

New Extrapolation Estimates

María J. Carro¹

*Departament de Matemàtica Aplicada i Anàlisi, Facultat de Matemàtiques,
Universitat de Barcelona, 08071 Barcelona, Spain*

E-mail: carro@mat.ub.es

Communicated by L. Carleson

Received July 6, 1999; revised December 1, 1999; accepted December 6, 1999

Given a sublinear operator T satisfying that

 CORE

provided by Elsevier - Publisher Connector

for every measurable set A and every $1 < p \leq p_0$, with C independent of A and p , we show that

$$\sup_{r>0} \frac{\int_{1/r}^{\infty} \lambda_{Tf}^v(y) dy}{1 + \log^+ r} \lesssim \int_{\mathcal{M}} |f(x)| (1 + \log^+ |f(x)|) d\mu(x).$$

This estimate allows us to improve Yano's extrapolation theorem and also to obtain that for every $f \in L \log L(\mu)$,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{1/r}^{\infty} \lambda_{Tf}^v(y) dy}{\log r} \lesssim \|f\|_1.$$

Other types of extrapolation results are also given. © 2000 Academic Press

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1951, Yano (see [Y, Z]), using the ideas of Titchmarsh [T], proved that for every sublinear operator satisfying

$$\left(\int_{\mathcal{N}} |Tf(x)|^p dv(x) \right)^{1/p} \leq \frac{C}{p-1} \left(\int_{\mathcal{M}} |f(x)|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{1/p},$$

¹ This work has been partially supported by the DGICYT, Grant PB97-0986 and by the CIRIT, Grant 1999SGR 00061.

where \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{M} are two finite measure spaces, $T: L \log L(\mu) \rightarrow L^1(\nu)$ is bounded.

The purpose of this work is to show, using a different argument, that under a weaker condition on the operator T , namely

$$\left(\int_{\mathcal{N}} |T\chi_A(x)|^p d\nu(x) \right)^{1/p} \leq \frac{C}{p-1} \mu(A)^{1/p}$$

for every measurable set $A \subset \mathcal{M}$ and every $1 < p \leq p_0$, with C independent of A and p ,

$$\sup_{r>0} \frac{\int_{1/r}^{\infty} \lambda_{Tf}^{\nu}(y) dy}{1 + \log^+ r} \leq K \int_{\mathcal{M}} |f(x)| (1 + \log^+ |f(x)|) d\mu(x),$$

where λ_{Tf}^{ν} is the distribution function of Tf with respect to ν , and μ and ν are two σ -finite measures. This estimate allows us to improve Yano's theorem. Also, under the above condition on T , we obtain that, for every $f \in L \log L(\mu)$,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{1/r}^{\infty} \lambda_{Tf}^{\nu}(y) dy}{\log r} \leq C \|f\|_1.$$

In the setting of weak extrapolation results we have to mention the work of Sjölin [Sj], who was able to obtain endpoint estimates for a sublinear operator T satisfying the restricted weak-type estimate

$$\sup_{y \geq 0} y \lambda_{T\chi_A}^{\nu}(y)^{1/p} \leq \frac{C}{p-1} \mu(A)^{1/p}, \quad (1)$$

with μ and ν finite measures. Some years later, Soria [So] improved the above extrapolation result by showing that if T satisfies that $\sup_{y>0} y \lambda_{T\chi_A}^{\nu}(y) \leq C/(p-1) \mu(A)^{1/p}$, for every measurable set A , every $1 < p \leq p_0$, and ν an arbitrary σ -finite measure, then T applies the space B_{φ}^* boundedly into $L^{1, \infty}$ with $\varphi(t) = t(1 + \log^+ 1/t)$ (see Section 4 for the definition of B_{φ}^*).

In this paper, we shall prove an extrapolation estimate for an operator T satisfying (1) in a general measure space.

Also, in the 1990s, the extrapolation theory was extended to the setting of compatible couples of Banach spaces in the work of Jawerth and Milman (see [JM1, JM2]). See also the work of Sobukawa [S].

