

#### NORTH-HOLLAND

# Singular Values, Doubly Stochastic Matrices, and Applications

L. Elsner Fakultät für Mathematik Universität Bielefeld 33501 Bielefeld, Germany

and

## S. Friedland

Department of Mathematics, Statistics, and Computer Science University of Illinois at Chicago 851 South Morgan Street Chicago, Illinois 60607-7045

Submitted by Raphael Loewy

#### ABSTRACT

The Hadamard square of any square matrix A is bounded above and below by some doubly stochastic matrices times the square of the largest and the smallest singular values of A. Applications to graphs, permanents, and eigenvalue perturbations are discussed.

#### 0. INTRODUCTION

For a set  $D \subset \mathbb{C}$  let  $M_n(D)$  be the set of  $n \times n$  complex valued matrices with entries in D. Denote by  $\Omega_n \subset M_n(\mathbb{R}_+)$  the convex set of doubly stochastic matrices. Let  $A = (a_{ij})_1^n \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Set  $A^{\odot 2} = A \odot A = (a_{ij} \overline{a}_{ij})_1^n \in M_n(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Here  $\odot$  denotes the Hadamard product of matrices. Denote by  $\sigma_1(A) \ge \cdots \ge \sigma_n(A) \ge 0$  the singular values of A. If  $\sigma_1(A) = \cdots = \sigma_n(A) = s > 0$ , then A is an s-multiple of a unitary matrix and  $A^{\odot 2}$  is an  $s^2$ -multiple of a doubly stochastic matrix. In this paper we generalize the above fact to arbitrary complex valued square matrices.

LINEAR ALGEBRA AND ITS APPLICATIONS 220:161-169 (1995)

Main Theorem. Let  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Then there exist  $A_1, \ A_2 \in \Omega_n$  such that

$$\sigma_n(A)^2 A_1 \leqslant A^{\bigcirc 2} \leqslant \sigma_1(A)^2 A_2.$$

Here the inequalities are taken in the entrywise sense. The lower estimate for  $A^{\odot 2}$  seems to be much deeper and more useful than the upper estimate. We present applications to graph theory, permanents, and to perturbation estimates on the eigenvalues of matrices.

# 1. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Let 
$$B = (b_{ij})_1^n \in M_n(\mathbf{R}_+)$$
. For  $I, J \subset \{1, ..., n\}$  let

$$B_{IJ} = \sum_{i \in I, j \in J} b_{ij}.$$

Denote by |I|, |J| the cardinalities of I, J respectively. B is called  $(0 \le t)$  t-doubly superstochastic (d.sps.) if  $B \ge tB_1$  for some  $B_1 \in \Omega_n$ . In [1] Cruse showed that B is t-d.sps. iff

$$B_{IJ} \geqslant t(|I| + |J| - n) \qquad \forall I, J \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}.$$

Set

$$\mu(B) = \min_{I,J \subset \{1,\ldots,n\}, |I|+|J|>n} \frac{B_{IJ}}{|I|+|J|-n}.$$

Cruse's theorem yields that B is  $\mu(B)$  d.sps. The first part of the Main Theorem follows from the following lemma.

LEMMA 1.1. Let  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Then

$$\mu(A^{\bigcirc 2}) \geqslant \sigma_n^2(A).$$

*Proof.* It is enough to assume that A is nonsingular. Let  $P, Q \in \Omega_n$  be permutation matrices. Clearly, PAQ has the same singular values as A, and

 $\mu((PAQ)^{O2}) = \mu(A^{O2})$ . Thus, it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{i=1, j=1}^{i=p, j=q} |a_{ij}|^2 \ge (p+q-n)\sigma_n^2(A), \qquad 1 \le p \le q, \quad n < p+q.$$

Let

$$D_p = \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n), \qquad d_1 = \dots = d_p = 1, \quad d_{p+1} = \dots = d_n = 0.$$

