

Prediction of arenavirus fusion peptides on the basis of computer analysis of envelope protein sequences

Svetlana E. Glushakova¹, Igor S. Lukashevich¹ and Ludmila A. Baratova²

¹Department of Special Pathogens, Byelorussian Research Institute of Epidemiology and Microbiology, Nogina st. 3, 220050 Minsk and ²A.N. Belozersky Research Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Moscow State University, Lenin Hills, 119899 Moscow, U.S.S.R.

Received 18 May 1990; revised version received 18 June 1990

Theoretical search and selection criteria for putative fusion peptides of enveloped viruses are proposed. Arenavirus fusion peptides are predicted on the basis of computer-assisted analysis of amino acid sequences of arenavirus envelope proteins and elements of their secondary and tertiary structure. Accordingly, two regions of GP2 surface protein from 5 viruses of Arenaviridae family have been detected with properties typical of fusion peptides of other enveloped viruses. One region, named peptide IV, located at the N-terminus of the GP2 protein, is followed by the other region or peptide V, more likely candidate for the arenavirus fusion peptide.

Arenavirus; Computer-assisted analysis of amino acid sequences; Secondary structure prediction; Fusion peptide

1. INTRODUCTION

The fusion of biological membranes, being a key process in the replication of enveloped viruses, has drawn attention from many investigators as the initial step of virus infections [1]. However, many molecular events of this fusion are yet poorly understood. Of special interest in this respect are the viral glycoprotein hydrophobic regions (named fusion peptides) which, owing to their properties, can interact with the cellular and probably with the viral membranes and cause their impairment [2,3]. Fusion peptides are highly conserved not only within one virus family but show homology with members of different virus families [4]. Since synthetic analogs of fusion peptides have been found to inhibit virus replication in vitro [5], this approach may be a useful way for virus infection chemotherapy if the virus is not neutralized by antibodies to virus antigens.

A computer-assisted analysis of amino acid sequences of precursor proteins of glycoproteins (GPC) from 5 Arenaviridae family viruses has been performed for the detection of the putative fusion peptide of these viruses, many of which are highly pathogenic for man.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The published sequences of GPC proteins of the following arenaviruses were used for computer analysis: Lassa virus, LCM virus (ARM and WE strains), as well as Pichinde and Tacaribe viruses [6–10]. The alignment of the deduced amino acid sequences

of GPC proteins was performed as described by Auperin and McCormick [11]. Computer programs from the package 'PC Gene' were used for analysis of the primary amino acid sequence and the secondary protein structure: NOVOTNY [12,13], SOAP [14,15], AASCALE [16], RAOARGOS [17], GARNIER [18]. Hydrophobic indexes were computed according to the SOAP program [14,15].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Criteria for selection of putative fusion peptides of enveloped viruses

A data analysis of amino acid sequences and functional activities of fusion peptides of enveloped viruses allowed to determine the main physicochemical and topological parameters of fusion peptides: (i) the length of about 20 amino acid residues; (ii) the hydrophobic index 0.5 and higher up by the scale of Kyte and Doolittle [15]; (iii) the high degree of conservation within the family; (iv) location predominantly at the 'extracytoplasmic' terminus of the envelope protein; (v) remoteness from potential glycosylation sites; (vi) the predominantly α -helical conformation; and (vii) location inside the protein globule or viral glycoprotein spikes.

3.2. Search for putative fusion peptides of arenaviruses

The amino acid sequences of GP1 and GP2 glycoproteins were analyzed. The distribution along the molecule of α -helical regions, β -sheets, turns, charged amino acid residues, potential glycosylation sites, as well as α -helical regions buried within the protein globule was characterized. Hydrophobic profiles of proteins were computed. Six long hydrophobic regions,

Correspondence address: S.E. Glushakova, Department of Special Pathogens, Byelorussian Research Institute of Epidemiology and Microbiology, Nogina st. 3, 220050 Minsk, USSR

Table II

Homology between hydrophobic peptides of arenavirus glycoproteins

Peptide no. (see Fig. 1)	Percentage of homologous residues		
	Among all arenaviruses	Among 'Old World' arena- viruses	Among 'New World' arena- viruses
I	5	65	—
II	13	25	42
III	6	25	31
IV	50	72	56
V	67	96	67
VI	33	39	44

According to the alignment of the deduced amino acid sequences of GPC proteins (Auperin and McCormick [11]) the percentages of amino acid similarity (identity and position) between GP1 and GP2 proteins of Lassa, LCM, Tacaribe, and Pichinde viruses are about 11% (GP1) and 36% (GP2)

viruses revealed two peptides, IV and V, in the GP2 glycoprotein (most conserved among arenavirus proteins [11]) which may be arenaviral fusion peptides. Although we do not rule out that peptide IV might be involved in the fusion process, peptide V seems to be the most probable fusion peptide even though it is not located at the N-terminus of the protein. However, the internal protein regions of some proteins likewise exhibit fusion activity [4]. The hydrophobic peptide V in the GP2 polypeptide is followed by a highly charged region of the amino acid sequence; here the situation is similar to melittin, the bee venom peptide capable of lysing membranes. Melittin charges are assumed to interact with charged heads of phospholipids, stabilizing thereby the contact of the protein with the membrane [19].