Constants such as C will denote universal constants (independent of f and p and, whenever it makes sense, independent also of r) and may change from one occurrence to the next. As usual, the symbol $f \approx g$ will indicate the existence of a universal positive constant C such that $(1/C) f \leq g \leq Cf$, while the symbol $f \lesssim g$ means that $f \leq Cg$. We shall write $\|g\|_p$ to

denote either $\|g\|_{L^p(\mu)}$ or $\|g\|_{L^p(\nu)}$, and $\lambda_g^\nu(y) = \nu(\{x \in \mathcal{N}; |g(x)| > y\})$ is the distribution function of g with respect to the measure ν (see [BS]). Throughout this paper (\mathcal{N}, ν) and (\mathcal{M}, μ) are two σ -finite measure spaces.

Finally, let us mention that the theory developed in this paper can be easily extended to the case of a sublinear operator T satisfying

$$\|Tf\|_p \leq \frac{1}{\varphi(p)} \|f\|_p,$$

for every $1 < p \leq p_0$, where φ is essentially an increasing function such that $\varphi(p)$ tends to zero as p tends to 1. In particular, we can take $\varphi(p) = (p - 1)^\alpha$ with $\alpha > 0$.

The author thanks F. Soria for his useful comments and remarks.

2. SOME PREVIOUS RESULTS AND A GENERAL PRINCIPLE

For simplicity, throughout this paper we shall assume that T is sublinear operator in the sense that $|T(\lambda f)| = |\lambda| |Tf|$ and

$$\left| T\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f_j\right)(x) \right| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |Tf_j(x)|, \quad \text{a.e. } x.$$

As usual, if T is sublinear in the classical sense, we can adapt out proofs by first considering bounded functions and then extending the result by some density argument.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *If a function f satisfies that, for every $1 < p \leq p_0$,*

$$\|Tf\|_{L^p(\nu)} \leq \frac{1}{p-1} \|f\|_{L^p(\mu)},$$

then, for every $r \geq e^{1/(p_0-1)}$,

$$\frac{\int_{\mathcal{N}} (|Tf(x)| - 1/r)_+ \, d\nu(x)}{\log r} = \frac{\int_{1/r}^{\infty} \lambda_{Tf}^\nu(y) \, dy}{\log r} \leq K \int_{\mathcal{M}} |f(x)|^{1+1/\log r} \, d\mu(x), \quad (2)$$

where $K = \sup_{p \geq 1} (e/p)(p-1)^{1-p}$. If in addition $f \in L^1 \cap (\bigcup_{p>1} L^p)$, we have that

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{1/r}^{\infty} \lambda_{Tf}^\nu(y) \, dy}{\log r} \leq K \int_{\mathcal{M}} |f(x)| \, d\mu(x). \quad (3)$$

Proof. For every $r > 0$ and $1 < p \leq p_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{1/r}^{\infty} \lambda_{Tf}^v(y) dy &= \int_{1/r}^{\infty} y^{p-1} \lambda_{Tf}^v(y) \frac{1}{y^{p-1}} dy \leq r^{p-1} \int_{1/r}^{\infty} y^{p-1} \lambda_{Tf}^v(y) dy \\ &\leq r^{p-1} \frac{1}{p} \|Tf\|_p^p \leq \frac{1}{p} \left(\frac{1}{p-1} \right)^{p-1} \frac{r^{p-1}}{p-1} \|f\|_p^p \\ &\leq \frac{K r^{p-1}}{e^{p-1}} \|f\|_p^p. \end{aligned}$$

Taking now $p = 1 + 1/\log r$ with $r \geq e^{1/(p_0-1)}$, we obtain (2).

The last part follows immediately from applying the dominated convergence theorem. ■

From now on, we shall assume that the estimates on the operator T hold for $1 < p \leq 2$; that is, we shall work with $p_0 = 2$. The general case follows with the obvious modifications.

