

JOURNAL OF ALGEBRA **142**, 405–413 (1991)

## Large $E$ -Modules Exist

MANFRED DUGAS\*

*Department of Mathematics, Baylor University,  
Waco, Texas 76798-7328*

*Communicated by Walter Feit*

Received November 7, 1989

### INTRODUCTION

If  $R$  is a ring with 1 and  $M$  an  $R$ -module then  $M$  is called  $E(R)$ -module (or  $E$ -module) if  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R, M) = \text{Hom}_R(R, M)$ , where  $R$  (and  $M$ ) is considered a right  $R$ -module. Moreover,  $R$  is called  $E$ -ring if the right module  $R = R_R$  is an  $E$ -module. It is easy to see that  $E$ -rings are commutative.  $E$ -rings were introduced by Schultz in [S] and studied further in [BS]. Torsion-free  $E$ -rings of finite rank play an important role in some investigations of torsion-free abelian groups of finite rank; cf. [APRVW]. We refer to [Pi] for a discussion of  $E(R)$ -modules. In the present paper we want to answer a question of C. Visonhale's: If  $R$  is an  $E$ -ring, are there arbitrarily large indecomposable  $E(R)$ -modules? Since this question makes sense only for  $E$ -rings  $R$  without nontrivial idempotents we may rephrase Visonhale's question: If  $R$  is an  $E$ -ring, are there arbitrarily large  $E(R)$ -modules  $M$  such that  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M) = R$ ? A partial answer to that question may be found in [DG1]: The Main Theorem in [DG1] states that for any cotorsion-free (cf. [DG1]) ring  $R$  with  $|R| < \kappa$  there exist arbitrarily large, strongly  $\kappa$ -free  $R$ -modules  $M$  with  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M) = R$  if the set theoretic axiom  $V = L$  holds (or some weaker consequence of  $V = L$ ). These modules are  $E(R)$ -modules since submodules of  $M$  of cardinality  $|R| < \kappa$  are contained in free  $R$ -submodules of  $M$ . The aim of the present paper is to prove a similar result without using  $V = L$  but instead posing some mild restrictions on the ( $E$ -) ring  $R$ . The following theorem is our main result. (We refer to [FI/II] for undefined notations in the theory of abelian groups). Let  $\kappa$  denote a regular uncountable cardinal and  $\aleph_m$  the least measurable cardinal, [J], if there is any measurable cardinal at all.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $R$  be a ring with 1 such that  $R^+$ , the additive group of  $R$ , is slender and  $|R| < \kappa < \aleph_m$ . Let  $\lambda > \kappa$  be any cardinal such that  $\lambda = \lambda^{2^\kappa}$ .*

\* Research partially supported by the NSF under Grant DMS-8900350.

Then there exists an  $R$ -module  $G$  with the following properties:

- (a)  $|G| = \lambda$ .
- (b)  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G) = R$ .
- (c) Every  $R$ -submodule  $M$  of  $G$  of cardinality  $< \kappa$  is  $R$ -torsionless, i.e., a submodule of a cartesian product  $\prod R$ .
- (d)  $G$  is slender (cf. [FII], Sect. 94).

As an immediate consequence of (c) we have

- (e) If  $R$  is an  $E$ -ring, then  $G$  is an  $E(R)$ -module.

We would like to mention that every  $R$ -module  $G$  with property (c) is itself  $R$ -torsionless if  $\kappa$  is strongly compact (cf. [J], [AE]). This somewhat explains the presence of the restriction  $\kappa < \aleph_m$ . In [DMV] we constructed for any cotorsion-free ring  $S$  with 1 an  $E$ -ring  $R$  of large cardinality with  $S \subseteq R$ . All the rings constructed in [DMV] are slender if only  $S$  is slender. If  $R$  is an  $E$ -ring and  $A$  an  $R$ -algebra such that  $A^+$  is slender and  $A$  is an  $E(R)$ -module then we may apply our Main Theorem with  $R$  replaced by  $A$ , and we obtain many examples of  $E(R)$ -modules  $G$  with "pathological decompositions." We refer to [DG1] for examples of such  $R$ -algebras  $A$ .

