

MATHEMATICS

COMPACTNESS CONDITIONS FOR INTEGRAL OPERATORS  
IN BANACH FUNCTION SPACES

BY

J. J. GROBLER

(Communicated by Prof. A. C. ZAAZEN at the meeting of April 25, 1970)

§ 0. *Introduction*

It is well known that integral operators of finite double-norm in a Lebesgue space  $L_p$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ ) are compact. Furthermore, it is known that, although an operator of finite double-norm in  $L_1$  need not be compact, its square is always compact. We present a theorem from which these facts follow, thereby generalizing a theorem by W. A. J. LUXEMBURG and A. C. ZAAZEN [3]. The theorem (theorem 4.2) is presented in the setting of a Banach function space (also called a normed Köthe space), which is a generalization of the Lebesgue spaces  $L_p$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ ) and of the Orlicz spaces  $L_\phi$ .

§ 1. *Banach function spaces*

Let  $X$  be a non-empty point set and let  $\mu$  be a countably additive and non-negative measure in  $X$  such that the triple  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space ( $\mathcal{A}$  denoting the collection of all measurable subsets of  $X$ ). By  $M$  we denote the set of all  $\mu$ -measurable complex functions on  $X$ , and by  $M^+$  the subset of all  $f \in M$  such that  $f(x) \geq 0$  holds  $\mu$ -almost everywhere ( $\mu$ -a.e.) on  $X$ . The notation  $\int d\mu$  will denote integration over the whole set  $X$ . If it is necessary to show that integration is performed with respect to a certain variable  $x$ , we shall use the notation  $\int du(x)$ . Finally, we denote the characteristic function of the set  $E \subset X$  by  $\chi_E(x)$ .

Let  $\varrho$  be a function norm defined on  $M$ , and suppose that  $\varrho$  satisfies the following conditions.

(a)  $\varrho$  has the weak Fatou property, i.e., from

$$0 \leq f_1 < f_2 < f_3 < \dots \uparrow f \text{ with all } f_n \in M^+ \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

and  $\lim \varrho(f_n) < \infty$  it follows that  $\varrho(f) < \infty$ .

(b)  $\varrho$  is saturated, i.e., if  $E$  is any subset of  $X$  such that  $\mu(E) > 0$  and  $\varrho(\chi_E) = \infty$ , then  $E$  contains at least one subset  $F$  such that  $\mu(F) > 0$  and  $\varrho(\chi_F) < \infty$ .

It is well known (see for example [4]) that these hypotheses on  $\varrho$  imply that the space  $L_\varrho$ , consisting of all  $f \in M$  such that  $\varrho(f) < \infty$ , is a complete

normed space, i.e., a Banach space. We shall also assume that  $\mu(X) > 0$ , and so it follows from (b) that there exists an  $L_\rho$ -admissible sequence  $(\pi): X_n \uparrow X$  of measurable subsets of  $X$  (see [3]).

We recall that the associate norm  $\rho'$  of  $\rho$  is defined by

$$\rho'(g) = \sup \left\{ \int |fg| d\mu : \rho(f) \leq 1 \right\},$$

holding for every  $g \in M$ . We denote  $L_{\rho'}$  also by  $L_\rho'$ , and recall that  $L_\rho' \subset L_\rho^*$ . A functional  $G \in L_\rho^*$  belongs to  $L_\rho'$  if and only if there exists a function  $g \in L_\rho'$  such that  $\langle f, G \rangle = G(f) = \int fgd\mu$  for all  $f \in L_\rho$ .

A function  $f \in L_\rho$  is said to be of absolutely continuous norm whenever  $\rho(\chi_{E_n} f) \downarrow 0$  for every sequence  $E_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) of measurable subsets of  $X$  such that  $E_n \downarrow \emptyset$ . The set  $L_\rho^a$  of all functions  $f \in L_\rho$  which are of absolutely continuous norm is a closed linear subspace of  $L_\rho$ . We observe that, for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , every  $f \in L_p$  is of absolutely continuous norm. If  $\mu$  has no atoms, then the only function of absolutely continuous norm in  $L_\infty$  is the null function.

Let  $(\pi): X_n \uparrow X$  be an  $L_\rho$ -admissible sequence. The closure of the set of all  $f \in L_\rho$ , bounded on some subset  $F_f \subset X_n$  for some  $n$  and vanishing outside  $F_f$ , is also a closed linear subspace of  $L_\rho$ , which we denote by  $L_\rho^\pi$  (see [3]).

