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#### Abstract

The aim of this paper is to generalize the conic domain defined by Kanas and Wisniowska, and define the class of functions which map the open unit disk $E$ onto this generalized conic domain. A brief comparison between these conic domains is the main motivation of this paper. A correction is made in selecting the range interval of order of conic domain.
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## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let $A$ be the class of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the open unit $\operatorname{disk} E=\{z:|z|<1\}$. Also let $S$ be the class of functions from $A$ which are univalent in $E$. The classes $S^{*}$ and $C$ are the well known classes of starlike and convex univalent functions respectively, for details see [1].

Kanas and Wisniowska [2,3] introduced and studied the classes of $k$-uniformly convex denoted by $k$-UCV and the corresponding class of $k$-starlike functions denoted by $k$-ST related by the Alexandar type relation. They defined these classes as follows:

A function $f(z) \in A$ is said to be in the class $k$-UCV, if and only if,

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)>k\left|\frac{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{f^{\prime}(z)}-1\right|, \quad k \geq 0
$$

A function $f(z) \in A$ is said to be in the class $k$-ST, if and only if,

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)>k\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right|, \quad k \geq 0
$$

Geometrically, a function $f(z) \in A$ is said to be in the class $k-U C V($ or $k-S T)$, if and only if, the function $\frac{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{f^{\prime}(z)}\left(\right.$ or $\left.\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)$ takes all values in the conic domain $\Omega_{k}$ which is defined as:

$$
\Omega_{k}=\left\{u+i v: u>k \sqrt{(u-1)^{2}+v^{2}}\right\}
$$

This domain represents the right half plane when $k=0$, a hyperbola when $0<k<1$, a parabola when $k=1$ and an ellipse when $k>1$ as shown in Fig. 1.
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Fig. 1. The curve $u=k \sqrt{(u-1)^{2}+v^{2}}$.
The functions which play the role of extremal functions for these conic regions are given as:

$$
p_{k}(z)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1+z}{1-z}, \quad k=0,  \tag{1.2}\\
1+\frac{2}{\pi^{2}}\left(\log \frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)^{2}, \quad k=1, \\
1+\frac{2}{1-k^{2}} \sinh ^{2}\left[\left(\frac{2}{\pi} \arccos k\right) \arctan h \sqrt{z}\right], \quad 0<k<1, \\
1+\frac{1}{k^{2}-1} \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{2 R(t)} \int_{0}^{\frac{u(z)}{\sqrt{t}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}} \sqrt{1-(t x)^{2}}} \mathrm{~d} x\right)+\frac{1}{k^{2}-1}, \quad k>1,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $u(z)=\frac{z-\sqrt{t}}{1-\sqrt{t z}}, t \in(0,1), z \in E$ and $z$ is chosen such that $k=\cosh \left(\frac{\pi R^{\prime}(t)}{4 R(t)}\right), R(t)$ is Legendre's complete elliptic integral of the first kind and $R^{\prime}(t)$ is complementary integral of $R(t)$, see [2,3]. These conic regions are extensively studied with regard to real and complex orders by Noor [4,5]. We generalize this conic domain and define the following.

Definition 1.1. A function $p(z)$ is said to be in the class $k-P(a, b)$, if and only if,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z) \prec p_{k}(a, b ; z) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k \in[0, \infty)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{k}(a, b ; z) & =1+a+(1-b)\left\{p_{k}(z)-1\right\} \\
& =a+b+(1-b) p_{k}(z) \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

and $p_{k}(z)$ is defined by (1.2). Also $a$ and $b$ must be chosen accordingly as:
(i) For $k=0$, we take $b=0$,
(ii) For $k \in\left(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$, we take $b \in\left[\frac{1}{2 k^{2}-1}, 1\right)$,
(iii) For $k \in\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 1\right]$, we take $b \in(-\infty, 1)$,
(iv) For $k \in(1, \infty)$, we take $b \in\left(-\infty, \frac{1}{2 k^{2}-1}\right]$.
and