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

In all that follows, let  $A$  be a torsion-free reduced group and  $\kappa$  a (regular) uncountable cardinal. Let  $A^\kappa = \prod_{\alpha < \kappa} A$  be the cartesian product of  $\kappa$  copies of  $A$ . Each element  $a \in A^\kappa$  is a map from  $\kappa$  into  $A$  and we identify  $a$  with  $(a(i))_{i < \kappa}$ . We will work with the following canonical subgroups of  $A^\kappa$ . First, let

$$A^{<\kappa} = \{a \in A^\kappa \mid |\{\alpha < \kappa \mid a(\alpha) \neq 0\}| < \kappa\}$$

and

$$A^{(\kappa)} = \{a \in A^\kappa \mid \{\alpha < \kappa \mid a(\alpha) \neq 0\} \text{ finite}\}.$$

Moreover,

$$A^{[\kappa]} = \{a \in A^\kappa \mid \{\alpha < \kappa \mid a(\alpha) \neq 0\} \text{ finite or countable}\}$$

and

$$A^{<\kappa>} = \{a \in A^\kappa \mid \{\alpha \mid a(\alpha) \neq 0\} \text{ finite}\}.$$

Kaup and Keane [KK] generalized a celebrated result due to Nöbeling (cf. [FII, Sect. 97]) by showing that  $A^{<\kappa>}$  is isomorphic to a direct sum of

copies of  $A$ . Moreover,  $A^{<\kappa>} \cong \bigoplus_{x \in I} h_x A$ , where  $h_x, X \subseteq \kappa$ , is the characteristic function of  $X$  and  $A^{<\kappa>} \cap A^{<\kappa>}$  is a direct summand of  $A^{<\kappa>}$  with a complement generated by a characteristic  $A$ -basis; cf. [FII, Theorem 97.5].

Since  $A$  is reduced and  $\kappa = cf(\kappa) > \omega$ , all groups  $A^\kappa, A^{<\kappa>}, A^\kappa/A^{<\kappa>}, (A^{<\kappa>} + A^{<\kappa>})/A^{<\kappa>}$  are Hausdorff in the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -adic topology and all inclusions are pure. For  $G$  any reduced group, let  $G^\wedge = \hat{G}$  be the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -adic completion of  $G$ . Then  $(A^{<\kappa>})^\wedge \subseteq (A^\kappa)^\wedge$  and we define  $A_\kappa^\# = (A^{<\kappa>})^\wedge \cap A^\kappa$ . The pure subgroup  $A_\kappa^\#$  consists of all the elements  $a = (a(\alpha))_{\alpha < \kappa}$  of  $A$  such that

- (1)  $\{a(\alpha) \mid \alpha < \kappa\}$  is at most countable,
- (2)  $\forall n < \omega, \{a(\alpha) \mid \alpha < \kappa, a(\alpha) \notin n! A\}$  is finite.

We are now ready to state a stronger version of Los' theorem (cf. [FII, Theorem 94.4]) that is crucial for us.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $G$  be a slender group and  $\eta \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A_\kappa^\#, G)$ . If  $\kappa$  is nonmeasurable and  $\eta(A^{(\kappa)}) = 0$ , then  $\eta = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Our proof will be essentially the same as the proof of Theorem 4.4 in [FII]. The group  $A_\kappa^\# / A^{<\kappa>}$  is divisible and therefore  $\eta = 0$  if and only if  $\eta(A^{<\kappa>}) = 0$ . By way of contradiction, assume that  $\eta(a) \neq 0$  for some  $a \in A^{<\kappa>}$ . Since  $\{a(\alpha) \mid \alpha < \kappa\}$  is finite we may assume that  $a(\alpha) \in \{0, b\}$  for some fixed  $0 \neq b \in A$ . For any subset  $J$  of  $\kappa$  define  $a_J \in A^{<\kappa>}$  by  $a_J(\alpha) = a(\alpha)$  for  $\alpha \in J$  and  $a_J(\alpha) = 0$  for  $\alpha \notin J$ . Note that  $a_\kappa = a$  and  $a_J \in A^{<\kappa>}$  for all  $J \subseteq \kappa$ . We define a  $G$ -valued measure  $\nu$  on  $\kappa$  by  $\nu(J) = \eta(a_J)$ . Then  $\nu(\kappa) = \eta(a) \neq 0$  and  $\nu(\{\alpha\}) = 0$  for all  $\alpha < \kappa$ . Note that  $\nu$  is additive since  $\eta$  is a homomorphism. In order to show that  $\nu$  is countably additive, let  $J_n, n < \omega$ , be pairwise disjoint subsets of  $\kappa$  and set  $a^{(n)} = a_{J_n}$ . Then  $P = \prod_{n < \omega} (a^{(n)} n!) \mathbb{Z}$  is contained in  $A_\kappa^\#$  and  $P \cong \mathbb{Z}^\omega$ . Since  $G$  is slender we obtain  $n_0 < \omega$  and  $\eta(a^{(n)} n!) = n! \eta(a^{(n)}) = 0$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ . Let

