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Abstract: This paper describes two methods for the solution of (weakly) singular two-point boundary-value problems:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x^{-\alpha}\left(x^{\alpha} y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=f(x, y), & 0<x<1, \\
y(0)=A, & y(1)=B,
\end{array} 0<\alpha<1 .
$$

Consider the uniform mesh $x_{i}=i h, h=1 / N, i=0(1) N$. Define the linear functionals $L_{i}(y)=y\left(x_{i}\right)$ and $M_{i}(y)=$ $\left(\left.x^{-\alpha}\left(x^{\alpha} y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right|_{x=x_{i}}\right.$. In both these methods a piecewise 'spline' solution is obtained in the form $s(x)=s_{i}(x)$, $x \in\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right], i=1(1) N$, where in each subinterval $s_{i}(x)$ is in the linear span of a certain set of (non-polynomial) basis functions in the representation of the solution $y(x)$ of the two-point boundary value problem and satisfies the interpolation conditions: $L_{i-1}(s)=L_{i-1}(y), L_{i}(s)=L_{i}(y), M_{i-1}(s)=M_{i-1}(y), M_{i}(s)=M_{i}(y)$. By construction $s$ and $x^{-\alpha}\left(x^{\alpha} s^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \in C[0,1]$. Conditions of continuity are derived to ensure that $x^{\alpha} s^{\prime} \in C[0,1]$. It follows that the unknown parameters $y_{i}$ and $M_{i}(y), i=1(1) N-1$, must satisfy conditions of the form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{1}{J_{i}} y_{i-1}+\left(\frac{1}{J_{i}}+\frac{1}{J_{i+1}}\right) y_{i}-\frac{1}{J_{i+1}} y_{i+1} \\
& \quad+k_{i, i-1} M_{i-1}(y)+k_{i, i} M_{i}(y)+k_{i, i+1} M_{i+1}(y)=0, \quad i=1(1) N-1 . \tag{*}
\end{align*}
$$

The first method consists in replacing $M_{i}(y)$ by $f\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$ and solving (*) to obtain the values $y_{i}$; this method is a generalization of the idea of Bickley [2] for the case of (weakly) singular two-point boundary-value problems and provides order $h^{2}$ uniformly convergent approximations over [ 0,1 ]. As a modification of the above method, in the second method we generate the solution $\bar{y}_{i}$ at the nodal points by adapting the fourth-order method of Chawla [3] and then use the conditions of continuity (*) to obtain the corresponding smoothed approximations for $M_{i}(y)$ needed for the construction of the spline solution. We show that the resulting new spline method provides order $h^{4}$ uniformly convergent approximations over [ 0,1$]$. The second-order and the fourth-order methods are illustrated computationally.
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## 1. Introduction

We consider the class of (weakly) singular two-point boundary value problems:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathscr{D} y \equiv x^{-\alpha}\left(x^{\alpha} y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=f(x, y), \quad 0<x<1, \quad \alpha \in(0,1) \\
& y(0)=A, \quad y(1)=B, \quad A, B \text { constants. } \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

We assume that, for $(x, y) \in\{[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}\}$;
(A) $f(x, y)$ is continuous, $\partial f / \partial y$ exists and is continuous and $\partial f / \partial y \geqslant 0$.

Such problems arise in the study of generalized axially symmetric potentials after separation of variables has been employed $[8,11]$. The discrete variable numerical solution of the singular two-point boundary-value problems (1) by finite differences has been considered by many authors; see, for example, [11], [8], [4], [5], and the references given in these papers. The finite difference methods presented so far had order at most two. Recently, Chawla [3] described a fourth-order discrete variable finite difference method for the problem (1) for the case $f(x, y)$ replaced by $x^{-\alpha} f(x, y)$.

The use of cubic spline for the solution of (regular) linear two-point boundary-value problems was suggested by Bickley [2]. Later, Fyfe [10] discussed the application of deferred corrections to the method suggested by Bickley by considering again the case of (regular) linear boundary-value problems. In comparison with finite difference methods, spline solution has its own advantages. For example, once the solution has been computed, the information required for spline interpolation between mesh points is available. This is particularly significant when the solution of the boundary-value problem is required at various locations in the interval [0, 1]. An important instance also is the use of an automatic plotter that frequently requires interpolation at great many intermediate points. However, it is well known since then that the cubic spline method of Bickley gives only order $h^{2}$ convergent approximations. But cubic spline itself is a fourth-order process. Recently, for (regular) nonlinear two-point boundary-value problems Chawla and Subramanian [6], [7] have described methods based on cubic splines which provide order $h^{4}$ uniformly convergent approximations.

In the present paper we describe two 'spline' approximation methods suited to the two-point boundary-value problem (1). Consider the uniform mesh $x_{i}=i h, h=1 / N, i=0(1) N$. Associated with the differential operator $\mathscr{D}$ in (1), we define the following functionals:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{i}(y)=y\left(x_{i}\right), \quad Z_{i}(y)=\left.\left(x^{\alpha} y^{\prime}\right)\right|_{x=x_{i}} \\
& M_{i}(y)=\left.x^{-\alpha}\left(x^{\alpha} y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right|_{x=x_{i}}, \quad M_{i}^{\prime}(y)=\left.\left(x^{-\alpha}\left(x^{\alpha} y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right|_{x=x_{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Writing the differential equation in (1) as $\left(x^{\alpha} y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=x^{\alpha} f(x, y)$, integrating from $x_{i}$ to $x$, dividing by $x^{\alpha}$, then integrating from $x_{i}$ to $x$ and interchanging the order of integration, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(x)=\phi_{0, i}(x) L_{i}(y)+\phi_{1, i}(x) Z_{i}(y)+\int_{x_{i}}^{x} \frac{t^{\alpha}\left(x^{1-\alpha}-t^{1-\alpha}\right)}{(1-\alpha)} f(t) \mathrm{d} t \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(t)=f(t, y(t))$ and

$$
\phi_{0, i}(x)=1, \quad \phi_{1, i}(x)=\left(x^{1-\alpha}-x_{i}^{1-\alpha}\right) /(1-\alpha)
$$

