Genetic Predisposition Directs Breast Cancer Phenotype by Dictating Progenitor Cell Fate

Theresa A. Proia,^{1,2,9} Patricia J. Keller,^{1,2,9} Piyush B. Gupta,^{4,10} Ina Klebba,^{1,2} Ainsley D. Jones,^{1,2} Maja Sedic,^{1,2}

Hannah Gilmore,^{5,6} Nadine Tung,^{6,7} Stephen P. Naber,³ Stuart Schnitt,^{5,6} Eric S. Lander,^{4,8} and Charlotte Kuperwasser^{1,2,*} ¹Department of Anatomy & Cellular Biology, Sackler School of Biomedical Research, Tufts University School of Medicine, 136 Harrison Ave, Boston, MA 02111, USA

²Molecular Oncology Research Institute

³Department of Pathology

Tufts Medical Center, Boston, MA 02111, USA

⁴Department of Biology, MIT and Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

⁶Department of Medicine

⁷Department of Surgical Oncology

Harvard Medical School, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston MA 02115, USA

⁸Department of Systems Biology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA

⁹These authors contributed equally to this work

¹⁰Present address: Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Research, 9 Cambridge Center, Cambridge MA 02139, USA

*Correspondence: charlotte.kuperwasser@tufts.edu

DOI 10.1016/j.stem.2010.12.007

SUMMARY

Women with inherited mutations in the BRCA1 gene have increased risk of developing breast cancer but also exhibit a predisposition for the development of aggressive basal-like breast tumors. We report here that breast epithelial cells derived from patients harboring deleterious mutations in BRCA1 (BRCA1^{mut/+}) give rise to tumors with increased basal differentiation relative to cells from BRCA1^{+/+} patients. Molecular analysis of disease-free breast tissues from BRCA1^{mut/+} patients revealed defects in progenitor cell lineage commitment even before cancer incidence. Moreover, we discovered that the transcriptional repressor Slug is an important functional suppressor of human breast progenitor cell lineage commitment and differentiation and that it is aberrantly expressed in BRCA1^{mut/+} tissues. Slug expression is necessary for increased basal-like phenotypes prior to and after neoplastic transformation. These findings demonstrate that the genetic background of patient populations, in addition to affecting incidence rates, significantly impacts progenitor cell fate commitment and, therefore, tumor phenotype.

INTRODUCTION

Tumor suppressor genes, such as BRCA1, repress malignant transformation by ensuring the fidelity of DNA replication and chromosomal segregation in response to potentially deleterious events. The increased risk of breast cancer development in individuals with inherited mutations in BRCA1 has been attributed to compromised DNA damage repair activity (Welcsh and King, 2001). However, it has been unclear why mutations in BRCA1 are also preferentially associated with an increased propensity for developing a specific subtype of breast cancers, basal-like tumors, with a distinct molecular phenotype and a poor prognosis (Foulkes et al., 2004; Arnes et al., 2005). Recent evidence has indicated that BRCA1 might function to regulate mammary epithelial cell morphogenesis and differentiation (Furuta et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2008; Kubista et al., 2002). Whether these functions of BRCA1 directly relate to the increased development of basal-like breast cancer, however, is not known.

Human breast tissue contains two major specialized epithelial cell types: luminal cells with secretory functions surrounding the inner breast duct lumen and basal/myoepithelial cells with contractile functions that interface between luminal cells and the basement membrane. Corresponding to these cell states, human breast cancers are broadly classified into luminal-like or basal-like tumors based on their gene expression patterns (Peppercorn et al., 2008). Accordingly, it has been proposed that tumors with "luminal" characteristics may result from the transformation of cells within the luminal lineage, whereas tumors exhibiting "basal-like" differentiation may arise from basal cells. However, there is also a wealth of evidence indicating that breast tumors exhibiting luminal or basal-like differentiation have distinct constellations of genetic aberrations, which may also influence tumor phenotype. For example, luminal tumors frequently express elevated levels of cyclin D1 (CCND1) and sustain mutations in phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) (Gauthier et al., 2007; Loi et al., 2009; Saal et al., 2005; Campbell et al., 2004), whereas dysregulated expression of ras isoforms, mutations in p53, loss of PTEN expression, and loss or silencing of BRCA1 are more commonly associated with basal-like tumors (Gluz et al., 2009; Rakha et al., 2008; Miyakis et al., 1998). Moreover, as mentioned above, inherited mutations in BRCA1 (BRCA1^{mut/+}) strongly predispose for the formation of basal-like tumors (Foulkes, 2003; Foulkes et al., 2004; Arnes et al., 2005).

In principle, the predisposition for basal-like tumors in BRCA1mutation carriers could result either from the differentiation state

⁵Department of Pathology

of the precursor cells or from the genetic alterations acquired during tumor formation. In this study, we examined the biology of disease-free breast tissues from patients harboring deleterious mutations in *BRCA1*. In doing so, we found a relationship between genetic alterations in perturbing mammary progenitor differentiation and their influence on tumor phenotype.

RESULTS

Creation of Human Breast Cancers In Vivo Exhibiting Heterogeneous Differentiation

To examine the connection between the role of *BRCA1* in breast progenitor cell differentiation with the susceptibility of *BRCA1*mutation carriers to developing basal-like breast cancers, we used a recently described method for creating human breast tissues in vivo (Proia and Kuperwasser, 2006; Wu et al., 2009). This method involves three distinct temporal steps: (1) clearing of the murine mammary fat pad, (2) reconstitution of the mammary fat pad with human stromal cells, and (3) introduction of lentiviral-infected organoids comixed with activated fibroblasts into the humanized fat pad. Because this system does not require any cell culture, the likelihood of genetic alterations or the selection of variant phenotypes during in vitro expansion is minimized.

In an attempt to generate tumors from patient-derived breast epithelial cells, we modified step (3) above by introducing oncogenes into dissociated single cell suspensions of epithelial cells before introducing them into humanized stroma (Figure 1A). We chose a set of oncogenes reflective of both the luminal and basal tumor classes to reduce the potential for genetic bias toward either tumor subtype. We infected uncultured breast epithelial cell suspensions obtained from dissociated reduction mammoplasty tissues with lentiviruses harboring genes for a mutated form of p53 (p53R175H), wild-type cyclin D1 (CCND1), a constitutively activated form of P13K (PI3KCA), and an oncogenic form of K-ras (RasG12V). Breast tumors developed when all four genes were introduced simultaneously into the breast epithelial cells (Figures 1B and 1C).

Tumor formation with this procedure was observed with reduction mammoplasty tissues obtained from multiple patient samples. Expression of the introduced genes in the generated breast tumors was verified by immunostaining (for p53, cyclin D1, and p-Akt) and RT-PCR (for *K-ras*) (Figure 1D). Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) stains of tumor sections revealed that the tumors were heterogeneous invasive carcinomas with regions of mixed squamous and papillary features (Figure 1E; Figure S1 available online). Immunostaining showed that cancer cells in squamous metaplastic regions expressed markers indicative of basal differentiation (cytokeratin 14 [CK14], p63, and vimentin [VIM]) and those within papillary regions expressed luminal markers (estrogen receptor [ER], CK8/18, and CK19) (Figure 1E).

We next applied this transformation protocol to mammary epithelial cells obtained from prophylactic mastectomy tissues from patients harboring deleterious mutations in *BRCA1* (*BRCA1^{mut/+}*) (Table S1, Figure S1). We observed that the identical set of oncogenes was sufficient to transform epithelial cells obtained from *BRCA1^{mut/+}* patients (Figure 2A). Although the introduced oncogenes were expressed to the same extent in wild-type and *BRCA1* tumor tissues, immunostaining of tissue sections revealed strong expression of the basal epithelial markers CK14, p63, and vimentin in *BRCA1^{mut/+}* tumor cells (Figures 2B and 2C). In addition, although tumors arising from *BRCA1^{+/+}* epithelium exhibited regions that were CK8/18 and ER positive, tumors arising from *BRCA1^{mut/+}* cells showed a statistically significant reduction in both CK8/18 and ER expression and increased CK14 expression, which is typical of basal-like tumors (Figure 2C).