## § 2. Weak sequential compactness

As usual we denote the weak topology generated in  $L_\rho$  by the subset  $M \subset L_\rho^*$  by  $\sigma(L_\rho, M)$ . The subset  $S \subset L_\rho$  is said to be  $\sigma(L_\rho, M)$  sequentially compact whenever every sequence in  $S$  contains a subsequence which is  $\sigma(L_\rho, M)$  convergent to an element of  $S$ . If it is only required that the subsequence converges to an element of  $L_\rho$ , then  $S$  is said to be conditionally  $\sigma(L_\rho, M)$  sequentially compact.

The following theorem was proved by W. A. J. LUXEMBURG and A. C. ZAAANEN in [3].

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $(\pi): X_n \uparrow X$  be an  $L_\rho$ -admissible as well as  $L_\rho'$ -admissible sequence and let  $M$  be a closed linear subspace of  $L_\rho'$  such that  $M \supset L_\rho^\pi$ , and such that  $g \in M$  implies  $g\chi_E \in M$  for any  $\mu$ -measurable set  $E$ . Then the subset  $S \subset L_\rho$  is conditionally  $\sigma(L_\rho, M)$  sequentially compact if and only if*

- (i)  $N(g) = \sup \left\{ \int |fg| d\mu : f \in S \right\}$  is finite for every  $g \in M$ ,
- (ii)  $N(\chi_{E_n} g) \downarrow 0$  for every  $g \in M$  and for every sequence  $E_n \downarrow \emptyset$  of measurable subsets of  $X$ .

We shall make use of the following facts which can be deduced from the above theorem.

**Theorem 2.2.** *(For a proof see [3]). Every norm-bounded subset  $S \subset L_\rho$  is conditionally  $\sigma(L_\rho, L_\rho^a)$  sequentially compact.*

The subset  $S \subset L_q^a$  is said to be of uniformly absolutely continuous norm whenever, given  $\varepsilon > 0$  and any sequence of measurable sets  $E_n \downarrow \emptyset$ , there exists an index  $N$  such that  $\varrho(\chi_{E_n} f) < \varepsilon$  for all  $n \geq N$  and for all  $f \in S$  simultaneously.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let the norm-bounded subset  $S \subset L_q$  be of uniformly absolutely continuous norm. Then  $S$  is conditionally  $\sigma(L_q, L_q')$  sequentially compact.*

*Proof.* Obviously  $L_q'$  satisfies the conditions required for  $M$  in theorem 2.1. Since  $S$  is norm bounded, there exists a number  $C$  such that  $\varrho(f) \leq C$  for all  $f \in S$ . Hence,

$$N(g) = \sup \{ \int |fg| d\mu : f \in S \} \leq \sup \{ \varrho(f) \varrho'(g) : f \in S \} \leq C \varrho'(g) < \infty$$

for every  $g \in L_q'$ . Furthermore, if  $E_n \downarrow \emptyset$  is a sequence of measurable sets, then

$$N(\chi_{E_n} g) = \sup \{ \int |f \chi_{E_n} g| d\mu : f \in S \} \leq \sup \{ \varrho(\chi_{E_n} f) \varrho'(g) : f \in S \} \downarrow 0$$

for every  $g \in L_q'$ , since  $S$  is of uniformly absolutely continuous norm. By theorem 2.1 we conclude that  $S$  is conditionally  $\sigma(L_q, L_q')$  sequentially compact.

### § 3. Integral Operators

We shall restrict our attention to a certain class of integral operators mapping the Banach function space  $L_q$  into itself, i.e., the class of operators having  $L_q$ -kernels.

**Definition 3.1.** *The  $(\mu \times \mu)$ -measurable function  $T(x, y)$  defined on  $X \times X$  is called an  $L_q$ -kernel whenever*

$$\int |T(x, y) f(y)| d\mu(y) \in L_q \text{ for every } f \in L_q.$$

Let  $T(x, y)$  be an  $L_q$ -kernel. Then the following properties are well known ([1], [3]).