$$y = \sum_{k=n_0}^{\infty} a^{(k)} \in A^{<\kappa>}.$$

Then

$$\nu\left(\bigcup_{n < \omega} J_n\right) = \eta\left(\sum_{k=0}^{m_0-1} a^{(k)} + y\right) = \nu\left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{n_0-1} J_k\right) + \eta(y).$$

We will show that  $\eta(y) = 0$ . Set  $y_n = \sum_{k=n_0}^n a^{(k)}$  and let  $\pi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! z_n \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ . Then  $w = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (y - y_{n+n_0}) n! z_n \in A_\kappa^\#$  and since  $\eta$  is continuous in the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -adic topology we have  $\eta(w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \eta(y - y_{n+n_0}) n! z_n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \eta(y) n! z_n = \eta(y) \pi$ . This shows that  $\eta(y) \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \subseteq G$  and we conclude  $\eta(y) = 0$  since the slender group  $G$  is also cotorsion-free. This shows  $\nu(\bigcup_{n < \omega} J_n) =$

$\sum_{n=0}^{m_0-1} \nu(J_n) = \sum_{n < \omega} \nu(J_n)$  and  $\nu$  is countably additive. Now consider the countably additive ideal  $\mathbb{I} = \{J \subseteq \kappa \mid \nu(J') = 0 \text{ for all } J' \subseteq J\}$  and let  $\mathbb{B}$  be the boolean algebra of all subsets of  $\kappa$ . As in the proof of Theorem 94.4 in [FII] it follows that  $\mathbb{B}/\mathbb{I}$  is finite. Thus  $\nu$  gives rise to a countably additive,  $\{0, 1\}$ -measure on  $\kappa$  and we arrived at a contradiction since  $\kappa$  is non-measurable.

The next definition may be found in [BS] and we refer to [Pi] for easy reference.

**DEFINITION 1.2.** Let  $R$  be a ring. If for each  $\varphi \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R)$  there is an  $r \in R$  such that  $\varphi(x) = xr$  for all  $x \in R$ , then  $R$  is called an  $E$ -ring. Note that  $E$ -rings are commutative. An  $R$ -module  $M$  is called an  $E$ -module (or  $E(R)$ -module) if  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R, M) = \text{Hom}_R(R, M)$ . Note that  $R$  is an  $E$ -ring iff the  $R$ -module  $R_R$  is an  $E(R)$ -module. Finally, an  $R$ -module  $M$  is called  $R$ -torsionless if  $M$  is isomorphic to an  $R$ -submodule of  $R^\kappa$  for some cardinal  $\kappa$ .

*Remark 1.3.* If  $R$  is an  $E$ -ring then every  $R$ -torsionless module is an  $E(R)$ -module, and the class of  $E(R)$ -modules is closed with respect to submodules, cartesian products, and direct sums.

*Remark 1.4.* Let  $\aleph_m$  be the least measurable cardinal (if it exists),  $\kappa$  a regular cardinal  $< \aleph_m$ , and  $R$  an  $E$ -ring of cardinality  $< \kappa$ . Then  $R^\kappa/R^{<\kappa}$  is an  $E(R)$ -module.

*Proof.* By the Wald-Los lemma (cf. [DG2]), every submodule of  $R^\kappa/R^{<\kappa}$  of cardinality  $< \kappa$  is  $R$ -torsionless. Since  $|R| < \kappa$  this implies that  $R^\kappa/R^{<\kappa}$  (and all its submodules) are  $E(R)$ -modules.

In order to prove the theorem mentioned in the Introduction, we will have to construct an  $R$ -module  $G$  with  $\text{End}(G) = R$ . This will be done using a “Black Box” construction similar to the one in [DMV]. Therefore, we will be a little sketchy at times and point out only the major differences.