To evaluate more comprehensively whether the tumors generated from BRCA1^{mut/+} epithelium exhibited increased basal-like features, we performed global gene expression analyses (Table S2). Hierarchical clustering indicated that tumors arising from either BRCA1^{+/+} or BRCA1^{mut/+} epithelium could be segregated from one another based on global transcriptional profiles (Figure 2D). Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) revealed that BRCA1^{mut/+} tumors exhibited a significant upregulation of genes associated with breast epithelial basal/myoepithelial cell differentiation compared to the tumors arising from BRCA1+/+ cells (Figure 2E: basal gene set I, p < 0.024; basal gene set II, $p < 10^{-4}$; Table S3). In addition, GSEA indicated specific uprequlation of genes in the human breast cancer "basal-like" centroid, which identifies the human basal-like tumor phenotype (Hu et al., 2006) in BRCA1^{mut/+} tumors (basal centroid, Figure 2E, p < 0.033; Table S3) relative to BRCA1+/+ tumors. Collectively, these results indicate that compared to BRCA1+/+ tumors, BRCA1mut/+ tumors generated with identical transforming oncogenes exhibited increased basal-like differentiation.

Lineage Differentiation Defects in Breast Tissues from *BRCA1*-Mutation Carriers

Because the BRCA1^{+/+} and BRCA1^{mut/+} tumors were generated with identical oncogenes, these results suggest that the predisposition of BRCA1^{mut/+} patients for developing basal-like tumors may result from cellular distinctions present prior to neoplastic transformation. We therefore purified breast epithelial cells from BRCA1^{+/+} and BRCA1^{mut/+} disease-free breast tissues and assessed the differentiation state of normal precursors in age-matched breast tissue samples. BRCA1^{+/+} and BRCA1^{mut/+} breast epithelial cells expressed similar levels of BRCA1 transcript and protein (Figure S2). However, gene-expression profiling indicated that many genes were differentially expressed between $BRCA1^{+/+}$ and $BRCA1^{mut/+}$ epithelial cells (Figure 3A; Table S4; Figure S2). Examination of gene ontology functional processes indicated that a number of genes associated with DNA transcription (repressor and activator), DNA binding, establishment and/or maintenance of chromatin architecture, and chromatin assembly or disassembly were differentially expressed in BRCA1^{mut/+} epithelium relative to BRCA1^{+/+} epithelium (Figure 3B).

Examination of genes associated with epithelial differentiation revealed that luminal genes and various hormone-related genes including progesterone and estrogen beta receptors (PGR, ESR2) (Table S4) were downregulated in *BRCA1^{mut/+}* cells, while genes associated with progenitor or basal cells were upregulated (Figure 3A; Table S4). We confirmed these differences in differentiation by using semiquantitative immuno-histochemistry (Allred scoring metric, see Experimental Procedures) applied to disease-free prophylactic mastectomy tissues obtained from *BRCA1^{mut/+}* carriers and age-matched

Cell Stem Cell BRCA1 and Slug in Epithelial Progenitor Cell Fate



В

Genes	Tumor Frequency
GFP	0/18 (0%)
p110/CycD1/p53R175H	0/6 (0%)
p110/CycD1/p53R175H/KRas12V	16/18 (89%)



D



Figure 1. Generation of Human Breast Tumors In Vivo

(A) Schematic depiction of the experimental strategy used to generate human breast tumors with limited ex vivo culturing.

(B and C) Tumor incidence table and GFP whole mount of unsorted breast epithelial cells infected with a GFP control virus or cells infected with the four oncogenes infected with GFP-containing viruses (constructs encoding *K*-ras and *p*53). Scale bars represent 5 mm.

(D) Immunoperoxidase staining of tumors for p53, cyclin D1, pAKT, and express K-ras by RT-PCR. Scale bars represent 100 µm.

(E) Tumor histopathology. Tumors generated from unsorted cells have a mixed phenotype, with areas that have characteristics of basal-type tumors including squamous appearance and immunoreactivity for cytokeratin 14 (CK14), vimentin (VIM), and p63, as well as areas of luminal phenotype that have a papillary growth pattern and reactivity for cytokeratins 8/18 (CK18), 19 (CK19), and estrogen receptor (ER). Scale bars represent 100 µm. See also Figure S1.

reduction mammoplasty tissues from *BRCA1*^{+/+} noncarriers. Consistent with the microarray results, progesterone receptor (PGR) expression was significantly reduced in luminal epithelial cells in 88% of *BRCA1^{mut/+}* tissues compared to only 11% of *BRCA1^{+/+}* breast tissues (Allred score > 5, p < 0.001) (Figure 3C; Table S5). In addition, trefoil factor 3 (TFF3), which is also



Figure 2. Human Breast Tumors Derived from BRCA1^{mut/+} Epithelial Cells Exhibit Enhanced Features of Basal Differentiation

(A) Epithelial cells derived from morphologically normal prophylactic mastectomy tissues from *BRCA1^{mut/+}* carriers form tumors in mice after infection with p110/ CycD1/p53R175H/KRas lentiviruses. Scale bars represent 2 mm.

(B) Similar expression levels of p53, cyclin D1, pAKT, and *K-ras* in *BRCA1^{mut/+}* and *BRCA1^{+/+}* tumors. Scale bars represent 100 μ m. Error bars represent average ± SD; p values calculated by t test.

(C) *BRCA1^{mut/+}* tumor histopathology. Immunoperoxidase staining of tumors for breast epithelial characteristics (ER and pan cytokeratin) as well as basal-like tumor features (CK14, vimentin: VIM and p63). Scale bars represent 100 µm.

(D) Heat map of hierarchical clustering of microarray data from tumors (n = 4) arising from BRCA1^{+/+} epithelium and tumors (n = 4) arising from BRCA1^{mut/+} epithelium.

(E) GSEA analysis indicates that the clustering is in part due to increased expression of genes associated with basal differentiation and with the basal-like breast cancer centroid.

Error bars represent average ± SD; p values calculated by t test. See also Tables S1–S3.

associated with mature luminal differentiation, was nearly absent in 88% of *BRCA1^{mut/+}* tissues compared to only 36% of *BRCA1^{+/+}* tissues (Allred score < 4, p < 0.0398; Figure 3C; Table S5). In contrast, 88% of *BRCA1^{mut/+}* tissue samples exhibited moderate-to-high expression of the basal marker vimentin compared to 16% of *BRCA1^{+/+}* tissues (Figure 3C; Table S5; p < 0.086).

We next used flow cytometry to assess the proportion of lineage-committed and progenitor epithelial cells in breast tissues. Cells expressing CD24 or high levels of EpCAM (ESA) enrich for cells of the luminal lineage, whereas cells expressing high levels of CD49f enrich for cells of the myoepithelial (ME)/ basal lineage (Villadsen et al., 2007; Shipitsin et al., 2007). Analysis of reduction mammoplasty breast tissues from *BRCA1*^{+/+} patients identified four populations of epithelial cells: EpCAM^{hi}/CD49f⁻ mature luminal cells, EpCAM^{hi}/CD49f⁺ luminal progenitor cells, EpCAM^{low}/CD49f⁺ basal/myoepithelial (ME) cells, and EpCAM⁻/CD49f⁺ basal progenitor cells (Figure 3D; Figure S2; Keller et al., 2010; Lim et al., 2009; Eirew et al., 2008).

Analysis of prophylactic mastectomy tissues from *BRCA1*^{*mut/+*} (<50 year) tissues also identified four populations of epithelial cells but revealed a statistically significant increase in the proportion of EpCAM⁻/CD49f⁺ basal progenitor cells (p < 0.04; Figure 3D) and an appreciable but not statistically significant decrease in the number of EpCAM^{hi}/CD49f⁺ luminal progenitor cells. These results indicate that *BRCA1*^{*mut/+*} tissues exhibit luminal and basal epithelial cell differentiation defects prior to any evidence of cancer.

Characterization of Progenitor Cells from *BRCA1*-Mutation Carriers

We next evaluated progenitor activity of mammary epithelial cells obtained directly from breast tissues. We employed mammosphere (Dontu et al., 2003) and adherent colony-formation (Stingl et al., 2001) assays to assess breast progenitor activity, and we evaluated whether they arose from luminal-committed, basal/ME-committed, or bipotent progenitors by staining for the differentiation markers CK14 and CK8/18. We found no significant differences in the formation of primary mammospheres, suggesting that the total number of stem/progenitor cells may not differ between $BRCA1^{+/+}$ and $BRCA1^{mut/+}$ tissues (Figure S3). In addition, there was no significant difference in the distribution of CK8/18⁺ and CK14⁺ cells within both $BRCA1^{+/+}$ and $BRCA1^{mut/+}$ mammospheres (Figure S3).