Again, in (2) using the Taylor expansion for $f(t)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)=f\left(x_{i}\right)+\left(t-x_{i}\right) f^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)+\int_{x_{i}}^{t}(t-u) f^{\prime \prime}(u) \mathrm{d} u \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain the following representation for the solution of the two-point boundary value problem (1):

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(x)=\phi_{0, i}(x) L_{i}(y)+\phi_{1, i}(x) Z_{i}(y)+\phi_{2, i}(x) M_{i}(y)+\phi_{3, i}(x) M_{i}^{\prime}(y)+R_{i}(y ; x), \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{2, i}(x)=\frac{x^{2}-x_{i}^{2}}{2(1+\alpha)}-\frac{x_{i}^{\alpha+1}}{\alpha+1} \phi_{1, i}(x), \\
& \phi_{3, i}(x)=\frac{x^{2}\left(x-x_{i}\right)}{2(1+\alpha)}-\frac{\left(x^{3}-x_{i}^{3}\right)(4+\alpha)}{6(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)}+\frac{x_{i}^{\alpha+2}}{(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)} \phi_{1, i}(x),
\end{aligned}
$$

and the remainder $R_{i}(y ; x)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i}(y ; x)=\int_{x_{i}}^{x} G(x ; u) f^{\prime \prime}(u) \mathrm{d} u \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
G(x ; u)=\frac{x^{2}(x-u)}{2(1+\alpha)}-\frac{\left(x^{3}-u^{3}\right)(4+\alpha)}{6(1+\alpha)(2+\alpha)}+\frac{u^{\alpha+2}}{(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)} \frac{x^{1-\alpha}-u^{1-\alpha}}{1-\alpha} .
$$

The representation (4) for the solution of the two-point boundary-value problem (1) in terms of the linear functionals $L_{i}(y), Z_{i}(y), M_{i}(y), M_{i}^{\prime}(y)$ is fundamental for the construction of our spline approximation for the solution.

Let $\mathscr{L}\left\{\phi_{0, i}, \phi_{1, i}, \phi_{2, i}, \phi_{3, i}\right\}$ denote the linear span of $\phi_{0, i}, \phi_{1, i}, \phi_{2, i}, \phi_{3, i}$. Note that $\phi_{0, i}, \phi_{1, i}$, $\phi_{2, i}, \phi_{3, i}$ are biorthonormal with respect to the linear functionals $L_{i}(y), Z_{i}(y), M_{i}(y)$ and $M_{i}^{\prime}(y)$; that is, for $j=0(1) 3$,

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
L_{i}\left(\phi_{j, i}\right)=\delta_{0, j}, & Z_{i}\left(\phi_{j, i}\right)=\delta_{1, j} \\
M_{i}\left(\phi_{j, i}\right)=\delta_{2, j}, & M_{i}^{\prime}\left(\phi_{j, i}\right)=\delta_{3, j}
\end{array}
$$

( $\delta_{j, i}=1$, if $j=i$ and 0 otherwise). This implies that (4) represents an interpolation formula for the solution $y(x)$ of the two-point boundary-value problem (1) form $\mathscr{L}\left\{\phi_{0, i}, \phi_{1, i}, \phi_{2, i}, \phi_{3, i}\right\}$ in terms of the linear functionals $L_{i}(y), Z_{i}(y), M_{i}(y)$ and $M_{i}^{\prime}(y)$, together with a remainder. Note also that for any $\phi \in \mathscr{L}\left\{\phi_{0, i}, \phi_{1, i}, \phi_{2, i}, \phi_{3, i}\right\}$, we have $\left(x^{-\alpha}\left(x^{\alpha} \phi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}=0$.

For each $i=1(1) N$, on the subinterval $\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right]$ we construct a spline approximation $s_{i}(x)$ to the solution $y(x)$ of the singular two-point boundary value problem (1) as follows:
(i) $s_{i}(x) \in \mathscr{L}\left\{\phi_{0, i}, \phi_{1, i}, \phi_{2, i}, \phi_{3, i}\right\}$,
(ii) $s_{i}(x)$ satisfies the interpolation conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{i}\left(s_{i}\right)=L_{i}(y), \quad L_{i-1}\left(s_{i}\right)=L_{i-1}(y) \\
& M_{i}\left(s_{i}\right)=M_{i}(y), \quad M_{i-1}\left(s_{i}\right)=M_{i-1}(y) \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

The global spline approximation $s(x)$ for the solution $y(x)$ of the two-point boundary-value problem (1) may now be defined as follows:
(i) $s, x^{\alpha} s^{\prime}$ and $x^{-\alpha}\left(x^{\alpha} s^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \in C[0,1]$,
(ii) for $i=1(1) N$, on each subinterval $\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right], s(x)$ coincides with $s_{i}(x)$.

Note that by construction $s$ and $x^{-\alpha}\left(x^{\alpha} s^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ are continuous at the nodes and, hence, $s$ and $x^{-\alpha}\left(x^{\alpha} s^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \in C[0,1]$. Now, in order that $x^{\alpha} s^{\prime} \in C[0,1], x^{\alpha} s^{\prime}$ must be continuous at the nodes and, hence, $s$ must satisfy the following 'continuity conditions':

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{i}\left(s_{i}\right)=Z_{i}\left(s_{i}, 1\right), \quad i=1(1) N-1 . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Section 2 we show that these conditions of continuity imply that the unknown parameters $y_{i}$ and $M_{i}(y), i=1(1) N-1$, must satisfy the conditions of equation (13).

This paper describes two methods for the construction of spline solution of (weakly) singular two-point boundary-value problems (1). The first method described in Section 2 consists in replacing $M_{i}(y)$ by $f\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$ and solving the 'conditions of continuity' given by equation (13) to obtain the valucs $y_{i}$; this method is a generalization of the idea of Bickley [2] for the case of (weakly) singular two-point boundary value problems (1). In Section 3 we show, under appropriate conditions (see Theorem 3.1), that this method provides order $h^{2}$ uniformly convergent approximations for the solution.