- (a)  $Tf = \int T(x, y) f(y) d\mu(y)$  defines a bounded linear operator on  $L_q$ .
- (b)  $T = O$  (the null operator) if and only if  $T(x, y) = 0$  holds  $(\mu \times \mu)$ -a.e. on  $X \times X$ .
- (c)  $T(x, y)$  is an  $L_q$ -kernel if and only if

$$\int |T(x, y) g(x)| d\mu(x) \in L_q' \text{ for every } g \in L_q'.$$

In other words,  $T(x, y)$  is an  $L_q$ -kernel if and only if  $T^\sim(x, y) = T(y, x)$  is an  $L_q'$ -kernel.

(d) The operator  $T^\sim$  with kernel  $T^\sim(x, y)$  is the restriction of the adjoint operator  $T^*$  to the subspace  $L_q'$  of  $L_q^*$ .

(e) If  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are integral operators with corresponding  $L_q$ -kernels  $T_1(x, y)$  and  $T_2(x, y)$  respectively, then  $T_3 = T_1 T_2$  is an integral operator with  $L_q$ -kernel  $T_3(x, y)$ , where

$$T_3(x, y) = \int T_1(x, z) T_2(z, y) d\mu(z).$$

#### § 4. Compactness of Integral Operators

We recall that the subset  $S$  of a Banach space  $W$  is called conditionally sequentially compact whenever every sequence in  $S$  contains a subsequence which converges to some element of  $W$ . The linear operator  $T$ , mapping a Banach space  $V$  into a Banach space  $W$  is called compact whenever  $T$  maps the closed unit ball of  $V$  into a conditionally sequentially compact subset of  $W$ .

In this section we shall give a necessary and sufficient condition for an integral operator  $T$  with  $L_\rho$ -kernel  $T(x, y)$  to be compact. We shall, however, make one restrictive assumption concerning the operator  $T$ , namely, that the range  $R(T)$  of  $T$  is a subset of the space  $L_\rho^a$ .

The following lemma is essentially due to W. A. J. LUXEMBURG [2].

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $f_n (n=1, 2, \dots)$  be a sequence in  $L_\rho$  which converges pointwise  $\mu$ -a.e. to a function  $f_0 \in L_\rho$  such that the set  $\{f_n: n=1, 2, \dots\}$  is of uniformly absolutely continuous norm. Then  $f_n$  converges in norm to  $f_0$ , i.e.,  $\rho(f_n - f_0) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $(x_k): X_k \uparrow X$  be any  $L_\rho$ -admissible sequence. Then  $f_n$  converges pointwise  $\mu$ -a.e. on  $X_k$  for every  $k$ . Determine for  $k=1, 2, \dots$  subsets  $Z_k$  of  $X_k$  such that  $\mu(X_k - Z_k) < 1/k$  and such that  $f_n$  converges uniformly on  $Z_k$ . This is possible by Egoroff's theorem. We may assume that the sequence  $Z_k$  is ascending and hence  $Z_k \uparrow X$  is an  $L_\rho$ -admissible sequence. By the uniform absolute continuity of the  $f_n$ , we have for some index  $N$  that  $\rho(\chi_{X-Z_N} f_n) < \varepsilon/4$  for all  $n$ . Hence,

$$\rho\{\chi_{X-Z_N}(f_n - f_m)\} < \varepsilon/2 \text{ for all } m \text{ and } n.$$

On  $Z_N$ , the sequence  $f_n$  converges uniformly and we therefore have that

$$\rho\{\chi_{Z_N}(f_n - f_m)\} < \varepsilon/2 \text{ for } m, n \geq N_1.$$

Hence, for all  $m, n \geq N_1$ , we have

$$\rho(f_n - f_m) \leq \rho\{\chi_{X-Z_N}(f_n - f_m)\} + \rho\{\chi_{Z_N}(f_n - f_m)\} < \varepsilon.$$

This holds for arbitrarily given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , so the sequence  $f_n$  converges in norm to some  $g \in L_\rho^a$ . But then some subsequence of  $f_n$  converges pointwise  $\mu$ -a.e. to  $g$ . By hypothesis  $f_n$  converges pointwise  $\mu$ -a.e. to  $f_0$  and hence  $g = f_0$  holds  $\mu$ -a.e. on  $X$ . This shows that  $\rho(f_0 - f_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , which ends the proof.

A direct proof without making use of Egoroff's theorem is possible [1].

