Choosability of the square of planar subcubic graphs with large girth
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Abstract

We show that the choice number of the square of a subcubic graph with maximum average degree less than \(18/7\) is at most 6. As a corollary, we get that the choice number of the square of a subcubic planar graph with girth at least 9 is at most 6. We then show that the choice number of the square of a subcubic planar graph with girth at least 13 is at most 5.
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1. Introduction

Let \(G\) be a (simple) graph. The neighbourhood of a vertex \(v\) of \(G\), denoted \(N_G(v)\), is the set of its neighbours, i.e. the set of vertices \(y\) such that \(xy\) is an edge. The degree of a vertex \(v\) in \(G\), denoted \(d_G(v)\), is its number of neighbours. Often, when the graph \(G\) is clearly understood from the context, we omit the subscript \(G\). A graph is subcubic if every vertex has degree at most 3.

Let \(p : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}\). A \(p\)-list-assignment is a list-assignment \(L\) such that \(|L(v)| = p(v)\) for any \(v \in V(G)\). \(G\) is \(p\)-choosable if it is \(L\)-colourable for any \(p\)-list-assignment. By extension, if \(k\) is an integer, we say that \(G\) is \(k\)-choosable if it is \(p\)-choosable when \(p\) is the constant function with value \(k\) (i.e. \(p(v) = k\) for all \(v \in V\)). The choice number of \(G\), denoted \(ch(G)\), is the smallest integer \(k\) such that \(G\) is \(k\)-choosable. Clearly the choice number of \(G\) is at least as large as \(\chi(G)\), the chromatic number of \(G\).

The square of \(G\) is the graph \(G^2\) with vertex set \(V(G)\) such that two vertices are linked by an edge of \(G^2\) if and only if \(x\) and \(y\) are at distance at most 2 in \(G\). A graph is called planar if it can be embedded in the plane. Wegner [9] proved that the square of a subcubic planar graph is 8-colourable. He also conjectured that it is 7-colourable. Recently, this conjecture was proved by Thomassen [8].

Theorem 1 (Thomassen [8]). Let \(G\) be a subcubic planar graph. Then \(\chi(G^2) \leq 7\).

Kostochka and Woodall [6] conjectured that, for every square of a graph, the chromatic number equals the choice number.

Conjecture 2 (Kostochka and Woodall [6]). For all \(G\), \(ch(G^2) = \chi(G^2)\).
If true, this conjecture together with Theorem 1 implies that every subcubic planar graph is 7-choosable. Very recently, Cranston and Kim [2] showed that the square of every subcubic graph (non-necessarily planar) other than the Petersen graph is 8-choosable.

The average degree of $G$, denoted $Ad(G)$ is $\frac{\sum_{v \in V(G)} d(v)}{|V(G)|} = \frac{2|E(G)|}{|V(G)|}$. The maximum average degree of $G$, denoted $\text{Mad}(G)$, is $\max\{Ad(H), H \text{ subgraph of } G\}$. In [3], Dvořák, Škrekovski and Tancer proved that the choice number of the square of a subcubic graph $G$ is at most 4 if $\text{Mad}(G) < 24/11$ and $G$ has no 5-cycle, at most 5 if $\text{Mad}(G) < 7/3$ and at most 6 if $\text{Mad}(G) < 5/2$.

The girth of a graph is the smallest length of a cycle in $G$. Planar graphs with prescribed girth have bounded maximum average degree:

**Proposition 3.** Every planar graph with girth at least $g$ has maximum average degree less than $2 + \frac{4}{g-2}$.

Hence the results of Dvořák, Škrekovski and Tancer imply that the choice number of the square of a planar graph with girth $g$ is at most 6 if $g \geq 10$, at most 5 if $g \geq 14$ and at most 4 if $g \geq 24$. The two latter results had been previously proved by Montassier and Raspaud [7].

In this paper, we improve some of these results. We first show (Theorem 4) that the choice number of the square of a subcubic graph with maximum average degree less than 18/7 is at most 6. As a corollary, we get that the choice number of the square of a subcubic planar graph with girth at least 9 is at most 6. Note that this corollary has been proved later and independently by Cranston and Kim [2]. We then show (Theorem 9) that the choice number of the square of a subcubic planar graph with girth at least 13 is at most 5.

2. The main results

The general frame of the proofs is classical. We consider a $k$-minimal graph, that is a subcubic graph such that its square is not $k$-choosable but the square of every proper subgraph is $k$-choosable. We prove that some configurations (i.e. induced subgraphs) are forbidden in such a graph and then deduce a contradiction. To do so, we will need the following definitions:

An $i$-vertex is a vertex of degree $i$. We denote by $V_i$ the set of $i$-vertices of $G$ and by $v_i$ its cardinality. Let $v$ be a vertex. An $i$-neighbour of $v$ is a neighbour of $v$ with degree $i$. The $i$-neighbourhood of $v$ is $N_i(v) = N(v) \cap V_i$ and its $i$-degree is $d_i(v) = |N_i(v)|$.

Some properties of 6- and 5-minimal graphs have already been proved in [3]. The easy first one is that $V_0 \cup V_1 = \emptyset$, so $G$ has minimum degree 2. This will allow us to use the following definitions for 6- and 5-minimal graphs.

Let $G$ be a subcubic graph with minimum degree 2. A thread of $G$ is a path whose endvertices are 3-vertices and whose internal vertices are 2-vertices. The kernel of $G$ is the weighted graph $K_G$ such that $V(K_G) = V_3(G)$ and $xy$ is an edge in $K_G$ with weight $l$ if and only if $x$ and $y$ are connected by a thread of length $l$ in $G$. An edge of weight $l$ is also called $l$-edge. Let $x$ be a 3-vertex of $G$. The type of $x$ is the triple $(l_1, l_2, l_3)$ such that $l_1 \leq l_2 \leq l_3$ and the three edges (a loop being counted twice) incident to $x$ have weight $l_1$, $l_2$ and $l_3$ in $K_G$. We denote by $Y_{l_1, l_2, l_3}$ the set of 3-vertices of type $(l_1, l_2, l_3)$ and $y_{l_1, l_2, l_3}$ its cardinality. Moreover, for every integer $i$, we define $Z_i : = \bigcup_{l_1 + l_2 + l_3 = i} Y_{l_1, l_2, l_3}$ and $z_i = |Z_i|$. The number of vertices and edges and thus the average degree of $G$ may be easily expressed in terms of the $z_i$:

$$|V(G)| = \sum_{i \geq 3} \frac{i-1}{2} z_i$$

$$2|E(G)| = \sum_{i \geq 3} i z_i$$

$$Ad(G) = \frac{\sum_{i \geq 3} i z_i}{\sum_{i \geq 3} \frac{i-1}{2} z_i}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

2.1. 6-choosability

The aim of this subsection is to prove the following result.
Theorem 4. Let $G$ be a subcubic graph of maximum average degree $d < 18/7$. Then $G^2$ is 6-choosable.