Note that for $\alpha=0$, the above method reduces to the well known procedure of the cubic spline solution of (regular) two-point boundary-value problems $y^{\prime \prime}=f(x, y), y(0)=A, y(1)=B$. However, as pointed out above, cubic spline interpolation is a fourth-order process (see (27)) and a suitable modification of the original idea of Bickley should produce order $h^{4}$ cubic spline approximations. This has been successfully demonstrated by Chawla and Subramanian [6,7] for the case of regular two-point boundary-value problems.

Accordingly, as a modification of the method described in Section 2, in the second method described in Section 4 we generate the solution $\bar{y}_{i}$ at the nodal points by adapting the fourth order method of Chawla [3]. These values of the solution are then used in the conditions of continuity given by equation (13) to obtain the corresponding smoothed approximations for $M_{i}(y)$ needed for the construction of the spline solution. We show, under appropriate conditions (see Theorem 4.1), that the resulting new spline method provides order $h^{4}$ uniformly convergent approximations over $[0,1]$. Both the spline methods are illustrated computationally in Section 5.

## 2. The first spline method: generalization of Bickley's idea

In this section we describe the construction of the spline solution as defined above. For this purpose, we first consider the construction of $s_{i}(x)$ over $\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right]$ for $i=1(1) N$.

For each $i=1(1) N, x \in\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right]$, we may represent $s_{i}(x)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{i}(x)=\psi_{0, i}(x) L_{i}(y)+\psi_{1, i}(x) L_{i-1}(y)+\psi_{2, i}(x) M_{i}(y)+\psi_{3, i}(x) M_{i-1}(y), \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi_{0, i}(x), \psi_{1, i}(x), \psi_{2, i}(x), \psi_{3, i}(x) \in \mathscr{L}\left\{\phi_{0, i}, \phi_{1, i}, \phi_{2, i}, \phi_{3, i}\right\}$.
In order that this $s_{i}(x)$ satisfies the interpolation conditions (6) it is clear that the functions $\psi_{0, i}(x), \psi_{1, i}(x), \psi_{2, i}(x)$, and $\psi_{3, i}(x)$ must be biorthonormal with respect to the linear functionals $L_{i}, L_{i-1}, M_{i}$ and $M_{i-1}$. Noting that

$$
M_{i-1}\left(\phi_{j, i}\right)=0, \quad j=0,1, \quad M_{i-1}\left(\phi_{2, i}\right)=1, \quad M_{i-1}\left(\phi_{3, i}\right)=-h,
$$

and following the arguments given in Davis [9, pp. 35-36], we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi_{0, i}(x)=\frac{1}{L_{i-1}\left(\phi_{1, i}\right)}\left(L_{i-1}\left(\phi_{1, i}\right)-\phi_{1, i}(x)\right), \\
& \psi_{1, i}(x)=\frac{\phi_{1, i}(x)}{L_{i-1}\left(\phi_{1, i}\right)},  \tag{9}\\
& \psi_{2, i}(x)=\frac{1}{h L_{i-1}\left(\phi_{1, i}\right)}\left[-\phi_{1, i}(x)\left(L_{i-1}\left(\phi_{3, i}\right)+h L_{i-1}\left(\phi_{2, i}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+L_{i-1}\left(\phi_{1, i}\right)\left(h \phi_{2, i}(x)+\phi_{3, i}(x)\right)\right] \\
& \psi_{3, i}(x)=\frac{1}{h L_{i-1}\left(\phi_{1, i}\right)}\left[-\phi_{3, i}(x) L_{i-1}\left(\phi_{1, i}\right)+\phi_{1, i}(x) L_{i-1}\left(\phi_{3, i}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

While on each subinterval $\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right], i=1(1) N, s(x)$ coincides with $s_{i}(x)$, now in order to ensure that $x^{\alpha} s^{\prime} \in C[0,1]$, the continuity conditions (7) must be satisfied. With the formula for $s_{i}(x)$, and the corresponding formula for $s_{i+1}(x)$, given by (8) the continuity conditions (7) become

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{i}\left(\psi_{1, i}\right) L_{i-1}(y)+\left(Z_{i}\left(\psi_{0, i}\right)-Z_{i}\left(\psi_{1, i+1}\right)\right) L_{i}(y)-Z_{i}\left(\psi_{0, i+1}\right) L_{i+1}(y) \\
& =-Z_{i}\left(\psi_{3, i}\right) M_{i-1}(y)+\left(Z_{i}\left(\psi_{3, i+1}\right)-Z_{i}\left(\psi_{2, i}\right)\right) M_{i}(y) \\
& \quad+Z_{i}\left(\psi_{2, i+1}\right) M_{i+1}(y), \quad i=1(1) N-1 . \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

These conditions ensure the continuity of $x^{\alpha} s^{\prime}$ at the nodes.
In the following it will be convenient to set

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{i}=\left(x_{i}^{1-\alpha}-x_{i-1}^{1-\alpha}\right) /(1-\alpha), \quad i=1(1) N . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $Z_{i}\left(\phi_{1, i}\right)=1$ and $Z_{i}\left(\phi_{1, i+1}\right)=1$, with the help of (9) it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{i}\left(\psi_{0, i}\right)=1 / J_{i}, \quad Z_{i}\left(\psi_{1, i}\right)=-1 / J_{i}, \\
& Z_{i}\left(\psi_{0, i+1}\right)=1 / J_{i+1}, \quad Z_{i}\left(\psi_{1, i+1}\right)=-1 / J_{i+1} . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, with the help of (12), the conditions of continuity (10) can be written as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{1}{J_{i}} L_{i-1}(y)+\left(\frac{1}{J_{i}}+\frac{1}{J_{i+1}}\right) L_{i}(y)-\frac{1}{J_{i+1}} L_{i+1}(y) \\
& \quad+k_{i, i-1} M_{i-1}(y)+k_{i, i} M_{i}(y)+k_{i, i+1} M_{i+1}(y)=0, \quad i=1(1) N-1 \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have set

