

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com**SciVerse ScienceDirect**

Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences 28 (2011) 845 – 850

Procedia
Social and Behavioral Sciences

WCETR 2011

Cognitive and affect outcomes of group learning among secondary learners in Bangladesh

Anowar Hossain^a*, Rohani Ahmad Tarmizi^a^a*Institute for Mathematical Research, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 UPM Serdang, Malaysia*

Abstract

Group learning (GL) is well recognized as a successful pedagogy in mathematics education. The purpose of this research study was to compare the effects of GL and conventional learning on 2-aspect: cognitive outcome 'mathematics achievement' and affect outcome 'attitudes toward mathematics' among secondary learners in Bangladesh. Quasi-experimental design was administered for the experiment with 168 full-time students into 2-group: an experimental (EG) group (n =84) and a control (CG) group (n=84). EG was exposed to GL treatment while CG was taught using conventional learning method for the duration of 15-week. Data were collected using students' mathematics achievement test and attitudes toward mathematics questionnaire. Both EG and CG were compared using independent-sample t-test. It was found that GL had significant effect on mathematics achievement [$t(166) = 34.25, p < 0.05$] and attitudes toward mathematics [$t(166) = 49.72, p < 0.05$]. The MANOVA with repeated measure was employed to determine the main and interaction effect of GL on test-time and achievement. The multivariate tests indicated a significant main effect of time, Wilks' Lambda = 0.065, $F(1, 166) = 2373.307, p = 0.000, \eta^2 = 0.935$; a significant interaction effect of GL by time, Wilks' Lambda = 0.310, $F(1, 166) = 369.802, p = 0.000, \eta^2 = 0.690$. These findings suggest that GL is more effective than conventional learning in mathematics teaching. As such GL can be effectively incorporated to improve students' mathematics performance in secondary schools in Bangladesh.

© 2011 Published by Elsevier Ltd. Open access under [CC BY-NC-ND license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/).

Keywords: Group learning, mathematics achievement, attitudes toward mathematics, quasi-experimental design;

1. Introduction

Group learning is well documented as an effective pedagogy in Mathematics education throughout the world. Group learning is the instructional use of small groups through which students work together to maximize their own and each other's learning (Johnson & Johnson 1994). Group learning brings together students working together to accomplish shared goals, is an instructional approach in which high-ability and low-ability students work together to solve a problem. There are many strategies in group learning such as student teams-achievement division (STAD), teams-games-tournaments (TGT), team accelerated instruction (TAI), cooperative integrated reading and composition (CIRC), Jigsaw, and group investigation (GI) etc., but the researcher in this study has focused on Johnson and Johnson's (1994) Learning Together model because their approach of developing group learning based on five basic principles is widely applicable in any GL situation. Vaughan (2002) supported Johnson and Johnson's model that Learning Together, a group learning strategy, is crucial to the cultivation of individual and academic success. Johnson et al. (1998) reviewed 168 studies comparing group learning to traditional styles of instruction

* Md. Anowar Hossain. Tel.: +60389468908

E-mail address: anowar_24434@yahoo.com

focusing on student achievement to strengthen the case for using group learning in mathematics. They found that the use of group learning facilitated learning in an active rather than a passive way. They claim that group learning must be employed in mathematics classes if mathematics instruction is to help students think mathematically, understand the relationships among various mathematical facts and formulas, and apply mathematical knowledge. Rimmerman (2004) referred to Johnson and Johnson's work as the modern era of group learning. Their model of group learning is known as Learning Together and can be applied to any discipline and grade level. Johnson and Johnson (2004) in their study stated that since 1897, over 550 experimental studies have been conducted on group learning in different disciplines, and the results indicated that the effect of group learning promote more positive attitudes toward the task as well as cooperation tends to promote higher achievement. Thus, the major objective of this study was to compare the effects of group learning on mathematics achievement and attitudes toward mathematics among secondary learners in Bangladesh.