LEMMA 2.2. For every $0 \leq r \leq s \leq \infty$,

$$\int_r^s \lambda_{\sum_k f_k}^v(y) dy \leq \inf_{\sum a_k = 1} \sum_k \int_{a_k r}^s \lambda_{f_k}^v(y) dy.$$

Proof. For every $\sum a_k = 1$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_r^s \lambda_{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k}^v(y) dy &= \int_{\mathcal{N}} \left(\min \left(\left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k(x) \right|, s \right) - r \right)_+ dv(x) \\ &\leq \int_{\mathcal{N}} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \min(|f_k(x)|, s) - r \right)_+ dv(x) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathcal{N}} (\min(|f_k(x)|, s) - a_k r)_+ dv(x) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{a_k r}^s \lambda_{f_k}^v(y) dy, \end{aligned}$$

from which the result follows. ■

DEFINITION 2.3. We say that a function d is a dyadic function and we write $d \in D$ if $d = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^k \chi_{A_k}$ with A_k pairwise disjoint measurable sets. Similarly, we say that d is a dyadic⁺ function and we write $d \in D^+$ if $d = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^k \chi_{A_k}$ with A_k as before.

LEMMA 2.4. *Let f be a positive function. Then:*

(a) $f = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} d_j$ with $d_j \in D$ and $d_j \leq f/2^j$.

(b) f can be written as $f = B + S_d$, where $0 \leq B \leq \min(1, f)$ and $S_d = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} d_j$ with $d_j \in D^+$ and $d_j \leq f/2^j$.

Proof. The proof of (a) can be found in [So] (see also [Sj]) and the proof of (b) is a modification of (a) as follows: Let $f_0 = f$, $\underline{f}_0 = f\chi_{\{f \leq 1\}}$, $\overline{f}_0 = f\chi_{\{f \geq 1\}}$ and let us write

$$\overline{f}_0 = \overline{f}_0 - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^k \chi_{E_{k,0}} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^k \chi_{E_{k,0}} = f_1 + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^k \chi_{E_{k,0}} = f_1 + d_0,$$

where $E_{k,0} = \{x : 2^k \leq f_0(x) < 2^{k+1}\}$. Then $f = \underline{f}_0 + d_0 + f_1$ and it holds that $d_0 \leq \overline{f}_0 \leq f$, $d_0 \in D^+$, and $f_1 \leq f/2$.

Analogously, we write

$$f_1 = \underline{f}_1 + \overline{f}_1 = \underline{f}_1 + \left(\overline{f}_1 - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^k \chi_{E_{k,1}} \right) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^k \chi_{E_{k,1}} = \underline{f}_1 + f_2 + d_1,$$

where $E_{k,1} = \{x : 2^k \leq f_1(x) < 2^{k+1}\}$.

Following with this construction, we obtain that $f = B + S_d$, where $B = \sum_j \underline{f}_j$, and one can easily see that the required properties on B and S_d are satisfied. ■

This lemma allows us to formulate some general principles which are very useful to obtain the boundedness of sublinear operators in (essentially) quasi-normed lattice spaces.

THEOREM 2.5 (General Principles). *Let T be a sublinear operator. Let $E = \{f; \|f\|_E < \infty\}$, where $\|\cdot\|_E$ is a positively homogeneous functional satisfying the lattice property ($|f| \leq |g| \Rightarrow \|f\|_E \leq \|g\|_E$), and let $(F, \|\cdot\|_F)$ be a quasi-normed space. Then*

$$\|Tf\|_F \leq C \|f\|_E, \tag{4}$$

for every $f \in E$, if and only if one of the following conditions holds:

(i) Equation (4) holds for every function $d \in D$. Equivalently, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, for every $d \in D$ with $\|d\|_E = 1$, $\|Td\|_F \leq C$.

(ii) Equation (4) holds for every function $d \in D^+$ and every B such that $\|B\|_{\infty} \leq 1$.

(iii) Equation (4) holds for every function $d \in D^+$ with $\|d\|_E \leq 1$ and there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $\|TB\|_F \leq C$, for every B such that $\|B\|_\infty \leq 1$ and $\|B\|_E \leq 1$.