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{i, i-1}=Z_{i}\left(\psi_{3, i}\right), \quad k_{i, i}=Z_{i}\left(\psi_{2, i}\right)-Z_{i}\left(\psi_{3, t+1}\right), \quad k_{i, i+1}=-Z_{i}\left(\psi_{2, i+1}\right) . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

It may be interesting to note here that for the case $\alpha=0, J_{i}=h, k_{i, i \pm 1}=\frac{1}{6} h, k_{i, i}=\frac{4}{6} h$ and the conditions (13) reduce to those for the usual cubic spline solution ensuring the continuity of $y^{\prime}$ across the interior nodes for the two-point boundary-value problem $y^{\prime \prime}=f(x, y), y(0)=A$, $y(1)=R$; see Ahlberg et al. [1].

From the boundary conditions for the problem (1) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{0}(y)=f(0, A), \quad M_{N}(y)=f(1, B), \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

while from the differential equation we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{i}(y)=f\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right), \quad i=1(1) N-1 . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

As pointed out in the Introduction in Section 1, Bickley's [2] main idea was to use the conditions of continuity as discretization equations for the solution of the two-point boundary-value problem. Now, following Bickley for the solution of the two-point boundary-value problem (1), we substitute (16) and (15) in equation (13) which results in the following system giving approximate values $\tilde{y}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{y}_{N-1}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{1}{J_{i}} \tilde{y}_{i-1}+\left(\frac{1}{J_{i}}+\frac{1}{J_{i+1}}\right) \tilde{y}_{i}-\frac{1}{J_{i+1}} \tilde{y}_{i+1}+k_{i, i-1} \tilde{f_{i-1}} \\
& \quad+k_{i, i} \tilde{f_{i}}+k_{i, i+1} \tilde{f_{i+1}}=0, \quad i=1(1) N-1, \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tilde{y}_{0}=y_{0}=A, \tilde{y}_{N}=y_{N}=B$, and we have set $\tilde{f_{i}}=f\left(x_{i}, \tilde{y}_{i}\right), i=0(1) N$. Once the $\tilde{y}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{y}_{N-1}$ have been computed from (17), the corresponding approximate $\tilde{M}_{i}(y)$ are found from (16). With these values of $\tilde{y}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{y}_{N-1}$ and $\tilde{M}_{1}(y), \ldots, \tilde{M}_{N-1}(y)$, the corresponding spline solution of the two-point boundary-value problem (1) is given by $\tilde{s}_{i}(x)$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{s}_{i}(x)=\psi_{0, i}(x) \tilde{y}_{i}+\psi_{1, i}(x) \tilde{y}_{i-1}+\psi_{2, i}(x) \tilde{M}_{i}(y)+\psi_{3, i}(x) \tilde{M}_{i-1}(y), \\
& x_{i-1} \leqslant x \leqslant x_{i}, \quad i=1(1) N . \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

The approximate spline solution thus obtained over [0, 1] will be denoted by $\tilde{s}(x)$.

## 3. Error analysis of the first spline method

In this section we show that the spline method for the solution of the singular two-point boundary-value problem (1) discussed in Section 2 gives order $h^{2}$ uniformly convergent approximations over [0, 1].

For the spline approximation $\tilde{s}(x)$ to the solution $y(x)$ of the boundary-value problem (1), let the error be denoted by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{e}(x)=y(x)-\tilde{s}(x), \quad 0 \leqslant x \leqslant 1 . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that we may write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{e}(x)=e_{\mathrm{I}}(x)+e_{\mathrm{D}}(x) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{\mathrm{I}}(x)=y(x)-s(x) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the error due to spline interpolation, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{\mathrm{D}}(x)=s(x)-\tilde{s}(x) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the crror due to the use of the 'conditions of continuity' (13) as discretization equations (17). In the following we estimate these two errors separately.

We first estimate the error $e_{\mathrm{I}}(x)$. For this purpose calculating $L_{i-1}(y)$ and $M_{i-1}(y)$ from the representation for $y(x)$ in equation (4) and substituting these in equation (8) and simplifying, from (21) we finally obtain for $x_{i-1} \leqslant x \leqslant x_{i}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{\mathrm{I}}(x)=\int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i-1}} G^{*}(x ; u) f^{\prime \prime}(u) \mathrm{d} u \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
G^{*}(x ; u)= \begin{cases}G(x ; u)-\psi_{1, i}(x) G\left(x_{i-1} ; u\right)-\psi_{3, i}(x)\left(x_{i}-u\right), & x \leqslant u \leqslant x_{i}  \tag{24}\\ -\psi_{1, i}(x) G\left(x_{i-1} ; u\right)-\psi_{3, i}(x)\left(x_{i-1}-u\right), & x_{i-1} \leqslant u \leqslant x\end{cases}
$$

It can be shown that $G^{*}(x ; u)$ is nonnegative for $x_{i-1} \leqslant u \leqslant x_{i}$, and therefore with the mean-value theorem, we obtain the following bound for $e_{\mathrm{I}}(x)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|e_{\mathrm{I}}(x)\right| \leqslant 3 h^{4}\left|f^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{x}\right)\right|, \quad x_{i-1} \leqslant x, \quad \xi_{x} \leqslant x_{i} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{\prime \prime}\right| \leqslant N_{2}, \quad 0 \leqslant x \leqslant 1, \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a suitable positive constant $N_{2}$. Then from (25) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e_{1}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant 3 h^{4} N_{2} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, for the error $e_{\mathrm{D}}(x)$, we first note that we may write