Remark 5. Theorem 4 is tight. Indeed, the graph $J_7$ depicted in Fig. 1 has average degree $18/7$ and its square is the complete graph on seven vertices $K_7$ which is not 6-choosable (nor 6-colourable).

Theorem 4 and Proposition 3 yield that the square of a subcubic planar graph with girth at least 9 is 6-choosable.

Corollary 6. The square of a subcubic planar graph with girth at least 9 is 6-choosable.

In order to prove Theorem 4, we need to establish some properties of 6-minimal graphs. Some of them have been proved in [3].

Lemma 7 (Dvořák, Škrekovski and Tancer [3]). Let $G$ be a 6-minimal graph. Then the following hold:

(i) all the edges of $K_G$ have weight at most 2;
(ii) every 3-cycle of $G$ has its vertices in $V_3$;
(iii) every 4-cycle of $G$ has at least three vertices in $V_3$;
(iv) a vertex of $Y_{2,2,2}$ is not adjacent in $K_G$ to a vertex of $Y_{1,2,2} \cup Y_{2,2,2}$.

We will prove in Section 3.2 some new properties.

Lemma 8. Let $G$ be a 6-minimal graph. Then the following hold:

(i) if $(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_1)$ is a 4-cycle with $v_2 \in V_2$ then $v_1$ or $v_3$ is not in $Y_{1,2,2}$;
(ii) a vertex of $Y_{1,2,2}$ is adjacent in $K_G$ to at most one vertex of $Y_{1,2,2}$ by 2-edges.

Proof of Theorem 4. Let $G$ be a 6-minimal planar graph. $G$ has minimum degree 2, so its kernel $K_G$ is defined. Moreover by Lemma 7(i), $Z_i$ is empty for $i \geq 7$ and $Z_6 = Y_{2,2,2}$ and $Z_5 = Y_{1,2,2}$.

Let us consider a vertex of $Z_4 = Y_{1,1,2}$. Its neighbour in $K_G$ via the 2-edge is in $Z_4 \cup Z_5 \cup Z_6$ because a vertex of $Z_3 = Y_{1,1,1}$ is incident to no edge of weight 2. For $i = 4, 5, 6$, let $Z_i'$ be the set of vertices of $Z_i$ which are adjacent to a vertex of $Z_i$ by their unique 2-edge and $z_i'$ its cardinality. $(Z_4', Z_5', Z_6')$ is a partition of $Z_4$ so $z_4 = z_4' + z_5 + z_6'$. Hence Eq. (1) becomes

$$
Ad(G) = \frac{6z_6 + 5z_5 + 4z_4 + 4z_6^5 + 4z_4^5 + 3z_3}{2z_6 + 2z_5 + \frac{3}{2}z_4 + \frac{3}{2}z_4 + \frac{3}{2}z_4 + z_3}.
$$

By Lemma 7(iv), the three neighbours in $K_G$ of a vertex of $Z_6$ are not in $Z_6 \cup Z_5$. So they must be in $Z_6'$. It follows that $3z_6 = z_6'$. So

$$
Ad(G) = \frac{5z_5 + 6z_6 + 4z_4 + 4z_4^2 + 3z_3}{2z_5 + \frac{7}{3}z_4 + \frac{3}{2}z_4 + \frac{3}{2}z_4 + z_3}.
$$

By Lemma 8(ii), a vertex of $Z_5$ is adjacent to at least one vertex of $Z_4'$. Thus $z_5 \geq z_5'$. But $Ad(G)$ is decreasing as a function of $z_5$ since $z_6' + z_4' + z_4' + z_3$ are non-negative. It follows that

$$
Ad(G) \geq \frac{6z_4^6 + 9z_5 + 4z_4^2 + 3z_3}{\frac{5}{3}z_4 + \frac{7}{3}z_4 + \frac{3}{2}z_4 + z_3} \geq \frac{18}{7}. \quad \square
$$
2.2. 5-choosability

Dvořák, Škrekovski and Tancer [3] proved that the square of a subcubic graph \( G \) with maximum average degree less than \( 7/3 \) is 5-choosable. This result is tight since the graph \( J_6 \) depicted in Fig. 2 has average degree \( 7/3 \) and its square is the complete graph on six vertices \( K_6 \) which is not 5-choosable (nor 5-colourable). However, we will prove that the square of a subcubic planar graph with girth at least 13 is 5-choosable, which improves the result of Montassier and Raspaud.

**Theorem 9.** The square of a subcubic planar graph with girth at least 13 is 5-choosable.

In order to prove this theorem, we need to establish some properties of 5-minimal graphs. Some of them have been proved in [3].

**Lemma 10 (Dvořák, Škrekovski and Tancer [3]).** Let \( G \) be a 5-minimal graph. Then the following hold:

(i) all the edges of \( K_G \) have weight at most 3;
(ii) if \( i \geq 8 \), \( Z_i \) is empty.

We will prove in Section 3.3 some new properties.

**Lemma 11.** Let \( G \) be a 5-minimal graph of girth at least 13. Then in \( K_G \) the following hold:

(i) a vertex of \( Y_{2,2,3} \) and a vertex of \( Y_{1,2,3} \cup Y_{2,2,3} \) are not linked by a 2-edge;
(ii) a vertex of \( Y_{1,3,3} \) and a vertex of \( Y_{1,2,3} \cup Y_{1,3,3} \) are not linked by a 1-edge;
(iii) a vertex of \( Y_{2,2,2} \) is not adjacent in \( K_G \) to three vertices of \( Y_{2,2,3} \) (by 2-edges).

