


Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 26, 111–112 (2003)

doi:10.1053/ejvs.2002.1945, available online at <http://www.sciencedirect.com> on **SCIENCE @ DIRECT®****ERRATUM****Erratum to “The Distaflo Graft: a Valid Alternative to Interposition Vein?” [European Journal of Vascular and Endovascular Surgery 25 (2003) 235–239]\*****R. K. Fisher†, U. J. Kirkpatrick, T. V. How, J. A. Brennan,  
G. L. Gilling-Smith and P. L. Harris***Regional Vascular Unit, Royal Liverpool University Hospital, U.K.*

The publisher regrets that in the above article, which appeared in the March 2003 issue of the journal, figures 1, 2 and 3 were incorrect. The correct version of the figures are printed below. We apologise for any inconvenience caused by this matter.



follow up	0	30	90	180	365
grafts at risk					
Distaflo	50	38	28	15	10
Miller cuff	50	35	27	25	17

**Fig. 1.** Kaplan Meier survival curves comparing cumulative patency of Distaflo and Miller Cuff grafts:  $p = 0.39$ ; log rank. (SE < 10%). Solid line = Distaflo. Dashed line = Miller cuff.

\* doi of original article 10.1053/ejvs.2002.1840

† Please address all correspondence to: R. K. Fisher, c/o Secretary to Mr Brennan, Regional Vascular Unit, 8C Link, Royal Liverpool University Hospital, Prescot Street, Liverpool L7 8XP.

Erratum




Fig. 2. Kaplan Meier survival curves comparing limb salvage in Distaflo and Miller cuff grafts:  $p=0.65$ ; log rank (SE < 10%). Solid line = Distaflo. Dashed line = Miller cuff.




Fig. 3. Kaplan Meier survival curves comparing survival after Distaflo and Miller cuff grafts:  $p=0.67$ ; log rank. (SE < 10%). Solid line = Distaflo. Dashed line = Miller cuff.