non-rheumatology setting and the effect of methotrexate and interferon. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2005;44:1016–20.
- Aslanidis S, Vassiliadis T, Pyrpasopoulou A, Douloumpakas I, Zamboulis C. Inhibition of TNFalpha does not induce viral reactivation in patients with chronic hepatitis C infection: two cases. *Clin Rheumatol* 2007;26:261–4.
- 39. Campbell S, Ghosh S. Infliximab therapy for Crohn's disease in the presence of chronic hepatitis C infection. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2001;**13**:191–2.
- 40. Magliocco MA, Gottlieb AB. Etanercept therapy for patients with psoriatic arthritis and concurrent hepatitis C virus infection: report of 3 cases. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2004;51:580–4.
- 41. Parke FA, Reveille JD. Anti-tumor necrosis factor agents for rheumatoid arthritis in the setting of chronic hepatitis C infection. *Arthritis and rheumatism* 2004;**51**:800–4.
- Vauloup C, Krzysiek R, Greangeot-Keros L, Wendling D, Goupille P, Brault R, et al. Effects of tumor necrosis factor antagonist treatment on hepatitis C-related immunological abnormalities. *Eur Cytokine Netw* 2006;17:290–3.
- Peterson JR, Hsu FC, Simkin PA, Wener MH. Effect of tumour necrosis factor alpha antagonists on serum transaminases and viraemia in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and chronic hepatitis C infection. *Annals of the rheumatic diseases* 2003;62:1078–82.
- 44. Zein NN. Etanercept as an adjuvant to interferon and ribavirin in treatment-naive patients with chronic hepatitis C virus infection: a phase 2 randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled study. *J Hepatol* 2005;**42**:315–22.

- 45. Scherzer TM, Staufer K, Novacek G, Steindl-Munda P, Schumacher S, Hofer H, et al. Efficacy and safety of antiviral therapy in patients with Crohn's disease and chronic hepatitis C. *Alimentary pharmacology & therapeutics* 2008;28(6):742–8.
- Tilg H, Vogelsang H, Ludwiczek O, Lochs H, Kaser A, Colombel JF, et al. A randomised placebo controlled trial of pegylated interferon alpha in active ulcerative colitis. *Gut* 2003;52: 1728–33.
- Beasley RP, Hwang LY, Lin CC, Leu ML, Stevens CE, Szmuness W, et al. Incidence of hepatitis B virus infections in preschool children in Taiwan. J Infect Dis 1982;146:198–204.
- Coursaget P, Yvonnet B, Chotard J, Vincelot P, Sarr M, Diouf C, et al. Age- and sex-related study of hepatitis B virus chronic carrier state in infants from an endemic area (Senegal). J Med Virol 1987;22:1–5.
- Stevens CE, Beasley RP, Tsui J, Lee WC. Vertical transmission of hepatitis B antigen in Taiwan. N Engl J Med 1975;292:771–4.
- Tassopoulos NC, Papaevangelou GJ, Sjogren MH, Roumeliotou-Karayannis A, Gerin JL, Purcell RH. Natural history of acute hepatitis B surface antigen-positive hepatitis in Greek adults. *Gastroenterology* 1987;92:1844–50.
- Marusawa H, Uemoto S, Hijikata M, Ueda Y, Tanaka K, Shimotohno K, et al. Latent hepatitis B virus infection in healthy individuals with antibodies to hepatitis B core antigen. *Hepatology* 2000;31:488–95.
- Rehermann B, Ferrari C, Pasquinelli C, Chisari FV. The hepatitis B virus persists for decades after patients' recovery from acute viral hepatitis despite active maintenance of a cytotoxic Tlymphocyte response. *Nat Med* 1996;2:1104–8.
- Yotsuyanagi H, Yasuda K, Iino S, Moriya K, Shintani Y, Fujie H, et al. Persistent viremia after recovery from self-limited acute hepatitis B. *Hepatology* 1998;27:1377–82.
- Lok AS, McMahon BJ. Chronic hepatitis B. Hepatology 2007;45:507–39.
- Cheng AL, Hsiung CA, Su IJ, Chen PJ, Chang MC, Tsao CJ, et al. Steroid-free chemotherapy decreases risk of hepatitis B virus (HBV) reactivation in HBV-carriers with lymphoma. *Hepatology* 2003;**37**:1320–8.
- del Valle Garcia-Sanchez M, Gomez-Camacho F, Poyato-Gonzalez A, Iglesias-Flores EM, de Dios-Vega JF, Sancho-Zapatero R. Infliximab therapy in a patient with Crohn's disease and chronic hepatitis B virus infection. *Inflammatory bowel diseases* 2004;10:701–2.
- Esteve M, Saro C, Gonzalez-Huix F, Suarez F, Forne M, Viver JM. Chronic hepatitis B reactivation following infliximab therapy in Crohn's disease patients: need for primary prophylaxis. *Gut* 2004;53:1363–5.
- Millonig G, Kern M, Ludwiczek O, Nachbaur K, Vogel W. Subfulminant hepatitis B after infliximab in Crohn's disease: need for HBV-screening? World J Gastroenterol 2006;12: 974–6.
- Madonia S, Orlando A, Scimeca D, Olivo M, Rossi F, Cottone M. Occult hepatitis B and infliximab-induced HBV reactivation. *Inflammatory bowel diseases* 2007;13:508–9.
- Lok AS, Liang RH, Chiu EK, Wong KL, Chan TK, Todd D. Reactivation of hepatitis B virus replication in patients receiving cytotoxic therapy. Report of a prospective study. *Gastroenterology* 1991;100:182–8.
- 61. Yeo W, Chan PK, Zhong S, Ho WM, Steinberg JL, Tam JS, et al. Frequency of hepatitis B virus reactivation in cancer patients undergoing cytotoxic chemotherapy: a prospective study of 626 patients with identification of risk factors. J Med Virol 2000;62:299–307.
- Bloemena E, Weinreich S, Schellekens PT. The influence of prednisolone on the recirculation of peripheral blood lymphocytes in vivo. *Clin Exp Immunol* 1990;80:460–6.
- 63. Bartlett JG. Medical management of HIV infection. *Broché Edn* 2007.
- 64. Wallis RS, Kalayjian R, Jacobson JM, Fox L, Purdue L, Shikuma CM, et al. A study of the immunology, virology, and safety of

prednisone in HIV-1-infected subjects with CD4 cell counts of 200 to 700 mm(-3). *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2003;**32**: 281–6.

- 65. Keat A, Rowe I. Reiter's syndrome and associated arthritides. *Rheum Dis Clin North Am* 1991;17:25–42.
- 66. Purgus R, Tamalet C, Poignard P, Spire B, George F, Robert A, et al. Long-term nonprogressive human immunodeficiency virus-1 infection in a kidney allograft recipient. *Transplantation* 1998;66:1384–6.
- 67. Duh EJ, Maury WJ, Folks TM, Fauci AS, Rabson AB. Tumor necrosis factor alpha activates human immunodeficiency virus type 1 through induction of nuclear factor binding to the NFkappa B sites in the long terminal repeat. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S* A 1989;86:5974–8.
- Fuchs D, Werner ER, Dierich MP, Wachter H. Cell-mediated immunoreactivity in AIDS. *Immunol Today* 1989;10:150.
- 69. Valdez H, Lederman MM. Cytokines and cytokine therapies in HIV infection. *AIDS Clin Rev* 1997:187–228.
- Calabrese LH, Zein N, Vassilopoulos D. Safety of antitumour necrosis factor (anti-TNF) therapy in patients with chronic viral infections: hepatitis C, hepatitis B, and HIV infection. *Annals* of the rheumatic diseases 2004;63(Suppl 2):ii18–24.
- 71. Wallis RS, Kyambadde P, Johnson JL, Horter L, Kittle R, Pohle M, et al. A study of the safety, immunology, virology, and microbiology of adjunctive etanercept in HIV-1-associated tuberculosis. *Aids* 2004;**18**:257–64.
- 72. Beltran B, Nos P, Bastida G, Iborra M, Hoyos M, Ponce J. Safe and effective application of anti-TNF-alpha in a patient infected with HIV and concomitant Crohn's disease. *Gut* 2006;55:1670–1.
- 73. Landolfo S, Gariglio M, Gribaudo G, Lembo D. The human cytomegalovirus. *Pharmacol Ther* 2003;**98**:269–97.
- 74. Taylor GH. Cytomegalovirus. Am Fam Physician 2003;67: 519-24.
- 75. Slifkin M, Doron S, Snydman DR. Viral prophylaxis in organ transplant patients. *Drugs* 2004;**64**:2763–92.
- 76. de Jong MD, Galasso GJ, Gazzard B, Griffiths PD, Jabs DA, Kern ER, et al. Summary of the II International Symposium on Cytomegalovirus. *Antiviral Res* 1998; **39**:141–62.
- 77. Kishore J, Ghoshal U, Ghoshal UC, Krishnani N, Kumar S, Singh M, et al. Infection with cytomegalovirus in patients with inflammatory bowel disease: prevalence, clinical significance and outcome. J Med Microbiol 2004;53:1155–60.
- Minami M, Ohta M, Ohkura T, Ando T, Ohmiya N, Niwa Y, et al. Cytomegalovirus infection in severe ulcerative colitis patients undergoing continuous intravenous cyclosporine treatment in Japan. World J Gastroenterol 2007;13:754–60.
- Papadakis KA, Tung JK, Binder SW, Kam LY, Abreu MT, Targan SR, et al. Outcome of cytomegalovirus infections in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *The American journal of gastroenterology* 2001;**96**:2137–42.
- Kandiel A, Lashner B. Cytomegalovirus colitis complicating inflammatory bowel disease. *The American journal of gastroenterology* 2006;101:2857–65.
- Rowshani AT, Bemelman FJ, van Leeuwen EM, van Lier RA, ten Berge IJ. Clinical and immunologic aspects of cytomegalovirus infection in solid organ transplant recipients. *Transplantation* 2005;**79**:381–6.
- Berk T, Gordon SJ, Choi HY, Cooper HS. Cytomegalovirus infection of the colon: a possible role in exacerbations of inflammatory bowel disease. *The American journal of gastroenterology* 1985;80:355–60.
- Cottone M, Pietrosi G, Martorana G, Casa A, Pecoraro G, Oliva L, et al. Prevalence of cytomegalovirus infection in severe refractory ulcerative and Crohn's colitis. *The American journal* of gastroenterology 2001;96:773–5.
- Gehlert T, Devergne O, Niedobitek G. Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) infection and expression of the interleukin-12 family member EBV-induced gene 3 (EBI3) in chronic inflammatory bowel disease. J Med Virol 2004;73:432–8.