Under adherent conditions, we found that human breast epithelial cells generated spherical colonies that grew in suspension as well as adherent colonies that grew on plastic (Figure 3E; Figures S3). Although we did not observe a statistically significant difference in the number of adherent progenitor colonies arising from *BRCA1^{mut/+}* cells, we did observe that spherical luminal colonies derived from *BRCA1^{mut/+}* cells expressed significantly higher levels of the basal maker CK14 in comparison to colonies from *BRCA1^{+/+}* cells that were more uniformly CK8/ 18 positive (Figure 3E).

We also assessed the in vivo outgrowth competency of progenitor cells from *BRCA1^{mut/+}* and *BRCA1^{+/+}* cells. By using the humanized cleared fat pad system, we found that *BRCA1^{+/+}* cells generated mature bilayered ductal/acinar outgrowths, which contained an inner luminal layer of epithelial cells that

stained predominantly for CK8/18 and 19, and an outer myoepithelial layer that stained for the basal/ME marker CK14 and SMA. In contrast, *BRCA1^{mut/+}* cells gave rise primarily to immature ductal/acinar outgrowths that exhibited a significant increase in bipotent luminal cells that expressed both CK19 and CK14 and to a lesser degree CK8/18 and CK14 (Figure 3F; Figure S3). Taken together, these results reveal that luminal progenitor cells from *BRCA1^{mut/+}* tissue exhibit defects in full maturation and differentiation and retain features of basal differentiation.

Luminal Cells Give Rise to Tumors in *BRCA1*-Mutation Carriers

We next wanted to determine whether the increased basal differentiation observed after neoplastic transformation of *BRCA1^{mut/+}* cells was due to the increased numbers of EpCAM⁻ basal cells or to the increased basal differentiation state of luminal progenitor cells. Accordingly, we enriched for either luminal (EpCAM⁺) or basal/ME (CD10⁺) cells (Figure 4A) prior to lentiviral infection and injection into the mammary fat pad. Each subpopulation was isolated from breast tissues to >90% purity, as gauged by immunofluorescence (Figure 4B). The CD10⁺ subpopulation was enriched for basal/ME CK14⁺ cells, but CK14⁺ cells were depleted from the CD10⁻/EpCAM⁺ fraction (Figure 4C). Conversely, CK8/18⁺ luminal cells were enriched in the CD10⁻/EpCAM⁺ fraction compared to the CD10⁺ and parental unsorted populations (Figure 4C).

Basal/ME-enriched (CD10⁺), luminal/progenitor-enriched (CD10⁻/EpCAM⁺), and marker-depleted (CD10⁻/EpCAM⁻) cells were infected with the p53R175H, CCND1, PI3KCA, and RasG12V oncogenes and injected into humanized murine mammary glands. The luminal-enriched CD10⁻/EpCAM⁺ fraction consistently formed tumors with growth kinetics, frequencies, and histopathology similar to tumors arising from unsorted cells from either BRCA1^{mut/+}- or BRCA1^{+/+}-derived tissues (Figures 4D and 4E). Thus, basal/ME (CD10⁺) or depleted (CD10⁻/EpCAM⁻) cells from either BRCA1^{mut/+} or BRCA1^{+/+} breast epithelial cell populations were not preferentially transformed with this combination of oncogenes. Rather, these results indicate that the target cell for transformation probably resides within the luminal EpCAM⁺/CD10⁻ population. Collectively, these results imply that the increased basal phenotype of BRCA1-associated tumors results from the pre-existing increased basal differentiation state of the luminal progenitor population.

Slug Suppresses Breast Progenitor Cell Lineage Commitment

To investigate the mechanism by which *BRCA1* mutation affects progenitor cell differentiation, we classified the breast epithelial gene-expression signature described above based on signaling pathways that were differentially expressed in *BRCA1^{mut/+}* cells. Remarkably, the most significantly represented signaling pathways identified in *BRCA1^{mut/+}* breast epithelial signature were the Wnt, Notch, and melanogenesis pathways (Figure S4).

Notably, the transcriptional repressor Slug, which is an established regulator of melanocyte development, is a downstream target of both Wnt and Notch signaling (Niessen et al., 2008; DiMeo et al., 2009). This connection prompted us to examine Slug expression in breast epithelial tissues and cells harboring



mutations in *BRCA1*. We did not find differences in SLUG mRNA expression, consistent with the microarray data, but we did observe abundant Slug protein in 87% of disease-free *BRCA1^{mut/+}* prophylactic mastectomy tissues, while its expression was reduced in tissues from reduction mammoplasty *BRCA1^{+/+}* tissues (Allred score > 1, p < 0.01) (Figure 5A).

Because Slug is a transcriptional repressor, we next investigated whether Slug expression might be affecting breast progenitor lineage commitment and differentiation. Because serum addition can promote cellular differentiation of immortalized human mammary epithelial cells (HMECs), which are a model for bipotent breast progenitor cells (Keller et al., 2010; Zhao et al., 2010), we treated HMECs from patient-derived BRCA1^{+/+} and BRCA1^{mut/+} tissues with serum and assessed epithelial differentiation. Treatment of BRCA1+/+ HMECs with serum resulted in luminal differentiation, as measured by an increase EpCAM⁺/CD24⁺ cells as well as increased CD24 expression and increased CK8/18 expression (Figure 5B, data not shown). However, addition of serum to BRCA1^{mut/+} HMEC cells failed to induce complete differentiation, consistent with defects in luminal lineage competency (Figures 5B and 5C). Luminal differentiation was accompanied by a reduction in Slug protein level in both BRCA1^{mut/+} and BRCA1^{+/+} cells, although the overall reduction was somewhat reduced in $BRCA1^{mut/+}$ cells (Figure 5C).

To investigate whether Slug directly represses breast epithelial lineage commitment and differentiation, we used lentiviral-mediated short hairpin inhibition of Slug expression in primary prophylactic mastectomy cells isolated from three different patients with deleterious *BRCA1* mutations. Slug knockdown led to a reduction in the proportion of EpCAM⁻/CD49f⁺ progenitor cells and a concomitant increase in the proportion of EpCAM⁺, CD44^{lo}, and CD24⁺ luminal cells (Figure 5D; Figure S5). Furthermore, expression of the basal marker vimentin was greatly reduced, while expression of the luminal marker CD24 was increased (Figure 5C; Figure S5). We also examined the effects of Slug inhibition in immortalized HMECs derived from *BRCA1^{mut/+}* patients. As with primary cells, inhibition of Slug resulted in a decrease in the numbers of EpCAM⁻/CD49f⁺

basal progenitor cells and an increase in the numbers of EpCAM⁺ luminal cells (Figure 5E). Given these findings, we also examined whether inhibition of Slug might also affect luminal differentiation in *BRCA1^{+/+}* cells. Indeed, inhibition of Slug in *BRCA1^{+/+}* cells also led to a reduction in the proportion of EpCAM⁻/CD49f⁺ basal progenitor cells and an increase in luminal cells. Taken together, these findings indicate that Slug is a regulator of human breast progenitor cell lineage commitment and that its expression suppresses luminal differentiation.

BRCA1 Regulation of Slug Protein Stability

To examine whether BRCA1 regulates Slug expression, we used short interfering RNAs (siRNA) to inhibit BRCA1 expression in human breast MCF10A cells, which express wild-type BRCA1 (Elstrodt et al., 2006). Quantitative RT-PCR and western blotting confirmed knockdown of transcript and BRCA1 protein expression (Figure 6A). Knockdown of BRCA1 by siRNA led to a modest but highly reproducible 2-fold increase in Slug protein expression, in the absence of increased mRNA expression (Figure 6A). These results suggest that loss of BRCA1 may lead to increased Slug protein expression by a posttranslational mechanism. We therefore examined the stability of Slug protein in cells after siRNA inhibition of BRCA1 as well as in cells with mutations in BRCA1. We confirmed that Slug protein was highly unstable in the BRCA1^{+/+} MCF10A cells (Figures 6B and 6C). BRCA1^{mut} cells (SUM149, SUM1315) and siBRCA1-MCF10A cells were collected at regular time intervals subsequent to cyclohexamide (CHX) treatment and subjected to western blot analysis. Whereas Slug protein levels were turned over in siControl-MCF10A cells, Slug protein was still detected up to 6 hr after CHX treatment in siBRCA1-MCF10A cells and in cancer lines harboring mutations in BRCA1 (Figure 6C). Importantly, the difference in stability noted in Slug protein in BRCA1^{mut} SUM149 and SUM1315 cells was not due to a defect in proteasome activity, as indicated by the fact that cyclin D1 protein was still degraded. Taken together, these results indicate that BRCA1 regulates Slug protein stability.