**Proof of Theorem 9.** Let \( G \) be a 5-minimal planar graph with girth at least 13. \( G \) has minimum degree 2, so its kernel \( K_G \) is defined. Moreover, by Lemma 10(i), \( Z_7 = Y_{2,2,3} \cup Y_{1,3,3} \), so

\[
z_7 = y_{2,2,3} + y_{1,3,3}. \tag{2}
\]

Let us count the number \( e_2 \) of 2-edges incident to vertices of \( Y_{2,2,3} \). Recall that \( Z_4 = Y_{1,1,2} \) and \( Z_3 = Y_{1,1,1} \). Since 2-edges may not link two vertices of type \((2, 2, 3)\) according to Lemma 11(i), we have \( e_2 = 2y_{2,2,3} \). Moreover, the ends of such edges which are not in \( Y_{2,2,3} \) have to be in \( Y_{2,2,2} \cup Y_{1,2,2} \cup Z_4 \) by Lemmas 10 and 11(i). Furthermore, a vertex of \( Y_{2,2,2} \) is incident to at most two edges of \( e_2 \) according to Lemma 11(iii) and a vertex of \( Y_{1,2,2} \) (resp. \( Z_4 \)) is incident to at most two (resp. one) 2-edges. Therefore \( e_2 \leq 2y_{2,2,2} + 2y_{1,2,2} + y_4 \). So,

\[
2y_{2,2,3} \leq 2y_{2,2,2} + 2y_{1,2,2} + z_4. \tag{3}
\]

Let us now count the number \( e_1 \) of 1-edges incident to vertices of \( Y_{1,3,3} \). Since 1-edges may not link two vertices of type \((1, 3, 3)\) according to Lemma 11(ii), we have \( e_1 = y_{1,3,3} \). Moreover, the ends of such edges which are not in \( Y_{1,1,3} \) have to be in \( Y_{1,2,2} \cup Y_{1,1,3} \cup Z_4 \cup Z_3 \) by Lemmas 10 and 11(ii). Furthermore, vertices of \( Y_{1,2,2} \) (resp. \( Y_{1,1,3} \cup Z_4, Z_3 \)) are incident to at most one (resp. two, three) 1-edges. Thus \( e_1 \leq y_{1,2,2} + 2y_{1,1,3} + 2z_4 + 3z_3 \). So,

\[
y_{1,3,3} \leq y_{1,1,2} + 2y_{1,1,3} + 2z_4 + 3z_3. \tag{4}
\]

\[2 \times (4) + (3) \] yields

\[
2y_{2,2,3} + 2y_{1,3,3} \leq 2y_{2,2,2} + 4y_{1,2,2} + 4y_{1,1,3} + 5z_4 + 6z_3. \]

Hence, by Eq. (2), \( 2z_7 \leq 2z_6 + 4z_5 + 5z_4 + 6z_3 \), so

\[
z_7 \leq z_6 + 2z_5 + \frac{5}{2}z_4 + 3z_3.
\]
Now by Eq. (1) the average degree of $G$ is 
\[ Ad(G) = \frac{7z_7 + 6z_6 + 5z_5 + 4z_4 + 3z_3}{3z_7 + \frac{5}{2}z_6 + 2z_5 + \frac{3}{2}z_4 + z_3}. \]

As a function of $z_7$, this is a decreasing function (on $\mathbb{R}^+$); so it is minimum when $z_7$ is maximum that is equal to $z_6 + 2z_5 + \frac{5}{2}z_4 + 3z_3$. So,
\[ Ad(G) \geq \frac{13z_6 + 19z_5 + \frac{43}{2}z_4 + 24z_3}{11z_6 + 8z_5 + 9z_4 + 10z_3} \geq \frac{26}{11}. \]

This contradicts the fact that $G$ has girth 13 by Proposition 3. \hfill \Box

**Remark 12.** It is very likely that using the method below, one can prove that a graph $G$ with maximum average degree less than $\frac{26}{11}$ is 5-choosable unless it contains $J_6$ as an induced subgraph. However, this will require the tedious study of a large number of configurations.

### 3. Proofs of Lemmas 8 and 11

In order to prove Lemmas 8 and 11, we need the following lemma proved in [3]. Let $S$ be a set of vertices of a $k$-minimal graph $G$. The function $p_S : S \to \mathbb{N}$ is defined by $p_S(v) = k - |N_G^2(v) \setminus S|$. Then $p_S(v)$ represents the minimum number of available colours at a vertex $v \in S$ once we have precoloured the square of $G - S$. Hence if $(G - S)^2$ is $k$-choosable, $(G - S)^2 = G^2 - S$ and $G^2[S]$ is $p_S$-choosable, one can extend any $k$-list-colouring of $G - S$ into a $k$-list-colouring of $G$, which is a contradiction.

**Lemma 13 (Dvořák, Škrekovski and Tancer [3]).** Let $S$ be a set of vertices of a $k$-minimal graph $G$. If $(G - S)^2 = G^2 - S$, then $G^2[S]$ is not $p_S$-choosable.

In order to use Lemma 13, we need some results on the choosability of some graphs.

#### 3.1. Some choosability tools

**Definition 14.** Let $x$ and $y$ be two vertices of a graph $G$. An $(x - y)$-ordering of $G$ is an ordering of the vertices such that $x$ is the minimum and $y$ the maximum. An $(x, y - z)$-ordering is an ordering of the vertices such that $x$ is minimum, $y$ is the second minimum and $z$ is maximum.

Let $\sigma = (v_1 < v_2 < \cdots < v_n)$ be an ordering of the vertices of $G$ and $p$ a function $V(G) \to \mathbb{N}$. $\sigma$ is $p$-greedy if, for every $i$, $|N(v_i) \cap \{v_1, \ldots, v_{i-1}\}| < p(v_i)$. It is $p$-nice if, for every $i$ except $n$, $|N(v_i) \cap \{v_1, \ldots, v_{i-1}\}| < p(v_i)$ and $d(v_n) = p(v_n)$. It is $p$-good if, for every $3 \leq i \leq n$, $|N(v_i) \cap \{v_1, \ldots, v_{i-1}\}| - \epsilon(v_i) < p(v_i)$ with $\epsilon(v_i) = 1$ if $v_i$ is adjacent to both $v_1$ and $v_2$ and $\epsilon(v_i) = 0$ otherwise. By extension, if $k$ is an integer, we say that $\sigma$ is $k$-greedy (resp. $k$-nice, $k$-good) if it is $p$-greedy (resp. $p$-nice, $p$-good) when $p$ is the constant function with value $k$ (i.e. $p(v_i) = k$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$).

The greedy algorithm according to greedy, nice and good orderings yields the following three lemmas.