- 85. Goodgame RW. Gastrointestinal cytomegalovirus disease. Ann Intern Med 1993;119:924–35.
- Kambham N, Vij R, Cartwright CA, Longacre T. Cytomegalovirus infection in steroid-refractory ulcerative colitis: a case-control study. Am J Surg Pathol 2004;28:365–73.
- Loftus Jr EV, Alexander GL, Carpenter HA. Cytomegalovirus as an exacerbating factor in ulcerative colitis. J Clin Gastroenterol 1994; 19:306–9.
- Orloff JJ, Saito R, Lasky S, Dave H. Toxic megacolon in cytomegalovirus colitis. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1989;84:794–7.
- Streetz KL, Buhr T, Wedemeyer H, Bleck J, Schedel I, Manns MP, et al. Acute CMV-colitis in a patient with a history of ulcerative colitis. Scand J Gastroenterol 2003;38:119–22.
- Vega R, Bertran X, Menacho M, Domenech E, Moreno de Vega V, Hombrados M, et al. Cytomegalovirus infection in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1999;94:1053–6.
- Wakefield AJ, Fox JD, Sawyerr AM, Taylor JE, Sweenie CH, Smith M, et al. Detection of herpesvirus DNA in the large intestine of patients with ulcerative colitis and Crohn's disease using the nested polymerase chain reaction. J Med Virol 1992;38:183–90.
- Dimitroulia E, Spanakis N, Konstantinidou AE, Legakis NJ, Tsakris A. Frequent detection of cytomegalovirus in the intestine of patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Inflammatory bowel diseases* 2006;12:879–84.
- Matsuoka K, Iwao Y, Mori T, Sakuraba A, Yajima T, Hisamatsu T, et al. Cytomegalovirus is frequently reactivated and disappears without antiviral agents in ulcerative colitis patients. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2007;**102**:331–7.
- Lavagna A, Bergallo M, Daperno M, Sostegni R, Costa C, Leto R, et al. Infliximab and the risk of latent viruses reactivation in active Crohn's disease. *Inflammatory bowel diseases* 2007;13:896–902.
- 95. Scheinberg P, Fischer SH, Li L, Nunez O, Wu CO, Sloand EM, et al. Distinct EBV and CMV reactivation patterns following antibody-based immunosuppressive regimens in patients with severe aplastic anemia. *Blood* 2007;**109**:3219–24.
- Torre-Cisneros J, Del Castillo M, Caston JJ, Castro MC, Perez V, Collantes E. Infliximab does not activate replication of lymphotropic herpesviruses in patients with refractory rheumatoid arthritis. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2005;44:1132–5.
- Gerna G, Zavattoni M, Percivalle E, Zella D, Torsellini M, Revello MG. Diagnosis of human cytomegalovirus infections in the immunocompromised host. *Clin Diagn Virol* 1996;5:181–6.
- Haerter G, Manfras BJ, de Jong-Hesse Y, Wilts H, Mertens T, Kern P, et al. Cytomegalovirus retinitis in a patient treated with anti-tumor necrosis factor alpha antibody therapy for rheumatoid arthritis. *Clin Infect Dis* 2004;**39**:e88–94.
- Fatahzadeh M, Schwartz RA. Human herpes simplex virus infections: epidemiology, pathogenesis, symptomatology, diagnosis, and management. J Am Acad Dermatol 2007;57:737–63; quiz 764–736.
- 100. Gupta R, Warren T, Wald A. Genital herpes. *Lancet* 2007;**370**:2127–37.
- Alimohamadi SM, Malekzadeh R, Mirmadjless SH, Mohamadnejad M, Zamani F. Herpes simplex virus encephalitis during immunosuppressive treatment of ulcerative colitis. *Med Gen Med* 2004;6:7.
- el-Serag HB, Zwas FR, Cirillo NW, Eisen RN. Fulminant herpes colitis in a patient with Crohn's disease. J Clin Gastroenterol 1996;22:220–3.
- 103. Shlien RD, Meyers S, Lee JA, Dische R, Janowitz HD. Fulminant herpes simplex hepatitis in a patient with ulcerative colitis. *Gut* 1988;**29**:257–61.
- Taplitz RA, Jordan MC. Pneumonia caused by herpesviruses in recipients of hematopoietic cell transplants. Semin Respir Infect 2002;17:121–9.
- Wolfsen HC, Bolen JW, Bowen JL, Fenster LF. Fulminant herpes hepatitis mimicking hepatic abscesses. J Clin Gastroenterol 1993;16:61–4.

- Schunter M. Herpes simplex colitis complicating ulcerative colitis: a case report and brief review on superinfections. JCC 2007;1:41–6.
- 107. Fillet AM. Prophylaxis of herpesvirus infections in immunocompetent and immunocompromised older patients. *Drugs Aging* 2002;**19**:343–54.
- Martinez V, Caumes E, Chosidow O. Treatment to prevent recurrent genital herpes. Curr Opin Infect Dis 2008;21:42–8.
- 109. Whitley RJ, Gnann Jr JW. Acyclovir: a decade later. N Engl J Med 1992;**327**:782–9.
- 110. Listing J, Strangfeld A, Kary S, Rau R, von Hinueber U, Stoyanova-Scholz M, et al. Infections in patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated with biologic agents. *Arthritis and rheumatism* 2005;**52**:3403–12.
- Blaszyk H, Hyman NH, Cooper K. Herpes simplex virus colitis in ulcerative colitis, simulating malignancy. *Histopathology* 2006;49:316–8.
- 112. Smith JS, Robinson NJ. Age-specific prevalence of infection with herpes simplex virus types 2 and 1: a global review. J Infect Dis 2002;**186**(Suppl 1):S3–S28.
- 113. Ruther U, Nunnensiek C, Muller HA, Rupp W, Gforer S, Bader H, et al. Herpes simplex-associated exacerbation of Crohn's disease. Successful treatment with acyclovir. *Dtsch Med Wochenschr* 1992;117:46–50.
- 114. Liebau P, Kuse E, Winkler M, Schlitt HJ, Oldhafer K, Verhagen W, et al. Management of herpes simplex virus type 1 pneumonia following liver transplantation. *Infection* 1996;24: 130–5.
- 115. Arvin AM. Varicella-zoster virus. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 1996;**9**:361–81.
- 116. Hambleton S, Gershon AA. Preventing varicella-zoster disease. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2005; 18:70–80.
- 117. Sands BE, Cuffari C, Katz J, Kugathasan S, Onken J, Vitek C, et al. Guidelines for immunizations in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Inflammatory bowel diseases* 2004;**10**: 677–92.
- Marin M, Guris D, Chaves SS, Schmid S, Seward JF. Prevention of varicella: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). MMWR Recomm Rep 2007;56:1–40.
- 119. Aberra FN, Lichtenstein GR. Methods to avoid infections in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Inflammatory bowel diseases* 2005;11:685–95.
- 120. Antonelli MA, Moreland LW, Brick JE. Herpes zoster in patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated with weekly, low-dose methotrexate. *Am J Med* 1991;**90**:295–8.
- 121. Kinder A, Stephens S, Mortimer N, Sheldon P. Severe herpes zoster after infliximab infusion. *Postgrad Med J* 2004;**80**:26.
- 122. Soon SY, Ansari A, Yaneza M, Raoof S, Hirst J, Sanderson JD. Experience with the use of low-dose methotrexate for inflammatory bowel disease. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2004;16:921–6.
- 123. Stephens MC, Shepanski MA, Mamula P, Markowitz JE, Brown KA, Baldassano RN. Safety and steroid-sparing experience using infliximab for Crohn's disease at a pediatric inflammatory bowel disease center. Am J Gastroenterol 2003;98:104–11.
- 124. van der Veen MJ, van der Heide A, Kruize AA, Bijlsma JW. Infection rate and use of antibiotics in patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated with methotrexate. *Annals of the rheumatic diseases* 1994;**53**:224–8.
- 125. Deutsch DE, Olson AD, Kraker S, Dickinson CJ. Overwhelming varicella pneumonia in a patient with Crohn's disease treated with 6-mercaptopurine. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 1995;20:351–3.
- Leung VS, Nguyen MT, Bush TM. Disseminated primary varicella after initiation of infliximab for Crohn's disease. Am J Gastroenterol 2004;99:2503–4.
- 127. Vergara M, Brullet E, Campo R, Calvet X, Blanch L. Fulminant infection caused by varicella herpes zoster in patient with Crohn disease undergoing treatment with azathioprine. *Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2001;**24**:47.