To begin to understand the mechanism involved, we looked at whether the ubiquitin ligase function of BRCA1 might be

```
Figure 3. BRCA1<sup>mut/+</sup> Breast Epithelial Cells Exhibit Defects in Lineage Differentiation
```

(A) Heat map of hierarchical clustering of microarray data from epithelial cells isolated from BRCA1^{+/+} breast patient samples (n = 4) and BRCA1^{mut/+} patient samples (n = 4).

(E) Human breast epithelial cells produce small (\sim 30–50 µm) luminal suspension spheres when grown under adherent conditions (indicated by arrows). Cytospun spheres were stained for CK8/18 (red) and CK14 (green). Scale bars represent 100 µm. CK14 content in spheres was scored as described in Experimental Procedures. At least 30 spheres were scored for each patient sample. The average scores from three *BRCA1*^{+/+} and *BRCA1*^{mut/+} patient samples are shown in the graph.

(F) Acinar structures from patient-derived $BRCA1^{+/+}$ (n = 4) and $BRCA1^{mut/+}$ patient (n = 4) cells infected with GFP lentivirus to visualize outgrowth and grown in the HIM model. Tissue outgrowths were double stained for CK14 and CK8/18 or CK19 (representative photos, top). The staining was characterized as mature (CK14⁺ basal/ME layer and CK8/18 and/or CK19⁺ luminal layer), immature (CK14⁺ basal/ME layer and CK8/19 and/or CK19⁺ luminal layer), or other (CK14 only, CK8/18/19 only, etc.). The average number of the three categories of structures are shown in the graph (n \geq 85 acini).

Error bars are ±SEM and p values were calculated by two-tailed t test. See also Figures S2 and S3 as well as Tables S4 and S5.

⁽B) Gene ontology biological process categories associated with *BRCA1^{mut/+}* breast epithelial cells. The DAVID Functional Annotation Tool was used to define categories with an enrichment score >1.5, and the number of genes represented in the list and the p value of genes differentially expressed in the microarray are shown.

⁽C) Immunoperoxidase staining of normal human breast tissue from $BRCA1^{+/+}$ and $BRCA1^{mut/+}$ carriers with luminal-specific trefoil factor 3 (TFF3) and progesterone receptor (PGR) and basal-specific vimentin (VIM) antibodies. Scale bars represent 100 μ m. Immunohistochemistry for TFF3, PGR, and VIM was performed on age-matched $BRCA1^{+/+}$ (n = 13) and $BRCA1^{mut/+}$ (n = 10) disease-free breast tissues. Differences in staining were observed primarily in lobules, not ducts. (D) Freshly dissociated, uncultured epithelial cells from age-matched (<50 years) $BRCA1^{+/+}$ (n = 10) and $BRCA1^{mut/+}$ (n = 7) patients were analyzed for EpCAM and CD49f expression by flow cytometry. Representative dot plots of a $BRCA1^{+/+}$ or $BRCA1^{mut/+}$ patient are shown.



Figure 4. EpCAM⁺ Luminal Cells Are Able to Recapitulate Tumor Growth

(A) Flow chart describing sorting scheme.

(B) Assessment of the purity of cells after magnetic bead sorting. Quantification of double staining for the luminal marker CK8/18 and the basal marker CK14 after sorting indicates that the sorting strategy depletes cells positive for these markers. Secondary antibody labeling of immunocomplexes on bead-released sorted cells indicates purity of the fractions.

(C) CK14 immunofluorescence (IF) staining and quantification of sorted fractions indicates basal cell enrichment in the CD10⁺ fraction and depletion in the CD10⁻/ EpCAM⁺ fraction. CK8/18 IF staining of sorted fractions indicates luminal cell depletion in the CD10⁺ fraction and enrichment in the CD10⁻/EpCAM⁺ fraction. (D and E) Sorted epithelial cell fractions infected with identical oncogenes differ in their ability to form tumors. GFP whole-mount micrographs of tumor outgrowths of sorted and infected breast epithelial cells from the four different fractions. *BRCA1^{+/+}* tumor data are compiled from three separate experiments with two different patient samples. Unsorted (n = 14), CD10⁺ (n = 4), CD10⁻/EpCAM⁺ (n = 6), Depleted (n = 8). *BRCA1^{mut/+}* tumor data are compiled from two experiments with one patient sample. *BRCA1^{mut/+}* Unsorted (n = 8), CD10⁺ (n = 1), CD10⁻/EpCAM⁺ (n = 4), Depleted (n = 4). Error bars are ±SEM and p values were calculated by two-tailed t test.



Figure 5. Slug Suppresses Breast Epithelial Differentiation and Lineage Commitment

(A) IHC staining of PM and RM tissues for Slug protein; staining was quantified by Allred scoring (see Supplemental Experimental Procedures). Scale bars represent 100 μ m.

(B) Flow cytometry analysis of CD24 expression in immortalized BRCA1^{+/+} and BRCA1^{mut/+} epithelial cells derived from four different patient tissues after serum-induced differentiation.

(C) Slug protein expression in immortalized $BRCA1^{+/+}$ and $BRCA1^{mut/+}$ epithelial cells derived from patient breast tissues after serum-induced differentiation. Quantification of fold reduction in Slug protein expression upon serum treatment from three different experiments (p = 0.24).

(D) Flow cytometry analysis of EpCAM and CD49f expression in patient-derived breast epithelial cells from breast tissues of three different *BRCA1^{mut/+}* patients after Slug knockdown.

(E) Flow cytometry analysis of EpCAM and CD49f expression in patient-derived breast epithelial cells from immortalized *BRCA1^{mut/+}* epithelial cells derived from *BRCA1^{mut/+}* tissues after Slug knockdown.

Error bars are ±SEM and p values were calculated by two-tailed t test. See also Figures S4 and S5.



Figure 6. Loss or Mutation of *BRCA1* Promotes Increased Slug Protein Stability

(A) Loss of *BRCA1* leads to increased Slug protein but not mRNA expression in MCF10A cells. qRT-PCR and western blot analysis of BRCA1 and Slug expression in *BRCA1*^{+/+} MCF10A cells transfected with siBRCA1 or siControl. qRT-PCR data was normalized to *GAPDH* and to siControl. Error bars are ±SEM.

(B) BRCA1^{+/+} (MCF10A) and BRCA1^{mut} (SUM1315, SUM149) cells were treated with cycloheximide (CHX) to prevent further protein synthesis at indicated time intervals. Western blot analysis demonstrates that Slug protein is highly unstable in MCF10A cells whereas it had a significantly longer half-life in SUM149 and SUM1315 cells. Cyclin D1 and Actin were used as controls. (C) BRCA1^{+/+} (MCF10A) were transfected with siBRCA1 or siControl and

treated with cycloheximide (CHX) to prevent further protein synthesis at

important in regulating Slug protein stability. BRCA1 associates with the BRCA1-associated RING domain-1 protein (BARD1) to form a heterodimer with ubiquitin E3 ligase activity. Therefore, we examined whether BARD1 knockdown might also result in increased Slug protein stability. We used siRNAs to inhibit BARD1 expression in human breast MCF10A cells and collected cell lysates at regular time intervals after cyclohexamide (CHX) treatment. Although BARD1 protein was inhibited to nearly undetectable levels, Slug protein stability was similar to that of control cells, indicating that the ubiquitin-ligase functions of BARD1 was probably not regulating Slug protein stability (Figure S6). We next examined direct interactions between BRCA1 and Slug proteins. However, coimmunoprecipciaton of Slug with BRCA1 failed to demonstrate an interaction (Figure S6), although we did observe interaction between BRCA1 and BARD1. Further studies will be needed to determine which BRCA1 functions are involved in regulating Slug protein stability.