**Lemma 15.** If $G$ has a $p$-greedy ordering then $G$ is $p$-choosable. **Proof.** Applying the greedy algorithm according to the $p$-greedy ordering gives the desired colouring. \hfill \Box

**Lemma 16.** Let $xy$ be an edge of graph $G$ and $L$ be a $p$-list-assignment of $G$. If $L(x) \notin L(y)$ and $G$ has a $p$-nice $(x - y)$-ordering, then $G$ is $L$-colourable. **Proof.** Let $a$ be a colour in $L(x) \setminus L(y)$. Assign $a$ to $x$ and proceed the greedy algorithm according to the $p$-nice $(x - y)$-ordering. The only vertex which has not more colour in its list than previously coloured neighbours is $y$ for which $|L(y)| = d(y)$. But since $a \notin L(y)$, at most $d(y) - 1$ colours of $L(y)$ are assigned to the neighbours of $y$. Hence one can colour $y$. \hfill \Box
Lemma 17. Let $x$, $y$ and $z$ be three vertices of a graph $G = (V, E)$ such that $xy \notin E$. If $L(x) \cap L(y) \neq \emptyset$ and $G$ has a $p$-good $(x, y - z)$-ordering, then $G$ is $L$-colourable.

Proof. Let $a$ be a colour in $L(x) \cap L(y)$ and $\sigma = (v_1 < v_2 < \cdots < v_n)$ be a $p$-good $(x, y - z)$-ordering. (In particular, $v_1 = x$, $v_2 = y$ and $v_n = z$.) Assign $a$ to $x$ and $y$ and proceed the greedy algorithm according to $\sigma$. For every $3 \leq i \leq n$, the number of colours assigned to already coloured neighbours of $v_i$ is at most $|N(v_i) \cap \{v_1, \ldots, v_{i-1}\}| - \epsilon(v_i)$ since $v_1$ and $v_2$ are coloured the same. Hence the greedy algorithm gives an $L$-colouring. □

Remark 18. Note that if $xz, yz \in E$, a $p$-nice $(x, y - z)$-ordering is also $p$-good.

Definition 19. The blocks of a graph are its maximal 2-connected components. A connected graph is said to be a Gallai tree if each of its blocks is either a complete graph or an odd cycle.

The following theorem was proved independently by Borodin [1] and Erdős, Rubin and Taylor [4]:

Theorem 20 (Borodin [1], Erdős, Rubin and Taylor [4]). Let $G$ be a connected graph and $d_G$ the degree function in $G$. Then $G$ is $d_G$-choosable if and only if $G$ is not a Gallai tree.

Lemma 21. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph and $p : V(G) \to \mathbb{N}$. Let $S$ be a set of vertices such that $p(v) \geq d(v)$ for all $v \in S$. If $G[S]$ is not a Gallai tree and $G - S$ is $p$-choosable then $G$ is $p$-choosable.

Proof. Let $L$ be a $p$-list-assignment of $G$. Since $G - S$ is $p$-choosable, it admits an $L$-colouring. Let us now extend it to $S$. The list $I(v) = L(v) \setminus \{c(w), w \in N(v) \setminus S\}$ of available colours of a vertex $v \in S$ is of size at least $p'(v) = p(v) - |N(v) \setminus S| \geq d_{G[S]}(v)$. Since $G[S]$ is not a Gallai tree, by Theorem 20, $G[S]$ is $p'$-choosable and thus $I$-colourable. So, $G$ is $L$-colourable. □

A 4-regular graph $G$ is cycle + triangles if it is the edge union of a Hamiltonian cycle $C$ and a 2-factor consisting of triangles. In other words, the graph induced by the edges of $E(G) \setminus E(C)$ is the disjoint union of 3-cycles.

Theorem 22 (Fleischner and Stiebitz [5]). Every cycle + triangles graph is 3-choosable.

3.2. Proof of Lemma 8

Lemma 23. Let $q \geq 2$ and $C_{4q} = (v_1, \ldots, v_{4q}, v_1)$ be the $4q$-cycle and $p$ defined by $p(v_i) = 4$ if $i$ is odd and $p(v_i) = 2$ otherwise. Then $C_{4q}^2$ is $p$-choosable.

Proof. The set $S$ of vertices $v$ for which $p(v) \geq d_{C_{4q}^2}(v)$ is the set of $v_i$ with odd indices. $C_{4q}^2[S]$ is a $2q$-cycle and thus is not a Gallai tree. Moreover $C_{4q}^2 - S$ is also a $2q$-cycle and is 2-choosable. Hence Lemma 21 gives the result. □

Proposition 24. Let $P_7 = (v_1, \ldots, v_7)$ be a path and $p$ the function defined by $p(v_1) = p(v_2) = p(v_6) = p(v_7) = 2$, $p(v_3) = p(v_5) = 4$ and $p(v_4) = 3$. Then $P_7^2$ is $p$-choosable.

Proof. Let $L$ be a $p$-list-assignment of $P_7^2$. Since $(v_2 < v_4 < v_6 < v_7 < v_5 < v_3 < v_1)$ is a $p$-nice ordering of $P_7^2$, by Lemma 16, we may assume that $L(v_1) = L(v_2)$, and by symmetry of $P_7$ and $p$ that $L(v_6) = L(v_7)$.

Since $(v_1 < v_4 < v_2 < v_6 < v_7 < v_5 < v_3)$ is $p$-good, by Lemma 17, we may assume that $L(v_1) \cap L(v_4) = \emptyset$, and by symmetry $L(v_7) \cap L(v_4) = \emptyset$.

Now one can find $c(v_1) \in L(v_1)$, $c(v_2) \in L(v_1) \setminus \{c(v_1)\}$, $c(v_6) \in L(v_6)$, $c(v_7) \in L(v_7) \setminus \{c(v_6)\}$, $c(v_3) \in L(v_3) \setminus \{c(v_1)\}$, $c(v_5) \in L(v_5) \setminus \{c(v_3)\}$, $c(v_6) \in L(v_6)$, and $c(v_7) \in L(v_7) \setminus \{c(v_6)\}$. Now since $L(v_1) \cap L(v_4) = \emptyset$ and $L(v_1) = L(v_2)$, $c(v_2) \notin L(v_4)$. Analogously, $c(v_6) \notin L(v_4)$. Hence, $L(v_4) \setminus \{c(v_2), c(v_3), c(v_5), c(v_6)\} = L(v_4) \setminus \{c(v_3), c(v_5)\} \neq \emptyset$. So, one can choose $c(v_6)$ in this set to get an $L$-colouring of $P_7^2$. □

Lemma 25. For $1 \leq i \leq 17$, let $F_i$ be the graphs and $p_i$ be the functions depicted in Fig. 3.

(i) $F_i^2 \cup \{v_5v_6\}$ is $p_i$-choosable.
Fig. 3. The graphs $F_i$ and functions $p_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq 13$.