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)-f\left(x_{i}, \tilde{y}_{i}\right)=u_{i}\left(y_{i}-\tilde{y}_{i}\right), \quad i=1(1) N-1, \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $u_{i}$. (Note that $u_{i} \geqslant 0$ ). Now, from (8) and the definition of $\tilde{s}(x)$ following (18), and making use of (26) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
e_{\mathrm{D}}(x) & =\left(\psi_{0, i}(x)+u_{i} \psi_{2, i}(x)\right)\left(y_{i}-\tilde{y}_{i}\right)+\left(\psi_{1, i}(x)+u_{i-1} \psi_{3, i}(x)\right)\left(y_{i-1}-\tilde{y}_{i-1}\right), \\
x_{i-1} & \leqslant x \leqslant x_{i} . \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $Y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{N-1}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\tilde{Y}=\left(\tilde{y}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{y}_{N-1}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$. (Here, and in the following, for a vector $V=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{N-1}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$, we shall denote $\left.\|V\|_{\infty}=\max _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant N-1}\left|v_{i}\right|\right)$. Since for $x_{i-1} \leqslant x \leqslant x_{i}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\psi_{0, i}(x)\right| \leqslant 1, \quad\left|\psi_{1, i}(x)\right| \leqslant 1 \\
& \left|\psi_{2, i}(x)\right| \leqslant 6 \alpha h^{2}, \quad\left|\psi_{3, i}(x)\right| \leqslant 3 \alpha h^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

and using the fact that $\tilde{y}_{0}=y_{0}, \tilde{y}_{N}=y_{N}$, from (29) we obtain for $N \geqslant 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e_{\mathrm{D}}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant(2+3 \alpha u)\|Y-\tilde{Y}\|_{\infty} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have set

$$
u=\sup _{0 \leqslant x \leqslant 1} \partial f / \partial y
$$

We next estimate $\|Y-\tilde{Y}\|_{\infty}$. For this purpose, we first write the system (17) in matrix form. Let $D=\left(d_{i j}\right)$ denote the symmetric tridiagonal matrix with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{i i}=1 / J_{i}+1 / J_{i+1}, \quad i=1(1) N-1, \\
& d_{i i+1}=-1 / J_{i+1}, \quad i=1(1) N-2, \quad d_{i i-1}=-1 / J_{i}, \quad i=2(1) N-1,
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $K=\left(k_{i, j}\right)$ denote the tridiagonal matrix with $k_{i, i}, k_{i, i \pm 1}$ as defined in (14), $F(\tilde{Y})=$ $\left(\tilde{f_{1}}, \ldots, \tilde{f}_{N-1}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$ and let $C$ denote the vector $\left(c_{1}, 0, \ldots, 0, c_{N-1}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$, where

$$
c_{1}=\tilde{y}_{0} / J_{1}-k_{1,0} \tilde{f_{0}}, \quad c_{N-1}=\tilde{y}_{N} / J_{N}-k_{N-1, N} \tilde{f_{N}}
$$

Then the system (17) can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D \tilde{Y}+K F(\tilde{Y})=C \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let the discretization for the exact solution corresponding to (31) be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D Y+K F(Y)+\tilde{T}(h)=C . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\tilde{T}(h)=\left(\tilde{t}_{1}(h), \ldots, \tilde{t}_{N-1}(h)\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$. By definition,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{t}_{i}(h)=\frac{1}{J_{i}} y_{i-1}-\left(\frac{1}{J_{i}}+\frac{1}{J_{i+1}}\right) y_{i}+\frac{1}{J_{i+1}} y_{i+1}-k_{i, i-1} f_{i-1}-k_{i, i} f_{i}-k_{i, i+1} f_{i+1}, \\
& \quad i=1(1) N-1 . \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, substituting in (33) for $y_{i-1}, y_{i+1}$ and $M_{i-1}, M_{i+1}$ as obtained from the representation (4) for $y(x)$, and simplifying we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{t}_{i}(h)= & \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i-1}}\left(\frac{G\left(x_{i-1} ; u\right)}{J_{i}}+k_{i, i-1}\left(u-x_{i-1}\right)\right) f^{\prime \prime}(u) \mathrm{d} u \\
& +\int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}}\left(\frac{G\left(x_{i+1} ; u\right)}{J_{i+1}}+k_{i, i+1}\left(x_{i+1}-u\right)\right) f^{\prime \prime}(u) \mathrm{d} u . \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the part of the integrands multiplying $f^{\prime \prime}(u)$ in the above integrals are nonnegative in their respective ranges of integration, by the mean-value theorem and condition (26) we obtain the following bound:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\tilde{t}_{i}(h)\right| \leqslant c h^{3} x_{i}^{\alpha} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
c=\frac{1}{6}(3-2 \alpha) N_{2} .
$$

Since $K \geqslant 0$, following arguments as given in Chawla and Katti [5, pp. 562-563], from (31), (32) and (35) it follows that there exists a constant $c^{*}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|Y-\tilde{Y}\|_{\infty} \leqslant c^{*} h^{2} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (36) in (30) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e_{\mathrm{D}}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant(2+3 \alpha u) c^{*} h^{2} . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, with the help of (27) and (37) from (20) we obtain the following result.
Theorem 3.1 Assume that $f$ satisfies (A); further, let $f^{\prime}, f^{\prime \prime} \in C[0,1]$. Then, the method described above provides order $h^{2}$ uniformly convergent spline approximations $\tilde{s}(x)$ for the solution $y(x)$ of the two-point boundary-value problem (1); that is, for sufficiently small $h$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\tilde{e}\|_{\infty} \leqslant c^{* *} h^{2} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
c^{* *}=(2+3 \alpha u) c^{*}+3 N_{2}
$$

The second-order convergence of the above spline method is illustrated in Section 5.

## 4. A fourth-order spline method

We next present a modification of the method discussed in Section 2 which provides fourth-order uniformly convergent spline approximations for the solution of the two-point boundary-value problem (1). The method is described as follows.