Slug Regulation of Basal-like Breast Cancer Phenotype

To assess the role of Slug in basal-like breast cancer phenotype, we first examined its expression in sporadic and *BRCA1*-associated breast tumor tissues. Slug protein was preferentially expressed in ER-negative tumors derived from *BRCA1*-mutation carriers as well as ER-negative sporadic breast cancers, but its levels were higher in *BRCA1*-associated breast cancers (p < 0.007) (Figure 7A). Furthermore, Slug protein was expressed in cell lines derived from basal-like breast cancers and elevated in cancer cell lines that harbored mutations in *BRCA1* (Figure 7B).

To determine whether Slug is necessary for regulating the basal-like tumor phenotype, we used lentiviral-mediated short hairpin inhibition of Slug in breast cancer cells derived from primary patient BRCA1^{mut} breast cancers. We found that shSlug reduced endogenous Slug mRNA levels between 40% and 80% in BRCA1^{mut} SUM149 and SUM1315 cancer cell lines and reduced protein to nearly undetectable levels (Figure 7C). Slug inhibition resulted in a ~6-fold reduction in the proportion of CD44⁺/CD24⁻ stem-like basal cells in SUM149 and a \sim 4-fold increase in the proportion of CD44⁻/CD24⁺ luminal cells, consistent with increased luminal differentiation and reduced basal/ stem-like differentiation (Figure 7C). Similarly, reducing Slug expression in BRCA1^{mut} SUM1315 cells increased the proportion of CD24⁺ luminal cells by nearly 3-fold (Figure S7). We also performed quantitative mRNA expression profiling by using a custom qRT-PCR array targeting 86 genes associated with basal/ME, luminal, or stem cell differentiation (Table S6). Consistent with the changes observed by flow cytometry, inhibition of Slug resulted in upregulation of luminal genes in tumor cells including CK19, CK8, E-cadherin, MUC1, CD24, and TFF3, and repression of basal, mesenchymal, and stem cell genes in both SUM149 and SUM1315 lines (Figure 7D).

To further demonstrate the role of Slug in the development of basal-like breast cancers in *BRCA1^{mut/+}* cells, mammary

indicated time intervals. Western blot analysis demonstrates that Slug protein is turned over in siControl MCF10A cells whereas it remained expressed in siBRCA1 MCF10A cells. See also Figure S6.

epithelial cells from disease-free prophylactic mastectomy tissues from three different *BRCA1^{mut/+}* patients were transduced with lentiviruses harboring oncogenes used in the creation of human breast cancers in vivo with or without targeting Slug expression. Patient-derived *BRCA1^{mut/+}* cells expressing shSlug showed increased expression of genes associated with luminal tumors including CK19, CK8, MUC1, EpCAM, and TFF3 with concomitant repression of many genes associated with basal-like breast cancers including SPARC, SERPINE, CD44, CK14, CK5, CK17, and vimentin compared to control patient-derived *BRCA1^{mut/+}* cells (Figure 7E).

Finally, to demonstrate the connection between Slug expression and *BRCA1* mutation before transformation, we examined whether the genes that were induced upon Slug inhibition were differentially expressed based on *BRCA1* status in disease-free tissues (Slug Gene Set, Table S3). We used GSEA to evaluate the expression of these Slug transcriptional targets in *BRCA1^{mut/+}* epithelium from four different patient samples. Six out of eight Slug target genes were repressed in *BRCA1^{mut/+}* cells relative to *BRCA1^{+/+}* cells (Figure S7), yielding significant enrichment by GSEA (p < 0.0207). Collectively, these results show that upregulation of Slug inhibits luminal lineage commitment and increases the propensity for the formation of basal-like breast tumors.

DISCUSSION

A fundamental difference between breast cancers arising in BRCA1-mutation carriers compared to sporadic cancers is their tendency toward a basal subtype. By using an in vivo model that minimized cell culture, we were able to create human breast cancers that recapitulated many features of clinically relevant tumors to validate the previously untested idea that the predisposition for basal-like tumors in BRCA1-mutation carriers arises from perturbations in breast epithelial differentiation caused by compromised BRCA1 function (Foulkes, 2003). Our results show that breast epithelial cells isolated from BRCA1-mutation carriers preferentially form tumors with increased basal differentiation compared to cells isolated from noncarrier tissues. In addition, we found that EpCAM⁺/CD10⁻ luminal cells from both BRCA1^{+/+} and BRCA1^{mut/+} tissues enriched for tumor-forming ability in this model system, but that the latter exhibited increased features of basal differentiation prior to transformation. However, since basal progenitor cells were also expanded in disease-free breast tissues from BRCA1^{mut/+} tissues, it is possible that these cells might also serve as targets of neoplastic transformation in patients. Nevertheless, our findings are consistent with the notion that tumor phenotype can be significantly impacted by the pre-existing differentiation state of the normal precursor ("cell of origin") targeted for neoplastic transformation (Gupta et al., 2005; Ince et al., 2007). However, since mutations in a single allele of BRCA1 can alter the differentiation potential of the same cellular targets of transformation, leading to tumors with different phenotypes, this indicates that the initiating genetic mutation ("mutation of origin") is a critical factor in defining tumor subtype. Future studies will be needed to determine whether other combinations of cooperating oncogenes give rise to BRCA1-associated basal-like tumors in basal/ME cells and whether mutations in other tumor-suppressor genes or oncogenes also affect the differentiation potential of progenitor cells that drive tumor phenotypes.

Although we have not excluded the possibility that LOH of the wild-type *BRCA1* allele is necessary for basal-like tumor formation, tumors in this model system were driven by ectopic oncogenes, suggesting that LOH was not necessarily a rate-limiting step. Furthermore, LOH is a stochastic event in *BRCA1^{mut/+}* patients, affecting the mutant or wild-type alleles with similar frequencies (Clarke et al., 2006). Because the analysis of prophylactic mastectomy tissues showed differentiation defects in significant proportions of the breast tissue, this suggests that LOH was probably not responsible for the perturbations in breast epithelial differentiation or basal tumor phenotype. Our findings, combined with those of others (Burga et al., 2009; Lim et al., 2009) indicate that haploinsufficiency of *BRCA1* affects breast epithelial differentiation and progenitor cells in patients.

The present study also provides several additional lines of evidence that breast epithelial differentiation is altered in the presence of *BRCA1* mutations. First, genes involved in epigenetic functions including DNA transcription and chromatin modification are overrepresented in the transcriptional signature of *BRCA1* mutant cells. Interestingly, many of the upregulated genes are involved in the establishment and/or maintenance of chromatin structure, including demethylases, methyltransferases, histones, acetyltransferases, and several components of the ubiquitin pathway. These observations are consistent with the idea that *BRCA1* mutations affect large-scale chromatin unfolding (Ye et al., 2001), underscoring its role as an integral component of multiprotein complexes that modulate gene expression (Narod and Foulkes, 2004).

Second, the distinct transcriptional profile of BRCA1^{mut/+} cells may reflect activation of signaling pathways associated with progenitor/basal cells, increased basal differentiation, and decreased luminal differentiation. Previous results suggest that a reduction in BRCA1 leads to a failure of luminal lineage commitment and increased expansion of an uncommitted progenitor EpCAM⁻ population (Liu et al., 2008). Consistent with this observation, we found that BRCA1^{mut/+} breast tissue exhibited an increase in the proportion of EpCAM^{-/}CD49f⁺ basal progenitor cells. However, in contrast to other findings (Lim et al., 2009), we did not find an expansion of EpCAM⁺/CD49f⁺ luminal progenitor cells in BRCA1^{mut/+} breast tissues, although we did observe defects in luminal progenitor differentiation. This difference might reflect the genetic differences between the BRCA1 patient populations in the two studies. Nonetheless, overall these studies reinforce the idea that BRCA1 is a critical regulator of breast epithelial progenitor lineage commitment.

All of the *BRCA1*-mutation carrier samples used in this study harbored frameshift mutations that compromise at a minimum the C-terminal BRCT domain (Figure S2), which could destabilize protein-protein interactions between BRCA1 and its C-terminal binding partners. However, the overall levels of BRCA1 expression were surprisingly not affected. In addition, perturbations in differentiation and increases in Slug expression could be detected without changes in BRCA1 levels, implying that the effects of *BRCA1* mutation may be at the level of protein-protein interactions rather than overall BRCA1 expression. Consistent with this notion, reduction of BRCA1 in MCF10A cells by RNA interference impaired differentiation and could be rescued by



Figure 7. Slug Regulates Breast Cancer Phenotype in BRCA1-Mutation Carriers

(A) Immunohistochemistry of Slug protein in breast carcinomas with known *BRCA1* mutation status. Scale bars represent 100 μm. (B) Western blot analysis of Slug and BRCA1 expression in breast cancer cell lines. *SLUG* mRNA levels were normalized to *GAPDH* and to *BRCA1* levels in the cell lines.