Proof. We shall only prove (iii) since the proofs of (i) and (ii) follow the same pattern. The necessary condition is clear. Let us then prove the sufficient condition. Since E satisfies the lattice property, we only need to show (4) for positive functions f , and since both $\|\cdot\|_E$ and $\|\cdot\|_F$ are positively homogeneous, it is enough to show that $\|Tf\|_F \leq C$ for every $\|f\|_E = 1$. By the previous lemma, we write $f = B + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} d_j$, and we have that $\|B\|_E \leq 1$ and $\|d_j\|_E \leq 1$, for every $j \geq 0$. Let $\alpha > 0$ be such that $\|\cdot\|_F^\alpha$ is subadditive (see [BL]). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tf\|_F^\alpha &\leq \|TB\|_F^\alpha + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|Td_j\|_F^\alpha \lesssim \left(1 + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|d_j\|_E^\alpha\right) \\ &\lesssim \left(1 + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|2^{-jf}\|_E^\alpha\right) \leq C, \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows. ■

3. RESTRICTED STRONG-TYPE EXTRAPOLATION

THEOREM 3.1. *Let T be a sublinear operator satisfying*

$$\|Tf\|_{L^p(\nu)} \leq \frac{1}{p-1} \|f\|_{L^p(\mu)}, \quad (5)$$

for every $f \in L^p(\mu)$ and every $1 < p \leq 2$. Then, for every $f \in L \log L(\mu)$,

$$\sup_{r>0} \frac{\int_{1/r}^{\infty} \lambda_{Tf}^\nu(y) dy}{1 + \log^+ r} \lesssim \int_{\mathcal{M}} |f(x)| (1 + \log^+ |f(x)|) d\mu(x). \quad (6)$$

Proof. Let $f \in L \log L(\mu)$ and let us write

$$f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k = f\chi_{\{|f(x)| \leq 1\}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f\chi_{\{2^{k-1} \leq |f(x)| < 2^k\}}.$$

Then, by Lemma 2.2, we have that, for $r \geq e$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\int_{1/r}^{\infty} \lambda_{Tf}^v(y) dy}{\log r} &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\log r 2^{k+1}}{\log r} \frac{\int_{1/(r2^{k+1})}^{\infty} \lambda_{Tf_k}^v(y) dy}{\log r 2^{k+1}} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (1+k) \frac{\int_{1/(r2^{k+1})}^{\infty} \lambda_{Tf_k}^v(y) dy}{\log r 2^{k+1}} \end{aligned}$$

Now, by Proposition 2.1, we get that, for $r \geq e$, and $k \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\int_{1/(r2^{k+1})}^{\infty} \lambda_{Tf_k}^v(y) dy}{\log r 2^{k+1}} &\lesssim \int_{\mathcal{M}} |f_k(x)|^{1+1/\log(r2^{k+1})} d\mu(x) \\ &\lesssim \int_{\{2^{k-1} \leq |f(x)| < 2^k\}} |f(x)| 2^{k/\log(r2^{k+1})} d\mu(x) \\ &\lesssim \int_{\{2^{k-1} \leq |f(x)| < 2^k\}} |f(x)| d\mu(x), \end{aligned}$$

and similarly for $k = 0$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{r \geq e} \frac{\int_{1/r}^{\infty} \lambda_{Tf}^v(y) dy}{\log r} &\lesssim \sum_k (1+k) \int_{\{2^{k-1} \leq |f(x)| < 2^k\}} |f(x)| d\mu(x) \\ &\approx \int_{\mathcal{M}} |f(x)|(1 + \log^+ |f(x)|) d\mu(x), \end{aligned}$$

from which the result follows. ■

Remark 3.2. (1) It is important to observe that if

$$\int_1^{\infty} \lambda_{Tf}(y) dy \lesssim \int_{\mathcal{M}} |f(x)|(1 + \log^+ |f(x)|) d\mu(x), \tag{7}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{1/r}^{\infty} \lambda_{Tf}(y) dy &= (1/r) \int_1^{\infty} \lambda_{T(rf)}(z) dz \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathcal{M}} |f(x)|(1 + \log^+(r |f(x)|)) d\mu(x) \\ &\lesssim (1 + \log^+ r) \int_{\mathcal{M}} |f(x)|(1 + \log^+(|f(x)|)) d\mu(x), \end{aligned}$$

and therefore (6) and (7) are equivalent.