(ii) $F_2^2 \cup \{v_1 v_4\}$ and $F_2^2 \cup \{v_4 v_7\}$ are $p_2$-choosable.
(iii) $F_3^3 \cup \{v_4 v_8\}$ is $p_3$-choosable.
(iv) $F_4^2$ is $6$-choosable.
(v) $F_5^2 \cup \{v_1 v_4, v_1 v_6\}$ is $p_5$-choosable.
(vi) $F_6^5$ is $p_6$-choosable.
(vii) $F_7^2 \cup \{v_9 v_{10}\}$ is $p_7$-choosable.
(viii) $F_8^2$ is $p_8$-choosable.
(ix) $F_9^2 \cup \{v_2 v_9\}$ and $F_9^2 \cup \{v_6 v_9\}$ are $p_9$-choosable.
(x) $F_{10}^2 \cup \{v_4 v_8\}$ is $p_{10}$-choosable.
(xi) $F_{11}^2 \cup \{v_4 v_8, v_8 v_9\}, F_{11}^2 \cup \{v_4 v_8, v_9 v_4\}$ and $F_{11}^2 \cup \{v_8 v_9, v_9 v_4\}$ are $p_{11}$-choosable and $F_{11}^2 \cup \{v_4 v_8, v_8 v_9, v_9 v_4\}$ is $5$-choosable.
(xii) $F_{12}^2 \cup \{v_4 v_8\}$ is $p_{12}$-choosable.
(xiii) $F_{13}^2$ is $6$-choosable.

Proof. (i) In $F_1^2 \cup \{v_5 v_6\}$, $(v_6 < v_5 < v_4 < v_3 < v_1 < v_2)$ is $p_1$-greedy. So, by Lemma 15, $F_1^2 \cup \{v_5 v_6\}$ is $p_1$-choosable.

(ii) In $F_2^2 \cup \{v_4 v_7\}$, $(v_2 < v_4 < v_7 < v_6 < v_5 < v_3 < v_1)$ is $p_2$-nice and $p_2(v_2) > p_2(v_1)$. So, by Lemma 16, $F_2^2 \cup \{v_4 v_7\}$ is $p_2$-choosable.

By symmetry, one shows that $F_i^2 \cup \{v_1 v_4\}$ is $p_2$-choosable.
(iii) In $F_3^2 \cup \{v_4v_8\}$, $(v_2 < v_8 < v_4 < v_7 < v_6 < v_5 < v_3 < v_1)$ is $p_3$-nice and $p_3(v_2) > p_3(v_1)$. So, by Lemma 16, $F_3^2 \cup \{v_4v_8\}$ is $p_3$-choosable.

(iv) Let $L$ be a $6$-list-assignment of $F_4^2$. Every ordering with maximum $v_1$ and second maximum $v_7$ is $6$-nice. Thus, by Lemma 16, we may assume that $L(v_j) = L(v_1)$ for $j \in \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8\}$. Analogously, we may assume that $L(v_j) = L(v_7)$ for $j \in \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8\}$. Hence all the lists are the same, say $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$. Now $c(v_1) = c(v_3) = 1$, $c(v_2) = 2$, $c(v_3) = c(v_7) = 3$, $c(v_4) = 4$, $c(v_6) = 5$ and $c(v_8) = 6$ are the $L$-colouring of $F_4^2$.

(v) In $F_2^2 \cup \{v_1v_4, v_1v_6\}$, $(v_7 < v_6 < v_1 < v_4 < v_2 < v_3 < v_5)$ is $p_5$-nice and $p_5(v_7) > p_5(v_5)$. So, by Lemma 15, $F_2^2 \cup \{v_1v_5\}$ is $p_5$-choosable.

(vi) In $F_6^2$, $(v_4 < v_2 < v_5 < v_1 < v_3 < v_5)$ is $p_6$-nice and $p_6(v_4) > p_6(v_5)$. So, by Lemma 16, $F_6^2$ is $p_6$-choosable.

(vii) Let $L$ be a $p_7$-list-assignment of $F_2^2 \cup \{v_9v_{10}\}$. $(v_2 < v_9 < v_{10} < v_8 < v_6 < v_4 < v_7 < v_5 < v_3 < v_1)$, $(v_2 < v_9 < v_{10} < v_8 < v_6 < v_4 < v_5 < v_7 < v_1 < v_3)$ and $(v_4 < v_9 < v_{10} < v_8 < v_6 < v_2 < v_5 < v_7 < v_1 < v_3)$ are $p_7$-nice. Thus, by Lemma 16, we may assume that $L(v_2) \subset L(v_1), L(v_2) \subset L(v_3)$ and $L(v_4) \subset L(v_3)$. It follows that $L(v_1) \cap L(v_4) \neq \emptyset$. Since $(v_1 < v_4 < v_{10} < v_9 < v_2 < v_8 < v_6 < v_7 < v_5 < v_3)$ is $p_7$-good, by Lemma 17, $F_2^2 \cup \{v_9v_{10}\}$ is $L$-colourable.

(viii) In $F_8^2$, $(v_6 < v_5 < v_7 < v_9 < v_8 < v_4 < v_3 < v_2 < v_1)$ is $p_8$-greedy. So, by Lemma 15, $F_8^2$ is $p_8$-choosable.

(ix) Let $L$ be a $p_9$-list-assignment of $F_2^2 \cup \{v_2v_9\}$. Then $(v_2 < v_9 < v_6 < v_4 < v_5 < v_3 < v_1)$ and $(v_2 < v_9 < v_6 < v_4 < v_5 < v_3 < v_1)$ are $p_9$-nice so by Lemma 16, we may assume that $L(v_2) \subset L(v_3) \cap L(v_1)$. Moreover, $(v_4 < v_9 < v_6 < v_4 < v_5 < v_3 < v_1)$ is $p_9$-nice so by Lemma 16, we may assume that $L(v_4) = L(v_3)$. It follows that $L(v_1) \cap L(v_4) \neq \emptyset$. Thus, by Lemma 17, since $(v_1 < v_4 < v_9 < v_6 < v_4 < v_5 < v_3 < v_1)$ is $p_9$-good, $F_2^2 \cup \{v_2v_9\}$ is $L$-colourable.

By symmetry, one shows that $F_9^2 \cup \{v_6v_9\}$ is $p_9$-choosable.

(x) In $F_{10}^2 \cup \{v_4v_8\}$, $(v_2 < v_9 < v_4 < v_6 < v_7 < v_5 < v_3 < v_1)$ is $p_{10}$-nice and $p_{10}(v_2) > p_{10}(v_1)$. So, by Lemma 16, $F_{10}^2 \cup \{v_4v_8\}$ is $p_{10}$-choosable.

