thrombosis rates to decrease a syndrome, which affects only 1-8% of the accesses, seems to have an unfavorable risk benefit profile.

The MILLER measured, standardized banding procedure is successful because it allows for precise application of resistance into a system.² This procedure is minimally invasive and can be performed multiple times just as easily as it can be undone by simply dilating the band with an angioplasty balloon. Ligation of the perforating vein definitely seems to be a good idea in helping the superficial veins to mature. However, it is unlikely to achieve a high level of success in the treatment and prevention of DASS. Although it is a feasible treatment, limited precision of flow volume reduction and irreversibility lead to the same problems that made traditional banding procedures unsuccessful.

- Moini M, Rasouli M. Ligation of the perforating vein for treatment of steal syndrome in arteriovenous grafts: a hypothesis. *Kidney Int* 2008; 74: 826.
- Goel N, Miller GA, Jotwani MC et al. Minimally invasive limited ligation endoluminal-assisted revision (MILLER) for treatment of dialysis access-associated steal syndrome. *Kidney Int* 2006; **70**: 765–770.

Gregg A. Miller¹, Naveen Goel² and Konstantin Khariton¹ ¹American Access Care of Brooklyn, 577 Prospect Avenue, Brooklyn, New York, USA and ²American Access Care of Florida, 6766 West Sunrise Boulevard, Suite no. 100, Plantation, Florida, USA

Correspondence: Gregg A. Miller, American Access Care of Brooklyn, 577 Prospect Avenue, Brooklyn, New York 11215, USA. E-mail: vascular1@att.net

The effect of epoetin dose on hematocrit

Kidney International (2008) 74, 827; doi:10.1038/ki.2008.281

To the Editor: Cotter *et al.*¹ used United States Renal Data System data from 14,001 incident patients to estimate the dose-response relationship between epoetin (EPO) and hematocrit. The authors used their analysis to infer the maximum effective EPO dose and suggested that this should inform Federal reimbursement policy. However, the analytic approach used is inconsistent with FDA guidance and the inferred maximum dose is not likely to be generalizable to the US dialysis population. We think it is inadvisable to support reimbursement policies based on inferential information without careful consideration of the potential clinical consequences.

When there is a time delay in clinical response (for example, hemoglobin) following dosing, FDA recommends parallel dose-response studies where patients receive constant doses over fixed time periods with no target ceiling, such as that proposed by Eschbach *et al.*² However, Cotter *et al.*³ used observational data containing frequent EPO dose titrations, analyzed with marginal structural modeling. In studies of flexible dosing, FDA recommends employing mixed-effects regression, which accounts for interpatient variability in EPO responsiveness. This is important because a broad range of EPO doses (~40-fold) are required to achieve target

hemoglobin levels in individuals.⁴ The application of unconventional analytics using observational data should not supplant knowledge gained by the established approach of controlled clinical trials designed to estimate dose–response. Inferring a maximum effective dose from an estimated mean might result in inadequate dosing for many patients. Any new EPO policy should be based on the most rigorous data and analyses, with careful assessment of the potential impact.

- 1. Cotter D, Zhang Y, Thamer M *et al*. The effect of epoetin dose on hematocrit. *Kidney Int* 2008; **73**: 347–353.
- Eschbach JW, Egrie JC, Downing MR *et al*. Correction of the anemia of end-stage renal disease with recombinant human erythropoietin. Results of a combined phase I and II clinical trial. *N Engl J Med* 1987; **316**: 73–78.
- 3. Guideline for industry. Dose-response information to support drug registration. http://www.fda.gov/cder/guidance/iche4.pdf Accessed December 17, 2007.
- Eschbach JW, Abdulhadi MH, Browne JK et al. Recombinant human erythropoietin in anemic patients with end-state renal disease: results of a phase III multicenter clinical trial. Ann Intern Med 1989; 111: 992–1000.

Cathy W. Critchlow¹, Brian D. Bradbury¹, John F. Acquavella¹, Juan J.P. Ruixo², Mahesh Krishnan³, Andrew T. Chow² and Robert M. Brenner⁴

¹Department of Epidemiology, Amgen, Inc., Thousand Oaks, California, USA; ²Pharmacokinetics & Drug Metabolism, Amgen, Inc., Thousand Oaks, California, USA; ³Global Health Economics, Amgen, Inc., Thousand Oaks, California, USA; ⁴Global Development, Amgen, Inc., Thousand Oaks, California, USA;

Correspondence: Cathy W. Critchlow, Amgen Inc, Epidemiology, One Amgen Center Dr, MS-24-2-A, Thousand Oaks, California 91361, USA. E-mail: ccritchl@amgen.com

Response to 'Regarding "the effect of epoetin dose on hematocrit"

Kidney International (2008) 74, 827-828; doi:10.1038/ki.2008.284

Critchlow *et al.*¹ state that 'the application of unconventional analytics using observational data should not supplant knowledge gained via the established approach of controlled clinical trials designed to estimate doseresponse.' We agree. However, controlled trials might not provide a generalizable dose-response curve if more sick patients who require higher doses of erythropoiesis stimulating agents are under-represented because of restricted enrollment criteria, patient's underlying disease burden, etc. Therefore, controlled trials based on such restrictions are likely to underestimate the range of erythropoiesis stimulating agent dose required in the general hemodialysis population.

In contrast, our analysis of dose-response uses data from an unselected medicare population and over the dose range currently used by clinicians. We would encourage Amgen and others to attempt to resolve the dose-response issue with appropriately designed clinical trials in a heterogeneous population. In the absence of such trials,