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{k^{2}(1-b)}{1-k^{2}}-\eta \leq a<1-\frac{k^{2}(1-b)}{k^{2}-1}+\eta, & 0 \leq k<1, \\
-\frac{1+b}{2} \leq a<\frac{1-b}{2}, & k=1,  \tag{1.6}\\
\max \left(\frac{k^{2}(1-b)}{1-k^{2}}-\eta, 1-\frac{k^{2}(1-b)}{k^{2}-1}-\eta\right) \leq a<1-\frac{k^{2}(1-b)}{k^{2}-1}+\eta, & k>1,
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $\eta=\frac{k \sqrt{k^{2}(1-b)^{2}+\left(1-k^{2}\right)\left(1-b^{2}\right)}}{k^{2}-1}$.
Geometrically, the function $p(z) \in k-P(a, b)$ takes all values from the conic domain $\Omega_{k}(a, b)$ which is defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{k}(a, b)=\left\{u+i v:(u-a)^{2}>k^{2}\left[(u-a+b-1)^{2}+v^{2}+2 b(1-b)\right]\right\} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conic domain $\Omega_{k}(a, b)$ represents the right half plane when $k=0$, a hyperbola when $0<k<1$, a parabola when $k=1$ and an ellipse when $k>1$.

It can be seen that $\Omega_{k}(0,0)=\Omega_{k}$, the conic domain defined by Kanas and Wisniowska [2], consequently, $k-P(0,0)=$ $P\left(p_{k}\right)$, the well-known class introduced by Kanas and Wisniowska [2]. The function $p_{1}(a, b ; z)=Q_{a, b}(z)$ is defined by Kanas in [6]. Here are some basic facts about the class $k-P(a, b)$.

Remark 1.2. (1) $k-P(a, b) \subset P(\alpha)$, where

$$
\alpha=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a+\frac{1+b}{2}, \quad k=1,  \tag{1.8}\\
a+\frac{k^{2}(1-b)-k \sqrt{k^{2}(1-b)^{2}+\left(1-k^{2}\right)\left(1-b^{2}\right)}}{k^{2}-1}, \quad k \neq 1 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

(2) $k-P\left(a_{1}, b\right) \subset k-P\left(a_{2}, b\right), a_{1}>a_{2}, k \in[0,1]$.
(3) $k-P\left(a, b_{1}\right) \subset k-P\left(a, b_{2}\right), b_{1}>b_{2}, k \in(0, \infty)$.

The domain $\Omega_{k}(a, b)$ always ensures that the point $(1,0)$ is contained inside it whereas the domain $\Omega_{k, \xi}$, studied by several authors, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{k, \xi}=(1-\xi) \Omega_{k}+\xi, \quad 0 \leq \xi<1, k \geq 0 \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

is not always well defined because in general $(1,0) \notin \Omega_{k, \xi}$ (for example, in particular $(1,0) \notin \Omega_{2,0.5}$ ). We see that the conic domain $\Omega_{k}(0, b)$ coincides with $\Omega_{k, b}$ only when $b$ is chosen according to (1.5). This means that for $\Omega_{k, \xi}$ to contain the point $(1,0), \xi$ must be chosen according as:

$$
\xi \in \begin{cases}{[0,1),} & \text { if } 0 \leq k \leq 1  \tag{1.10}\\ {\left[0,1-\frac{\sqrt{k^{2}-1}}{k}\right),} & \text { if } k>1\end{cases}
$$

The domain $\Omega_{k, \xi}$ gives only the contraction of $\Omega_{k}$ whereas the domain $\Omega_{k}(a, b)$ gives contraction as well as magnification of $\Omega_{k}$ depending upon $b$. For $b>0$, the domain $\Omega_{k}(a, b)$ gives the contraction and for $b<0$, the domain gives the magnification of $\Omega_{k}$ as can be seen from the Figs. 2 and 3.