(xi) Let $F \in \{F_2^2 \cup \{v_4v_8, v_5v_9\}, F_{11}^2 \cup \{v_4v_8, v_5v_9\}, F_{11}^2 \cup \{v_4v_9, v_6v_9\}, F_{11}^2 \cup \{v_5v_9, v_6v_9\}\}$ and $L$ be a 5-list-assignment if $F = F_{11}^2 \cup \{v_4v_9, v_6v_9\}$ and a $p_{11}$-list-assignment of $F$ otherwise.

Then $(v_1 < v_4 < v_9 < v_6 < v_7 < v_5 < v_3 < v_1)$ and $(v_7 < v_9 < v_6 < v_4 < v_6 < v_2 < v_1 < v_5 < v_3)$ are $p$-nice in $F$. So by Lemma 16, we may assume that $L(v_1) = L(v_3) = L(v_5) = L(v_7)$.

If $L(v_8) \notin L(v_2)$, let us colour $v_8$ with $c_8 \in L(v_8) \setminus L(v_2)$, $v_4$ with $c_4 \in L(v_4) \setminus \{c_5\}$, $v_5$ with $c_5 \in L(v_5)$ and $v_1$ with the same colour $c_1 \in L(v_1) \setminus \{c_4, c_8, c_9\}$, $v_3$ with $c_3 \in L(v_3)$ and $v_7$ with the same colour $c_7 \in L(v_7) \setminus \{c_1, c_4, c_8, c_9\}$. This gives an $L$-colouring of $F$. So we may assume that $L(v_8) \cap L(v_2)$. Exchanging the role of $c_4$ in $c_8$ in the preceding argument, we may assume that $L(v_4) \subset L(v_2)$. Moreover by symmetry, we may assume that $L(v_9) \cup L(v_4) \subset L(v_9)$. In particular, this implies that the sets $L(v_8) \cap L(v_9), L(v_8) \cap L(v_9), L(v_9) \cap L(v_4)$ and $L(v_2) \cap L(v_6)$ are non-empty.

If $F = F_{11}^2 \cup \{v_5v_9, v_6v_9\}$ then $v_9v_8 \notin F$. Hence $(v_8 < v_9 < v_4 < v_2 < v_6 < v_7 < v_5 < v_3 < v_1)$ is $p_{11}$-good, so by Lemma 17, $F$ is $L$-colourable.

If $F = F_{11}^2 \cup \{v_4v_8, v_6v_9\}$ then $v_8v_9 \notin F$. Hence $(v_4 < v_8 < v_9 < v_1 < v_2 < v_6 < v_7 < v_3 < v_5)$ is $p_{11}$-good, so by Lemma 17, $F$ is $L$-colourable.

If $F = F_{11}^2 \cup \{v_4v_8, v_9v_4\}$ then $v_9v_4 \notin F$. Hence $(v_4 < v_9 < v_8 < v_1 < v_2 < v_6 < v_7 < v_3 < v_5)$ is $p_{11}$-good, so by Lemma 17, $F$ is $L$-colourable.

If $F = F_{11}^2 \cup \{v_4v_8, v_9v_4\}$, then $(v_2 < v_6 < v_4 < v_8 < v_9 < v_7 < v_5 < v_3 < v_1)$ is 5-good. So, by Lemma 17, $F$ is $L$-colourable.

(xii) In $F_{12}^2 \cup \{v_4v_5\}$, $(v_6 < v_8 < v_4 < v_2 < v_1 < v_3 < v_5 < v_7)$ is $p_{12}$-nice and $p_{12}(v_6) > p_{12}(v_7)$. So by Lemma 16, $F_{12}^2 \cup \{v_4v_8\}$ is $p_{12}$-choosable.

(xiii) Let $L$ be a 6-list-assignment of $F_{13}^2$. $(v_2 < v_9 < v_{10} < v_8 < v_6 < v_4 < v_7 < v_5 < v_3 < v_1)$, $(v_2 < v_9 < v_{10} < v_8 < v_6 < v_4 < v_5 < v_7 < v_1 < v_3)$ and $(v_4 < v_9 < v_{10} < v_8 < v_6 < v_2 < v_5 < v_7 < v_1 < v_3)$ are 6-nice. Thus, by Lemma 16, we may assume that $L(v_1) = L(v_2) = L(v_3) = L(v_4)$. Because $(v_1 < v_4 < v_{10} < v_9 < v_2 < v_8 < v_6 < v_7 < v_5 < v_3)$ is 6-good, by Lemma 17, $F_{13}^2$ is $L$-colourable.
Proof of Lemma 8. To prove this lemma, we will suppose for a contradiction that it does not hold. Then we will find a set $X$ of vertices contradicting Lemma 25. Indeed Lemma 25 will show that $G^2[X]$ is $p_X$-choosable and for each set $X$ we consider, every vertex of $X$ has at most one neighbour in $G - X$, so $(G - X)^2 = G^2 - X$. Lemma 13 completes the proof.

(i) Suppose for a contradiction that $v_1$ and $v_3$ are in $Y_{1,2,2}$. Let $v_5$ (resp. $v_6$) be the neighbour of $v_1$ (resp. $v_3$) distinct from $v_2$ and $v_4$. By Lemma 7(iv), $v_4$ is in $V_3$ and $v_5 \neq v_6$. Set $S = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6\}$. Then $G[S] = F_1$, $p_S \geq p_1$ and $G^2[S] \subset F_7^2 \cup \{v_5v_6\}$. So Lemma 25 contradicts Lemma 13.

(ii) Suppose for a contradiction that, in $K_G$, a vertex $v_4$ of $Y_{1,2,2}$ is adjacent to two vertices of $Y_{1,2,2}v_2$ and $v_6$ by 2-edges. According to Lemma 7(iii), $v_2 \neq v_6$. Let $v_3$ and $v_5$ be the 2-neighbours of $v_4$ common with $v_2$ and $v_6$ respectively, and $v_1$ (resp. $v_7$) be the 2-neighbour of $v_2$ (resp. $v_6$) not adjacent to $v_4$. Set $S = \{v_1, \ldots, v_7\}$.

We first claim that $v_1 \neq v_7$. Suppose not. Then $(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6, v_7)$ is a cycle $C$. It has no chord by Lemma 7(ii), so $C^2 = G^2[S]$. Moreover, $p_S(v_i) \geq 4$ if $i$ is even and $p_S(v_i) \geq 3$ otherwise. $C^2$ is a cycle + triangle graph, thus, by Theorem 22, it is 3-choosable and so $p_S$-choosable. This contradicts Lemma 13.