(2) A second important remark for our purposes is that we have only used condition (5) on the functions f_k .

Our next result improves Yano's extrapolation theorem.

THEOREM 3.3. *If T is a sublinear operator satisfying that for every measurable set A and every $1 < p \leq 2$,*

$$\|T\chi_A\|_p \leq \frac{1}{p-1} \mu(A)^{1/p}, \quad (8)$$

then $T: L \log L(\mu) \rightarrow L^1(\nu) + L^\infty(\nu)$ is bounded.

Proof. Since $L^1(\nu) + L^\infty(\nu)$ and $L \log L(\mu)$ are normed spaces, we can apply Theorem 2.5(iii) and hence it is enough to show that $\|Td\|_{L^1(\nu) + L^\infty(\nu)} \lesssim \|d\|_{L \log L(\mu)}$ for every function $d \in D^+$ with $\|d\|_{L \log L(\mu)} \leq 1$ and that for every B such that $\|B\|_{L \log L} \leq 1$ and $\|B\|_\infty \leq 1$, $\|TB\|_{L^1(\nu) + L^\infty(\nu)} \leq C$.

Now, for the first case, let $d = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^k \chi_{A_k}$ with $\|d\|_{L \log L} \leq 1$ and let $j \leq 0$ be such that $2^{j-1} \leq \|d\|_{L \log L} \leq 2^j$. We have that $d_k = d\chi_{\{2^k \leq d < 2^{k+1}\}} = 2^k \chi_{A_k}$, and by Theorem 3.1 and Remark 3.2(2) we obtain that

$$\int_1^\infty \lambda_{Td}^\nu(y) dy \lesssim \int_{\mathcal{M}} |d(x)|(1 + \log^+(|d(x)|)) d\mu(x). \quad (9)$$

Now, since $d/2^j \in D^+$, we get

$$\int_{2^j}^\infty \lambda_{Td}^\nu(y) dy \lesssim \int_{\mathcal{M}} |d(x)| \left(1 + \log^+ \left(\frac{|d(x)|}{2^j} \right) \right) d\mu(x).$$

Consequently, the function $(|Td(x)| - 2^j)_+ \in L^1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} |Td(x)| &= (|Td(x)| \chi_{\{|Td(x)| < 2^j\}} + 2^j \chi_{\{|Td(x)| \geq 2^j\}}) \\ &\quad + (|Td(x)| - 2^j) \chi_{\{|Td(x)| \geq 2^j\}} \in L^\infty(\nu) + L^1(\nu). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Td\|_{L^1(\nu) + L^\infty(\nu)} &\lesssim 2^j + \int_{\mathcal{M}} |d(x)| \left(1 + \log^+ \left(\frac{|d(x)|}{2^j} \right) \right) d\mu(x) \\ &\lesssim \|d\|_{L \log L(\mu)}. \end{aligned}$$

To prove the second part, let B be such that $\|B\|_{L \log L} \leq 1$ and $\|B\|_\infty \leq 1$. By interpolation, we have that T is of strong-type (p, p) for every $1 < p < 2$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^\infty \lambda_{TB}^v(y) dy &\leq \int_1^\infty \lambda_{TB}^v(y) y^{p-1} dy \lesssim \int_{\mathcal{M}} |B(x)|^p d\mu(x) \\ &\leq \int_{\mathcal{M}} |B(x)| d\mu(x) \leq \|B\|_{L \log L} \leq 1. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

and by the same argument used in (10), we obtain the result. ■

COROLLARY 3.4. *If T is a sublinear operator satisfying (8), then T satisfies (6).*

Proof. We can assume that $f \geq 0$. Then let us write $f = B + \sum_{j=0}^\infty d_j$ as in Lemma 2.4. By Lemma 2.2,

$$\int_3^\infty \lambda_{Tf}^v(y) dy \leq \int_1^\infty \lambda_{TB}^v(y) dy + \sum_{j=0}^\infty \int_{1/2^j}^\infty \lambda_{Td_j}^v(y) dy.$$