2. Problem statement

The first major public examination secondary school certificate (SSC) is significant for pupils and guardians all over Bangladesh. The result of SSC has a great significance to success of both individual and communal life. The percentage of students' passing the SSC at many rural secondary schools as seen in years 2000, 2001, 2003, 2004 and 2005 were found below twenty percent (Board of Intermediate and Secondary Education, 2005). These reflect poor quality of secondary education, specifically with most of the students failed in mathematics. As a group, children from these rural schools were from disadvantaged and low-income families thus performed substantially worse in mathematics than their counterparts from higher-income families. This result in significant racial and social-class disparities in mathematics learning may also be linked to diminished learning opportunities. Samad (2005) reported that based on samples of Bangladesh's secondary students mathematics performance, there is crucial need to improve and reinforce students' mathematical knowledge through various ways of instructions. What it required is some creativity and endeavor on the part of the teacher and perhaps this is where we are suffering for our traditional mode of teaching. Therefore, to minimize the failing rate in SSC examination, teachers should find ways to enhance students' learning. The poor performance among students may be due to lack or insufficient considerations of meaningful, explicit, situational, social and student-specific learning taking place in the classrooms. Bottge (2001) identified these (meaningful, explicit, situational, social and student-specific contexts) as the key to learning mathematics especially for the low ability students.

Azizah and Chong (1999) and Effandi (2003) also mentioned from the findings of their studies which have been conducted in Malaysia, that group learning enhance mathematics performance among students and promote positive attitudes toward mathematics than the traditional way of teaching. The effectiveness of group learning has shown rapid growth in mathematics achievement and attitudes toward mathematics in various studies (Barbato 2000; Zakaria & Zanagon 2007; Faizah 1999; Johnson & Johnson 2004; Kolawole 2007; Lee 1999; Martin 2005; Mazlan 2002; Ozsoy & Yildiz 2004; Suhaida 2000; Yee 1995; Zainun 2003). Hence group learning lends some promising endeavor in teaching and learning mathematics, specifically for Bangladesh learners.

This study was conducted to examine the effects of group learning on two learning outcomes, namely, the cognitive outcome and affective outcome. Specifically the objectives of this study were:

1. To compare the effects of group learning and conventional learning on mathematics achievement among secondary learners in Natore, Bangladesh.
2. To compare the effects of group learning and conventional learning on attitudes towards mathematics among secondary learners in Natore, Bangladesh.
3. To determine the effects of group learning and conventional learning on mathematics achievement based on repeated measures of test performance over the 15 weeks of intervention period.

3. Methods

The quasi-experimental *pre-test post-test control group design* was employed. A total of 168 full-time students from four secondary schools in Bangladesh constituted the sample of the study and were assigned to one of the two groups, the experimental group undergone the group learning mode whilst the other, the conventional mode of learning. The group learning mode was based on the Learning Together model of Johnson and Johnson (1994). A pre-test of students' mathematics achievement and students' attitudes toward mathematics were administered to both groups. The group learning (EG) and the conventional learning (CG) were guided by the same teachers in one academic session for the duration of 15 weeks of classroom teaching. Post-test of students' mathematics achievement and students' attitudes toward mathematics were administered to both EG and CG. Table 1 shows a graphic form of the quasi-experimental design.

Table 1. Pre-post test control group design

Groups	Pre-Test	Treatment	Post-Test
Experimental (EG) (n = 84)	O ₁	X	O ₂
Conventional (CG) (n = 84)	O ₁	-	O ₂

4. Results and findings

Results of this study are presented based on the objectives stated earlier. On the cognitive outcome measure, students' mathematics achievement in post-test was reported.

To compare the effects of group learning and conventional learning on mathematics achievement among secondary learners in Natore, Bangladesh.

Independent-sample t-test was conducted in comparing the mathematics achievements of the two groups. The EG group scored mean of 47.35 (SD = 2.64) which is higher than the CG group with mean score of 34.97 (SD = 1.99). Findings indicated that there is statistically significant difference in mathematics achievement post-test mean scores between students in EG and CG. As depicted in Table 2, a significant difference was found $t(166) = 34.25$, $p < 0.05$ in favor of the EG which revealed that the performance of EG was significantly better than CG. Hence these suggest that the group learning intervention showed positive impact in enhancing students' mathematics achievement.

Table 2 Independent-sample t-test comparing mean of mathematics achievement post-test score between EG and CG

Group	N	Mean	SD	t	df	Sig (2-tailed)
Experimental Group	84	47.35	2.64	34.25	166	0.00
Control Group	84	34.97	1.99			

To compare the effects of group learning and conventional learning on attitudes towards mathematics among secondary learners in Natore, Bangladesh.