Let $w_1$ (resp. $w_7$) be the neighbour of $v_1$ (resp. $v_7$) distinct from $v_2$ (resp. $v_6$) and for $i \in \{2, 4, 6\}$, let $w_i$ be the neighbour of $v_i$ not in $\{v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}\}$. Let $W = \{w_1, w_2, w_4, w_6, w_7\}$.

We claim that $W \cap S = \emptyset$. Indeed, suppose for a contradiction that $W \cap S \neq \emptyset$. Since $G$ is simple, $w_1 \neq v_2$ and $w_7 \neq v_6$. Moreover by Lemma 7(i), $w_1$ and $w_7$ are in $V_3$, so $w_1 \neq v_7$ and $w_7 \neq v_1$. Furthermore, by Lemma 7(ii), $w_2 \neq v_4$ and $w_6 \neq v_4$ and by Lemma 7(iii), $w_1 \neq v_4$ and $w_7 \neq v_4$. Last, we may not have $w_1 = v_6$ and $w_2 = v_7$ otherwise the 4-cycle $(v_1, v_6, v_7, v_2, v_1)$ would contradict Lemma 7(iii). Then, by symmetry, we only need to consider the cases $w_2 = v_6$, $w_2 = v_7$.

- Assume that $w_2 = v_6$. Then $G[S] = F_2$, $p_S \geq p_2$ and $G^2[S] \subset F_2^2 \cup \{v_1v_4, v_4v_7, v_1v_7\}$. Thus, by Lemmas 25 and 13, $F_2^2 \cup \{v_1v_7\} \subset G^2[S]$, so $w_1 = w_7 = v_8$. Let $T = S \cup \{v_8\}$. If $v_8 \neq w_4$, then $G[T] = F_3$ and $p_T \geq p_3$ and $G^2[T] \subset F_3^2 \cup \{v_4v_8\}$. So Lemma 25 contradicts Lemma 13. If not then $G[T] = G = F_4$, so $G$ is 6-choosable, by Lemma 25. This is a contradiction.

- Suppose that $w_2 = v_7$. Then $G[S] = F_3$, $p_S \geq p_3$ and $G^2[S] \subset F_3^2 \cup \{v_1v_4, v_1v_6\}$. Thus Lemma 25 contradicts Lemma 13.

This proves the claim.

Note that by Lemma 7(ii), $w_1 \neq w_2$ and $w_6 \neq w_7$.

Suppose $w_1 = w_4 = v_8$. Then let $R = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_8\}$ and $w_8$ be the neighbour of $v_8$. Then $(G[R], p_R) = (F_6, p_6)$ and $G^2[R] = F_6^2$. Thus Lemma 25 contradicts Lemma 13. Therefore, $w_1 \neq w_4$ and, by symmetry, $w_4 \neq w_7$.

Suppose $w_1 = w_7 = v_8$. Let $T = S \cup \{v_8\}$. Then $G[T]$ is the cycle $C_8$ and $p_T$ is greater or equal to the function $p$ defined in Lemma 23. So, by Lemmas 23 and 13, $G^2[T] \neq C_8^2$. It follows that either $w_2 = w_6$ or $w_4 = w_8$ with $w_8$ be the neighbour of $v_8$ not in $S$.

- Suppose $w_2 = w_6 = v_9$, and $w_4 = w_8 = v_{10}$. Set $W = \{v_1, \ldots, v_{10}\}$. If $v_9v_{10} \not\in E(G)$ then $G[W] = F_7$, $p_W \geq p_7$ and $G^2[W] \subset F_7^2 \cup \{v_9v_{10}\}$; so Lemma 25 contradicts Lemma 13. If not, $G = G[W] = F_{13}$, so $G^2$ is 6-choosable, according to Lemma 25, a contradiction.

- Suppose $w_2 = w_4 = w_6 = v_9$. Setting $U = \{v_1, \ldots, v_9\}$, we have $(G[U], p_U) = (F_8, p_8)$ and $G^2[U] = F_8^2$. Hence Lemma 25 contradicts Lemma 13.

By symmetry, we get a contradiction if $w_2 = w_6 = v_8$, $w_2 = w_4 = v_8$ or $w_4 = w_6 = v_8$.

- Suppose $w_4 = w_8 = v_9$, $w_2 \neq v_9$, $w_6 \neq v_9$ and $w_2 \neq w_6$. Setting $U = \{v_1, \ldots, v_9\}$, we have $G[U] = F_9$, $p_U \geq p_9$ and $G^2[U] \subset F_9^2 \cup \{v_2v_9\}$ or $G^2[U] \subset F_9^2 \cup \{v_6v_9\}$. Hence Lemma 25 contradicts Lemma 13.

By symmetry, we get a contradiction if $w_2 = w_6 = v_9$, $w_4 \neq v_9$, $w_8 \neq v_9$ and $w_4 \neq w_8$.

Therefore, $w_1 \neq w_7$.

Suppose that $w_2 = w_6 = v_8$. Let $T = S \cup \{v_8\}$. Then $G[T] = F_{10}$, $p_T \geq p_{10}$, and $G^2[T] \subset F_{10}^2 \cup \{v_4v_8\}$, since $w_1$, $w_4$ and $w_7$ are distinct vertices. Hence Lemma 25 contradicts Lemma 13.

Therefore, $w_2 \neq w_6$. 

Suppose that $w_1 = w_6 = v_8$ and $w_2 = w_7 = v_9$. Let $U = S \cup \{v_8, v_9\}$. Then $G[U] = F_{11}$ and $G^2[U]$ is a subgraph of $F_{11}^2 \cup \{v_4v_8, v_8v_9, v_9v_4\}$. Moreover $p_U \geq p_{11}$ and, if $G^2[U] = F_{11}^2 \cup \{v_4v_8, v_8v_9, v_9v_4\}$, $p_U(v_1) = 5$ for $1 \leq i \leq 9$. Hence Lemma 25 contradicts Lemma 13.
Lemma 13

Fig. 4. The graphs $I_j$ and functions $q_j$, $1 \leq j \leq 4$.

Therefore, $w_1 \neq w_6$ or $w_2 \neq w_7$. By symmetry, $w_2 \neq w_6$.

Suppose $w_1 = w_6 = w_8$. Let $T = S \cup \{v_8\}$ and let $u_8$ be the neighbour of $v_8$ not in $S$. Then $G[T] = F_{12}$, $p_T \geq p_{12}$ and $G^2[T] \subseteq F^2_{12} \cup \{v_4v_8\}$. Hence Lemma 25 contradicts Lemma 13.