We now come to our main theorem.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $T$  be an integral operator with  $L_\rho$ -kernel  $T(x, y)$  and let  $R(T) \subset L_\rho^a$ . Then  $T$  is compact if and only if*

- (i)  $\{Tf: \rho(f) \leq 1\}$  is a set of uniformly absolutely continuous norm.
- (ii) Every sequence  $f_n (n=1, 2, \dots)$  with  $\rho(f_n) \leq 1$  contains a subsequence  $f_{1n}$  such that  $Tf_{1n}$  converges pointwise  $\mu$ -a.e. on  $X$ .

*Proof.* We first prove that the conditions are sufficient. This readily follows from the preceding lemma, for if  $f_n$  is a sequence such that  $\varrho(f_n) \leq 1$ , then we have that  $Tf_n$  converges pointwise  $\mu$ -a.e. on  $X$  for some subsequence  $f_{1n}$  of  $f_n$  (by condition (ii)). By condition (i) we have that the set  $\{Tf_{1n}: n=1, 2, \dots\}$  is of uniformly absolutely continuous norm and so we conclude from the lemma that the sequence  $Tf_{1n}$  converges in norm to some element of  $L_\varrho$ . This shows that  $T$  is compact.

To prove the necessity of condition (i) suppose that  $T$  is compact but that (i) does not hold. Then there exist a sequence of  $\mu$ -measurable sets  $E_n \subset X$  with  $E_n \downarrow \emptyset$ , a number  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and a sequence  $f_n$  with  $\varrho(f_n) \leq 1$ , such that  $\varrho(\chi_{E_n} Tf_n) > \varepsilon$  for  $n=1, 2, \dots$ . On account of the compactness of  $T$ , we may assume that  $\varrho(Tf_n - g) \rightarrow 0$  for some  $g \in L_\varrho^a$ . Hence,  $\varrho(Tf_n - g) < \varepsilon/2$  for all  $n \geq N_1$ , and  $\varrho(\chi_{E_n} g) < \varepsilon/2$  for all  $n \geq N_2$ . But then, for  $n \geq \max(N_1, N_2)$ , we have

$$\varrho(\chi_{E_n} Tf_n) \leq \varrho\{\chi_{E_n}(Tf_n - g)\} + \varrho(\chi_{E_n} g) < \varepsilon,$$

which yields a contradiction. It follows that the set  $\{Tf: \varrho(f) \leq 1\}$  is of uniformly absolutely continuous norm.

That the compactness of  $T$  implies (ii) is a direct consequence of the fact that every convergent sequence in  $L_\varrho$  contains a subsequence which is pointwise convergent  $\mu$ -a.e. on  $X$ .

To apply the above theorem successfully, we should have available conditions under which (i) and (ii) hold.

It is easily seen that if there exists a function  $\tau(x) \in L_\varrho^a$  such that, for all  $f \in L_\varrho$  with  $\varrho(f) \leq 1$ , we have

$$(1) \quad \left| \int T(x, y)f(y)d\mu(y) \right| \leq |\tau(x)|,$$

then the set  $\{Tf: \varrho(f) \leq 1\}$  is of uniformly absolutely continuous norm, i.e., (i) holds. We shall discuss this matter further when considering an example.

The next lemma presents a sufficient condition in order that condition (ii) of our theorem holds.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $T$  be an integral operator with  $L_\varrho$ -kernel  $T(x, y)$  satisfying*

$$\int |T(x, y)g(x)|d\mu(x) \in L_\varrho^a \text{ for every } g \in L_\varrho'.$$

*Then every sequence  $f_n$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ) in  $L_\varrho$  with  $\varrho(f_n) \leq 1$  contains a subsequence  $f_n'$  such that  $Tf_n'$  converges pointwise  $\mu$ -a.e. on  $X$ .*

*Proof.* It is known (see [3], lemma 7.2) that under the stated conditions we have, for any  $\mu$ -measurable set  $E \subset X$  such that  $\varrho'(\chi_E) < \infty$ , that the sequence  $\chi_E Tf_n$  is conditionally sequentially compact with respect to the  $L_1$ -norm. Let  $(\pi): X_k \uparrow X$  be any  $L_\varrho$ -admissible as well as  $L_\varrho'$ -admissible sequence. Then  $Tf_n$  has a subsequence converging on  $X_1$  with respect to the  $L_1$ -norm, and hence  $Tf_n$  has a subsequence  $Tf_{1n}$  con-

verging pointwise  $\mu$ -a.e. on  $X_1$ . Similarly,  $Tf_{1n}$  contains a subsequence  $Tf_{2n}$  converging pointwise  $\mu$ -a.e. on  $X_2$ , and so on. The diagonal sequence  $Tf_{nn}$  converges pointwise  $\mu$ -a.e. on  $X$ . Hence, if we put  $f_{n'} = f_{nn}$ , then  $f_{n'}$  is the required subsequence of  $f_n$ .