(C) SUM149 BRCA1^{mut} breast cancer cells infected with lentiviruses targeting Slug (shSlug2 and shSlug3) or a scrambled sequence (shCntrl). Flow cytometry analysis of CD44 and CD24 expression in patient-derived SUM149 cell after Slug knockdown.

expression of a wild-type or a RAD51 mutant of BRCA1 but not with a BRCA1 C-terminal BRCT domain mutant (Furuta et al., 2005). Future studies will be necessary to fully dissect the precise domains and mechanism by which BRCA1 regulates breast epithelial differentiation. In addition, further experiments will be needed to determine whether certain mutations in *BRCA1* affect differentiation and regulate progenitor cell fate differently than others and whether different mutations alter the propensity for the development of basal-like tumors.

The observation that BRCA1^{mut/+} epithelial cells express genes involved in melanogenesis and stem cell biology prompted us to examine Slug and its requirement for maintaining progenitor cells and basal differentiation. We found that haploinsufficiency or knockdown of BRCA1 was associated with increased expression and stability of the transcriptional repressor Slug and that Slug regulated the basal epithelial and tumor phenotype. This suggests that perturbations in luminal differentiation due in part to Slug expression is probably responsible for the increased propensity for the development of tumors with basal-like features. Although our results do not address whether Slug is sufficient to induce basal differentiation, Slug is expressed in breast stem/progenitor cells, can promote a basal-like phenotype in the luminal MCF-7 breast cancer cell line, and can increase basal differentiation in the nontumorigenic MCF10A breast line (Sarrio et al., 2008). Moreover, the fact that Slug is expressed in basal-like breast cancers not associated with BRCA1 mutations (Storci et al., 2008) also implies that acquisition of its expression enables basal differentiation.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Detailed methods are described in Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

BRCA1-Mutation Carrier Tissues

All human breast tissue procurement for these experiments was obtained in compliance with the laws and institutional guidelines, as approved by the institutional IRB committee from Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center (BIDMC) and Tufts University School of Medicine. Disease-free prophylactic mastectomy (n = 31; 12 fresh, 19 formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded) and tumor tissues (n = 19) derived from women carrying a known deleterious BRCA1 heterozygous mutation were obtained with patient consent from the Surgical Pathology files or immediately after prophylactic mastectomy surgery at BIDMC. Tissues in which BRCA1 mutation was confirmed but not known were submitted for sequence/genotyping at Myriad Genetic Laboratories. Non-BRCA1 tumor tissues (n = 20) were obtained from discarded material at Tufts Medical Center and noncancerous breast tissue was obtained from patients undergoing elective reduction mammoplasty at Tufts Medical Center or BIDMC (n = 38; 18 fresh, 24 formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded). BRCA1 mutation status and clinical information are listed in Table S1. The range of patient ages for fresh BRCA1+/+ tissue used in this study was 30-54 with a median age of 40; the range of patient ages for fresh BRCA1^{mut/+} tissue used in this study was 35-53 with a median age of 44. All disease-free breast tissues were verified by surgical pathologists prior to use in these studies.

Cell Lines and Tissue Culture

SUM cell lines were obtained from Dr. Stephen Ethier (Kramanos Institute, MI), and the MCF10A cell lines were purchased from ATCC. MCF10A cells were cultured in DMEM with 10% calf serum. SUM149PT cells were cultured in Ham's F12 with 5% calf serum, insulin (5 μ g/mL), and hydrocortisone (1 μ g/mL) whereas SUM1315MO2 were in Ham's F12 with 5% calf serum, insulin (5 μ g/mL), and EGF (10 ng/mL). All cell lines were grown at 37°C and 5% CO₂. *BRCA1^{mut/+}* HMECs were immortalized with the catalytic subunit of human telomerase as previously described (Elenbaas et al., 2001). BHME cells were cultured in MEGM (Lonza) supplemented with bovine pituitary extract (BPE), insulin (5 μ g/mL), EGF (10 ng/mL), and hydrocortisone (1 μ g/mL).

Lentiviral Constructs and Virus Production

Lentiviral constructs used for gene transduction into human mammary epithelial cells were created with standard cloning techniques into the self-inactivating CS-CG (Miyoshi et al., 1998) viral vector generously provided by Inder Verma (Salk Institute, La Jolla, CA). pLENTI-KRAS^{G12V} and pLenti-CMV-PIK3CA-myr+CMV-CCND1 were obtained from Min Wu (Aveo Pharmaceuticals, Cambridge, MA). A wild-type human p53 cDNA clone was generously provided by Josh LaBaer (Harvard Institute of Proteomics, Harvard Medical School, Cambridge, MA). Site-directed mutagenesis was employed to change amino acid residue 175 from R to H. The VSV-G-pseudotyped lentiviral vectors were generated by transient cotransfection of the vector construct with the VSV-G-expressing construct pCMV-VSV-G (Miyoshi et al., 1998) and the packaging construct pCMV AR8.2Avpr (Miyoshi et al., 1998), generously provided by Inder Verma, into 293T cells with the FuGENE 6 transfection reagent (Roche). High-titer stocks of the virus were prepared by ultracentrifugation at 100,000 × g. Lentiviral shRNA constructs targeting Slug (Addgene plasmids 10904 and 10905) were prepared as previously described (Gupta et al., 2005).

Breast tissues were minced and enzymatically digested overnight with a mixture of collagenase and hyaluronidase as previously described (Kuperwasser et al., 2004; Proia and Kuperwasser, 2006) and dissociated to a single cell suspension. Immediately after dissociation, cells were resuspended with lentiviruses expressing the genes of interest and injected into cleared and humanized mammary fat pads.

Animals and Surgery

All animal procedures were conducted in accordance with a protocol approved by the Tufts University IACUC committee. A colony of immunocompromised NOD/SCID mice was maintained in house under aseptic sterile conditions. Mice were administered autoclaved food and water ad libitum. Surgeries were performed under sterile conditions, and animals received antibiotics in the drinking water up to 2 weeks after all surgical procedures. Animals were humanized and injected as previously described (Kuperwasser et al., 2004; Proia and Kuperwasser, 2006).

Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemistry was performed on formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue sections with sodium citrate antigen retrieval, followed by visualization with the ABC Elite peroxidase kit and NovaRed substrate (Vector Labs) for detection of α SMA (1:500, clone α sm-1), CK14 (1:500, clone LL002), CK8/18 (1:500, clone DC-10), Vimentin (1:500, clone V9), CK19 (1:500, clone b170) (all, Vector Labs), TFF3 (Abnova, clone 3D9, 1:200), and Slug (1:200, Cell Signaling). Staining for pan-cytokeratin (Ventana Medical Systems), p53 (Ventana Medical Systems), cyclin D1 (NeoMarkers), pAKT (Cell Signaling, 1:100), ER (Ventana Medical Systems), p63 (Ventana Medical Systems), and PR was performed by the Histology Special Procedures Laboratory at Tufts Medical

⁽D) Quantitative RT-PCR array against a panel of 86 genes expressed in breast luminal, basal, and stem cells was performed on SUM1315-shSlug and SUM149-shSlug and their respective scrambled controls. Genes differentially expressed in both cell lines in the shSlug cells compared to the scrambled controls are plotted. PROM, TFF3, KRT19, KRT8, and CDH1 genes are not expressed in SUM1315 cells.

⁽E) *BRCA1^{mut/+}* epithelial cells infected with oncogenes in the presence of Slug knockdown leads to cells with features of luminal-like breast cancers. *BRCA1^{mut/+}* patient-derived breast epithelial cells were infected with lentiviruses encoding mutant p53, cyclin D1, and K-ras with shSlug or a scrambled sequence (shCntrl) and a quantitative RT-PCR array was performed. Genes differentially expressed >2-fold in both patient samples compared to the scrambled controls are plotted. Error bars are ±SEM and p values were calculated by two-tailed t test. See also Figure S7 and Table S6.

Center. IHC results were semiquantitatively analyzed (see Supplemental Experimental Procedures for details).