85

- 128. Arias M, Arias-Rivas S, Dapena D, Mera A. Brachial plexitis and myelitis and herpes-zoster lumbar plexus disorder in patient treated with infliximab. *Neurologia* 2005;**20**:374–6.
- 129. Ching DW. Severe, disseminated, life threatening herpes zoster infection in a patient with rheumatoid arthritis treated with methotrexate. Annals of the rheumatic diseases 1995;54:155.
- 130. Choi HJ, Kim MY, Kim HO, Park YM. An atypical varicella exanthem associated with the use of infliximab. *Int J Dermatol* 2006;**45**:999–1000.
- 131. Golden HE. Herpes zoster encephalomyelitis in a patient with rheumatoid arthritis treated with low dose methotrexate. *J Rheumatol* 1997;24:2487–8.
- 132. Korelitz BI, Fuller SR, Warman JI, Goldberg MD. Shingles during the course of treatment with 6-mercaptopurine for inflammatory bowel disease. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1999;**94**:424–6.
- Lemyze M, Tavernier JY, Chevalon B, Lamblin C. Severe varicella zoster pneumonia during the course of treatment with azathioprine for Crohn's disease. *Rev Mal Respir* 2003;20:773–6.
- 134. Lyon CC, Thompson D. Herpes zoster encephalomyelitis associated with low dose methotrexate for rheumatoid arthritis. *J Rheumatol* 1997;24:589–91.
- 135. Mouzas IA, Greenstein AJ, Giannadaki E, Balasubramanian S, Manousos ON, Sachar DB. Management of varicella infection during the course of inflammatory bowel disease. Am J Gastroenterol 1997;92:1534–7.
- 136. Shiroky JB, Frost A, Skelton JD, Haegert DG, Newkirk MM, Neville C. Complications of immunosuppression associated with weekly low dose methotrexate. J Rheumatol 1991;18: 1172–5.
- 137. Tougeron D, Mauillon J, Tranvouez JL. Severe varicella infection during treatment with infliximab for Crohn's disease. *Gastroenterol Clin Biol* 2006;**30**:1410–3.
- 138. Vonkeman H, ten Napel C, Rasker H, van de Laar M. Disseminated primary varicella infection during infliximab treatment. J Rheumatol 2004;31:2517–8.
- Babcock GJ, Decker LL, Volk M, Thorley-Lawson DA. EBV persistence in memory B cells in vivo. *Immunity* 1998;9:395–404.
- 140. Crawford DH. Biology and disease associations of Epstein-Barr virus. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 2001;**356**:461–73.
- 141. Ternak G, Szucs G, Uj M. The serological signs of the Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) activity in the elderly. *Acta Microbiol Immunol Hung* 1997;44:133–40.
- 142. Thompson MP, Kurzrock R. Epstein-Barr virus and cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2004;**10**:803–21.
- 143. Baldanti F, Grossi P, Furione M, Simoncini L, Sarasini A, Comoli P, et al. High levels of Epstein-Barr virus DNA in blood of solid-organ transplant recipients and their value in predicting posttransplant lymphoproliferative disorders. J Clin Microbiol 2000;38:613–9.
- 144. Reijasse D, Le Pendeven C, Cosnes J, Dehee A, Gendre JP, Nicolas JC, et al. Epstein-Barr virus viral load in Crohn's disease: effect of immunosuppressive therapy. *Inflammatory bowel diseases* 2004;**10**:85–90.
- Bauer CC, Aberle SW, Popow-Kraupp T, Kapitan M, Hofmann H, Puchhammer-Stockl E. Serum Epstein-Barr virus DNA load in primary Epstein-Barr virus infection. J Med Virol 2005;75:54–8.
- 146. Weinstock DM, Ambrossi GG, Brennan C, Kiehn TE, Jakubowski A. Preemptive diagnosis and treatment of Epstein-Barr virusassociated post transplant lymphoproliferative disorder after hematopoietic stem cell transplant: an approach in development. Bone Marrow Transplant 2006;37:539–46.
- 147. Torre D, Tambini R. Acyclovir for treatment of infectious mononucleosis: a meta-analysis. *Scand J Infect Dis* 1999;**31**:543–7.
- 148. Funch DP, Walker AM, Schneider G, Ziyadeh NJ, Pescovitz MD. Ganciclovir and acyclovir reduce the risk of post-transplant lymphoproliferative disorder in renal transplant recipients. Am J Transplant 2005;5:2894–900.
- 149. Garrido Serrano A, Perez Martin F, Guerrero Igea FJ, Galbarro Munoz J, Palomo Gil S. Fatal infectious mononucleosis during

azathioprine treatment in Crohn's disease. *Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2000;23:7–8.

- 150. Posthuma EF, Westendorp RG, van der Sluys Veer A, Kluin-Nelemans JC, Kluin PM, Lamers CB. Fatal infectious mononucleosis: a severe complication in the treatment of Crohn's disease with azathioprine. *Gut* 1995;**36**:311–3.
- 151. Dayharsh GA, Loftus Jr EV, Sandborn WJ, Tremaine WJ, Zinsmeister AR, Witzig TE, et al. Epstein-Barr virus-positive lymphoma in patients with inflammatory bowel disease treated with azathioprine or 6-mercaptopurine. *Gastroenterology* 2002;**122**:72–7.
- 152. Bai M, Katsanos KH, Economou M, Kamina S, Balli C, Briasoulis E, et al. Rectal Epstein-Barr virus-positive Hodgkin's lymphoma in a patient with Crohn's disease: case report and review of the literature. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 2006;41:866–9.
- 153. Brown SL, Greene MH, Gershon SK, Edwards ET, Braun MM. Tumor necrosis factor antagonist therapy and lymphoma development: twenty-six cases reported to the Food and Drug Administration. *Arthritis and rheumatism* 2002;**46**:3151–8.
- 154. Codling BW, Keighley MR, Slaney G. Hodgkin's disease complicating Crohn's colitis. *Surgery* 1977;82:625–8.
- 155. Collins WJ. Malignant lymphoma complicating regional enteritis. Case report and review of the literature. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1977;68:177–81.
- 156. Hecker R, Sheers R, Thomas D. Hodgkin's disease as a complication of Crohn's disease. *Med J Aust* 1978;2:603.
- 157. Juffermans NP, Jager A, Kersten MJ, van Oers MH, Hommes DW. Epstein-Barr virus-related lymphomas in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Ned Tijdschr Geneeskd* 2005;**149**:1859–63.
- Kelly MD, Stuart M, Tschuchnigg M, Turner J, Tydd T. Primary intestinal Hodgkin's disease complicating ileal Crohn's disease. *Aust N Z J Surg* 1997;67:485–9.
- 159. Krugmann J, Sailer-Hock M, Muller T, Gruber J, Allerberger F, Offner FA. Epstein-Barr virus-associated Hodgkin's lymphoma and legionella pneumophila infection complicating treatment of juvenile rheumatoid arthritis with methotrexate and cyclosporine A. *Hum Pathol* 2000;**31**:253–5.
- 160. Kumar S, Fend F, Quintanilla-Martinez L, Kingma DW, Sorbara L, Raffeld M, et al. Epstein-Barr virus-positive primary gastrointestinal Hodgkin's disease: association with inflammatory bowel disease and immunosuppression. Am J Surg Pathol 2000;24:66–73.
- 161. Li S, Borowitz MJ. Primary Epstein-Barr virus-associated Hodgkin disease of the ileum complicating Crohn disease. Arch Pathol Lab Med 2001;125:424–7.
- 162. Liote F, Pertuiset E, Cochand-Priollet B, D'Agay MF, Dombret H, Numeric P, et al. Methotrexate related B lymphoproliferative disease in a patient with rheumatoid arthritis. Role of Epstein-Barr virus infection. J Rheumatol 1995;22:1174–8.
- Morrison PD, Whittaker M. A case of Hodgkin's disease complicating Crohn's disease. *Clin Oncol* 1982;8:271–2.
- 164. Rutgeerts P, D'Haens G, Targan S, Vasiliauskas E, Hanauer SB, Present DH, et al. Efficacy and safety of retreatment with antitumor necrosis factor antibody (infliximab) to maintain remission in Crohn's disease. *Gastroenterology* 1999;117: 761–9.
- 165. Salloum E, Cooper DL, Howe G, Lacy J, Tallini G, Crouch J, et al. Spontaneous regression of lymphoproliferative disorders in patients treated with methotrexate for rheumatoid arthritis and other rheumatic diseases. J Clin Oncol 1996; 14:1943–9.
- 166. Schwartz LK, Kim MK, Coleman M, Lichtiger S, Chadburn A, Scherl E. Case report: lymphoma arising in an ileal pouch anal anastomosis after immunomodulatory therapy for inflammatory bowel disease. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2006;4:1030–4.
- Shaw JH, Mulvaney N. Hodgkin's lymphoma: a complication of small bowel Crohn's disease. *Aust N Z J Surg* 1982;52:34–6.
- Sivarajasingham N, Adams SA, Smith ME, Hosie KB. Perianal Hodgkin's lymphoma complicating Crohn's disease. Int J Colorectal Dis 2003;18:174–6.