W. A. J. LUXEMBURG and A. C. ZAAANEN proved in [3] that if  $T(x, y)$  is a  $(\mu \times \mu)$ -measurable function satisfying

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} (a) & \int |T(x, y)f(y)|d\mu(y) \in L_{\rho}^a \text{ for every } f \in L_{\rho}, \\ (b) & \int |T(x, y)g(x)|d\mu(x) \in L_{\rho'}^a \text{ for every } g \in L_{\rho'}', \end{cases}$$

then  $T$  is compact if and only if the set  $\{Tf: \rho(f) \leq 1\}$  is of uniformly absolutely continuous norm. It is clear that this statement is an immediate consequence of theorem 4.2 and lemma 4.3. (Note that condition (2) (a) implies that  $R(T) \subset L_{\rho}^a$ .)

By implementing the results of section 2, we can supply other conditions which imply the pointwise convergence required in condition (ii) of theorem 4.2. The following two theorems show how this can be done.

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $T$  be an integral operator with  $L_{\rho}$ -kernel  $T(x, y)$  such that  $T_x(y) = T(x, y) \in L_{\rho'}^a$  for almost every  $x \in X$ , and let  $R(T) \subset L_{\rho}^a$ . Then  $T$  is compact if and only if  $\{Tf: \rho(f) \leq 1\}$  is of uniformly absolutely continuous norm.*

**Proof.** Let  $f_n$  be a sequence such that  $\rho(f_n) \leq 1$ . By theorem 2.2 the set  $\{f_n: n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  is conditionally  $\sigma(L_{\rho}, L_{\rho'}^a)$  sequentially compact. Hence,  $f_n$  contains a subsequence  $f_{1n}$  such that  $f_{1n}$  is  $\sigma(L_{\rho}, L_{\rho'}^a)$  convergent. Since  $T_x(y) \in L_{\rho'}^a$  for almost every  $x \in X$ , we have for these  $x$  that

$$\int T_x(y)f_{1n}(y)d\mu(y) = \int T(x, y)f_{1n}(y)d\mu(y)$$

is a convergent sequence of complex numbers. In other words,

$$Tf_{1n} = \int T(x, y)f_{1n}(y)d\mu(y)$$

converges pointwise  $\mu$ -a.e. on  $X$ . This shows that condition (ii) of theorem 4.2 holds. By hypothesis, (i) holds as well, so we may conclude that  $T$  is compact. As in theorem 4.2, the compactness of  $T$  implies that  $\{Tf: \rho(f) \leq 1\}$  is of uniformly absolutely continuous norm.

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $\{Tf: \rho(f) \leq 1\}$  be a set of uniformly absolutely continuous norm and let  $T_x(y) \in L_{\rho'}^a$  for almost every  $x \in X$ . Then the operator  $T^2$  is compact.*

**Proof.** We prove that the operator  $T^2$  satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) of theorem 4.2, and that  $R(T^2) \subset L_{\rho}^a$ .

To prove that  $T^2$  satisfies (i), we observe that

$$\{T^2f: \rho(f) \leq 1\} \subset \{Tg: \rho(g) \leq \|T\|\},$$

and it is easily seen that this last set is of uniformly absolutely continuous norm whenever  $\{Tf: \varrho(f) \leq 1\}$  is of uniformly absolutely continuous norm. This shows that the set  $\{T^2f: \varrho(f) \leq 1\}$  has the required property.