Mammospheres and Adherent Colony Forming Assays

Viable cells dissociated from organoids derived from $BRCA1^{+/+}$ (n = 4) and $BRCA1^{+/-}$ (n = 4) patients were plated at 35,000 cells per well in 6-well plates for adherent colony growth in MEGM media (Lonza) or at 20,000 cells per ml in 6-well ultralow attachment plates (Corning) in MEGM media minus the addition of bovine pituitary extract for mammosphere growth. Colonies and mammospheres were allowed to form for 8 days after which nonadherent suspension colonies from adherent culture and mammospheres from nonadherent culture were collected for analysis.

Mammospheres collected from nonadherent culture and suspension colonies collected from adherent culture were cytospun onto glass slides and fixed in methanol for immunofluorescence analysis. Quantification of mammosphere and suspension colony numbers was accomplished with a Multisizer 3 COULTER COUNTER (Beckman-Coulter).

Immunomagnetic Bead Sorting

Epithelial organoids from *BRCA1^{+/+}* and *BRCA1^{mut/+}* patients were dissociated to a single cell suspension and sorted with CELLection pan-mouse IgG magnetic beads according to the manufacturers' instructions and as described previously (Allinen et al., 2004) (Dynal, Invitrogen) conjugated to a CD10 antibody (DAKO). CD10⁺ cells were released from the beads by DNase treatment per the manufacturer's instructions. Cells that did not bind to the CD10-immunobeads were further sorted with magnetic beads conjugated to an EpCAM antibody (Abcam).

Flow Cytometry and FACS

Uncultured cells from *BRCA1^{+/+}* (n = 10) or *BRCA1^{mut/+}* (n = 7) organoid preparations were dissociated to a single-cell suspension as described above and filtered through a 20 μ m nylon mesh (Millipore). Endothelial, lymphocytic, monocytic, and fibroblastic lineages were depleted with antibodies to CD31, CD34, and CD45 (all Thermo/LabVision) and Fibroblast Specific Protein/IB10 (Sigma) and a cocktail of Pan-mouse IgG and IgM Dynabeads (Dynal, Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions and as described previously (Villadsen et al., 2007) and in Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

Nonconfluent cultures of SUM149, SUM1315, and immortalized HMECs cells were trypsinized into single-cell suspension, counted, washed with PBS, and stained with antibodies specific for human cell CD24 (PE) and CD44 (APC) (BD Biosciences). Antibody-bound cells were washed and resuspended at 1 × 10^6 cells/ml in FB and run on a FACSCalibur flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) or sorted on a BD Influx FACS sorter (BD Biosciences). Flow cytometry data was analyzed with the Flowjo software package (TreeStar).

Microarray and RT-PCR Analysis

RNA was extracted from the tissues and cell lines with the RNAeasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN). Standard RT-PCR to confirm expression of KRAS lentiviral construct-specific transcript and quantitative real-time PCR was used for detecting Slug, BRCA1, and Vimentin transcript in cell lines. See Supplemental Experimental Procedures for all primer sequences and details. Custom qRT-PCR arrays (Table S6) were obtained from SA Biosciences.

Total RNA for microarray expression studies was isolated from fibroblastdepleted single cell suspensions of uncultured *BRCA1^{+/+}* or *BRCA1^{mut/+}* cells or from tumors generated from infected *BRCA1^{+/+}* or *BRCA1^{mut/+}* cells via the RNeasy Mini kit (QIAGEN). Synthesis of cDNA from total RNA and hybridization/scanning of microarrays were performed with Affymetrix GeneChip products (HGU133A) as described in the GeneChip manual. Raw data files (.CEL) were converted into probe set values by RMA normalization. See Supplemental Experimental Procedures for hierarchical clustering and GSEA analysis.

ACCESSION NUMBERS

The accession number for the microarray data is GSE25835.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes Supplemental Experimental Procedures, seven figures, and six tables and can be found with this article online at doi:10.1016/j.stem.2010.12.007.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Gerbug Wulf, Steve Come, and Susan Troyan at Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center for assistance with BRCA1^{mut/+} tissue procurement and Kai Tao and Lisa Arendt for technical assistance. We thank Myriad Genetic Laboratories for gene-specific BRACAnalysis. We thank Annette Shepard-Barry at Tufts Medical Center in the Histology-Special Procedures Lab for histological and immunohistochemical staining. We thank Stephen Kwok for assistance with cell sorting and Supriya Gupta for assistance with gene expression experiments and data collection. We thank Josh LaBaer at Harvard Medical School for generously providing us with human cyclin D1, ras, p53, and PI3K cDNAs. This work was supported by grants from the American Cancer Society-New England Division-Broadway on Beachside Postdoctoral Fellowship (P.J.K.), the Raymond and Beverly Sackler Foundation (P.J.K., C.K.), the Breast Cancer Research Foundation (C.K., T.P.), the Department of Defense Breast Cancer Research Program (BC073866) (P.J.K., T.P., C.K.), and the NIH/NCI (CA125554, CA125554) (C.K., I.K.). C.K. is a Raymond and Beverly Sackler Foundation Scholar.

Received: February 17, 2010 Revised: August 19, 2010 Accepted: November 30, 2010 Published: February 3, 2011

REFERENCES

Allinen, M., Beroukhim, R., Cai, L., Brennan, C., Lahti-Domenici, J., Huang, H., Porter, D., Hu, M., Chin, L., Richardson, A., et al. (2004). Molecular characterization of the tumor microenvironment in breast cancer. Cancer Cell 6, 17–32.

Arnes, J.B., Brunet, J.S., Stefansson, I., Begin, L.R., Wong, N., Chappuis, P.O., Akslen, L.A., and Foulkes, W.D. (2005). Placental cadherin and the basal epithelial phenotype of BRCA1-related breast cancer. Clin. Cancer Res. *11*, 4003–4011.

Burga, L.N., Tung, N.M., Troyan, S.L., Bostina, M., Konstantinopoulos, P.A., Fountzilas, H., Spentzos, D., Miron, A., Yassin, Y.A., Lee, B.T., and Wulf, G.M. (2009). Altered proliferation and differentiation properties of primary mammary epithelial cells from BRCA1 mutation carriers. Cancer Res. *69*, 1273–1278.

Campbell, I.G., Russell, S.E., Choong, D.Y., Montgomery, K.G., Ciavarella, M.L., Hooi, C.S., Cristiano, B.E., Pearson, R.B., and Phillips, W.A. (2004). Mutation of the PIK3CA gene in ovarian and breast cancer. Cancer Res. *64*, 7678–7681.

Clarke, C.L., Sandle, J., Jones, A.A., Sofronis, A., Patani, N.R., and Lakhani, S.R. (2006). Mapping loss of heterozygosity in normal human breast cells from BRCA1/2 carriers. Br. J. Cancer *95*, 515–519.

DiMeo, T.A., Anderson, K., Phadke, P., Feng, C., Perou, C.M., Naber, S., and Kuperwasser, C. (2009). A novel lung metastasis signature links Wnt signaling with cancer cell self-renewal and epithelial-mesenchymal transition in basal-like breast cancer. Cancer Res. 69, 5364–5373.

Dontu, G., Abdallah, W., Foley, J.F., Jackson, K., Clarke, M., Kawamura, M., and Wicha, M.S. (2003). In vitro propagation and transcriptional profiling of human mammary stem/progenitor cells. Genes Dev. *17*, 1253–1270.

Eirew, P., Stingl, J., Raouf, A., Turashvili, G., Aparicio, S., Emerman, J.T., and Eaves, C.J. (2008). A method for quantifying normal human mammary epithelial stem cells with in vivo regenerative ability. Nat. Med. *14*, 1384–1389.

Elenbaas, B., Spirio, L., Koerner, F., Fleming, M.D., Zimonjic, D.B., Donaher, J.L., Popescu, N.C., Hahn, W.C., and Weinberg, R.A. (2001). Human breast cancer cells generated by oncogenic transformation of primary mammary epithelial cells. Genes Dev. *15*, 50–65.

Elstrodt, F., Hollestelle, A., Nagel, J.H., Gorin, M., Wasielewski, M., van den Ouweland, A., Merajver, S.D., Ethier, S.P., and Schutte, M. (2006). BRCA1 mutation analysis of 41 human breast cancer cell lines reveals three new deleterious mutants. Cancer Res. *66*, 41–45.

Foulkes, W.D. (2003). BRCA1 functions as a breast stem cell regulator. J. Med. Genet. *41*, 1–5.