Step 1
We compute the approximate solution at the nodal points $\bar{y}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{y}_{N-1}$ by the method of Chawla [3] adapted for the two-point boundary-value problem (1) as described in the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{1}{J_{k}} y_{k-1}+\left(\frac{1}{J_{k}}+\frac{1}{J_{k+1}}\right) y_{k}-\frac{1}{J_{k+1}} y_{k+1}+\frac{1}{2 h}\left(-B_{1, k}+\frac{1}{h} B_{2, k}\right) f_{k-1} \\
& \quad+\left(B_{0, k}-\frac{1}{h^{2}} B_{2, k}\right) f_{k}+\frac{1}{2 h}\left(B_{1, k}+\frac{1}{h} B_{2, k}\right) f_{k+1}=0, \quad k=1(1) N-1, \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
B_{i, k}=A_{i, k}^{+} / J_{k+1}+A_{i, k}^{-} / J_{k}, \quad i=0,1,2,
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{i, k}^{ \pm}=\frac{1}{1-\alpha} & {\left[\sum_{j=0}^{i}(-1)^{j}\binom{i}{j} \mu_{j+2} x_{k \pm 1}^{j+2}( \pm h)^{i-j}\right.} \\
& \left.+(-1)^{i+1} x_{k}^{\alpha+i+1}\left(\frac{x_{k \pm 1}^{1-\alpha}}{(\alpha+1) \cdots(\alpha+i+1)}-\frac{x_{k}^{1-\alpha}}{(i+2)!}\right)\right], \quad i=0,1,2,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\mu_{j}=\frac{1}{(\alpha+1) \cdots(\alpha+j)}-\frac{1}{(j+1)!} .
$$

Step 2
With $\bar{y}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{y}_{N-1}$ we compute $M_{1}^{*}(y), \ldots, M_{N-1}^{*}(y)$ using the 'conditions of continuity' $(13)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
- & \frac{1}{J_{i}} \bar{y}_{i-1}+\left(\frac{1}{J_{i}}+\frac{1}{J_{i+1}}\right) \bar{y}_{i}-\frac{1}{J_{i+1}} \bar{y}_{i+1} \\
& +k_{i, i-1} M_{i-1}^{*}(y)+k_{i, i} M_{i}^{*}(y)+k_{i, i+1} M_{i+1}^{*}(y)=0, \quad i=1(1) N-1 \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
M_{0}^{*}(y)=M_{0}(y), \quad M_{N}^{*}(y)=M_{N}(y)
$$

Step 3
With $\bar{y}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{y}_{N-1}$ and $M_{1}^{*}, \ldots, M_{N-1}^{*}$, from (8) we construct the spline approximation for the solution of the nonlinear two-point boundary-value problem (1):

$$
\begin{align*}
& s_{i}^{*}(x)=\psi_{0, i}(x) \bar{y}_{i}+\psi_{1, i}(x) \bar{y}_{i-1}+\psi_{2, i}(x) M_{i}^{*}(y)+\psi_{3, i}(x) M_{i-1}^{*}(y), \\
& x_{i-1} \leqslant x \leqslant x_{i} . \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

The approximate spline solution thus obtained over $[0,1]$ will be denoted by $s^{*}(x)$. Note that $s^{*}$ and $x^{-\alpha}\left(x^{\alpha} s^{* \prime}\right)^{\prime}$ are continuous at the nodes by construction, and (40) ensures continuity of $x^{\alpha} s^{* \prime}$ at the nodes. It follows that $s^{*}, x^{\alpha} s^{* \prime}$ and $x^{-\alpha}\left(x^{\alpha} s^{* \prime}\right)^{\prime} \in C[0,1]$.

We next show that our method described above provides fourth-order approximations for the solution of the nonlinear two-point boundary-value problem (1). Let $e^{*}(x)$ denote the error

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{*}(x)=y(x)-s^{*}(x), \quad x \in[0,1] \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{M}_{i}(y)=f\left(x_{i}, \bar{y}_{i}\right), \quad i=0(1) N \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\bar{M}_{0}(y)=M_{0}(\underline{y}), \bar{M}_{N}(y)=M_{N}(y)$. Let $\bar{s}_{i}(x)$ denote the spline constructed using the values $\bar{y}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{y}_{N-1}$ and $\bar{M}_{1}(y), \ldots, \bar{M}_{N-1}(y)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{s}_{i}(x)=\psi_{0, i}(x) \bar{y}_{i}+\psi_{1, i}(x) \bar{y}_{i-1}+\psi_{2, i}(x) \bar{M}_{i}(y)+\psi_{3, i}(x) \bar{M}_{i-1}(y), \quad x_{i-1} \leqslant x \leqslant x_{i} . \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

The approximate spline thus constructed over $[0,1]$ will be denoted by $\bar{s}(x)$.
Now, we may write:

$$
\begin{align*}
e^{*}(x) & =(y(x)-s(x))+(s(x)-\bar{s}(x))+\left(\bar{s}(x)-s^{*}(x)\right) \\
& =e_{\mathrm{I}}(x)+\bar{e}_{\mathrm{D}}(x)+e_{\mathrm{S}}(x) \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

where $e_{\mathrm{I}}(x)$ is the error due to spline interpolation given by (21), $\bar{e}_{\mathrm{D}}(x)$ is the error due to the discretization of the differential equation and $e_{\mathrm{S}}(x)$ is the error due to the smoothing of $M_{i}(y)$ needed for the construction of the solution. In the following we shall estimate these errors separately. For fixed $\alpha \in(0,1)$, let $\beta$ be chosen such that $\alpha+\beta<1$. In this section we shall assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\alpha+\beta}\left|f^{\prime \prime}\right| \leqslant N_{3}, \quad x^{\alpha+\beta+1}\left|f^{(4)}\right| \leqslant N_{4}, \quad 0<x \leqslant 1, \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

for suitable positive constants $N_{3}$ and $N_{4}$.
To estimate the error $\bar{e}_{\mathrm{D}}(x)$, we note that $\bar{e}_{\mathrm{D}}(x)$ is the same as the error $\tilde{e}_{\mathrm{D}}(x)$ defined in (22) with $\tilde{s}(x)$ replaced by $\bar{s}(x)$. Following arguments precisely similar to those following equation (22), as in (30) we can show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\bar{e}_{D}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant(2+3 \alpha u)\|Y-\bar{Y}\|_{\infty} . \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, following arguments similar to those given in Chawla [3, eqn. (27)] it can be shown for the finite-difference method described in Step 1 that there exists a constant $\bar{c}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|Y-\bar{Y}\|_{\infty} \leqslant \bar{c} h^{4} . \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (47) and (48) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\bar{e}_{D}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant(2+3 \alpha u) \bar{c} h^{4} . \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