Definition 1.3. A function $f(z) \in A$ is said to be in the class $k-\operatorname{UCV}(a, b), k \geq 0, a, b$ satisfying (1.5) and (1.6), if and only if,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{f^{\prime}(z)}-a\right\}\right]^{2}>k^{2}\left[\left|\frac{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{f^{\prime}(z)}-a+b-1\right|^{2}+2 b(1-b)\right] \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{f^{\prime}(z)} \prec p_{k}(a, b ; z) \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{k}(a, b ; z)$ is defined by (1.4).
Definition 1.4. A function $f(z) \in A$ is said to be in the class $k-S T(a, b), k \geq 0, a, b$ satisfying (1.5) and (1.6), if and only if,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-a\right\}\right]^{2}>k^{2}\left[\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-a+b-1\right|^{2}+2 b(1-b)\right] \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 2. The curve $(u-a)^{2}=k^{2}\left[(u-a+b-1)^{2}+v^{2}+2 b(1-b)\right]$.


Fig. 3. The curve $(u-a)^{2}=k^{2}\left[(u-a+b-1)^{2}+v^{2}+2 b(1-b)\right]$.
or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec p_{k}(a, b ; z) \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{k}(a, b ; z)$ is defined by (1.4).
It can be easily seen that

$$
f(z) \in k-\operatorname{UCV}(a, b) \Longleftrightarrow z f^{\prime}(z) \in k-\operatorname{ST}(a, b) .
$$

## Special cases.

i. $k$ - $\operatorname{UCV}(0,0)=k$-UCV, the well-known class of $k$-uniformly convex functions, introduced by Kanas and Wisniowska [2].
ii. $k$-ST $(0,0)=k$-ST, the well-known class of $k$-starlike functions, introduced by Kanas and Wisniowska [3].

Lemma 1.5 ([7]). Let the function $w(z)$ be non-constant analytic in $E$ with $w(0)=0$. If $|w(z)|$ attains $i$ maximum value on the circle $|z|=r<1$ at a point $z_{0}$, then

$$
z_{0} w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=c w\left(z_{0}\right)
$$

$c$ is real and $c \geq 1$.

## 2. Main results

Theorem 2.1. If $f(z) \in A$ satisfies the inequality

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}}{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1}\right\}<\frac{3-\alpha}{2-\alpha}
$$

where $\alpha$ is defined by (1.8), then $f(z) \in k-\mathrm{ST}(a, b), k \in[0,1], b \leq 0$ with $a$ and $b$ satisfying (1.5) and (1.6).
Proof. We consider the function $w(z)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1=(1-\alpha) w(z) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is defined by (1.8). We see that $w(z)$ is analytic in $E$ and $w(0)=0$. Logarithmic differentiation of (2.1) gives us

$$
1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=\frac{(1-\alpha) z w^{\prime}(z)}{(1-\alpha) w(z)+1}
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}=(1-\alpha) w(z)+\frac{(1-\alpha) z w^{\prime}(z)}{(1-\alpha) w(z)+1} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now from (2.1) and (2.2), we have

$$
\frac{\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}}{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1}=1+\frac{z w^{\prime}(z)}{w(z)\{(1-\alpha) w(z)+1\}}
$$

Suppose that there exists a point $z_{0} \in E$ such that

$$
\max _{|z| \leq\left|z_{0}\right|}|w(z)|=\left|w\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=1,\left|w\left(z_{0}\right)\right| \neq 1
$$

and also $w\left(z_{0}\right)=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}$. Then applying Lemma 1.5 , we have

$$
z_{0} w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=c w\left(z_{0}\right), \quad c \geq 1
$$