Therefore, $u_8 \neq w_6$.

Hence all the $w_i$ are distinct, so $G[S]^2 = G^2[S]$. Thus Proposition 24 contradicts Lemma 13. □

Remark 26. The proof of Lemma 8 in the case of planar graphs of girth at least 9 is simpler and shorter because all the configurations considered in the above proof (except the path $P_7$) have girth less than 9. Thus Corollary 6 has a short direct proof which requires only Proposition 24.

3.3. Proof of Lemma 11

Definition 27. For $1 \leq j \leq 4$, let $I_j$ and $q_j$ be the graphs and functions depicted in Fig. 4.

Lemma 28. For $1 \leq j \leq 4$, $I_j^2$ is $q_j$-choosable.

Proof. Let $L$ be a $q_1$-list-assignment of $I_1^2$. The orderings $(v_4 < v_3 < v_1 < v_2)$ and $(v_1 < v_3 < v_4 < v_2)$ are $q_1$-nice. So, by Lemma 16, we may assume that $L(v_1) \cup L(v_4) \subset L(v_2)$. Hence $L(v_1) \cap L(v_4) \neq \emptyset$. But $(v_4 < v_1 < v_3 < v_2)$ is $q_1$-good. Thus, by Lemma 17, $I_1^2$ is $L$-colourable.

• Let $L$ be a $q_2$-list-assignment of $I_2^2$.

Suppose first that $L(v_3) \not\subseteq L(v_1) \cup L(v_6)$. Then choose $c(v_3) \in L(v_3) \setminus (L(v_1) \cup L(v_6))$ and $c(v_4) \in L(v_4) \setminus \{c(v_3)\}$. Since $I_2^2$ is $q_1$-choosable, one can extend $c$ to $\{v_5, v_6, v_7, v_8\}$. Then one can find $c(v_2) \in L(v_2) \setminus \{c(v_3), c(v_4), c(v_5)\}$ and $c(v_1) \in L(v_1) \setminus \{c(v_2), c(v_3)\} = L(v_1) \setminus \{c(v_2)\}$. Hence we may assume that $L(v_3) \subset L(v_1) \cup L(v_6)$, so $L(v_3) = L(v_1) \cup L(v_6)$ and $L(v_1) \cap L(v_6) = \emptyset$.

Suppose now that $L(v_4) \cap L(v_6) \neq \emptyset$. Then colour $v_4$ and $v_6$ with the same colour $c(v_4) = c(v_6) \in L(v_4) \cap L(v_6)$. Choose $c(v_8) \in L(v_8) \setminus \{c(v_6)\}$ and $c(v_7) \in L(v_7) \setminus \{c(v_6), c(v_8)\}$. Now since $I_2^2$ is $q_1$-choosable, one can extend $c$ into an $L$-colouring of $I_2^2$. So we may assume that $L(v_4) \cap L(v_6) = \emptyset$. Now $(v_4 < v_1 < v_6 < v_8 < v_7 < v_5 < v_3 < v_2)$ is $q_2$-good so, by Lemma 17, we may assume that $L(v_4) \cap L(v_1) = \emptyset$. It follows that $L(v_4) \cap L(v_3) = \emptyset$ since $L(v_3) = L(v_1) \cup L(v_6)$.

The ordering $(v_4 < v_8 < v_6 < v_7 < v_5 < v_3 < v_2)$ is $q_2$-nice so, by Lemma 16, we may assume that $L(v_4) \subset L(v_2)$. Then one may assign $c(v_4) \in L(v_4)$ and $c(v_2) \in L(v_2) \setminus \{c(v_4)\}$ to the vertices $v_4$ and $v_2$. Now, because $L(v_4) \cap L(v_3) = \emptyset$, one can extend $c$ into an $L$-colouring of $I_2^2$ by colouring greedily according to the ordering $(v_1 < v_8 < v_6 < v_7 < v_5 < v_3)$. 

• Let $L$ be a $q_3$-list-assignment of $I_3^2$. Assign to $v_5$ a colour $c_5$ in $L(v_5) \setminus (L(v_1) \cup L(v_9))$ and to $v_6$ a colour in $L(v_6) \setminus (L(v_8) \cup \{c_5\})$. Then colour the remaining vertices greedily according to $(v_3 < v_4 < v_2 < v_1 < v_9 < v_7 < v_8)$ to get an $L$-colouring of $I_3^2$.

• Let $L$ be $q_4$-list-assignment of $I_4^2$. Pick $c(y_1) \in L(y_1) \setminus L(w_1)$, $c(y_2) \in L(y_2) \setminus (L(w_2) \cup \{c(y_1)\})$, $c(y_3) \in L(y_3) \setminus (L(w_3) \cup \{c(y_1), c(y_2)\})$ and $c(x) \in L(x) \setminus \{c(y_1), c(y_2), c(y_3)\}$. Since $I_4^2$ is $q_1$-choosable, one can extend $c$ to a colouring of $I_4^2$. □
Proof of Lemma 11. (i) Suppose that a vertex $v_3$ of $Y_{2,2,3}$ and $v_6$ of $Y_{1,2,3} \cup Y_{2,2,3}$ are adjacent via a 2-edge in $K_G$. Then the subgraph of $G$ induced by $v_3$, $v_6$ and the 2-vertices of their incident threads contains $I_2$ as an induced subgraph. (It is $I_2$ if $v_6$ is in $Y_{1,2,3}$ and has one extra vertex otherwise.) Since $G$ has girth at least 13, then $G^2[V(I_2)] = I_2^2$, $(G - V(I_2))^2 = G^2 - V(I_2)$ and $p_{V[I_2]} = q_2$, so Lemma 28 contradicts Lemma 13.

(ii) Suppose that a vertex $v_5$ of $Y_{1,3,3}$ and $v_6$ of $Y_{1,2,3} \cup Y_{1,3,3}$ are adjacent via a 1-edge in $K_G$. Then the subgraph of $G$ induced by $v_5$, $v_6$ and the 2-vertices of their incident threads contains $I_3$. So Lemma 28 contradicts Lemma 13.

(iii) Suppose that a vertex $x$ of $Y_{2,2,2}$ is adjacent to three vertices $v_1$, $v_2$ and $v_3$ of $Y_{2,2,3}$ in $K_G$. Then the subgraph of $G$ induced by $x$, $v_1$, $v_2$, $v_3$ and the 2-vertices of their incident threads is $I_4$. So Lemma 28 contradicts Lemma 13. □
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