We next estimate the error $e_{\mathrm{S}}(x)$. From (41) and (42) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
e_{\mathrm{S}}(x) & =\psi_{2, i}(x)\left(\bar{M}_{i}(y)-M_{i}^{*}(y)\right)+\psi_{3, i}(x)\left(\bar{M}_{i-1}(y)-M_{i-1}^{*}(y)\right) \\
& x_{i-1} \tag{50}
\end{align*} \leqslant x \leqslant x_{i} .
$$

We may write the conditions of continuity (40) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& k_{i, i-1}\left(\bar{M}_{i-1}(y)-M_{i-1}^{*}(y)\right)+k_{i, i}\left(\bar{M}_{i}(y)-M_{i}^{*}(y)\right)+k_{i, i+1}\left(\bar{M}_{i+1}(y)-M_{i+1}^{*}(y)\right) \\
& =-\frac{1}{J_{i}} \bar{y}_{i-1}+\left(\frac{1}{J_{i}}+\frac{1}{J_{i+1}}\right) \bar{y}_{i}-\frac{1}{J_{i+1}} \bar{y}_{i+1}+k_{i, i-1} \bar{M}_{i-1}(y) \\
& \quad+k_{i, i} \bar{M}_{i}(y)+k_{i, i+1} \bar{M}_{i+1}(y) \\
& \quad i=1(1) N-1 . \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\bar{M}=\left(\bar{M}_{1}(y), \ldots, \bar{M}_{N-1}(y)\right)^{\mathrm{T}}, \quad M^{*}=\left(M_{1}^{*}(y), \ldots, M_{N-1}^{*}(y)\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \quad$ and $\quad M=\left(M_{1}(y), \ldots\right.$, $\left.M_{N-1}(y)\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$.

Since $\bar{M}_{0}=M_{0}, \bar{M}_{N}=M_{N}, \bar{y}_{0}=y_{0}, \bar{y}_{N}=y_{N}$, and with the matrices $D$ and $K$ and the vector $C$ as defined in (31) we may write the system (51) as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K\left(\bar{M}-M^{*}\right)=D \bar{Y}+K \bar{M}-C . \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, with the help of (32) we may write (52) as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K\left(\bar{M}-M^{*}\right)=-\tilde{T}(h)+D(\bar{Y}-Y)+K(\bar{M}-M) \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{M}-M=\bar{U}(\bar{Y}-Y), \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\bar{U}=\operatorname{diag}\left\{\bar{u}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{u}_{N-1}\right\}$ and then (53) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
K\left(\bar{M}-M^{*}\right) & =-\tilde{T}(h)+(D+K \bar{U})(\bar{Y}-Y), \\
& =T^{*}(h), \quad \text { say } \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

We define $R=\operatorname{diag}\left\{x_{1}^{\alpha}, \ldots, x_{N-1}^{\alpha}\right\}$ and set $S=R^{-1} K$. Since for sufficiently small $h, K$ is irreducible and monotone, therefore $S^{-1}$ exists and (55) can be written in the equivalent form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{M}-M^{*}=S^{-1}\left(R^{-1} T^{*}(h)\right) \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, from the definition of the matrix $D$, for fixed $x_{i}$ and $h \rightarrow 0$, we can show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{N-1}\left|d_{i j}\right| \leqslant \frac{4}{h} x_{i}^{\alpha}, \quad i=1(1) N-1 \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\|K\|_{\infty} \leqslant h$, therefore with the help of (35) and (57), and from the definition of $T^{*}(h)$ in (55) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|R^{-1} T^{*}(h)\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant c_{1} h^{3}, \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
c_{1}=c+\bar{c}\left(4+2^{\alpha-2} u\right)
$$

We next show that $\left\|S^{-1}\right\|_{\infty}=\mathrm{O}\left(h^{-1}\right)$. For this purpose, let $\boldsymbol{e}=(1, \ldots, 1)^{\mathrm{T}}$. Since $S^{-1}(S \boldsymbol{e})=\boldsymbol{e}$, therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} S_{i j}^{-1}(S e)_{j}=1 \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} S_{i j}^{-1} \leqslant\left(\min _{1 \leqslant j \leqslant N-1}(S e)_{j}\right)^{-1} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, it can be seen that for sufficiently small $h$,

$$
(S e)_{j} \geqslant x_{j}^{-\alpha} k_{j, j}, \quad j=1(1) N-1
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S^{-1}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant\left(\min _{1 \leqslant j \leqslant N-1}\left(k_{j, j} x_{j}^{-\alpha}\right)\right)^{-1} \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}(1 / h) x_{j}^{-\alpha} k_{j, j}=\frac{2}{3}$, it follows that for sufficiently small $h, x_{j}^{-\alpha} k_{j, j}>\frac{1}{3} h$, and hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S^{-1}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant 3 / h \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