Using this, we can have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}}{\frac{z f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{f\left(z_{0}\right)}-1}\right\} & =\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z_{0} w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{w\left(z_{0}\right)\left\{(1-\alpha) w\left(z_{0}\right)+1\right\}}\right\} \\
& =\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{c w\left(z_{0}\right)}{w\left(z_{0}\right)\left\{(1-\alpha) w\left(z_{0}\right)+1\right\}}\right\} \\
& =1+c \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{1}{(1-\alpha) w\left(z_{0}\right)+1}\right\} \\
& =1+c \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{1}{(1-\alpha) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}+1}\right\} \\
& =1+c \frac{1+(1-\alpha) \cos \theta}{(1-\alpha)^{2}+2(1-\alpha) \cos \theta+1}=F(\theta), \quad \text { say. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Now as we know that $F(\theta) \geq \min F(\theta)$ and it can easily be seen that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\min F(\theta) & =F(\pi) \\
& =1+c \frac{1+(1-\alpha) \cos \pi}{(1-\alpha)^{2}+2(1-\alpha) \cos \pi+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =1+\frac{c}{\alpha} \\
& \geq 1+\frac{1}{\alpha} \\
& >1+\frac{1}{2-\alpha}, \quad \alpha<1 \\
& =\frac{3-\alpha}{2-\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}}{\frac{z f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{f\left(z_{0}\right)}-1}\right\} \geq \frac{3-\alpha}{2-\alpha}
$$

which is a contradiction to our hypothesis. Thus, we must have $|w(z)|<1$ for all $z \in E$ and therefore we have from (2.1),

$$
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right|<1-\alpha
$$

which shows that $\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}$ lies inside a circle centered at $(1,0)$ and having radius $1-\alpha$ and we know from (1.7) that this circle lies inside the conic domain $\Omega_{k}(a, b), k \in[0,1], b \leq 0$ with $a$ and $b$ satisfying (1.5) and (1.6). This implies that $f(z) \in k-\mathrm{ST}(a, b), k \in[0,1], b \leq 0$ with $a$ and $b$ satisfying (1.5) and (1.6).

From the Theorem 2.1, we see that when $a=0, b=0$ and $k=1$, we have the following result which is the special case (when $p=1$ ) of the result proved by Al-Kharsani et al. [8].

Corollary 2.2. If $f(z) \in A$ satisfies the inequality

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}}{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1}\right\}<\frac{5}{3},
$$

then $f(z)$ is uniformly starlike in $E$ (that is $f(z) \in 1-\mathrm{ST}$ ).
Theorem 2.3. For $b_{1}>b_{2}$,
i. $k-\operatorname{UCV}\left(a, b_{1}\right) \subset k-\operatorname{UCV}\left(a, b_{2}\right)$.
ii. $k-\mathrm{ST}\left(a, b_{1}\right) \subset k-\mathrm{ST}\left(a, b_{2}\right)$.

Proof follows directly from Remark 1.2(3), (1.3), (1.12) and (1.14).
Theorem 2.4. Let $f(z) \in S$. Then $f(z) \in k-\operatorname{UCV}(a, b)$ for $|z|<r_{0}<1$ with

$$
r_{0}=\frac{2-\sqrt{3+\alpha^{2}}}{1+\alpha}
$$

where $\alpha$ is defined by (1.8).
Proof. Let $f(z) \in S$. Then, for $|z|=r<1$, we have

$$
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{2 r^{2}}{1-r^{2}}\right| \leq \frac{4 r}{1-r^{2}}
$$

for detail, see [1]. This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{1+r^{2}}{1-r^{2}}\right| \leq \frac{4 r}{1-r^{2}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This disk intersects the real axis at the points $\left(\frac{1-4 r+r^{2}}{1-r^{2}}, 0\right)$ and $\left(\frac{1+4 r+r^{2}}{1-r^{2}}, 0\right)$. Now we have to find the largest value of $r$ such that the disk (2.3) lies completely inside the conic domain $\Omega_{k}(a, b)$, that is $\left(\frac{1-4 r+r^{2}}{1-r^{2}}, 0\right) \in \Omega_{k}(a, b)$. For this, we must have