## 2. THE BLACK BOX

Let  $\kappa < \aleph_m$  be a regular cardinal and  $\lambda$  a cardinal  $\geq \kappa$  with  $\lambda^{(2^\kappa)} = \lambda$ . Moreover, let  $R$  be a cotorsion-free ring such that  $|R| < \kappa$ . Let  $F = \bigoplus_{\alpha < \lambda} \bigoplus_{k < 2^\kappa} ((R^{<\kappa} + R^{<\kappa})/R^{<\kappa})(\alpha, k)$ , where  $((R^{<\kappa} + R^{<\kappa})/R^{<\kappa})(\alpha, k)$  is a copy of  $R^{<\kappa} + R^{<\kappa}/R^{<\kappa}$  labelled by  $(\alpha, k)$ ,  $\alpha < \lambda$ ,  $k < 2^\kappa$ . We set  $B = (R^{<\kappa} + R^{<\kappa})/R^{<\kappa}$  and  $\bar{B} = (R_\kappa^* + R^{<\kappa})/R^{<\kappa} \subseteq R^\kappa/R^{<\kappa}$ . Note that  $B$  is a free  $R$ -module and because of 1.1,  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\bar{B}, G) = 0$  for any slender

group  $G$ . Moreover,  $\bar{B}/B$  is divisible (as abelian group). Eventually, we will construct an  $R$ -module  $M$  such that

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha < \lambda} \bigoplus_{k < 2^\kappa} B(\alpha, k) = F \subseteq M \subseteq \left( \bigoplus_{\alpha < \lambda} \bigoplus_{k < 2^\kappa} \bar{B}(\alpha, k) \right) + (B^{(\lambda + 2^\kappa)})^- = \tilde{F},$$

where  $(B^{(\lambda \times 2^\kappa)})^-$  is the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -adic closure of  $B^{(\lambda \times 2^\kappa)}$  in  $B^{\lambda \times 2^\kappa} = \prod_{\alpha < \lambda} \prod_{k < 2^\kappa} B(\alpha, k)$ . Note that  $\tilde{F}/F$  is divisible and  $\tilde{F} \subseteq \bar{B}^{\lambda \times 2^\kappa} \subseteq \prod_{\lambda \times 2^\kappa} (R^\kappa/R^{<\kappa})$ . Thus every submodule  $L$  of  $\tilde{F}$  of cardinality  $< \kappa$  is  $R$ -torsionless. Moreover, for each  $\varphi: F \rightarrow \tilde{F}$  there exists a unique extension  $\tilde{\varphi}: \tilde{F} \rightarrow (\tilde{F})^\wedge$  and we will identify  $\varphi$  and  $\tilde{\varphi}$ . For  $x \in \tilde{F}$  let  $[x] = \{(\alpha, k) \in \lambda \times 2^\kappa \mid x(\alpha, k) \neq 0\}$  and  $\llbracket x \rrbracket = \{\alpha < \lambda \mid \exists k < 2^\kappa ((\alpha, k) \in [x])\}$ . If  $P$  is any  $R$ -submodule of  $\tilde{F}$ , we define  $\|P\| = \sup\{\llbracket x \rrbracket \mid x \in P\}$ .

DEFINITION 2.1. (1) A canonical submodule  $P$  of  $\tilde{F}$  is any  $R$ -submodule  $P$  of  $\tilde{F}$  such that for some fixed  $I \subseteq \kappa$ ,  $|I| \leq 2^\kappa$ , we have  $x \in P$  iff  $\llbracket x \rrbracket \in I$ .

(2) A trap is a triple  $(f, P, \varphi)$ , where  $f$  is a sequence of ordinals  $f(0) < f(1) < \dots < \lambda$ ;  $P$  is a canonical submodule such that  $\bar{B}(\alpha, k) \subseteq P$  for any  $(\alpha, k) \in \lambda \times 2^\kappa$  whenever  $\alpha = f(n)$  for some  $n < \omega$  and  $\|P\| = \sup\{f(n) \mid n < \omega\}$  and  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P \cap F, P)$ .

Without proof we state Shelah's principle, the so-called "Black Box." A proof may be found in [DMV, Theorem 2.6].