- Vanbockrijck M, Cabooter M, Casselman J, Vanvuchelen J, Van Hoof A, Michielssen P. Primary Hodgkin disease of the ileum complicating Crohn disease. *Cancer* 1993;72:1784–9.
- Palefsky J. Human papillomavirus infection in HIV-infected persons. *Top HIV Med* 2007;15:130–3.
- 171. Clifford GM, Gallus S, Herrero R, Munoz N, Snijders PJ, Vaccarella S, et al. Worldwide distribution of human papillomavirus types in cytologically normal women in the International Agency for Research on Cancer HPV prevalence surveys: a pooled analysis. *Lancet* 2005;**366**:991–8.
- Dunne EF, Nielson CM, Stone KM, Markowitz LE, Giuliano AR. Prevalence of HPV infection among men: A systematic review of the literature. J Infect Dis 2006;194:1044–57.
- 173. Munoz N. Human papillomavirus and cancer: the epidemiological evidence. *J Clin Virol* 2000;**19**:1–5.
- 174. Munoz N, Bosch FX, de Sanjose S, Herrero R, Castellsague X, Shah KV, et al. Epidemiologic classification of human papillomavirus types associated with cervical cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2003;**348**:518–27.
- 175. Harper DM, Franco EL, Wheeler CM, Moscicki AB, Romanowski B, Roteli-Martins CM, et al. Sustained efficacy up to 4.5 years of a bivalent L1 virus-like particle vaccine against human papillomavirus types 16 and 18: follow-up from a randomised control trial. *Lancet* 2006;**367**:1247–55.
- 176. Villa LL, Costa RL, Petta CA, Andrade RP, Ault KA, Giuliano AR, et al. Prophylactic quadrivalent human papillomavirus (types 6, 11, 16, and 18) L1 virus-like particle vaccine in young women: a randomised double-blind placebo-controlled multicentre phase II efficacy trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2005;6:271–8.
- 177. Arbyn M, Dillner J. Review of current knowledge on HPV vaccination: an appendix to the European Guidelines for Quality Assurance in Cervical Cancer Screening. J Clin Virol 2007;38:189–97.
- 178. Barnabas RV, Laukkanen P, Koskela P, Kontula O, Lehtinen M, Garnett GP. Epidemiology of HPV 16 and cervical cancer in Finland and the potential impact of vaccination: mathematical modelling analyses. *PLoS Med* 2006;**3**:e138.
- 179. Markowitz LE, Dunne EF, Saraiya M, Lawson HW, Chesson H, Unger ER. Quadrivalent Human Papillomavirus Vaccine: Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). *MMWR Recomm Rep* 2007;**56**:1–24.
- 180. Sanders GD, Taira AV. Cost-effectiveness of a potential vaccine for human papillomavirus. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2003;**9**:37–48.
- Saslow D, Castle PE, Cox JT, Davey DD, Einstein MH, Ferris DG, et al. American Cancer Society Guideline for human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine use to prevent cervical cancer and its precursors. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2007;57:7–28.
- 182. Bosch FX, Rohan T, Schneider A, Frazer I, Pfister H, Castellsague X, et al. Papillomavirus research update: highlights of the Barcelona HPV 2000 international papillomavirus conference. J Clin Pathol 2001;54:163–75.
- 183. Marais DJ, Sampson CC, Urban MI, Sitas F, Wiliamson AL. The seroprevalence of IgG antibodies to human papillomavirus (HPV) types HPV-16, HPV-18, and HPV-11 capsid-antigens in mothers and their children. J Med Virol 2007;79:1370–4.
- 184. Wang SS, Schiffman M, Shields TS, Herrero R, Hildesheim A, Bratti MC, et al. Seroprevalence of human papillomavirus-16, -18, -31, and -45 in a population-based cohort of 10000 women in Costa Rica. Br J Cancer 2003;89:1248–54.
- Schiffman M, Castle PE, Jeronimo J, Rodriguez AC, Wacholder S. Human papillomavirus and cervical cancer. *Lancet* 2007;370:890–907.
- Wright Jr TC, Massad LS, Dunton CJ, Spitzer M, Wilkinson EJ, Solomon D. 2006 consensus guidelines for the management of women with abnormal cervical screening tests. J Low Genit Tract Dis 2007;11:201–22.
- 187. Uronis HE, Bendell JC. Anal cancer: an overview. *Oncologist* 2007;12:524–34.

- 188. Bhatia J, Bratcher J, Korelitz B, Vakher K, Mannor S, Shevchuk M, et al. Abnormalities of uterine cervix in women with inflammatory bowel disease. World J Gastroenterol 2006;12:6167–71.
- Kane S, Khatibi B, Reddy D. Higher incidence of abnormal Pap smears in women with inflammatory bowel disease. Am J Gastroenterol 2008;103:631–6.
- ACOG Practice Bulletin. Clinical Management Guidelines for Obstetrician-Gynecologists. Number 61, April 2005. Human papillomavirus. Obstet Gynecol 2005; 105:905–18.
- 191. Petry KU, Kochel H, Bode U, Schedel I, Niesert S, Glaubitz M, et al. Human papillomavirus is associated with the frequent detection of warty and basaloid high-grade neoplasia of the vulva and cervical neoplasia among immunocompromised women. *Gynecol Oncol* 1996;**60**:30–4.
- 192. Kleinschmidt-DeMasters BK, Tyler KL. Progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy complicating treatment with natalizumab and interferon beta-1a for multiple sclerosis. *N Engl J Med* 2005;**353**:369–74.
- 193. Langer-Gould A, Atlas SW, Green AJ, Bollen AW, Pelletier D. Progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy in a patient treated with natalizumab. N Engl J Med 2005;353:375–81.
- 194. Van Assche G, Van Ranst M, Sciot R, Dubois B, Vermeire S, Noman M, et al. Progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy after natalizumab therapy for Crohn's disease. *N Engl J Med* 2005;**353**:362–8.
- 195. FDA. Warns of safety concern regarding rituxan in new patient population. (Accessed December 2006 at http://www.fda.gov/ bbs/topics/NEWS/2006/NEW01532.html).
- 196. Kharfan-Dabaja MA, Ayala E, Greene J, Rojiani A, Murtagh FR, Anasetti C. Two cases of progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy after allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation and a review of the literature. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 2007;39:101–7.
- 197. Koralnik IJ. Progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy revisited: Has the disease outgrown its name? *Ann Neurol* 2006;**60**:162–73.
- 198. Yousry TA, Major EO, Ryschkewitsch C, Fahle G, Fischer S, Hou J, et al. Evaluation of patients treated with natalizumab for progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy. *N Engl J Med* 2006;**354**:924–33.
- 199. Berger JR. Progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy. *Handb Clin Neurol* 2007;**85**:169–83.
- 200. Vulliemoz S, Lurati-Ruiz F, Borruat FX, Delavelle J, Koralnik IJ, Kuntzer T, et al. Favourable outcome of progressive multifocal leucoencephalopathy in two patients with dermatomyositis. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2006;77:1079–82.
- Fiore AE, Shay DK, Haber P, Iskander JK, Uyeki TM, Mootrey G, et al. Prevention and control of influenza. Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP), 2007. MMWR Recomm Rep 2007;56:1–54.
- 202. Simonsen L, Taylor RJ, Viboud C, Miller MA, Jackson LA. Mortality benefits of influenza vaccination in elderly people: an ongoing controversy. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2007;**7**:658–66.
- Dengler TJ, Strnad N, Buhring I, Zimmermann R, Girgsdies O, Kubler WE, et al. Differential immune response to influenza and pneumococcal vaccination in immunosuppressed patients after heart transplantation. *Transplantation* 1998;66: 1340-7.
- 204. Duchini A, Hendry RM, Nyberg LM, Viernes ME, Pockros PJ. Immune response to influenza vaccine in adult liver transplant recipients. *Liver Transpl* 2001;**7**:311–3.
- 205. Hayney MS, Welter DL, Francois M, Reynolds AM, Love RB. Influenza vaccine antibody responses in lung transplant recipients. *Prog Transplant* 2004;14:346–51.
- Huang KL, Armstrong JA, Ho M. Antibody response after influenza immunization in renal transplant patients receiving cyclosporin A or azathioprine. *Infect Immun* 1983;40: 421–4.

- 207. Mazzone PJ, Mossad SB, Mawhorter SD, Mehta AC, Schilz RJ, Maurer JR. The humoral immune response to influenza vaccination in lung transplant patients. *Eur Respir J* 2001;**18**:971–6.
- Scharpe J, Evenepoel P, Maes B, Bammens B, Claes K, Osterhaus AD, et al. Influenza vaccination is efficacious and safe in renal transplant recipients. *Am J Transplant* 2008;8:332–7.
- Soesman NM, Rimmelzwaan GF, Nieuwkoop NJ, Beyer WE, Tilanus HW, Kemmeren MH, et al. Efficacy of influenza vaccination in adult liver transplant recipients. *J Med Virol* 2000;61:85–93.
- 210. Mamula P, Markowitz JE, Piccoli DA, Klimov A, Cohen L, Baldassano RN. Immune response to influenza vaccine in pediatric patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2007;5:851–6.
- 211. Fomin I, Caspi D, Levy V, Varsano N, Shalev Y, Paran D, et al. Vaccination against influenza in rheumatoid arthritis: the effect of disease modifying drugs, including TNF alpha blockers. *Annals of the rheumatic diseases* 2006;**65**:191–4.
- 212. Gelinck LB, van der Bijl AE, Beyer WE, Visser LG, Huizinga TW, van Hogezand RA, et al. The effect of anti-tumour necrosis factor alpha treatment on the antibody response to influenza vaccination. *Annals of the rheumatic diseases* 2008;67: 713–6.
- 213. Kapetanovic MC, Saxne T, Nilsson JA, Geborek P. Influenza vaccination as model for testing immune modulation induced by anti-TNF and methotrexate therapy in rheumatoid arthritis patients. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2007;46:608–11.
- 214. Melmed GY, Ippoliti AF, Papadakis KA, Tran TT, Birt JL, Lee SK, et al. Patients with inflammatory bowel disease are at risk for vaccine-preventable illnesses. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2006;**101**: 1834–40.
- 215. Ryan J, Zoellner Y, Gradl B, Palache B, Medema J. Establishing the health and economic impact of influenza vaccination within the European Union 25 countries. *Vaccine* 2006;**24**: 6812–22.
- 216. Willis BC, Ndiaye SM, Hopkins DP, Shefer A. Improving influenza, pneumococcal polysaccharide, and hepatitis B vaccination coverage among adults aged <65 years at high risk: a report on recommendations of the Task Force on Community Preventive Services. *MMWR Recomm Rep* 2005;**54**:1–11.
- 217. Allen UD, Aoki FY, Stiver HG. The use of antiviral drugs for influenza: recommended guidelines for practitioners. *Can J Infect Dis Med Microbiol* 2006;17:273–84.
- 218. Stephenson I, Clark TW, Pareek M. Antiviral treatment and prevention of seasonal influenza: a comparative review of recommendations in the European Union. *J Clin Virol* 2008;**42**:244–8.
- 219. Monto AS, Fleming DM, Henry D, de Groot R, Makela M, Klein T, et al. Efficacy and safety of the neuraminidase inhibitor zanamivirin the treatment of influenza A and B virus infections. *J Infect Dis* 1999;**180**:254–61.
- 220. Nicholson KG, Aoki FY, Osterhaus AD, Trottier S, Carewicz O, Mercier CH, et al. Efficacy and safety of oseltamivir in treatment of acute influenza: a randomised controlled trial. Neuraminidase Inhibitor Flu Treatment Investigator Group. *Lancet* 2000;**355**:1845–50.
- 221. Wallis RS, Broder MS, Wong JY, Hanson ME, Beenhouwer DO. Granulomatous infectious diseases associated with tumor necrosis factor antagonists. *Clin Infect Dis* 2004;**38**:1261–5.
- 222. Kaur N, Mahl TC. Pneumocystis jiroveci (carinii) pneumonia after infliximab therapy: a review of 84 cases. *Dig Dis Sci* 2007;**52**:1481–4.
- 223. Takeuchi T, Tatsuki Y, Nogami Y, Ishiguro N, Tanaka Y, Yamanaka H, et al. Postmarketing surveillance of the safety profile of infliximab in 5000 Japanese patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Annals of the rheumatic diseases* 2008;**67**:189–94.
- 224. Colombel JF. Adalimumab safety in Crohn's disease patients: open label maintenance following the GAIN and CHARM trials. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2007;**102**(suppl 2):S496–7.