$$
\frac{1-4 r+r^{2}}{1-r^{2}} \geq \alpha
$$

where $\alpha$ is defined by (1.8). This gives us

$$
(1+\alpha) r^{2}-4 r+1-\alpha \geq 0, \quad 0<r<1
$$

This holds only if

$$
r \leq r_{0}=\frac{2-\sqrt{3+\alpha^{2}}}{1+\alpha}
$$

Now it can also be seen that the curves

$$
(u-a)^{2}=k^{2}(u-a+b-1)^{2}+k^{2} v^{2}+2 k^{2} b(1-b)
$$

and

$$
\left(u-\frac{1+r^{2}}{1-r^{2}}\right)^{2}+v^{2}=\frac{16 r^{2}}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

do not intersect any where except the possibility that the points $(\alpha, 0)$ and $\left(\frac{1-4 r+r^{2}}{1-r^{2}}, 0\right)$ coincides. Therefore, the disk (2.3) lies completely inside the conic domain $\Omega_{k}(a, b)$. Hence the proof.

When $a=0$ and $b=0$, then we have the following result, proved by Kanas and Wisniowska [2].
Corollary 2.5. Let $f(z) \in S$. Then $f(z) \in k$-UCV for $|z|<r_{0}<1$ with

$$
r_{0}=\frac{2(k+1)-\sqrt{4 k^{2}+6 k+3}}{2 k+1}
$$

When $a=0, b=0$ and $k=1$, then we have the following result, proved in [9].
Corollary 2.6. Let $f(z) \in S$. Then $f(z) \in \operatorname{UCV}$ for $|z|<r_{0}<1$ with

$$
r_{0}=\frac{4-\sqrt{13}}{3}
$$

When $a=0, b=0$ and $k=0$, then we have the following result, proved in [1].
Corollary 2.7. Let $f(z) \in S$. Then $f(z) \in C$ for $|z|<r_{0}<1$ with $r_{0}=2-\sqrt{3}$.
Now we have an extension of the result proved in [6].
Lemma 2.8. Let $0 \leq k<\infty$. Also, let $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that $\beta \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha \beta+\gamma)>0$, where $\alpha$ is defined by (1.8). If $p(z)$ is analytic in $E, p(0)=1, p(z)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)+\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{\beta p(z)+\gamma} \prec p_{k}(a, b ; z), \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q(z)$ is an analytic solution of

$$
q(z)+\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{\beta q(z)+\gamma}=p_{k}(a, b ; z)
$$

then $q(z)$ is univalent, $p(z) \prec q(z) \prec p_{k}(a, b ; z)$ and $q(z)$ is the best dominant of (2.4).
The proof follows similarly as given in [6].
As a special case, when $\beta=1$ and $\gamma=0$, we have the function $q(z)$ as

$$
q(z)=\left[\int_{0}^{1}\left(\exp \int_{z}^{t z} \frac{p_{k}(a, b ; u)-1}{u} \mathrm{~d} u\right) \mathrm{d} t\right]^{-1}
$$

Now we see a few applications of the Lemma 2.8.
When $k>1$, the conic domain $\Omega_{k}(a, b)$ may be characterized by the circular domain having its diameter end points as the vertices of the ellipse. As we see that the vertices of ellipse are $(\alpha, 0)$ and ( $\alpha_{1}, 0$ ), where $\alpha$ is defined by (1.8) and

$$
\alpha_{1}=a+\frac{k^{2}(1-b)+k \sqrt{k^{2}(1-b)^{2}+\left(1-k^{2}\right)\left(1-b^{2}\right)}}{k^{2}-1} .
$$

The circle $K(X, R)$ having diameter end points $(\alpha, 0),\left(\alpha_{1}, 0\right)$ has its center at $X\left(\frac{a\left(k^{2}-1\right)+(1-b) k^{2}}{k^{2}-1}, 0\right)$ and radius $R$ as

$$
R=\frac{k \sqrt{k^{2}(1-b)^{2}+\left(1-k^{2}\right)\left(1-b^{2}\right)}}{k^{2}-1}
$$