THEOREM 2.2. For some ordinal  $\lambda^*$  there exists a sequence of traps  $\tau_\alpha = (f_\alpha, P_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha)$ ,  $\alpha < \lambda^*$ , such that

- (1)  $\|P_\alpha\| \leq \|P_\beta\|$  if  $\alpha \leq \beta$ .
- (2) If  $\alpha \neq \beta$  then  $\text{Im } f_\alpha \cap \text{Im } f_\beta$  is finite.
- (3) If  $\beta + (2^\kappa)^\omega \leq \alpha$  then for all  $e: \omega \rightarrow 2^\kappa$  there exists  $n_0 < \omega$  such that  $\prod_{n < n_0} \bar{B}(f_\alpha(n), e(n)) \cap P_\beta = 0$ .
- (4) If  $A \subseteq \tilde{F}$ ,  $|A| \leq 2^\kappa$  and if  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(F, \tilde{F})$  then there exists  $\alpha < \lambda^*$  such that  $A \subseteq P_\alpha$ ,  $\|A\| \leq \|P_\alpha\|$  and  $\varphi \upharpoonright (F \cap P_\alpha) = \varphi_\alpha$ .

### 3. THE CONSTRUCTION

Let  $1(\alpha, k)$  be the element in  $B(\alpha, k)$  induced by  $a \in R^{<\kappa}$  with  $a(i) = 1$  for all  $i < k$ , i.e.,  $1(\alpha, k) = (a + R^{<\kappa})(\alpha, k)$ .

We will utilize the sequence of traps  $(f_\alpha, P_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha)$ ,  $\alpha < \lambda^*$ . For  $\alpha < \lambda^*$  and a sequence  $e_\alpha(0) < e_\alpha(1) < e_\alpha(2) < \dots < \kappa$  let

$$\bar{e}_\alpha = \sum_{n < \omega} 1(f_\alpha(n), e_\alpha(n)) n! \in P_\alpha.$$

Further, choose  $b_\alpha \in P_\alpha$  such that  $\|b_\alpha\| < \|P_\alpha\| = \sup\{f_\alpha(n) : n < \omega\}$  and set

$$a_\alpha = \bar{e}_\alpha + b_\alpha \in P_\alpha. \tag{*}$$

Using any elements of this form we obtain a transfinite chain of pure subgroups  $G_\alpha$  of  $\tilde{F}$ , which are also  $R$ -modules, satisfying

$$(I_0) \quad G_0 = F;$$

$$(I_\alpha) \quad G_\alpha = \bigcup_{\eta < \alpha} G_{\eta+1};$$

(II $_\alpha$ )  $G_{\alpha+1} = \langle G_\alpha, a_\alpha R \rangle_*$ , the pure submodule of  $\tilde{F}$  generated by  $G_\alpha$  and  $a_\alpha$ .

We will set  $G = \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda^*} G_\alpha$ . We will specify later how to choose the functions  $e_\alpha : \omega \rightarrow 2^\kappa$  and the elements  $b_\alpha$  in (\*) to ensure  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G) = R$ .

LEMMA 3.1. (a) *For each  $g \in G$  there exist  $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \dots < \alpha_n < \lambda^*$ ,  $r_i \in R$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $u \in F$  such that  $mg = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{\alpha_i} r_i + u$ . Moreover, the pair  $(m, g)$  determines  $\alpha_i, r_i, 1 \leq i \leq n_1$ , and  $u$  uniquely.*

(b) *If  $R$  is cotorsion-free, then  $G$  is cotorsion-free.*

(c) *If  $R$  is slender, then  $G$  is slender.*

*Proof.* (a) The existence of  $m, r_i \in R, 1 \leq i \leq n$ , and  $u \in F$  is obvious and the uniqueness follows from 2.2(2). A proof of (b) may be found in [CG] or [DMV] and is omitted here. We will prove (c): Let  $\mathbb{Z}^\omega = \prod_{n < \omega} d_n \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $d_n(i) = \delta_{ni}$  and let  $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}^\omega \rightarrow G$  be a homomorphism of groups. We may assume that  $0 \neq \varphi(d_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} a_{\alpha_{i,n}} r_{i,n} + u^{(n)}$ , where the  $\alpha_{i,n}, 1 \leq i \leq k_n$ , are distinct and  $r_{i,n} \neq 0$  for all  $i, n$ .