- 225. Boatright MD, Wang BW. Clinical infection with Strongyloides sterocoralis following etanercept use for rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis and rheumatism* 2005;**52**:1336–7.
- 226. Scalzini A, Barni C, Stellini R, Sueri L. Fatal invasive aspergillosis during cyclosporine and steroids treatment for Crohn's disease. *Dig Dis Sci* 1995;40:528.
- 227. Arts J, D'Haens G, Zeegers M, Van Assche G, Hiele M, D'Hoore A, et al. Long-term outcome of treatment with intravenous cyclosporin in patients with severe ulcerative colitis. *Inflammatory bowel diseases* 2004;**10**:73–8.
- Kaur N, Mahl TC. Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia with oral candidiasis after infliximab therapy for Crohn's disease. *Dig Dis Sci* 2004;49:1458–60.
- Ricart E, Panaccione R, Loftus EV, Tremaine WJ, Sandborn WJ. Infliximab for Crohn's disease in clinical practice at the Mayo Clinic: the first 100 patients. *The American journal of* gastroenterology 2001;96:722–9.
- Arend SM, Kuijper EJ, Allaart CF, Muller WH, Van Dissel JT. Cavitating pneumonia after treatment with infliximab and prednisone. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2004;23: 638–41.
- Hage CA, Wood KL, Winer-Muram HT, Wilson SJ, Sarosi G, Knox KS. Pulmonary cryptococcosis after initiation of anti-tumor necrosis factor-alpha therapy. *Chest* 2003;124:2395–7.
- 232. Munoz P, Giannella M, Valerio M, Soria T, Diaz F, Longo JL, et al. Cryptococcal meningitis in a patient treated with infliximab. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2007;**57**:443–6.
- 233. Murai H, Tokunaga H, Kubo I, Kawajiri M, Iwaki T, Taniwaki T, et al. Myeloradiculitis caused by Cryptococcus neoformans infection in a patient with ulcerative colitis: a neuropathological study. J Neurol Sci 2006;247:236–8.
- 234. True DG, Penmetcha M, Peckham SJ. Disseminated cryptococcal infection in rheumatoid arthritis treated with methotrexate and infliximab. *J Rheumatol* 2002;**29**:1561–3.
- 235. Jain VV, Evans T, Peterson MW. Reactivation histoplasmosis after treatment with anti-tumor necrosis factor alpha in a patient from a nonendemic area. *Respir Med* 2006;**100**: 1291–3.
- 236. Lee JH, Slifman NR, Gershon SK, Edwards ET, Schwieterman WD, Siegel JN, et al. Life-threatening histoplasmosis complicating immunotherapy with tumor necrosis factor alpha antagonists infliximab and etanercept. *Arthritis and rheumatism* 2002;**46**:2565–70.
- Nakelchik M, Mangino JE. Reactivation of histoplasmosis after treatment with infliximab. *The American journal of medicine* 2002;112:78.
- Wood KL, Hage CA, Knox KS, Kleiman MB, Sannuti A, Day RB, et al. Histoplasmosis after treatment with anti-tumor necrosis factoralpha therapy. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2003;167: 1279–82.
- Bernstein CN, Kolodny M, Block E, Shanahan F. Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia in patients with ulcerative colitis treated with corticosteroids. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1993;88:574–7.
- 240. Quan VA, Saunders BP, Hicks BH, Sladen GE. Cyclosporin treatment for ulcerative colitis complicated by fatal Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia. *Bmj* 1997;**314**:363–4.
- 241. Scott AM, Myers GA, Harms BA. Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia postrestorative proctocolectomy for ulcerative colitis: a role for perioperative prophylaxis in the cyclosporine era? Report of a case and review of the literature. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1997;40:973–6.
- Sharma K, Rao P, Krishnamurthy P, Ali SA, Beck G. Pneumocystis carinii jiroveci pneumonia following infliximab infusion for Crohn disease: emphasis on prophylaxis. South Med J 2007;100:331–2.
- 243. Stenger AA, Houtman PM, Bruyn GA, Eggink HF, Pasma HR. Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia associated with low dose methotrexate treatment for rheumatoid arthritis. Scand J Rheumatol 1994;23:51–3.
- 244. Tai TL, O'Rourke KP, McWeeney M, Burke CM, Sheehan K, Barry M. Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia following a second infusion of infliximab. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2002;41:951–2.

- 245. Takenaka R, Okada H, Mizuno M, Nasu J, Toshimori J, Tatsukawa M, et al. Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia in patients with ulcerative colitis. *J Gastroenterol* 2004;**39**:1114–5.
- 246. Poppers DM, Scherl EJ. Prophylaxis against Pneumocystis pneumonia in patients with inflammatory bowel disease: toward a standard of care. *Inflammatory bowel diseases* 2008;14:106–13.
- 247. Green H, Paul M, Vidal L, Leibovici L. Prophylaxis of Pneumocystis pneumonia in immunocompromised non-HIV-infected patients: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Mayo Clinic proceedings* 2007;82:1052–9.
- 248. DiRienzo AG, van Der Horst C, Finkelstein DM, Frame P, Bozzette SA, Tashima KT. Efficacy of trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole for the prevention of bacterial infections in a randomized prophylaxis trial of patients with advanced HIV infection. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses* 2002;**18**:89–94.
- De Castro N, Neuville S, Sarfati C, Ribaud P, Derouin F, Gluckman E, et al. Occurrence of Pneumocystis jiroveci pneumonia after allogeneic stem cell transplantation: a 6-year retrospective study. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 2005; 36: 879–83.
- 250. Campbell S, Travis S, Jewell D. Ciclosporin use in acute ulcerative colitis: a long-term experience. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2005; **17**:79–84.
- 251. Dye C, Scheele S, Dolin P, Pathania V, Raviglione MC. Consensus statement. Global burden of tuberculosis: estimated incidence, prevalence, and mortality by country. WHO Global Surveillance and Monitoring Project. Jama 1999;282:677–86.
- Dye C, Watt CJ, Bleed DM, Hosseini SM, Raviglione MC. Evolution of tuberculosis control and prospects for reducing tuberculosis incidence, prevalence, and deaths globally. *Jama* 2005;293:2767–75.
- Aberra FN, Stettler N, Brensinger C, Lichtenstein GR, Lewis JD. Risk for active tuberculosis in inflammatory bowel disease patients. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2007;5:1070–5.
- 254. Remicade for IV injection. Package insert centocort inc: Melvern PA USA; 2002.
- 255. Hamdi H, Mariette X, Godot V, Weldingh K, Hamid AM, Prejean MV, et al. Inhibition of anti-tuberculosis T-lymphocyte function with tumour necrosis factor antagonists. Arthritis Res Ther 2006;8:R114.
- 256. Keane J, Gershon S, Wise RP, Mirabile-Levens E, Kasznica J, Schwieterman WD, et al. Tuberculosis associated with infliximab, a tumor necrosis factor alpha-neutralizing agent. N Engl J Med 2001;345:1098–104.
- 257. Saliu OY, Sofer C, Stein DS, Schwander SK, Wallis RS. Tumornecrosis-factor blockers: differential effects on mycobacterial immunity. J Infect Dis 2006;194:486–92.
- 258. Sichletidis L, Settas L, Spyratos D, Chloros D, Patakas D. Tuberculosis in patients receiving anti-TNF agents despite chemoprophylaxis. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2006;10:1127–32.
- 259. Carmona L, Gomez-Reino JJ, Rodriguez-Valverde V, Montero D, Pascual-Gomez E, Mola EM, et al. Effectiveness of recommendations to prevent reactivation of latent tuberculosis infection in patients treated with tumor necrosis factor antagonists. *Arthritis and rheumatism* 2005;52:1766–72.
- 260. Broekmans JF, Migliori GB, Rieder HL, Lees J, Ruutu P, Loddenkemper R, et al. European framework for tuberculosis control and elimination in countries with a low incidence. Recommendations of the World Health Organization (WHO), International Union Against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease (IUATLD) and Royal Netherlands Tuberculosis Association (KNCV) Working Group. Eur Respir J 2002;19:765–75.
- Hommes DW, van Deventer SJ. Infliximab therapy in Crohn's disease: safety issues. Neth J Med 2003;61:100–4.
- 262. Jasmer RM, Nahid P, Hopewell PC. Clinical practice. Latent tuberculosis infection. *N Engl J Med* 2002;**347**:1860–6.
- 263. Obrador A, Lopez San Roman A, Munoz P, Fortun J, Gassull MA. Consensus guideline on tuberculosis and treatment of inflammatory bowel disease with infliximab. Spanish Working Group

on Crohn Disease and Ulcerative Colitis. *Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2003;26:29–33.

