One-port (uniportal) video-assisted thoracic surgical resections—A clear advance
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One-port (uniportal) video-assisted thoracic surgery (VATS) consists of approaching an intrathoracic target lesion through a sagittal, craniocaudal plane through 1 single-port incision. The use of articulating instruments inserted parallel to the videothoracoscope enables the surgeon to mimic inside the chest the maneuvers that are usually performed during open surgery. Through this VATS approach, several thoracic conditions can be addressed, including lung cancer in selected patients. Unlike conventional, 3-port VATS, the uniportal VATS technique enables the surgeon to bring the operative fulcrum inside the chest when the target lunge is approached through a sagittal plan, thanks to articulating instruments. Uniportal wedge VATS resections of peripheral nodules can help in solving diagnostic dilemmas, be of therapeutic benefit, and provide tissue for biomolecular studies. (J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2012;144:S27-31)

A MEDLINE search of the term “single port surgery” performed in August 2011 revealed more than 1200 results. In particular, several general surgical, urologic, and gynecologic papers are focused on the technique and instrumentation of single-port laparoscopic surgery.1 Single-access concept merges with natural-orifice transluminal endoscopic papers are focused on the technique and instrumentation of single-port laparoscopic surgery.1 Single-access concept merges with natural-orifice transluminal endoscopic surgery by virtue of the transumbilical abdominal procedures recently performed and described in detail.2 Furthermore, single-port robotic devices are being tested for use in abdominal robot-assisted surgery.3 In this setting, the latest technologic refinements aim at facilitating concomitant introduction and operative use of more than one instrument at a time in addition to the selected visualization system. Specific devices have been studied for tie-knotting, stapling, and tissue handling with superb results in terms of maneuverability and user-friendliness. When it comes to single-port (or uniportal) thoracoscopic surgery, the technological gap is obvious. The surgical technique and current indications for single-port (uniportal) operative video-assisted thoracic surgery (VATS) have been described in detail elsewhere.4,5 Nevertheless, thoracic surgeons still use adapted instruments from general surgery despite the potential use of single-port operative VATS for many purposes.6 Indeed, diagnostic uses of uniportal VATS include diagnosis and treatment of pleural, mediastinal, and chest wall conditions.7-12 Uniportal pulmonary resections vary from wedge resections for diagnostic purposes to wedge for pneumothorax and solitary pulmonary nodules located in the outer third of the lung.5,13

SURGICAL INSTRUMENTATION AND TECHNIQUE

Technical mainstays of operative uniportal VATS include a single incision anywhere from 0.5 to 1 cm (ie, sympathectomy) up to 2.5 cm wide, blunt dissection to the pleural plane, and simultaneous introduction of articulating operative instruments parallel to a 5-mm (or lesser size) 0° or 30° videothoracoscope.4,5 The placement of the incision depends on the location of the target area in the chest. In this setting, careful interpretation of chest computed tomography is mandatory, bearing in mind that an adequate distance between the single port and the target area needs to be allowed to avoid instrument–videothoracoscope interference.4,5 As a rule, the most appropriate intercostal space identified at a latitudinal, horizontal level is between the fourth and the sixth. The vertical, longitudinal line is selected either anterior or posterior to the midscapular line.1,4,5 Usually, posteriorly located lesions are approached through incisions located anterior to the midscapular line—generally along the midaxillary line (Table 1).

Uniportal VATS wedge pulmonary resection technique is based on a completely different geometric concept compared with conventional 3-port VATS (Figure 1). In fact, the approach to the target lesion in the lung is substantially similar to the approach that the surgeon would use in open surgery. In the latter scenario, the visualization of the target lesion and its surgical removal would occur along the same axis. Therefore, the target lesion in the lung would be elevated with forceps perpendicularly from the parenchymal profile and resected by applying a stapler (or a curved clamp and oversewn) at the base of this newly created, cone-shaped parenchymal area. A pure geometric explanation of the potential advantage of uniportal VATS compared with conventional 3-port VATS lies in the projective plane of the sagittal approach to the target lesion, which preserves the depth of intraoperative visualization provided by the currently available 2-dimensional video monitors.4,5,13 Conversely, the torsion plane created along the lozenge
obtained with conventional VATS using the laterolateral approach hampers a distinct visualization of the perspective, deep operative field. The single incision needs to be placed on a direct line leading to the target area but at a distance sufficient to allow for deploying articulating arms of endograsps and endostaplers. In fact, in the lateral decubitus position, these articulating instruments, like robotic arms, clearly adapt to the fixed dome-shaped volumetry of the pleural cavity. More recently, following the geometric principles of uniportal VATS wedge resection, single-access lobectomies have been described as a part of the surgical armamentarium of a VATS lobectomy program in Spain.

INTRAOPERATIVE AND PERIOPERATIVE MANAGEMENT FOR UNIPORTAL VATS

Traditional VATS can be performed safely under local anesthesia and sedation. Uniportal VATS can be done under locoregional anesthesia and without intubation in the awake patient for most of the already reported indications, including wedge pulmonary resection. Furthermore, a no-drain policy can be adopted for straightforward wedge resections along the same line as for uniportal sympathectomy or uniportal biopsy or removal of mediastinal lymph nodes. Locoregional anesthesia is usually administered through an epidural catheter introduced at the level of the fourth to fifth thoracic vertebrae. The catheter is left in place even though only a single-shot injection of local anesthetic is injected, which is supposed to ensure pain control for at least 3 hours. In addition, the epidural catheter may contribute to pain management in the event of an unanticipated conversion to thoracotomy.