Set  $A' = D_n A D_a$ . Let

$$V = \{x : x \in \mathbf{C}^n, (I - D_q)x = (I - D_p)Ax = 0\}.$$

It then follows that  $m = \dim V \ge p + q - n$ . Moreover, (A - A')V = 0. Set W = AV = A'V. We can view A and A' as representing the same linear transformation  $T: V \to W$ . Furthermore, V, W are inner product spaces induced by the standard inner product in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Observe next

$$\sigma_m(T) = \min_{x \in V \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|Ax|}{|x|} \geqslant \min_{x \in \mathbf{C}^n \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|Ax|}{|x|} = \sigma_n(A).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{i=1, j=q}^{i=p, j=q} |a_{ij}|^2 = \operatorname{trace} \big[ \big( A' \big)^* A' \big] = \operatorname{trace} \big( T^* T \big) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sigma_k(T)^2 \geqslant m \sigma_m(T)^2 \geqslant \big( p+q-n \big) \sigma_n(A)^2. \end{split}$$

The proof of the lemma is completed.

For  $B \in M_n(\mathbf{R}_+)$  let  $r(B) = (r_1(B), \ldots, r_n(B))$ ,  $c(B) = (c_1(B), \ldots, c_n(B))$  be the vectors corresponding to the row and column sums of B. Set

$$\nu(B) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \max(r_i(B), c_i(B)).$$

Lemma 1.2. Let  $B \in M_n(\mathbf{R}_+)$ . Then there exists a doubly stochastic matrix  $B_2 \in \Omega_n$  such that  $B \leq \nu(B)B_2$ .

*Proof.* It is enough to assume that  $B \neq 0$ . Let  $0 \leq k \leq 2n - 1$  be the number of rows and columns of B whose sum is less than  $\nu(B)$ . If k = 0 then  $B_2 = \nu(B)^{-1}B$  and the lemma holds. Assume that  $k \geq 1$ . Since

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i(B) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i(B),$$

it follows that there exist  $1 \leq p, \ q \leq n$  so that  $r_p(B), \ c_q(B) < \nu(B)$ . Let B' be the matrix obtained from  $B = (b_{ij})_1^n$  by replacing the entry  $b_{pq}$  by the entry  $b_{pq} + \nu(B) - \max(r_p(B), c_q(B))$ . Thus,  $B \leq B'$ ,  $\nu(B) = \nu(B')$ . Moreover, k' < k, where k' is the number of rows and columns in B' which are less than  $\nu(B') = \nu(B)$ . Continue this process until it stops and the lemma is verified.

As  $\sigma_1(A) = ||A|| = ||A^*||$ , we easily deduce that  $\nu(A^{\odot 2}) \leq \sigma_1(A)^2$ . Lemma 1.2 yields the upper bound of the Main Theorem. We now note that the lower bound in the Main Theorem is sharp. Let  $A \in M_3(\mathbf{R}_+)$  be a symmetric matrix whose entries on the first row and column are equal to 1 while all other entries are equal to 0. Then the eigenvalues of A are 2, -1, 0 while its singular values are 2, 1, 0. Clearly, if  $A \geqslant \rho A_1$  for some  $A_1 \in \Omega_3$ , then  $\rho \leqslant 0$ . In particular, there is no analog for Lemma 1.2 for the lower bound of  $A^{\odot 2}$ .

# 2. APPLICATIONS TO GRAPHS AND PERMANENTS

Let G be a simple digraph on n vertices. That is, G is represented by a 0-1 matrix  $A(G) \in M_n(\{0,1\})$ . Vice versa, any  $A \in M_n(\{0,1\})$  represents a simple digraph G. G is called strongly connected if any two distinct vertices are connected by a path in G. We say that G is without loops if all the diagonal elements of A(G) are equal to zero. G is called k-regular if the outand indegree of every vertex  $i-(r_i(A(G)), c_i(A(G)))$  are equal to k. G has a spanning k-regular subgraph if there exists a k-regular graph G0 or vertices such that G1 G2 G3.