- 264. Hernandez-Cruz B, Ponce-de-Leon-Rosales S, Sifuentes-Osornio J, Ponce-de-Leon-Garduno A, Diaz-Jouanen E. Tuberculosis prophylaxis in patients with steroid treatment and systemic rheumatic diseases. A case-control study. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 1999;17:81–7.
- 265. van der Klooster JM, Bosman RJ, Oudemans-van Straaten HM, van der Spoel JI, Wester JP, Zandstra DF. Disseminated tuberculosis, pulmonary aspergillosis and cutaneous herpes simplex infection in a patient with infliximab and methotrexate. *Intensive Care Med* 2003;29:2327–9.
- 266. Iseman MD. Treatment of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis. *N Engl J Med* 1993;**329**:784–91.
- 267. Arundel C, Lewis JH. Drug-induced liver disease in 2006. *Curr* Opin Gastroenterol 2007;23:244–54.
- 268. Lee WM. Drug-induced hepatotoxicity. N Engl J Med 1995;333: 1118–27.
- 269. Nolan CM, Goldberg SV, Buskin SE. Hepatotoxicity associated with isoniazid preventive therapy: a 7-year survey from a public health tuberculosis clinic. Jama 1999;281:1014–8.
- 270. Vanhoof J, Landewe S, Van Wijngaerden E, Geusens P. High incidence of hepatotoxicity of isoniazid treatment for tuberculosis chemoprophylaxis in patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated with methotrexate or sulfasalazine and anti-tumour necrosis factor inhibitors. *Annals of the rheumatic diseases* 2003;62:1241–2.
- 271. Zabana Y, Domenech E, San Roman AL, Beltran B, Cabriada JL, Saro C, et al. Tuberculous chemoprophylaxis requirements and safety in inflammatory bowel disease patients prior to anti-TNF therapy. *Inflammatory bowel diseases* 2008;**14**:1387–91.
- 272. American Thoracic Society/Centers for Disease Control and Prevention/Infectious Diseases Society of America: controlling tuberculosis in the United States. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2005;**172**:1169–227.
- 273. Rampton DS. Preventing TB in patients with Crohn's disease needing infliximab or other anti-TNF therapy. *Gut* 2005;54: 1360–2.
- 274. Takeno M, Murakami S, Ishigatsubo Y. [Tuberculosis associated with anti-TNF therapy]. *Nippon Rinsho* 2007;**65**:1308–13.
- 275. Gomez-Reino JJ, Carmona L, Angel Descalzo M. Risk of tuberculosis in patients treated with tumor necrosis factor antagonists due to incomplete prevention of reactivation of latent infection. *Arthritis and rheumatism* 2007;57:756–61.
- 276. Hatemi G, Melikoglu M, Fresko I, Masatlioglu S, Tascilar K, Yazici H. Infliximab does not suppress the tuberculin skin test (purified protein derivative). J Rheumatol 2007;34:474–80.
- 277. Yeh YP, Luh DL, Chang SH, Suo J, Chang HJ, Chen TH. Tuberculin reactivity in adults after 50 years of universal bacille Calmette-Guerin vaccination in Taiwan. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 2005;**99**:509–16.
- 278. Bocchino M, Matarese A, Bellofiore B, Giacomelli P, Santoro G, Balato N, et al. Performance of two commercial blood IFNgamma release assays for the detection of Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection in patient candidates for anti-TNF-alpha treatment. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2008;27:907–13.
- 279. Schoepfer AM, Flogerzi B, Fallegger S, Schaffer T, Mueller S, Nicod L, et al. Comparison of interferon-gamma release assay versus tuberculin skin test for tuberculosis screening in inflammatory bowel disease. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2008;**103**: 2799–806.
- 280. Clark SA, Martin SL, Pozniak A, Steel A, Ward B, Dunning J, et al. Tuberculosis antigen-specific immune responses can be detected using enzyme-linked immunospot technology in human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-1 patients with advanced disease. *Clin Exp Immunol* 2007;**150**:238–44.
- 281. Ewer K, Deeks J, Alvarez L, Bryant G, Waller S, Andersen P, et al. Comparison of T-cell-based assay with tuberculin skin test for diagnosis of Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection in a school tuberculosis outbreak. *Lancet* 2003;**361**:1168–73.

89

- Menzies D, Pai M, Comstock G. Meta-analysis: new tests for the diagnosis of latent tuberculosis infection: areas of uncertainty and recommendations for research. *Ann Intern Med* 2007;146: 340–54.
- Naseer A, Naqvi S, Kampmann B. Evidence for boosting Mycobacterium tuberculosis-specific IFN-gamma responses at 6 weeks following tuberculin skin testing. *Eur Respir J* 2007;29: 1282–3.
- 284. Piana F, Ruffo Codecasa L, Baldan R, Miotto P, Ferrarese M, Cirillo DM. Use of T-SPOT.TB in latent tuberculosis infection diagnosis in general and immunosuppressed populations. *New Microbiol* 2007;30:286–90.
- 285. Raby E, Moyo M, Devendra A, Banda J, De Haas P, Ayles H, et al. The effects of HIV on the sensitivity of a whole blood IFNgamma release assay in Zambian adults with active tuberculosis. *PLoS ONE* 2008;3:e2489.
- 286. Takahashi H, Shigehara K, Yamamoto M, Suzuki C, Naishiro Y, Tamura Y, et al. Interferon gamma assay for detecting latent tuberculosis infection in rheumatoid arthritis patients during infliximab administration. *Rheumatol Int* 2007;**27**:1143–8.
- 287. Mazurek GH, Jereb J, Lobue P, lademarco MF, Metchock B, Vernon A. Guidelines for using the QuantiFERON-TB Gold test for detecting Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection, United States. *MMWR Recomm Rep* 2005;**54**:49–55.
- Matulis G, Juni P, Villiger PM, Gadola SD. Detection of latent tuberculosis in immunosuppressed patients with autoimmune diseases: performance of a Mycobacterium tuberculosis antigen-specific interferon gamma assay. *Annals of the rheumatic diseases* 2008;67:84–90.
- 289. BTS recommendations for assessing risk and for managing Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection and disease in patients due to start anti-TNF-alpha treatment. *Thorax* 2005;**60**: 800–5.
- Theis VS, Rhodes JM. Review article: minimizing tuberculosis during anti-tumour necrosis factor-alpha treatment of inflammatory bowel disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2008;27: 19–30.
- 291. Targonski PV, Poland GA. Pneumococcal vaccination in adults: recommendations, trends, and prospects. Cleve Clin J Med 2007;74:401-406, 408-410, 413-404.
- 292. Blonski W, Lichtenstein GR. Safety of biologic therapy. Inflammatory bowel diseases 2007;13:769–96.
- 293. Farah R, Lisitsin S, Shay M. Bacterial meningitis associated with infliximab. *Pharm World Sci* 2006;**28**:123–5.
- 294. Mandell G. Principles and practice of infectious diseases. 6th edn. Elsevier; 2005.
- Elkayam O, Ablin J, Caspi D. Safety and efficacy of vaccination against streptococcus pneumonia in patients with rheumatic diseases. *Autoimmun Rev* 2007;6:312–4.
- 296. Elkayam O, Caspi D, Reitblatt T, Charboneau D, Rubins JB. The effect of tumor necrosis factor blockade on the response to pneumococcal vaccination in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and ankylosing spondylitis. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2004;**33**: 283–8.
- 297. Kaine JL, Kivitz AJ, Birbara C, Luo AY. Immune responses following administration of influenza and pneumococcal vaccines to patients with rheumatoid arthritis receiving adalimumab. *J Rheumatol* 2007;**34**:272–9.
- 298. Kapetanovic MC, Saxne T, Sjoholm A, Truedsson L, Jonsson G, Geborek P. Influence of methotrexate, TNF blockers and prednisolone on antibody responses to pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2006;**45**:106–11.
- 299. Visvanathan S, Keenan GF, Baker DG, Levinson AI, Wagner CL. Response to pneumococcal vaccine in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis receiving infliximab plus methotrexate or methotrexate alone. J Rheumatol 2007;34:952–7.
- Armitage K, Woodhead M. New guidelines for the management of adult community-acquired pneumonia. *Curr Opin Infect Dis* 2007;20:170-6.