The set  $S = \{Tf: \varrho(f) \leq 1\}$  is norm bounded and of uniformly absolutely continuous norm so that, by theorem 2.3,  $S$  is conditionally  $\sigma(L_\varrho, L_{\varrho'})$  sequentially compact. Hence, if  $f_n$  is a sequence such that  $\varrho(f_n) \leq 1$ , then  $Tf_n$  contains a subsequence  $Tf_{1n}$  which is  $\sigma(L_\varrho, L_{\varrho'})$  convergent. By hypothesis  $T_x(y) \in L_{\varrho'}$ , and so

$$\begin{aligned} T^2f_{1n}(x) &= \int \left\{ \int T(x, y)T(y, z)d\mu(y) \right\} f_{1n}(z)d\mu(z) \\ &= \int T(x, y) \left\{ \int T(y, z)f_{1n}(z)d\mu(z) \right\} d\mu(y) \\ &= \int (Tf_{1n})(y)T_x(y)d\mu(y), \end{aligned}$$

converges pointwise  $\mu$ -a.e. on  $X$ . We conclude that condition (ii) holds for  $T^2$ .

Finally, let  $0 \neq f \in R(T)$ , i.e., let  $f = Tg$  for some  $g \in L_\varrho$ ,  $g \neq 0$ . Consider the element  $g' = g/\varrho(g)$ . If  $E_n \downarrow \emptyset$  is any sequence of measurable sets then, since  $\varrho(g') = 1$ , we have  $\varrho(\chi_{E_n}Tg') \downarrow 0$ , i.e.,  $[\varrho(g)]^{-1}\varrho(\chi_{E_n}f) \downarrow 0$  so that  $\varrho(\chi_{E_n}f) \downarrow 0$ . Since this holds for any sequence of measurable sets  $E_n \downarrow \emptyset$ , and for any  $f \in R(T)$ , we conclude that  $R(T)$ , and hence also  $R(T^2)$ , is contained in  $L_\varrho^a$ .

Our final conclusion is therefore that the operator  $T^2$  is compact.

The last theorem is obviously a generalization of the fact that the square of an integral operator of finite double-norm in the Lebesgue space  $L_1$  is compact.

By way of example we finally consider integral operators of finite double-norm in  $L_p$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ). An integral operator  $T$  with kernel  $T(x, y)$  is said to be of finite double-norm in  $L_p$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ) whenever

$$\|\tau(x)\|_p = \|\|T(x, y)\|_q\|_p < \infty \quad (p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1).$$

Note that if  $T$  is of finite double-norm then  $\tau(x) = \|\|T(x, y)\|_q\|_p$  is an element of  $L_p$ . This implies that, for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , the set  $\{Tf: \|f\|_p \leq 1\}$  is of uniformly absolutely continuous norm, for

$$\|(Tf)(x)\| \leq \int |T(x, y)f(y)|d\mu(y) \leq \|\|T(x, y)\|_q\|_p \cdot \|f\|_p \leq \tau(x) \in L_p = L_p^a.$$

(See formula (1)). Hence, any integral operator of finite double-norm in  $L_p$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ) satisfies condition (i) of theorem 4.2. Furthermore, for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , we have that  $T_x(y) \in L_q = L_q^a$  if  $T$  is of finite double-norm. Theorem 4.4 therefore shows that  $T$  is compact. If  $p = 1$ , then  $T_x(y) \in L_\infty$ , so  $T_x(y)$  is usually not of absolutely continuous norm (i.e., if  $\mu$  does not have atoms), unless of course  $T_x(y) = 0$  for almost every  $x \in X$ . However,  $T$  satisfies all the conditions of theorem 4.5, so we conclude that  $T^2$  is compact. We note that for  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $T(x, y)$  also satisfies the condition

(2), so that we may also conclude from the theorem of Luxemburg and Zaanen that  $T$  is compact. In general, however, an operator  $T$  may have a kernel  $T(x, y)$  satisfying (2) but not the conditions that  $T_x(y) \in L_{\rho}^a$  or  $T_x(y) \in L_{\rho}'$ , and vice versa.

*Potchefstroom University,  
Potchefstroom, South Africa*

#### REFERENCES

1. GROBLER, J. J., Non-singular linear integral equations in Banach function spaces (thesis Leiden), Amsterdam (1970).
2. LUXEMBURG, W. A. J., Banach function spaces (thesis Delft), Assen (Netherlands) (1955).
3. ——— and A. C. ZAAZEN, Compactness of integral operators in Banach function spaces, *Math. Annalen* **149**, 150-180 (1963).
4. ZAAZEN, A. C., *Integration*. Amsterdam-New York (1967).