To compare the students' attitudes toward mathematics between EG and CG groups, the independent-sample t-test was also conducted. Table 3 indicates that the difference of the mean attitudes toward mathematics score of EG (M = 4.73, SD = 0.11) and that of the CG (M = 3.97, SD = 0.08) was found to be significant $t(166) = 49.72$, $p < 0.05$ in favor of the EG group. The findings showed that students in the EG group had significantly higher attitudes toward mathematics than their counterparts in the CG group. It was found that students undergoing group learning performed significantly better than the group taught by conventional learning. As such GL intervention contributed to the improvement of students' attitudes toward mathematics.

Table 3 Independent-sample t-test comparing mean of attitudes toward mathematics post-test score between EG and CG

Group	N	Mean	SD	t	df	Sig (2-tailed)
Experimental Group	84	4.73	0.11	49.72	166	0.00
Control Group	84	3.97	0.08			

To determine the effects of group learning and conventional learning on mathematics achievement based on repeated measures of test performance over the 15 weeks of intervention period.

The multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) with repeated measures was used to examine the main effect of group learning and different test-time as well as the interaction between them. The multivariate analysis of variance illustrated main and interaction effect on the variable identified at the 0.05 level of significance. Table 4 presents the overall multivariate test results.

Table 4 MANOVA with repeated measure (main and interaction effect of GL and test time)

Effect		Value	F	Hypothesis df	Error df	Sig.	Partial Eta Squared	Observed Power
Testtime	Wilks' Lambda	0.065	2373.307	1.000	166.000	.000	0.935	1.000
Testtime* Method	Wilks' Lambda	0.310	369.802	1.000	166.000	.000	0.690	1.000

The multivariate tests indicates a significant main effect of time, Wilks' Lambda = 0.065, $F = (1, 166) = 2373.307$, $p = 0.000$, $\eta^2 = 0.935$; a significant interaction effect of GL by time, Wilks' Lambda = 0.310, $F (1, 166) = 369.802$, $p = 0.000$, $\eta^2 = 0.690$. Observed power for all factors was 1.000. There was a difference in the students' mathematics performance before and after exposure to GL as measured and indicated by the pre-test and post-test score of the students' mathematics achievement test, the MANOVA with repeated measures indicated that there was an interaction between GL and test time. The multivariate tests shows a significant main effect of time in affecting the students' mathematics achievement post-test score and the interaction effects of GL by time were also significant at 0.05 level. Thus these findings imply that GL intervention had significant impact in improving students' mathematics performance.

Based on the results presented above, the effects of Learning Together model of GL on mathematics achievement and attitudes toward mathematics were significant. The results show that the experimental students significantly improved their mathematics achievement and attitudes toward mathematics in comparison to conventional students. The students in the EG significantly outperformed the CG students after Learning Together model of GL implementation.

The findings of this study, therefore, are consistent with the results of similar research studies, as shown by the studies of Johnson and Johnson (1994) and Barbato (2000). The findings of this study are also consistent with studies by Effandi (2003), Faizah (1999), Kolawole (2007), Lee (1999), Ozsoy and Yildiz (2004), Suhaida (2000), Yee (1995) and Zakaria and Zanagon ((2007). The findings are in contrast to the findings by Mwerinde and Ebert (1995) and Meriam (2000), and support the results reported by Martin (2005) and Mazlan (2002) as well as in line with the findings by Zainun (2003) and Vaughan (2002).

5. Conclusions and recommendations

This study compared the effects of group learning and conventional learning on students' mathematics achievement and attitudes toward mathematics among secondary learners in Bangladesh. Significant differences in students' mathematics achievement and students' attitudes toward mathematics were found between group and conventional learners. After completion of the treatment, experimental group students showed significant

improvement in students' mathematics achievement and students' attitudes toward mathematics in comparison to control group students. The findings revealed that the development of the students' mathematics achievement and students' attitudes toward mathematics for the experimental group was due to the significant effects of the group learning, and students in the experimental group found their mathematics class to be more interesting after group learning treatment and learned how to work cooperatively to achieve shared group goals.

Based on the findings, it is strongly recommended that group learning can successfully be incorporated in the secondary school context as an effective pedagogy to promote students' mathematics performance, and to foster cooperation and collaboration among learners in mathematics classrooms in Bangladesh. Thus education authorities should encourage secondary teachers to implement group learning and teacher education institutions to make it part of their curriculum content.