- 301. Mandell LA, Wunderink RG, Anzueto A, Bartlett JG, Campbell GD, Dean NC, et al. Infectious Diseases Society of America/ American Thoracic Society consensus guidelines on the management of community-acquired pneumonia in adults. *Clin Infect Dis* 2007;44(Suppl 2):S27–72.
- Sandford J. The Sandford guide to antimicrobial therapy; 2006-2007.
- Ritz MA, Jost R. Severe pneumococcal pneumonia following treatment with infliximab for Crohn's disease. *Inflammatory bowel diseases* 2001;7:327.
- Diederen BM. Legionella spp. and Legionnaires' disease. The Journal of infection 2008;56:1–12.
- 305. Accessed at http://www.ecdc.europa.eu/health_topics/ Legionelllosis/Index.html.
- 306. Dixon WG, Watson K, Lunt M, Hyrich KL, Silman AJ, Symmons DP. Rates of serious infection, including site-specific and bacterial intracellular infection, in rheumatoid arthritis patients receiving anti-tumor necrosis factor therapy: results from the British Society for Rheumatology Biologics Register. *Arthritis and rheumatism* 2006;54:2368–76.
- 307. Kohn A, Daperno M, Armuzzi A, Cappello M, Biancone L, Orlando A, et al. Infliximab in severe ulcerative colitis: shortterm results of different infusion regimens and long-term follow-up. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2007;26:747–56.
- Li Gobbi F, Benucci M, Del Rosso A. Pneumonitis caused by Legionella pneumoniae in a patient with rheumatoid arthritis treated with anti-TNF-alpha therapy (infliximab). J Clin Rheumatol 2005;11:119–20.
- 309. Tubach F, Ravaud P, Salmon-Ceron D, Petitpain N, Brocq O, Grados F, et al. Emergence of Legionella pneumophila pneumonia in patients receiving tumor necrosis factor-alpha antagonists. *Clin Infect Dis* 2006;43:e95–e100.
- Wallis RS, Broder M, Wong J, Lee A, Hoq L. Reactivation of latent granulomatous infections by infliximab. *Clin Infect Dis* 2005;41(Suppl 3):S194–8.
- 311. Wondergem MJ, Voskuyl AE, van Agtmael MA. A case of legionellosis during treatment with a TNFalpha antagonist. Scand J Infect Dis 2004;36:310–1.
- DuPont HL. The growing threat of foodborne bacterial enteropathogens of animal origin. *Clin Infect Dis* 2007;45:1353–61.
- Grassl GA, Finlay BB. Pathogenesis of enteric Salmonella infections. Curr Opin Gastroenterol 2008;24:22–6.
- Bodey GP, Fainstein V. Infections of the gastrointestinal tract in the immunocompromised patient. Annu Rev Med 1986;37: 271–81.
- Fu A, Bertouch JV, McNeil HP. Disseminated Salmonella typhimurium infection secondary to infliximab treatment. Arthritis and rheumatism 2004;50:3049.
- 316. Katsarolis I, Tsiodras S, Panagopoulos P, Giannitsioti E, Skarantavos G, Ioannidis T, et al. Septic arthritis due to Salmonella enteritidis associated with infliximab use. Scand J Infect Dis 2005;37:304–5.
- 317. Makkuni D, Kent R, Watts R, Clunie G. Two cases of serious foodborne infection in patients treated with anti-TNF-alpha. Are we doing enough to reduce the risk? *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2006;45:237–8.
- 318. Netea MG, Radstake T, Joosten LA, van der Meer JW, Barrera P, Kullberg BJ. Salmonella septicemia in rheumatoid arthritis patients receiving anti-tumor necrosis factor therapy: association with decreased interferon-gamma production and Toll-like receptor 4 expression. Arthritis and rheumatism 2003;48: 1853–7.
- Rijkeboer A, Voskuyl A, Van Agtmael M. Fatal Salmonella enteritidis septicaemia in a rheumatoid arthritis patient treated with a TNF-alpha antagonist. Scand J Infect Dis 2007; 39:80–3.
- 320. Liu D, Lawrence ML, Ainsworth AJ, Austin FW. Toward an improved laboratory definition of Listeria monocytogenes virulence. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2007;**118**:101–15.

- 321. Aparicio AG, Munoz-Fernandez S, Bonilla G, Miralles A, Cerdeno V, Martin-Mola E. Report of an additional case of anti-tumor necrosis factor therapy and Listeria monocytogenes infection: comment on the letter by Gluck et al. Arthritis and rheumatism 2003;48:1764–5; author reply 1765–1766.
- Bowie VL, Snella KA, Gopalachar AS, Bharadwaj P. Listeria meningitis associated with infliximab. *Ann Pharmacother* 2004;38:58–61.
- 323. Gluck T, Linde HJ, Scholmerich J, Muller-Ladner U, Fiehn C, Bohland P. Anti-tumor necrosis factor therapy and Listeria monocytogenes infection: report of two cases. *Arthritis and rheumatism* 2002;46:2255–7; author reply 2257.
- 324. Izbeki F, Nagy F, Szepes Z, Kiss I, Lonovics J, Molnar T. Severe Listeria meningoencephalitis in an infliximab-treated patient with Crohn's disease. *Inflammatory bowel diseases* 2008;14:429–31.
- Kesteman T, Yombi JC, Gigi J, Durez P. Listeria infections associated with infliximab: case reports. *Clin Rheumatol* 2007;26:2173–5.
- 326. Slifman NR, Gershon SK, Lee JH, Edwards ET, Braun MM. Listeria monocytogenes infection as a complication of treatment with tumor necrosis factor alpha-neutralizing agents. *Arthritis and rheumatism* 2003;48:319–24.
- 327. Wing EJ, Gregory SH. Listeria monocytogenes: clinical and experimental update. J Infect Dis 2002;185(Suppl 1):S18-24.
- Yildiz O, Doganay M. Actinomycoses and Nocardia pulmonary infections. Curr Opin Pulm Med 2006;12:228–34.
- 329. Fabre S, Gibert C, Lechiche C, Jorgensen C, Sany J. Primary cutaneous Nocardia otitidiscaviarum infection in a patient with rheumatoid arthritis treated with infliximab. J Rheumatol 2005;32:2432–3.
- Singh SM, Rau NV, Cohen LB, Harris H. Cutaneous nocardiosis complicating management of Crohn's disease with infliximab and prednisone. *Cmaj* 2004;171:1063–4.
- Vohra P, Burroughs MH, Hodes DS, Norton KI, Kaufman DM, LeLeiko NS, et al. Disseminated nocardiosis complicating medical therapy in Crohn's disease. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 1997;25:233–5.
- Bouza E, Munoz P, Alonso R. Clinical manifestations, treatment and control of infections caused by Clostridium difficile. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2005;11(Suppl 4):57–64.
- 333. Bulusu M, Narayan S, Shetler K, Triadafilopoulos G. Leukocytosis as a harbinger and surrogate marker of Clostridium difficile infection in hospitalized patients with diarrhea. Am J Gastroenterol 2000;95:3137–41.
- Issa M, Vijayapal A, Graham MB, Beaulieu DB, Otterson MF, Lundeen S, et al. Impact of Clostridium difficile on inflammatory bowel disease. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2007;5: 345–51.
- Rodemann JF, Dubberke ER, Reske KA, Seo da H, Stone CD. Incidence of Clostridium difficile infection in inflammatory bowel disease. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2007;5: 339–44.
- 336. Ananthakrishnan AN, McGinley EL, Binion DG. Excess hospitalisation burden associated with Clostridium difficile in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Gut* 2008;57:205–10.
- 337. Dallal RM, Harbrecht BG, Boujoukas AJ, Sirio CA, Farkas LM, Lee KK, et al. Fulminant Clostridium difficile: an underappreciated and increasing cause of death and complications. *Ann Surg* 2002;235:363–72.
- 338. Lamontagne F, Labbe AC, Haeck O, Lesur O, Lalancette M, Patino C, et al. Impact of emergency colectomy on survival of patients with fulminant Clostridium difficile colitis during an epidemic caused by a hypervirulent strain. Ann Surg 2007;245: 267–72.
- 339. Boyce JM, Pittet D. Guideline for Hand Hygiene in Health-Care Settings: recommendations of the Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee and the HICPAC/SHEA/APIC/ IDSA Hand Hygiene Task Force. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2002;23:S3–40.

- 340. Eckstein BC, Adams DA, Eckstein EC, Rao A, Sethi AK, Yadavalli GK, et al. Reduction of Clostridium Difficile and vancomycinresistant Enterococcus contamination of environmental surfaces after an intervention to improve cleaning methods. BMC Infect Dis 2007;7:61.
- Mayfield JL, Leet T, Miller J, Mundy LM. Environmental control to reduce transmission of Clostridium difficile. *Clin Infect Dis* 2000;**31**:995–1000.
- 342. Wilcox MH, Fawley WN, Wigglesworth N, Parnell P, Verity P, Freeman J. Comparison of the effect of detergent versus hypochlorite cleaning on environmental contamination and incidence of Clostridium difficile infection. J Hosp Infect 2003;54:109–14.
- McFarland LV. Meta-analysis of probiotics for the prevention of antibiotic associated diarrhea and the treatment of Clostridium difficile disease. Am J Gastroenterol 2006;101:812–22.
- Barbut F, Delmee M, Brazier JS, Petit JC, Poxton IR, Rupnik M, et al. A European survey of diagnostic methods and testing protocols for Clostridium difficile. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2003;9:989–96.
- 345. Barbut F, Lalande V, Burghoffer B, Thien HV, Grimprel E, Petit JC. Prevalence and genetic characterization of toxin A variant strains of Clostridium difficile among adults and children with diarrhea in France. *J Clin Microbiol* 2002;40:2079–83.
- 346. Brazier JS, Stubbs SL, Duerden BI. Prevalence of toxin A negative/B positive Clostridium difficile strains. *J Hosp Infect* 1999;**42**:248–9.
- 347. Johnson S, Gerding DN. Clostridium difficile-associated diarrhea. *Clin Infect Dis* 1998;26:1027-34; quiz 1035-1026.
- 348. Oldfield 3rd EC. Clostridium difficile-associated diarrhea: risk factors, diagnostic methods, and treatment. *Rev Gastroenterol Disord* 2004;4:186–95.
- 349. van den Berg RJ, Vaessen N, Endtz HP, Schulin T, van der Vorm ER, Kuijper EJ. Evaluation of real-time PCR and conventional diagnostic methods for the detection of Clostridium difficileassociated diarrhoea in a prospective multicentre study. *J Med Microbiol* 2007;**56**:36–42.
- 350. Meyer AM, Ramzan NN, Loftus Jr EV, Heigh RI, Leighton JA. The diagnostic yield of stool pathogen studies during relapses of inflammatory bowel disease. J Clin Gastroenterol 2004; 38: 772–5.
- 351. Mylonaki M, Langmead L, Pantes A, Johnson F, Rampton DS. Enteric infection in relapse of inflammatory bowel disease: importance of microbiological examination of stool. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2004;**16**:775–8.
- 352. Elliott B, Chang BJ, Golledge CL, Riley TV. Clostridium difficileassociated diarrhoea. *Intern Med J* 2007; **37**:561–8.
- 353. Bignardi GE. Risk factors for Clostridium difficile infection. *J Hosp Infect* 1998;40:1–15.
- 354. Monaghan T, Boswell T, Mahida YR. Recent advances in Clostridium difficile-associated disease. *Gut* 2008;**57**:850–60.
- 355. Yip C, Loeb M, Salama S, Moss L, Olde J. Quinolone use as a risk factor for nosocomial Clostridium difficile-associated diarrhea. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2001;**22**:572–5.
- 356. Barbut F, Richard A, Hamadi K, Chomette V, Burghoffer B, Petit JC. Epidemiology of recurrences or reinfections of Clostridium difficile-associated diarrhea. J Clin Microbiol 2000;38: 2386–8.
- 357. Sunenshine RH, McDonald LC. Clostridium difficile-associated disease: new challenges from an established pathogen. *Cleve Clin J Med* 2006;**73**:187–97.
- 358. Owens RC. Clostridium difficile-associated disease: changing epidemiology and implications for management. *Drugs* 2007;67:487–502.
- 359. McFarland LV, Elmer GW, Surawicz CM. Breaking the cycle: treatment strategies for 163 cases of recurrent Clostridium difficile disease. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2002;**97**:1769–75.
- 360. Bricker E, Garg R, Nelson R, Loza A, Novak T, Hansen J. Antibiotic treatment for Clostridium difficile-associated diarrhea in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2005; CD004610.