Case 1.  $\{\alpha_{i,n} | n < \omega, 1 \leq i \leq k_n\}$  is infinite. W.l.o.g. we may assume  $\{\alpha_{1,n} | n < \omega\}$  is infinite and  $\alpha_{1,n} \neq \alpha_{1,m}$  for  $n \neq m$ . By induction on  $n$  we find a cofinite subset  $T_n \subseteq [a_{\alpha_{1,n}}]$  and  $k_{n-1} < k_n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $T_m \subseteq [(\sum_{n < \omega} \varphi(d_n) k_n!)]$  for all  $m < \omega$ . Thus  $\bigcup_{m < \omega} T_m \subseteq [(\sum_{n < \omega} \varphi(d_n) k_n!)]$ , which contradicts (a) and 2.2(2). Thus we may assume

Case 2.  $\{\alpha_{i,n} | n < \omega, 1 \leq i \leq k_n\}$  is finite. Restricting ourselves to an infinite subset of  $\omega$ , we may assume  $\alpha_{i,n} = \alpha_{i,1}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k_1$ , and  $k_1 = k_n$  for all  $n < \omega$ . Then there exists  $j_0 < \omega$  such that  $f_{\alpha_{i,1}}(j) \notin [a_{\alpha_{i,1}}]$  for all  $j \geq j_0$  and  $i > 1$ . Thus

$$\left( \varphi \left( \sum_n d_n z_n \right) \right) (f_{\alpha_{i,1}}(j), e_{\alpha_{i,1}}(j)) = (1(f_{\alpha_{i,1}}(j), e_{\alpha_{i,1}}(j))) \left( j! \sum_{n < \omega} r_n z_n \right)$$

for every  $j \geq j_0$  and every  $\mathbb{Z}$ -adic zero-sequence  $\{z_n | n < \omega\}$ .

This implies that  $\sum_{n < \omega} r_n z_n \in R$  for every  $\mathbb{Z}$ -adic zero-sequence  $\{z_n | n < \omega\}$ . This implies (since  $R$  is slender and hence cotorsion-free) that  $r_n = 0$  for almost all  $n$ . This contradicts our assumption  $0 \neq \varphi(d_n)$  for all  $n$ .

Case 3.  $\varphi(d_n) \in F$  for almost all  $n$ . W.l.o.g. we may assume that  $\varphi(d_n) \in F$  for all  $n < \omega$ .

Case 3.1.  $\bigcup_{n < \omega} [\varphi(d_n)]$  is infinite. By induction and substituting  $\omega$  by an infinite subset if necessary we find a sequence  $(\alpha_n, k_n) \in \lambda \times 2^\kappa$  such that  $(\alpha_n, k_n) \in [\varphi(d_n)]$  and  $(\alpha_n, k_n) \notin [\varphi(d_i)]$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ . Moreover we may assume that  $\alpha_n \leq \alpha_{n+1}$  for all  $n < \omega$ . Suppose  $\sum_{n < \omega} \varphi(d_n) t_n! \in G$ , where  $t_n \in \mathbb{N}$  is a sequence such that  $(\varphi(d_n) t_n!)(\alpha_n, k_n) \notin t_{n+1}! \bar{B}(\alpha_n, k_n)$  and  $n \cdot t_n < t_{n+1}!$  for all  $n$ . This implies that there exists  $\alpha < \lambda^*$  such that for a subsequence  $(\alpha_{n_i}, k_{n_i})$ ,  $i < \omega$ , we have  $(\alpha_{n_i}, k_{n_i}) = (f_x(i), e_x(i))$ ,  $i \geq i_0$ , and  $(\varphi(d_{n_i}) t_{n_i}!)(\alpha_{n_i}, k_{n_i}) \equiv i! r \cdot 1(f_x(i), e_x(i)) \pmod{t_{n_i+1}! \bar{B}(\alpha_{n_i}, k_{n_i})}$ . We now pick another sequence  $\{\tilde{t}_n | n < \omega\}$  such that  $t_n < \tilde{t}_n$  and  $\tilde{t}_n > 2i$ . If again  $\sum \varphi(d_n) \tilde{t}_n! \in G$  we obtain for some  $s \in R$ ,

$$(\varphi(d_{n_i}) \tilde{t}_{n_i}!)(\alpha_{n_i}, k_{n_i}) \equiv i! s \cdot 1(f_x(i), e_x(i)) \pmod{\tilde{t}_{n_i+1}! \bar{B}(\alpha_{n_i}, k_{n_i})}$$

for all  $i \geq \tilde{i}_0$ . The latter implies  $s \in \tilde{t}_{n_i}! (i!)^{-1} R$  and since  $\{t_{n_i} - i | i < \omega\}$  is unbounded we arrive at the contradiction  $s = 0$ . Thus we have to consider