References

- Azizah, N.S., & Chong, P.W. (1999). Status of cooperative learning in Malaysia. Paper presented at the seminar on Education in the 21st Century, 15 October, Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia.
- Baskan, G., & Erduran, Y. (2009). Major issues of educational reform in China and Russian Federation in the last decades of 20th century. *Cypriot Journal Of Educational Sciences*, 4(2), 97-112.
- Barbato, R. (2000). Policy implications of cooperative learning on the achievement and attitudes of secondary school mathematics students. Ph.D thesis. Fordham University, New York.
- Board of Intermediate and Secondary Education (BISE). (2005). Board summary of the secondary school certificate examination-2005 (Rajshahi). Dhaka: Ministry of Education.
- Botte, B.A. (2001). Reconceptualizing mathematics problems solving for low-achieving students. *Remedial and Special Learners*, 22(2):102-112.
- Effandi, Z. (2003). The effects of cooperative learning on students in a matriculation mathematics class. Ph.D thesis. Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi.
- Faizah, M. G. (1999). Kesan Pembelajaran koperatif menggunakan alat ujian pencapaian dalam matematik. Projek Penyelidikan Sarjana Pendidikan. Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi
- Johnson, D.W., & Johnson, R.T. (2004). Assessing students in group. California: CORWIN PRESS.
- Johnson, D.W., & Johnson, R.T. (1994). Learning together and alone. London: Allyn and Bacon
- Johnson, D.W., Johnson, R.T. & Smith, K.A. (1998). Active learning: cooperation in the college classroom. Edina, MN: Interaction Book Company.
- Kolawole, E. (2007). Effects of competitive and cooperative learning strategies on academic performance of Nigerian students in mathematics. *Educational research and design*, 3:33-37.
- Lee, G.E. (1999). Pembelajaran koperatif dan kesannya ke atas pencapaian kemahiran penyelesaian masalah matematik teras tingkatan 4 di sebuah sekolah di Daerah Kota Setar, Kedah, Malaysia Projek.
- Martin, R.L. (2005). Effects of cooperative and individual integrated learning system on attitudes and achievement in mathematics. Ph.D thesis. Florida International University, Florida.
- Mazlan Ibrahim. (2002). Amalan pembelajaran koperatif oleh guru-guru dan kesan ke atas sikap pelajar terhadap matematik. Projek Penyelidikan Sarjana Pendidikan. Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi.
- Meriam Ismail. (2000). Proceeding of the International Conference in Teaching and Learning. The effects of cooperative learning strategy of TGT on the attitude of year four students toward mathematics in SRK Sekaan Kecil in the District of Matu, Sarawak, Malaysia.
- Mwerinde, P., & Ebert, C. (1995). An examination of the relationship between the problem-solving behaviour and achievements of students in cooperative learning groups. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the North American chapter of the international group for the psychology of mathematics education, Columbus, Ohio. ERIC Document Reproduction. Service No. ED 389572.
- Ozsoy, N., & Yildiz, N. (2004). The effect of learning together technique of cooperative learning method on student achievement in mathematics teaching 7th class of primary school. *Turkish online journal of educational technology*, 3:49-54
- Pieri, M., & Diamantini, D. (2010). Teachers of Life and ICT. *World Journal on Educational Technology*, 2(3), 158-168.
- Rimmerman, H. (2004). Resources in cooperative learning. San Clemente, CA: Kagan Publishing.
- Samad, M.A. (2005). *Ganit shikkha-o-prashikkhon*. Dhaka: Samad Publication and Research.
- Suhaida, A.K. (2000). Perbandingan pembelajaran koperatif dan tradisional terhadap prestasi, atribusi pencapaian, konsep sendiri akademik dan hubungan sosial dalam pendidikan perakaunan. Tesis Ph.D. Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- Vaughan, W. (2002). Effects of cooperative learning on achievement and attitude among students of color. *Journal of Educational Research*, 95:359-364.
- Yee, C.T. (1995). Kesan pembelajaran koperatif terhadap pencapaian matematik dari segi akademik dan kemahiran penyelesaian masalah di kalangan pelajar tingkatan empat di sebuah sekolah di Malaysia. Tesis Sarjana, Universiti Sains Malaysia.

- Zainun Bt. Ismaon. (2003). Kesan pembelajaran koperatif model STAD ke atas sikap dan persepsi murid tahun lima dalam mata pelajaran matematik KBSR. Projek Sarjana Pendidikan, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia.
- Zakaria, E. & Zanagon, I. (2007). Promoting cooperative learning in science and mathematics education. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 96(2): 112-116.