- 361. Zar FA, Bakkanagari SR, Moorthi KM, Davis MB. A comparison of vancomycin and metronidazole for the treatment of Clostridium difficile-associated diarrhea, stratified by disease severity. *Clin Infect Dis* 2007;45:302–7.
- 362. Lagrotteria D, Holmes S, Smieja M, Smaill F, Lee C. Prospective, randomized inpatient study of oral metronidazole versus oral metronidazole and rifampin for treatment of primary episode of Clostridium difficile-associated diarrhea. *Clin Infect Dis* 2006;43:547–52.
- 363. Goodman MJ, Truelove SC. Intensive intravenous regimen for membranous colitis. *British medical journal* 1976;2:354.
- 364. Hill DR, Ericsson CD, Pearson RD, Keystone JS, Freedman DO, Kozarsky PE, et al. The practice of travel medicine: guidelines by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Clin Infect Dis* 2006;43:1499–539.
- Stallmach A, Carstens O. Role of infections in the manifestation or reactivation of inflammatory bowel diseases. *Inflammatory bowel diseases* 2002;8:213–8.
- 366. Powell SJ, Wilmot AJ. Ulcerative post-dysenteric colitis. *Gut* 1966;7:438–43.
- 367. Treacher DF, Jewell DP. Yersinia colitis associated with Crohn's disease. *Postgrad Med J* 1985;61:173–4.
- Demicheli V, Jefferson T, Rivetti A, Price D. Vaccines for measles, mumps and rubella in children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2005; CD004407.
- 369. Chalmers A, Scheifele D, Patterson C, Williams D, Weber J, Shuckett R, et al. Immunization of patients with rheumatoid arthritis against influenza: a study of vaccine safety and immunogenicity. J Rheumatol 1994;21:1203–6.
- Duchini A, Goss JA, Karpen S, Pockros PJ. Vaccinations for adult solid-organ transplant recipients: current recommendations and protocols. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2003;16:357–64.
- 371. Herron A, Dettleff G, Hixon B, Brandwin L, Ortbals D, Hornick R, et al. Influenza vaccination in patients with rheumatic diseases. Safety and efficacy. *Jama* 1979;242:53–6.
- National immunization program CfDP. Guide to contraindications to vaccination. Accessed September2003 at http://www.cdc.gov/ vaccines/pubs/downloads/b_contraindications_guide.pdf).
- 373. Gross TP, Khurana RK, Higgins T, Nkowane BS, Hirsch RL. Vaccine-associated poliomyelitis in a household contact with Netherton's syndrome receiving long-term steroid therapy. *The American journal of medicine* 1987;83:797–800.
- 374. Heijstek MW, Pileggi GC, Zonneveld-Huijssoon E, Armbrust W, Hoppenreijs EP, Uiterwaal CS, et al. Safety of measles, mumps and rubella vaccination in juvenile idiopathic arthritis. *Annals* of the rheumatic diseases 2007;**66**:1384–7.
- Khan S, Erlichman J, Rand EB. Live virus immunization after orthotopic liver transplantation. *Pediatr Transplant* 2006;10: 78–82.
- 376. National Advisory Committee on Immunization (NACI) update on varicella. *Can Commun Dis Rep* 2004;**30**:1–26.
- 377. Stevens R, Oliver M, Brogan M, Heiserodt J, Targan S. Defective generation of tetanus-specific antibody-producing B cells after in vivo immunization of Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis patients. *Gastroenterology* 1985;88:1860–6.
- Abu-Shakra M, Press J, Varsano N, Levy V, Mendelson E, Sukenik S, et al. Specific antibody response after influenza immunization in systemic lupus erythematosus. J Rheumatol 2002;29: 2555–7.
- 379. Holvast A, Huckriede A, Wilschut J, Horst G, De Vries JJ, Benne CA, et al. Safety and efficacy of influenza vaccination in systemic lupus erythematosus patients with quiescent disease. *Annals of the rheumatic diseases* 2006;**65**:913–8.
- Versluis DJ, Beyer WE, Masurel N, Wenting GJ, Weimar W. Impairment of the immune response to influenza vaccination in renal transplant recipients by cyclosporine, but not azathioprine. *Transplantation* 1986;42:376–9.
- de Roux A, Marx A, Burkhardt O, Schweiger B, Borkowski A, Banzhoff A, et al. Impact of corticosteroids on the immune

response to a MF59-adjuvanted influenza vaccine in elderly COPD-patients. *Vaccine* 2006;**24**:1537–42.

- Silberman H, Overturf GD, Field RJ, Butler J, Berne TV, Witt R. Response of renal allograft recipients to pneumococcal vaccine. *Ann Surg* 1980;192:199–201.
- 383. de Roux A, Schmidt N, Rose M, Zielen S, Pletz M, Lode H. Immunogenity of the pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine in COPD patients. The effect of systemic steroids. *Respir Med* 2004;**98**:1187–94.
- 384. Kilhamn J, Lundin SB, Brevinge H, Svennerholm AM, Jertborn M. T- and B-cell immune responses of patients who had undergone colectomies to oral administration of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhi Ty21a vaccine. *Clin Diagn Lab Immunol* 2003;**10**:426–30.
- 385. Kilhamn J, Brevinge H, Svennerholm AM, Jertborn M. Immune responses in ileostomy fluid and serum after oral cholera vaccination of patients colectomized because of ulcerative colitis. *Infect Immun* 1998;66:3995–9.
- 386. Dumot JA, Barnes DS, Younossi Z, Gordon SM, Avery RK, Domen RE, et al. Immunogenicity of hepatitis A vaccine in decompensated liver disease. *The American journal of gastroenterology* 1999;**94**:1601–4.
- 387. Arslan M, Wiesner RH, Poterucha JJ, Zein NN. Safety and efficacy of hepatitis A vaccination in liver transplantation recipients. *Transplantation* 2001;**72**:272–6.
- Gunther M, Stark K, Neuhaus R, Reinke P, Schroder K, Bienzle U. Rapid decline of antibodies after hepatitis A immunization in liver and renal transplant recipients. *Transplantation* 2001;71:477–9.
- Steffen R, Rickenbach M, Wilhelm U, Helminger A, Schar M. Health problems after travel to developing countries. J Infect Dis 1987;156:84–91.
- 390. DuPont HL, Capsuto EG. Persistent diarrhea in travelers. *Clin Infect Dis* 1996;22:124–8.
- 391. Adachi JA, Ericsson CD, Jiang ZD, DuPont MW, Martinez-Sandoval F, Knirsch C, et al. Azithromycin found to be comparable to levofloxacin for the treatment of US travelers with acute diarrhea acquired in Mexico. *Clin Infect Dis* 2003;**37**:1165–71.
- 392. Cobelens FG, van Deutekom H, Draayer-Jansen IW, Schepp-Beelen AC, van Gerven PJ, van Kessel RP, et al. Risk of infection with Mycobacterium tuberculosis in travellers to areas of high tuberculosis endemicity. *Lancet* 2000;**356**:461–5.
- Targeted tuberculin testing and treatment of latent tuberculosis infection. American Thoracic Society. MMWR Recomm Rep 2000;49:1–51.
- 394. Guidelines for the investigation of contacts of persons with infectious tuberculosis. Recommendations from the National Tuberculosis Controllers Association and CDC. *MMWR Recomm Rep* 2005;**54**:1–47.
- Jensen PA, Lambert LA, Iademarco MF, Ridzon R. Guidelines for preventing the transmission of Mycobacterium tuberculosis in health-care settings, 2005. MMWR Recomm Rep 2005;54: 1–141.
- 396. Senay H, MacPherson D. Parasitology: diagnostic yield of stool examination. *Cmaj* 1989;**140**:1329–31.
- 397. Thielman NM, Guerrant RL. Persistent diarrhea in the returned traveler. *Infect Dis Clin North Am* 1998;12:489–501.
- 398. Okhuysen PC. Traveler's diarrhea due to intestinal protozoa. *Clin Infect Dis* 2001;**33**:110–4.
- Castelli F, Pezzoli C, Tomasoni L. Epidemiology of travelers' diarrhea. J Travel Med 2001;8:S26–30.
- 400. Afzalpurkar RG, Schiller LR, Little KH, Santangelo WC, Fordtran JS. The self-limited nature of chronic idiopathic diarrhea. N Engl J Med 1992;327:1849–52.
- Adedayo O, Grell G, Bellot P. Hyperinfective strongyloidiasis in the medical ward: review of 27 cases in 5 years. South Med J 2002;95:711–6.
- Loutfy MR, Wilson M, Keystone JS, Kain KC. Serology and eosinophil count in the diagnosis and management of strongyloidiasis in a nonendemic area. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2002;66: 749–52.