It is clear that the analysis of the protein sequences and extrapolation of the properties of known fusion peptides to analogues in other viruses need further experimental verification. For this purpose peptide V was synthesized and tested in model experiments with lipid bilayers and liposomes. Results demonstrate an ability of this peptide not only to fuse liposomes (in assay of resonance energy transfer) and to form single ion channels in planar lipid bilayers, but even to inhibit viral replication in cell culture in concentration 10^{-9} – 10^{-5} M (manuscript in preparation). Taken together, these data suggest that peptide V quite pro-

Lassa	G	G	Y	C	L	T	R	W	M	L	I	E	A	E	L	K	C	F	G	N	T	A	V	A		
LCM (WE)	*	*	*	*	*	*	K	*	*	I	L	A	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
LCM (ARM)	*	*	*	*	*	*	K	*	*	I	L	A	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Tacaribe	*	*	*	*	*	*	K	*	*	I	V	A	S	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	I	*	*
Pichinde	*	*	*	*	*	*	E	Q	*	A	I	*	W	*	G	I	*	*	*	D	*	*	V	M	*	*

Fig. 2. Amino acid sequence comparison of the predicted fusion peptide of arenaviruses. Identical amino acids are marked by asterisks, the positions with conserved amino acid substitutions are framed.

bably may be a fusion peptide of arenaviruses, and GP2 their fusion protein.

Acknowledgements: The authors wish to thank Drs A.V. Efimov and N.I. Grebenshchikov for much helpful advice and discussion, Professor N.V. Kaverin and Professor A.A. Lev for critical reading of the manuscript. Thanks are also due to I.S. Kochubey for his help in editing the English text.

REFERENCES

- [1] Marsh, M. (1984) *Biochem. J.* 218, 1–10.
- [2] Hoekstra, D. and Kok, W. (1989) *Biosci. Rep.* 9, 273–305.
- [3] Wiley, D.C. and Skehel, J.J. (1987) *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 56, 356–369.
- [4] Gallaher, W.R. (1987) *Cell* 50, 327–328.
- [5] Richardson, C.D., Scheid, A. and Choppin, P.W. (1980) *Virology* 105, 205–222.
- [6] Auperin, D.D., Romanovski, V., Galinski, M. and Bishop, D.H.L. (1984) *J. Virol.* 52, 897–904.
- [7] Auperin, D.D., Sasso, D.R. and McCormick, J.B. (1986) *Virology* 154, 155–167.
- [8] Franze-Fernandez, M.T., Zetina, C., Iapalucci, S., Lucero, M.A., Bouissou, C., Lopez, R., Rey, O., Daheli, M., Cohen, G.N. and Zakin, M.M. (1987) *Virus Res.* 7, 309–324.
- [9] Romanovski, V. and Bishop, D.H.L. (1985) *Virus Res.* 2, 35–51.
- [10] Romanovski, V., Matsuura, Y. and Bishop, D.H.L. (1985) *Virus Res.* 3, 101–114.
- [11] Auperin, D.D. and McCormick, J.B. (1989) *Virology* 168, 421–425.
- [12] Chou, P.Y. and Fasman, G.D. (1987) *Adv. Enzymol.* 47, 45–148.
- [13] Rose, G.D. and Roy, S. (1980) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 77, 4643–4647.
- [14] Klein, P., Kanehisa, M. and DeLisi, C. (1985) *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 815, 468–476.
- [15] Kyte, J. and Doolittle, R.F. (1982) *J. Mol. Biol.* 157, 105–132.
- [16] Jones, D.D. (1975) *J. Theor. Biol.* 50, 167–183.
- [17] Rao, J.K.M. and Argos, P. (1986) *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 869, 197–214.
- [18] Garnier, J., Osquithorpe, D.J. and Robson, B. (1978) *J. Mol. Biol.* 120, 97–120.
- [19] Terwilliger, T.C., Weissman, L. and Eisenberg, D. (1982) *Biophys. J.* 37, 353–361.