PAIN, PARESTHESIA, AND COSTS AFTER UNIPORTAL VATS

Although no prospective, randomized trials have been generated so far to compare uniportal versus conventional VATS, the evidence in the literature is growing as to the lesser impact of uniportal VATS in terms of postoperative pain and paresthesia. Conventional VATS seems to induce less postoperative pain than do thoracotomy or hybrid procedures. Reportedly, residual pain after VATS may subside in 12 weeks after surgery. Injury of the intercostal neurovascular bundle is the major determinant of postoperative complaints, no matter how big the port incision compared with conventional port size. Indeed, 50% of the patients subjected to needlescopic VATS for palmar hyperhydrosis had significant paresthesia, especially for the incisions placed in the mammary fold. After 1 year, 17% of the patients in the series reported by Silhöe and colleagues still had residual paresthesia. The authors concluded that there was no difference between needlescopic and conventional 3-port VATS in terms of postoperative paresthesia. Passlick and associates reported on chronic postoperative pain after 3-port VATS in the management of pneumothorax. In the reported series, 12-mm, 10-mm, and 5-mm trocars had been used in their patients; 32% of them reported residual chronic pain particularly at the port sites. The authors concluded that these findings had to be taken into consideration when offering pneumothorax patients the option of chest drain or minimally invasive procedure. In this setting, the awake uniportal technique may settle the issue of whether to surgically manage first episodes of pneumothorax. Uniportal VATS could be performed through the same incision of the chest drain placed in the accident and emergency department or, in young female patients, a cosmetically acceptable port site can be selected avoiding the mammary area and the attendant paresthesia.

In an era of managed care, uniportal VATS needed to be compared with conventional VATS as to duration of hospitalization and postoperative costs. In this setting, Salati and colleagues analyzed a small selected series of patients subjected to uniportal VATS for pneumothorax. Besides a significant difference in the incidence of postoperative paresthesia (P < .0001), uniportal VATS patients had a shorter hospital stay (P = .03) and generated lower costs.
postoperative costs \( (P = .03) \). In addition, in a series from the Mayo Clinic of 155 patients subjected to sympathotomy at the level of the first or second thoracic vertebrae, no intercostal neuralgia was noted perioperatively or at 34 months’ median follow-up.

**SPECIAL ISSUES**

As with conventional VATS, the ability to palpate the lung is limited—but not impossible—through uniportal VATS. Accordingly, the identification of peripheral pulmonary nodules must rely on visual inspection or on their preoperative or intraoperative marking. Recently, an articulating endoscopic ultrasound probe was used to detect peripheral nodules during uniportal VATS. Endoscopic ultrasounds have proved useful in outlining ground glass opacities in the pulmonary parenchyma. The addition, the articulating endoscopic ultrasound probe of an echo Doppler device allows for assessing the vascular supply (hence, a hint on the malignant nature of the lesion) and the depth of the nodule. In this context, operative uniportal VATS could be considered as a means to resect nodules located in the outer third of the lung and less than 2 cm in diameter provided that the following are true: (1) pure bronchoalveolar carcinoma histology, (2) ground glass opacity appearance for more than 50% of the lesion as per computed tomographic scan assessment, (3) extended doubling time (>400 days), and (4) resection margin of at least 2 cm or equal to/greater than the size of the nodule.

**THE PERSPECTIVE VALUE OF SINGLE-ACCESS VATS IN THORACIC SURGERY**

In conclusion, uniportal VATS represents a clear advantage for selected indications and a valuable addition to the surgical armamentarium complementing other single-port/single-access procedures, such as endobronchial ultrasound biopsy of mediastinal masses, video-assisted mediastinoscopy, video-assisted mediastinoscopic lymphadenectomy, and transcervical extended mediastinal lymphadenectomy (Table 2). Technologic advancements aimed at manufacturing custom-made instrumentation for thoracoscopic surgery will be equally decisive in facilitating the single-port technique under local or locoregional anesthesia. However,
TABLE 2. Intrathoracic conditions, suggested anesthetic management, chest drain policy, and operative settings for uniportal VATS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Uniportal VATS</th>
<th>Anesthetic modality</th>
<th>Drain</th>
<th>Setting</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pleural effusion</td>
<td>Diagnostic</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Outpatient</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>Diagnostic</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>In Hospital</td>
<td>Hemodynamic stability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pericardial effusion</td>
<td>Operative</td>
<td>Locoregional</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>In Hospital Hemodynamic stability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage I/II empyema</td>
<td>Diagnostic/oper (loculations)</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>In Hospital</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermittent lung disease</td>
<td>Operative</td>
<td>Locoregional</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No Outpatient</td>
<td>Bilateral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sympathectomy</td>
<td>Operative</td>
<td>Locoregional</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No Outpatient</td>
<td>Bilateral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nodal biopsy</td>
<td>Diagnostic</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No In Hospital</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphadenectomy</td>
<td>Operative</td>
<td>Locoregional</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>In Hospital</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary pneumothorax</td>
<td>Operative</td>
<td>Locoregional</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No Outpatient</td>
<td>Intraoperative ultrasound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral subpleural nodule</td>
<td>Operative</td>
<td>Locoregional</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No In hospital</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest wall lesion</td>
<td>Operative</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes In hospital</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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