

Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 133 (2001) 695-696

JOURNAL OF COMPUTATIONAL AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS

www.elsevier.com/locate/cam

Asymptotics for recurrence coefficients in the generalized Meixner case

André Ronveaux

Physique Mathématique, FUNDP, 61 Rue de Bruxelles, 5000 Namur, Belgium

Polynomials $P_n(x)$ orthogonal with respect to the weight

$$\rho_M^{(\vec{\alpha},\mu)}(x) = \frac{\mu^x \prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma(x+\alpha_j)}{(x!)^q}, \qquad x = i = 0, 1, 2, \dots; \quad \vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q), \quad \alpha_j > 0; \quad 0 < \mu < 1;$$
(1)

are semi-classical of class s ($0 \le s \le q - 1$), and are called Generalized Meixner [1].

The recurrence relation satisfied by the monic polynomial $P_n(x)$ is

$$P_{n+1}(x) = (x - \beta_n)P_n(x) - \gamma_n P_{n-1}(x), \quad n \ge 0$$
(2)

with $P_{-1}(x) = 0$, $P_0(x) = 1$.

When $\alpha_j = 1$ for all *j*, weight (1) reduces to μ^x , and

$$\beta_n = \frac{1+\mu}{1-\mu}n, \qquad \gamma_n = \frac{\mu}{(1-\mu)^2}n^2.$$
 (3)

For $\alpha_1 = \alpha$, $\alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \cdots = \alpha_q = 1$, the weight (1) is the classical Meixner [2] weight for which β_n and γ_n are known explicitly and satisfy:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\beta_n}{n} = \frac{1+\mu}{1-\mu}, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\gamma_n}{n^2} = \frac{\mu}{(1-\mu)^2}.$$
(4)

These limits are independent of α , and the proposed problem is to prove that these results are true in general for any positive value of α_j in (1).

In the general case, coefficients β_n and γ_n can be computed recurrently from the two nonlinear algebraic coupled equations (Laguerre–Freud equations) [3]

$$\begin{cases} \beta_{n+1} = F_1(\beta_0, \dots, \beta_n; \gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n), \\ \gamma_{n+1} = F_2(\beta_0, \dots, \beta_n; \gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n). \end{cases}$$
(5)

E-mail address: andre.ronveaux@fundp.ac.be (A. Ronveaux).

0377-0427/01/\$ - see front matter © 2001 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved. PII: \$0377-0427(00)00725-1

Even in the case q = 2, these equations are already difficult to manage but numerical computations confirm the behaviour given in Eq. (4) [3,4].

It is therefore hopeless to investigate in the general case from Eq. (5) and a more general approach should be used.

Exact results given in (4) seem to show that the nonpolynomial modification $\Gamma(\alpha + x)/x!$ of the weight μ^x does not change the asymptotic behaviour of β_n/n and γ_n/n^2 . So it is natural to guess that the asymptotic behaviour stays the same each time we multiply by $\Gamma(\alpha + x)/x!$...

Let us squeeze any α_i , called α , between two consecutive integers

$$N_{\alpha} \leq \alpha \leq N_{\alpha} + 1, \quad N_{\alpha} \text{ integer.}$$
 (6)

From the monotonicity of the $\Gamma(z)$ function (1.462 < z), lower and upper bounds on the factor $\Gamma(\alpha + x)/x!$ can therefore be given:

$$\frac{\Gamma(N_{\alpha}+x)}{x!} \leqslant \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+x)}{x!} \leqslant \frac{\Gamma(N_{\alpha}+1+x)}{x!} \quad (2 \leqslant N_{\alpha}).$$
(7)

For $0 < x < \infty$, the function $\Gamma(\alpha + x)/x!$ is bounded by the rising factorial polynomials $(x)_n \equiv x(x+1)\cdots(x+n-1)$

$$(x+1)_{N_{\alpha}-1} \leqslant \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+x)}{x!} \leqslant (x+1)_{N_{\alpha}+1}.$$
 (8)

So the first step is to prove that polynomial modifications of the exponential weight μ^x does not change the limit given in Eq. (4). The second step should extend this result to the function $\Gamma(\alpha+x)/x!$ using the first step proof and Eq. (8). The third step should cover $0 < \alpha < 2$.

We are looking for such proofs.

References

696

- A. Ronveaux, Discrete semi-classical orthogonal polynomials: Generalized Meixner, J. Approx. Theory 46 (4) (1986) 403–407.
- [2] A.F. Nikiforov, V.B. Uvarov, Fonctions Spéciales de la Physique Mathématique, MIR, Moscow, 1983.
- [3] M. Foupouagnigni, M.N. Hounkonnou, A. Ronveaux, Laguerre–Freud Equations for the recurrence coefficients of D_{ω} -Semi-classical Orthogonal Polynomials of class one, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 99 (1998) 143–154.
- [4] W. Van Assche, private communication.