Foulkes, W.D., Brunet, J.S., Stefansson, I.M., Straume, O., Chappuis, P.O., Begin, L.R., Hamel, N., Goffin, J.R., Wong, N., Trudel, M., et al. (2004). The prognostic implication of the basal-like (cyclin E high/p27 low/p53+/glomeruloid-microvascular-proliferation+) phenotype of BRCA1-related breast cancer. Cancer Res. *64*, 830–835.

Furuta, S., Jiang, X., Gu, B., Cheng, E., Chen, P.L., and Lee, W.H. (2005). Depletion of BRCA1 impairs differentiation but enhances proliferation of mammary epithelial cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *102*, 9176–9181.

Gauthier, M.L., Berman, H.K., Miller, C., Kozakeiwicz, K., Chew, K., Moore, D., Rabban, J., Chen, Y.Y., Kerlikowske, K., and Tlsty, T.D. (2007). Abrogated response to cellular stress identifies DCIS associated with subsequent tumor events and defines basal-like breast tumors. Cancer Cell *12*, 479–491.

Gluz, O., Liedtke, C., Gottschalk, N., Pusztai, L., Nitz, U., and Harbeck, N. (2009). Triple-negative breast cancer-current status and future directions. Ann. Oncol. *20*, 1913–1927.

Gupta, P.B., Kuperwasser, C., Brunet, J.P., Ramaswamy, S., Kuo, W.L., Gray, J.W., Naber, S.P., and Weinberg, R.A. (2005). The melanocyte differentiation program predisposes to metastasis after neoplastic transformation. Nat. Genet. *37*, 1047–1054.

Hu, Z., Fan, C., Oh, D.S., Marron, J.S., He, X., Qaqish, B.F., Livasy, C., Carey, L.A., Reynolds, E., Dressler, L., et al. (2006). The molecular portraits of breast tumors are conserved across microarray platforms. BMC Genomics 7, 96.

Ince, T.A., Richardson, A.L., Bell, G.W., Saitoh, M., Godar, S., Karnoub, A.E., Iglehart, J.D., and Weinberg, R.A. (2007). Transformation of different human breast epithelial cell types leads to distinct tumor phenotypes. Cancer Cell *12*, 160–170.

Keller, P.J., Lin, A.F., Arendt, L.M., Klebba, I., Jones, A.D., Rudnick, J.A., Dimeo, T.A., Gilmore, H., Jefferson, D.M., Graham, R.A., et al. (2010). Mapping the cellular and molecular heterogeneity of normal and malignant breast tissues and cultured cell lines. Breast Cancer Res. *12*, R87.

Kubista, M., Rosner, M., Kubista, E., Bernaschek, G., and Hengstschlager, M. (2002). Brca1 regulates in vitro differentiation of mammary epithelial cells. Oncogene *21*, 4747–4756.

Kuperwasser, C., Chavarria, T., Wu, M., Magrane, G., Gray, J.W., Richardson, A., and Weinberg, R.A. (2004). Reconstruction of functionally normal and malignant human breast tissues in mice. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *101*, 4966–4971.

Lim, E., Vaillant, F., Wu, D., Forrest, N.C., Pal, B., Hart, A.H., Asselin-Labat, M.L., Gyorki, D.E., Ward, T., Partanen, A., et al. (2009). Aberrant luminal progenitors as the candidate target population for basal tumor development in BRCA1 mutation carriers. Nat. Med. *15*, 907–913.

Liu, S., Ginestier, C., Charafe-Jauffret, E., Foco, H., Kleer, C.G., Merajver, S.D., Dontu, G., and Wicha, M.S. (2008). BRCA1 regulates human mammary stem/ progenitor cell fate. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *105*, 1680–1685.

Loi, S., Haibe-Kains, B., Lallemand, F., Pusztai, L., Bardelli, A., Gillett, C., Ellis, P., Piccart-Gebhart, M.J., Phillips, W.A., McArthur, A., and Sotiriou, C. (2009). Correlation of PIK3CA mutation-associated gene expression signature (PIK3CA-GS) with deactivation of the PI3K pathway and with prognosis within the luminal-B ER+ breast cancers. J. Clin. Oncol. *27*, 533. Miyakis, S., Sourvinos, G., and Spandidos, D.A. (1998). Differential expression and mutation of the ras family genes in human breast cancer. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. *251*, 609–612.

Miyoshi, H., Blomer, U., Takahashi, M., Gage, F.H., and Verma, I.M. (1998). Development of a self-inactivating lentivirus vector. J. Virol. 72, 8150–8157.

Narod, S.A., and Foulkes, W.D. (2004). BRCA1 and BRCA2: 1994 and beyond. Nat. Rev. Cancer 4, 665–676.

Niessen, K., Fu, Y., Chang, L., Hoodless, P.A., McFadden, D., and Karsan, A. (2008). Slug is a direct Notch target required for initiation of cardiac cushion cellularization. J. Cell Biol. *182*, 315–325.

Peppercorn, J., Perou, C.M., and Carey, L.A. (2008). Molecular subtypes in breast cancer evaluation and management: divide and conquer. Cancer Invest. *26*, 1–10.

Proia, D.A., and Kuperwasser, C. (2006). Reconstruction of human mammary tissues in a mouse model. Nat. Protoc. *1*, 206–211.

Rakha, E.A., Reis-Filho, J.S., and Ellis, I.O. (2008). Basal-like breast cancer: A critical review. J. Clin. Oncol. *26*, 2568–2581.

Saal, L.H., Holm, K., Maurer, M., Memeo, L., Su, T., Wang, X., Yu, J.S., Malmstrom, P.O., Mansukhani, M., Enoksson, J., et al. (2005). PIK3CA mutations correlate with hormone receptors, node metastasis, and ERBB2, and are mutually exclusive with PTEN loss in human breast carcinoma. Cancer Res. 65, 2554–2559.

Sarrio, D., Rodriguez-Pinilla, S.M., Hardisson, D., Cano, A., Moreno-Bueno, G., and Palacios, J. (2008). Epithelial-mesenchymal transition in breast cancer relates to the basal-like phenotype. Cancer Res. *68*, 989–997.

Shipitsin, M., Campbell, L.L., Argani, P., Weremowicz, S., Bloushtain-Qimron, N., Yao, J., Nikolskaya, T., Serebryiskaya, T., Beroukhim, R., Hu, M., et al. (2007). Molecular definition of breast tumor heterogeneity. Cancer Cell *11*, 259–273.

Stingl, J., Eaves, C.J., Zandieh, I., and Emerman, J.T. (2001). Characterization of bipotent mammary epithelial progenitor cells in normal adult human breast tissue. Breast Cancer Res. Treat. *67*, 93–109.

Storci, G., Sansone, P., Trere, D., Tavolari, S., Taffurelli, M., Ceccarelli, C., Guarnieri, T., Paterini, P., Pariali, M., Montanaro, L., et al. (2008). The basallike breast carcinoma phenotype is regulated by SLUG gene expression. J. Pathol. *214*, 25–37.

Villadsen, R., Fridriksdottir, A.J., Ronnov-Jessen, L., Gudjonsson, T., Rank, F., Labarge, M.A., Bissell, M.J., and Petersen, O.W. (2007). Evidence for a stem cell hierarchy in the adult human breast. J. Cell Biol. *177*, 87–101.

Welcsh, P.L., and King, M.C. (2001). BRCA1 and BRCA2 and the genetics of breast and ovarian cancer. Hum. Mol. Genet. *10*, 705–713.

Wu, M., Jung, L., Cooper, A.B., Fleet, C., Chen, L., Breault, L., Clark, K., Cai, Z., Vincent, S., Bottega, S., et al. (2009). Dissecting genetic requirements of human breast tumorigenesis in a tissue transgenic model of human breast cancer in mice. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 106, 7022–7027.

Ye, Q., Hu, Y.F., Zhong, H., Nye, A.C., Belmont, A.S., and Li, R. (2001). BRCA1induced large-scale chromatin unfolding and allele-specific effects of cancerpredisposing mutations. J. Cell Biol. *155*, 911–921.

Zhao, X., Malhotra, G.K., Lele, S.M., Lele, M.S., West, W.W., Eudy, J.D., Band, H., and Band, V. (2010). Telomerase-immortalized human mammary stem/ progenitor cells with ability to self-renew and differentiate. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *107*, 14146–14151.