One of the unsolved problems in complexity theory is to decide if a simple digraph without loops contains an even cycle. See for example [6] and [12] and the references therein. Let G be a k-regular simple digraph without

loops. It was shown by the second author in [6] that G contains an even cycle if  $k \ge 7$ . It was conjectured in [6] that the above result is valid for  $k \ge 3$ . This conjecture was proved by Thomassen in [12]. Moreover, Thomassen showed that if G is a strongly connected simple digraph with no loops such that each in- and outdegree is at least 3, then G contains an even cycle.

THEOREM 2.1. Let G be a simple graph on n vertices. Then G has a  $[\sigma_n(A(G))^2]$ -regular spanning subgraph. If G has no loops and  $\sigma_n(A(G))^2 \ge 3$ , then G has an even cycle.

*Proof.* Let A = A(G). Note that  $A^{\odot 2} = A$ . By Lemma 1.1,  $\mu(A) \ge \sigma_n(A)^2$ . As  $A \in M_n(\{0,1\})$ , Fulkerson's result [8] implies that G has a  $[\sigma_n(A(G))^2]$ -regular spanning graph. Assume that G has no loops and  $\sigma_n(A)^2 \ge 3$ . Then Thomassen's result [12] implies that G has an even cycle.

Let  $B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Let det B, per B denote the determinant and the permanent of B respectively. While the calculation of determinants is easy, the calculation of permanents is a well-known difficult problem even for  $B \in M_n(\{0,1\})$ . See for example [13]. It is not difficult to give upper estimates for per B. For example,

$$|\text{per}(b_{ij})_1^n| \le \text{per}(|b_{ij}|)_1^n \le \prod_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n |b_{ij}|.$$

It is much harder to give lower estimates on |p(B)|. Assume that B is a hermitian nonnegative definite matrix. Then Schur's inequality states  $0 \le \det B \le \operatorname{per} B$ ; e.g. [10, 4.4.4]. The (now proved) van der Waerden conjecture claims that  $\operatorname{per} B \ge n!/n^n$ ,  $B \in \Omega_n$ . We refer to [2] and [4] for the proofs. In [5] generalized van der Waerden type inequalities are treated. Let  $B \in M_n(\mathbf{R}_+)$ . Then Cruse's inequality states that  $B \ge \mu(B)B_1$  for some  $B_1 \in \Omega_n$ . We combine this inequality with the van der Waerden inequality to deduce  $\mu(B)^n n!/n^n \le \operatorname{per} B$ . Reference [7] contains more discussion on this approach to lower estimates of  $\operatorname{per} B$ .

approach to lower estimates of per B. Let  $B = (b_{ij})_1^n \in M_n(\mathbf{R}_+)$ . Set  $B^{\bigcirc 1/2} = (\sqrt{b_{ij}})_1^n$ . Let  $E = (e_{ij})_1^n \in M_n(\mathbf{C})$ ,  $|e_{ij}| = 1$ , i,  $j = 1, \ldots, n$ . Then the matrix  $C = B^{\bigcirc 1/2} \bigcirc E = (\sqrt{b_{ij}} e_{ij})_1^n$  satisfies the equality  $C^{\bigcirc 2} = B$ . Moreover, any  $C \in M_n(\mathbf{C})$ ,  $C^{\bigcirc 2} = B$ , is of the above form. The Main Theorem yields that  $\mu(B) \geqslant \sigma_n(C)^2$ . We have thus shown

Theorem 2.2. Let  $B \in M_n(\mathbf{R}_+)$ . Assume that  $C^{02} = B$ ,  $C \in M_n(\mathbf{C})$ .

Then

$$\operatorname{per} B \geqslant \frac{\sigma_n(C)^{2n} n!}{n^n}.$$

In particular, the above inequality holds for  $C = B^{O1/2}$ .