The point $z=1$ is contained inside the circle $K(X, R)$ and then the function $\phi_{a, b}: E \longrightarrow K(X, R)$ has the form

$$
\phi_{a, b}(z)=a+\frac{k^{2}(1-b)+k z \sqrt{(1-b)\left(1-b-2 b\left(k^{2}-z^{2}\right)\right)}}{k^{2}-z^{2}} .
$$

For $b=0$, we have

$$
\phi_{a, 0}(z)=a+\frac{k}{k-z} .
$$

Theorem 2.9. Let $k \in(1, \infty)$ and $b=0$. Also, let $p(z)$ be analytic in $E$ with $p(0)=1$ and $p(z)$ satisfies (2.4). Then

$$
p(z) \prec \frac{1}{(k-z) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{t^{a}}{k-t z} \mathrm{~d} t}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Rep}(z)>\frac{1}{(k+1) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{t^{a}}{k+t} \mathrm{~d} t}
$$

where $-\frac{k}{k+1} \leq a<\frac{1}{k+1}$.
The proof follows directly by taking $q(z)=\phi_{a, 0}(z)$ in Lemma 2.8.
When $a=0$, we have the following result, proved by Kanas [6].
Corollary 2.10. Let $k \in(1, \infty)$ and let $p(z)$ be analytic in $E$ with $p(0)=1$ and $p(z)$ satisfies (2.4). Then

$$
p(z) \prec \frac{z}{(z-k) \log \left(1-\frac{z}{k}\right)}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Rep}(z)>\frac{1}{(k+1) \log \left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)}
$$

Theorem 2.11. Let $f(z), g(z) \in k-\operatorname{ST}(a, b)$ and let $\mu, c$ and $\delta$ be positive reals. Then the function $F(z)$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\left[c z^{\mu-c} \int_{0}^{z} t^{c-\mu-1}(f(t))^{\delta}(g(t))^{\mu-\delta} \mathrm{d} t\right]^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

belongs to $k-\mathrm{ST}(a, b)$.
Proof. From (2.5), we have

$$
z^{c-\mu}(F(z))^{\mu}=c \int_{0}^{z} t^{c-\mu-1}(f(t))^{\delta}(g(t))^{\mu-\delta} \mathrm{d} t
$$

This implies that

$$
(c-\mu) z^{c-\mu-1}(F(z))^{\mu}+\mu z^{c-\mu}(F(z))^{\mu-1} F^{\prime}(z)=c z^{c-\mu-1}(f(z))^{\delta}(g(z))^{\mu-\delta} .
$$

Let $h(z)=\frac{z F^{\prime}(z)}{F(z)}$. Then, we have

$$
(F(z))^{\mu}\{(c-\mu)+\mu h(z)\}=c(f(z))^{\delta}(g(z))^{\mu-\delta}
$$

Differentiating logarithmically, we have

$$
\mu \frac{z F^{\prime}(z)}{F(z)}+\frac{\mu z h^{\prime}(z)}{\mu h(z)+(c-\mu)}=\delta \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+(\mu-\delta) \frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)} .
$$

Now let $h_{1}(z)=\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}$ and $h_{2}(z)=\frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}$. Then we have

$$
h(z)+\frac{\frac{1}{\mu} z h^{\prime}(z)}{h(z)+\frac{c-\mu}{\mu}}=\frac{\delta}{\mu} h_{1}(z)+\left(1-\frac{\delta}{\mu}\right) h_{2}(z) .
$$

Since $f(z), g(z) \in k-S T(a, b)$, so $h_{1}(z), h_{2}(z) \in k-P(a, b)$. And we know by subordination technique that the class $k-P(a, b)$ is convex. Therefore,

$$
h(z)+\frac{\frac{1}{\mu} z h^{\prime}(z)}{h(z)+\frac{c-\mu}{\mu}} \prec p_{k}(a, b ; z),
$$

which implies by using Lemma 2.8,

$$
h(z) \prec p_{k}(a, b ; z)
$$

This shows that $F(z) \in k-\mathrm{ST}(a, b)$.
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