With (58) and (62), from (56) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\bar{M}-M^{*}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant 3 c_{1} h^{2} . \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left(1 / h^{2}\right) \psi_{2, i}(x)=\frac{1}{8}, \quad \lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left(1 / h^{2}\right) \psi_{3, i}(x)=\frac{1}{24} \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

and with the help of (63), from (50) we obtain for sufficiently small $h$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e_{S}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant \frac{1}{2} c_{1} h^{4} . \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, with the help of (27), (49) and (65), from (45) we obtain the following. result.
Theorem 4.1. Assume that $f$ satisfies $(\mathrm{A})$ and let $f^{\prime}, f^{\prime \prime} \in C[0,1]$. Further, let $f^{\prime \prime \prime}$ and $f^{(4)}$ satisfy the conditions (46). Then the spline method described above in Steps 1-3 provides order $h^{4}$ uniformly convergent approximations $s^{*}(x)$ for the solution $y(x)$ of the two-point boundary-value problem (1); that is, for sufficiently small $h$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{*}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant d h^{4} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
d=3 N_{2}+\frac{1}{2} c_{1}+(2+3 \alpha u) \bar{c} .
$$

## 5. Numerical illustrations

In this section we illustrate the second- and the fourth-order of the spline methods described in Sections 2 and 4. For this purpose, we consider the following nonlinear singular two-point boundary-value problem:

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{-\alpha}\left(x^{\alpha} y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=x^{5+\alpha} \ln x, \quad 0<x<1 \\
& y(0)=1, \quad y(1)=1-\frac{13+3 \alpha}{(6+2 \alpha)^{2}(7+\alpha)^{2}} \quad 1-\alpha \tag{67}
\end{align*}
$$

with the exact solution

$$
y(x)=1+A \frac{x^{1-\alpha}}{1-\alpha}+\frac{x^{7+\alpha}}{(6+2 \alpha)(7+\alpha)} \ln x-\frac{(13+3 \alpha) x^{7+\alpha}}{(6+2 \alpha)^{2}(7+\alpha)^{2}} .
$$

We solved the problem (67) with $A=1$ and a few selections of $\alpha$ by the second-order spline method described by (18) and by the fourth-order spline method described by (41). For the second-order spline approximations $\tilde{s}(x)$ the corresponding errors $\tilde{e}(x)$ at the nodal points as well as at the mid-points are shown in Table 1 for a few values of $N$. The errors $e^{*}(x)$ in the fourth-order spline approximation $s^{*}(x)$ are shown in Table 2 for a few values of $N$. Table 1 confirms the second order uniform convergence of the method in Section 2 and Table 2 confirms

Table 1

| $N$ | $\\|Y-\tilde{Y}\\|_{\infty}$ | Order | $\max _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant N}\left\|\tilde{e}\left(x_{i-1 / 2}\right)\right\|$ | Order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ( $\alpha=0.25$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | $2.17(-4)$ |  | 4.43 (-4) |  |
| 64 | $5.85(-5)$ | 1.89 | $1.21(-4)$ | 1.87 |
| 128 | $1.48(-5)$ | 1.98 | $3.08(-5)$ | 1.97 |
| 256 | 3.71 (-6) | 2.00 | 7.70 (-6) | 2.00 |
| ( $\alpha=0.5$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | 4.32 ( - 4) |  | 7.67 (-4) |  |
| 64 | $1.21(-4)$ | 1.83 | 2.14 (-4) | 1.84 |
| 128 | $3.19(-5)$ | 1.92 | $5.62(-5)$ | 1.93 |
| 256 | $8.03(-6)$ | 1.99 | 1.42 (-5) | 1.98 |
| ( $\alpha=0.75$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| 64 | 3.74 (-4) |  | 6.48 (-4) |  |
| 128 | $9.67(-5)$ | 1.87 | 1.70 (-4) | 1.84 |
| 256 | 2.48 (-5) | 1.94 | 4.67 (-5) | 1.93 |
| 512 | $6.23(-6)$ | 1.99 | $1.17(-5)$ | 1.99 |
| ( $\alpha=0.9$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| 128 | $2.89(-4)$ |  | $3.72(-4)$ |  |
| 256 | $7.74(-5)$ | 1.85 | $9.74(-5)$ | 1.87 |
| 512 | $1.99(-5)$ | 1.95 | $2.50(-5)$ | 1.96 |
| 1024 | $4.98(-6)$ | 1.99 | $6.25(-6)$ | 2.00 |

Table 2

| $N$ | $\\|Y-\bar{Y}\\|_{\infty}$ | Order | $\max _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant N}\left\|e^{*}\left(x_{i-1 / 2}\right)\right\|$ | Order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ( $\alpha=0.25$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| 64 | 4.37 (-7) |  | 6.41 (-7) |  |
| 128 | $2.84(-8)$ | 3.94 | $4.31(-8)$ | 3.93 |
| 256 | $1.77(-9)$ | 3.99 | $2.60(-9)$ | 3.97 |
| 512 | $1.11(-10)$ | 4.00 | 1.63 (-10) | 3.99 |
| ( $\alpha=0.5$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| 64 | $5.07(-7)$ |  | $8.17(-7)$ |  |
| 128 | 3.47 (-8) | 3.87 | $5.67(-8)$ | 3.85 |
| 256 | $2.27(-9)$ | 3.92 | $3.82(-9)$ | 3.92 |
| 512 | $1.44(-10)$ | 3.99 | 2.47 (-10) | 3.97 |
| ( $\alpha=0.75$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| 128 | $9.84(-8)$ |  | $1.27(-7)$ |  |
| 256 | $6.82(-9)$ | 3.85 | $8.99(-9)$ | 3.82 |
| 512 | 4.47 (-10) | 3.93 | $5.98(-10)$ | 3.91 |
| 1024 | $2.85(-11)$ | 3.97 | $3.78(-11)$ | 3.98 |
| ( $\alpha=0.9$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| 128 | $2.57(-7)$ |  | $3.88(-7)$ |  |
| 256 | $1.79(-8)$ | 3.84 | $2.71(-8)$ | 3.84 |
| 512 | $1.19(-9)$ | 3.90 | $1.80(-9)$ | 3.91 |
| 1024 | $7.65(-11)$ | 3.96 | 1.15 ( 10) | 3.96 |

the fourth order uniform convergence of our modified method as described in Section 4.
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