The above theorem can be considered as a generalization of Schur's inequality for nonnegative matrices. Given  $B \in M_n(\mathbf{R}_+)$ , it is of interest to find

$$\max_{C,C^{\odot 2}=B} \sigma_n(C)$$

and to characterize the extremal matrices.

## 3. PERTURBATIONS OF EIGENVALUES

For  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  let  $||A||_F = [\operatorname{trace}(AA^*)]^{1/2}$  be the Frobenius norm. Recall that  $\sigma_1(A) = ||A||_2$  is the spectral norm of A. Moreover,

$$||AB||_F \leq \min(||A||_F ||B||_2, ||A||_2 ||B||_F).$$

Let  $GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \subset M_n(\mathbb{C})$  be the group of invertible matrices. Assume that  $A \in GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Then  $\sigma_n(A) = \sigma_1(A^{-1})^{-1}$ . Furthermore  $\operatorname{cond}(A) = \|A\|_2 \|A^{-1}\|_2 = \sigma_1(A)/\sigma_n(A)$  is the condition number of A. We prove the following generalization of the Hoffman-Wielandt inequality following the approach in [3].

Theorem 3.1. Let  $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  be diagonalizable, i.e.

$$S^{-1}AS = \Lambda = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n), \quad T^{-1}BT = M = \operatorname{diag}(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n),$$
$$S, T \in \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbf{C}).$$

Then there exist permutations  $\pi$  and  $\tilde{\pi}$  of  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$  such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} |\lambda_{k} - \mu_{\pi_{k}}|^{2} \leq \|A - B\|_{F}^{2} \|S^{-1}\|_{2}^{2} \|T\|_{2}^{2} \|T^{-1}S\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$\leq \|A - B\|_{F}^{2} \operatorname{cond}(T)^{2} \operatorname{cond}(S)^{2},$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} |\lambda_{k} - \mu_{\tilde{\pi}_{k}}|^{2} \geq \frac{\|A - B\|_{F}^{2}}{\|S\|_{2}^{2} \|T\|_{2}^{2} \|S^{-1}T\|_{2}^{2}}$$

$$\geq \|A - B\|_{F}^{2} \operatorname{cond}(T)^{-2} \operatorname{cond}(S)^{-2}.$$

*Proof.* Observe that  $A - B = S(\Lambda G - GM)T^{-1}$ , where  $G = S^{-1}T = (g_{ik})_1^n$ . Thus,  $S^{-1}(A - B)T = \Lambda G - GM$ . Use the norm inequalities to obtain

$$||A - B||_F^2 \ge ||S^{-1}||_2^{-2} ||T||_2^{-2} \sum_{i,k=1}^n |g_{ik}|^2 |\lambda_i - \mu_k|^2.$$

By the Main Theorem the last sum is greater than or equal to  $\sigma_n(G)^2 \sum_{i,k=1}^n d_{ik} |\lambda_i - \mu_k|^2$  for a suitable doubly stochastic matrix  $D = (d_{ik})_1^n$ . As the doubly stochastic matrices are convex combinations of permutation matrices (Birkhoff theorem), we have by the usual reasoning (see e.g. [3]) that there is a permutation  $\pi$  such that

$$\sum_{i,k=1}^{n} d_{ik} |\lambda_{i} - \mu_{k}|^{2} \geqslant \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\lambda_{i} - \mu_{\pi_{i}}|^{2}.$$

Use the relations

$$\sigma_n(G) = \sigma_n(S^{-1}T) = ||T^{-1}S||_2^{-1} \ge ||S||_2^{-1}||T^{-1}||_2^{-1}$$

to get the first inequality in Theorem 3.1. Apply the norm inequalities to  $A - B = S(\Lambda C - MC)T^{-1}$  to deduce

$$||A - B||_F^2 \le ||S||_2^2 ||T^{-1}||_2^2 \sum_{i,k=1}^n |g_{ik}|^2 |\lambda_i - \mu_k|^2.$$

Use the upper bound of the Main Theorem and the Birkhoff theorem to deduce the second inequality of the theorem.