Case 3.2.  $\bigcup_{n < \omega} [\varphi(d_n)]$  finite. In this case  $\varphi$  gives rise to a map  $\varphi: \mathbb{Z}^{(\omega)} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\text{finite}} B$  since the only elements in  $G$  with finite support are the elements of  $F$ . By continuity of  $\varphi$  we have  $:\prod d_n n! \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow F$ . Since  $F$  is a free  $R$ -module and  $R$  is slender we obtain  $\varphi(d_n) = 0$  for all but finitely many  $n$ . This shows that  $G$  is slender.

We introduce a symbol  $\infty \notin \tilde{F}$  and refine the construction of  $G_x$  by constructing, together with  $a_x = b_x + \bar{e}_x$ , elements  $t_x \in \tilde{F} \cup \{\infty\}$  such that, in addition to  $(I_0)$ ,  $(I_x)$ ,  $(II_x)$  we have

$$(III_x) \quad t_\beta \notin G_x \text{ for } \beta < \alpha.$$

$(IV_x)$  (a) If for all  $b \in P_x \cap \bigoplus_{(a,k) \in \lambda \times 2^\kappa} \bar{B}(\alpha, k)$  with  $\|b\| \leq \|P_x\|$ ,  $t_\beta \notin \langle G_x, (b + \bar{e}_x) R \rangle_*$  when  $\beta < \alpha$  but  $(b + \bar{e}_x) \varphi_x \in \langle G_x, (b + \bar{e}_x) R \rangle_*$  then we set  $t_x = \infty$  and  $a_x = \bar{e}_x$ , where  $\bar{e}_x = \sum_{n < \omega} 1(f_x(n), e(n))n!$

(b) If (a) does not hold we set  $t_x = \varphi_x(a_x)$  and set  $a_x = b + \bar{e}_x$ , where  $b$  is a witness for the failure of (a).

LEMMA 3.2. *There exists a sequence of triples  $(G_x, a_x, t_x)$ ,  $\alpha < \lambda^*$ , such that  $(I_0)$ ,  $(I_x)$ – $(IV_x)$  hold.*

*Proof.* [DMV, Lemma 3.4].

LEMMA 3.3. *If one chooses  $(G_x, a_x, t_x)$ ,  $\alpha < \lambda^*$ , as in 3.2, then  $G = \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda^*} G_\alpha$  is an  $R$ -module,  $F \subseteq G \subseteq \tilde{F}$ , and  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G) = R$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi: G \rightarrow G$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -homomorphism and  $F_\alpha = F \cap P_\alpha$ . Assume that for each  $\alpha < \lambda^*$  with  $\varphi \upharpoonright F_\alpha = \varphi_\alpha$  we have some  $r_\alpha \in R$  such that  $\varphi \upharpoonright F_\alpha = \varphi_\alpha = r_\alpha$ . If  $\varphi \notin R$  then there is some  $\beta < \lambda^*$  with  $r_\alpha \neq r_\beta$  and by (2.2) there exists  $\gamma < \lambda^*$  such that  $\varphi \upharpoonright F_\gamma = \varphi_\gamma = r_\gamma$  and  $F_\alpha, F_\beta \subseteq F_\gamma$ . Let  $B(\alpha^0, 0) \subseteq F_\alpha$  and  $B(\beta^0, 0) \subseteq F_\beta$ . Then

$$\varphi(1(\alpha^0, 0)) = (1(\alpha^0, 0)) r_\alpha = (1(\alpha^0, 0)) r_\gamma$$

and

$$\varphi(1(\beta^0, 0)) = (1(\beta^0, 0)) r_\beta = (1(\beta^0, 0)) r_\gamma.$$

These equations imply  $r_\alpha = r_\gamma = r_\beta$ . Thus  $\varphi \in R$ . Therefore we may assume that for some  $\alpha < \lambda^*$  we have  $\varphi \upharpoonright F_\alpha = \varphi_\alpha$  and  $\varphi \upharpoonright F_\alpha \notin R \upharpoonright F_\alpha$ . We will show that this ordinal  $\alpha < \lambda^*$  satisfies  $(IV_\alpha)(b)$ .