We remark that the first inequality of Theorem 3.1 has been proved in [11, p. 216] in a very different way. Also, for unitary A, B the above theorem is well known. The methods of the proof of Theorem 3.1 yield a different proof of the following result due to Li [9].

THEOREM 3.2. Let  $T \in M_n(C)$ , and assume that  $\Lambda^{(i)} = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_j^{(i)}) \in M_n(C)$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, 4$ , are diagonal matrices. Then there is a permutation  $\pi$  such that

$$\|\Lambda^{(1)}T\Lambda^{(2)} - \Lambda^{(3)}T\Lambda^{(4)}\|_F^2 \geqslant \sigma_n(T)^2 \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i^{(1)}\lambda_{\pi_i}^{(2)} - \lambda_i^{(3)}\lambda_{\pi_i}^{(4)}|^2.$$

*Proof.* Set  $T = (t_{ij})_1^n$ . From the Main Theorem,  $(|t_{ij}|^2)_1^n \ge \sigma_n(T)^2 D$ , where  $D = (d_{ij})_1^n$  is a doubly stochastic matrix. Then

$$\|\Lambda^{(1)}T\Lambda^{(2)} - \Lambda^{(3)}T\Lambda^{(4)}\|_F^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n |t_{ij}|^2 |\lambda_i^{(1)}\lambda_j^{(2)} - \lambda_i^{(3)}\lambda_j^{(4)}|^2$$

$$\geqslant \sigma_n(T)^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^n d_{ij} |\lambda_i^{(1)}\lambda_j^{(2)} - \lambda_i^{(3)}\lambda_j^{(4)}|^2.$$

Use the Birkhoff theorem to deduce the existence of a permutation for which the claimed inequality holds.

It was pointed out to us by R.-C. Li that our proof of Theorem 3.2 yields the following inequality for any  $A = (a_{ij})_1^n$ ,  $T \in M_n(\mathbf{C})$ :

$$||A \cap T||_F^2 \ge \sigma_n^2(T) \min_{\pi} \sum_{i=1}^n |a_{i\pi_i}|^2.$$

#### REFERENCES

- 1 A. B. Cruse, A proof of Fulkerson's characterization of permutation matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 12:21–28 (1975).
- 2 G. P. Egorichev, The solution of the van der Waerden problem for permanents, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 258:1041-1044 (1981).
- 3 L. Elsner, A note on the Hoffman-Wielandt theorem, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 182:235–237 (1993).
- 4 D. I. Falikman, A proof of van der Waerden's conjecture on the permanents of a doubly stochastic matrix, Mat. Zametki 29:931-938 (1981).
- 5 S. Friedland, A proof of a generalized van der Waerden conjecture on permanents, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* 11:107–120 (1982).
- 6 S. Friedland, Every 7-regular digraph contains an even cycle, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 46:249–252 (1989).
- 7 S. Friedland, C. K. Li, and H. Schneider, Additive decompositions of nonnegative matrices with applications to permanents and scaling, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* 23:63–78 (1988).
- 8 D. R. Fulkerson, The maximum number of disjoint permutations contained in a matrix of zeros and ones, *Canad. J. Math.* 16:729-735 (1964).
- 9 R.-C. Li, On perturbations of matrix pencils with real spectra, *Math. Comp.*, to appear.

- 10 M. Marcus and H. Minc, A Survey of Matrix Theory and Matrix Inequalities, Prindle, Weber & Schmidt, Boston, 1964.
- 11 G. W. Stewart and J. Sun, Matrix Perturbation Theory, Academic, 1990.
- 12 C. Thomassen, The even cycle problem for directed graphs, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 5:217-229 (1992).
- 13 L. G. Valiant, The complexity of computing the permanent, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* 8:189–201 (1979).

Received 25 November 1993; final manuscript accepted 8 May 1994