Suppose  $\varphi_\alpha(\bar{e}_\alpha) \in \langle G_\alpha, \bar{e}_\alpha R \rangle_*$ . This implies  $n\varphi_\alpha(\bar{e}_\alpha) \equiv \bar{e}_\alpha r \pmod{G_\alpha}$ . Since  $R$  is pure in  $\text{Hom}(F, \bar{F})$  and  $\varphi_\alpha \notin R$  we conclude  $n\varphi_\alpha \notin R$  and therefore  $n\varphi_\alpha - r: F_\alpha \rightarrow P_\alpha$  is not the zero map. Thus there is some  $(\alpha, k) \in \lambda \times 2^\kappa$  such that  $B(\alpha, k) \subseteq F_\alpha$  and  $(n\varphi_\alpha - r) \upharpoonright B(\alpha, k) \neq 0$ . Since  $G_\alpha$  is slender, there is some  $b \in \bar{B}(\alpha, k)$  such that  $(n\varphi_\alpha - r)(b) \notin G_\alpha$ .

Now set  $a_\alpha = b + \bar{e}_\alpha$ . If  $m\varphi_\alpha(a_\alpha) \in \langle G_\alpha, a_\alpha R \rangle_*$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , then

$$m\varphi_\alpha(a_\alpha) \equiv (b + \bar{e}_\alpha) s \pmod{G_\alpha}$$

and we obtain  $nm\varphi_\alpha(b) \equiv bns + \bar{e}_\alpha(ns - mr) \pmod{G_\alpha}$ . Since  $\|b\|, \|\varphi_\alpha(b)\| < \|P_\alpha\|$  we conclude that  $ns = mr$  and  $n\varphi_\alpha(b) \equiv br \pmod{G_\alpha}$ , which contradicts our choice of  $b$ . Therefore  $(IV_\alpha)(a)$  does not hold and by Lemma 3.2 we have that  $(IV_\alpha)(b)$  holds and  $t_\alpha = \varphi_\alpha(a_\alpha) = \varphi(a_\alpha) \notin G$ . This contradicts  $\varphi \in \text{End}(G)$  and  $\text{End}(G) = R$  follows.

*Remark.* As in [DMV, Theorem 5.1] we may also construct rigid systems  $\{G^{(\alpha)} \mid \alpha < 2^\lambda\}$  of such modules, i.e.,  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G^{(\alpha)}, G^{(\beta)}) = \delta_{\alpha\beta} R$  for all  $\alpha \neq \beta < 2^\lambda$ .

### REFERENCES

- [AE] Y. ABE AND I. EDA, Compact cardinals and abelian groups, *Tsukuba J. Math.* **11** (1987), 353–360.
- [APRVW] D. ARNOLD, R. S. PIERCE, J. D. REID, C. VINSONHALER, AND W. WICKLESS, Torsion-free abelian groups of finite rank projective as modules over their endomorphism rings, *J. Algebra* **71** (1981), 1–10.
- [BS] R. A. BOWSHELL AND P. H. SCHULTZ, Unital rings whose additive endomorphisms commute, *Math. Ann.* **228** (1977), 197–214.
- [CG] A. L. S. CORNER AND R. GÖBEL, Prescribing endomorphism algebras, a unified treatment, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **50**, 447–479.



- [DG1] M. DUGAS AND R. GÖBEL, Every cotorsion-free ring is an endomorphism ring, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **45** (1982), 319–336.
- [DG2] M. DUGAS AND R. GÖBEL, On radicals and products, *Pacific J. Math.* **118** (1985), 79–104.
- [DMV] M. DUGAS, A. MADER, AND C. VINSONHALER, Large  $E$ -rings exist, *J. Algebra* **108** (1987), 88–101.
- [FI/II] L. FUCHS, “Infinite Abelian Groups,” Vols. I, II, Academic Press, New York, 1970, 1973.
- [J] T. JECH, “Set Theory,” Academic Press, New York, 1978.
- [KK] L. KAUP AND M. S. KEANE, Induktive Limiten endlich erzeugter freier Moduln, *Manuscripta Math.* **1** (1969), 9–21.
- [Pi] R. S. PIERCE,  $E$ -modules, *Contemp. Math.* **87** (1989), 221–240.
- [S] P. SCHULTZ, The endomorphism ring of the additive group of a ring, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **15** (1973), 60–69.