Now, since $B \leq f$ we obtain, by (11), that

$$\int_1^\infty \lambda_{TB}^v(y) dy \leq \int_{\mathcal{M}} f(x) d\mu(x).$$

Also, to estimate the second term, we observe that, by (9) and the fact that $2^j d_j \leq f$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{1/2^j}^\infty \lambda_{Td_j}^v(y) dy &= \int_{1/2^j}^\infty \lambda_{T(2^j d_j)}^v(2^j y) dy = 2^{-j} \int_1^\infty \lambda_{T(2^j d_j)}^v(y) dy \\ &\lesssim 2^{-j} \int_{\mathcal{M}} f(x) (1 + \log^+(f(x))) d\mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

Summing in $j \geq 0$ and applying Remark 3.2(1) we obtain the result. ■

Let us now show that (3) also holds, for every $f \in L \log L$.

THEOREM 3.5. *Let T be a sublinear operator satisfying (8). Then, for every $f \in L \log L(\mu)$,*

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{1/r}^\infty \lambda_{Tf}^v(y) dy}{\log r} \lesssim \|f\|_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{\lim}_{v \rightarrow 0} v \lambda_{Tf}^v(y) \lesssim \|f\|_1.$$

Proof. If $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\int_{1/r}^{\infty} \lambda_{T(\varepsilon f)}^{\nu}(y) dy}{\log r} &= \frac{\int_{1/r}^{\infty} \lambda_{Tf}^{\nu}(y/\varepsilon) dy}{\log r} = \varepsilon \frac{\int_{1/(\varepsilon r)}^{\infty} \lambda_{Tf}^{\nu}(y) dy}{\log r} \\ &= \varepsilon \frac{\log(\varepsilon r)}{\log r} \frac{\int_{1/(\varepsilon r)}^{\infty} \lambda_{Tf}^{\nu}(y) dy}{\log(\varepsilon r)}, \end{aligned}$$

and, if we apply (6) to the function εf , with $f \in L \log L$, we obtain that, for every $r \geq e$,

$$\frac{\log(\varepsilon r)}{\log r} \frac{\int_{1/(\varepsilon r)}^{\infty} \lambda_{Tf}^{\nu}(y) dy}{\log(\varepsilon r)} \lesssim \int_{\mathcal{M}} |f(x)| (1 + \log^+(\varepsilon |f(x)|)) d\mu(x).$$

From this estimate and letting first r tend to infinity and then ε tend to zero, we obtain the first inequality. The second follows immediately from L'Hôpital's rule. ■

Remark 3.6. (i) If for a ν -measurable function g we define

$$q(g) = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{1/r}^{\infty} \lambda_g^{\nu}(y) dy}{\log r},$$

we have, by Lemma 2.2, that q is a seminorm and thus Theorem 3.5 says that if $S = \{g; q(g) < \infty\}$, T can be extended to a bounded operator from $L^1(\mu)$ into the completion of S with respect to q .

(ii) If we take $T = M$ to be the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator, then it is known (see [CS]) that $y \lambda_{Mf}^{\nu}(y)$ is equivalent to a decreasing function. As a consequence, we have that $\underline{\lim}_{y \rightarrow 0} y \lambda_{Tf}^{\nu}(y) \approx \sup_{y > 0} y \lambda_{Tf}^{\nu}(y)$ and the second inequality in Theorem 3.5 is nothing but the weak-type estimate $(1, 1)$ for M .

Finally, let us just mention that we cannot expect to get the weak-type $(1, 1)$ estimate for T since it is known (see [K]) that there are operators T satisfying (1) for which the weak-type $(1, 1)$ estimate does not hold.

4. RESTRICTED WEAK-TYPE EXTRAPOLATION

In this section, we shall assume that our sublinear operator T satisfies the following restricted weak-type condition: there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, for every measurable set A and every $1 < p \leq 2$,

$$\sup_{y > 0} \lambda_{T\chi_A}^{\nu}(y)^{1/p} y \leq \frac{C}{p-1} \mu(A)^{1/p}. \quad (12)$$

First, we observe that condition (12) is equivalent to having that

$$\sup_{y>0} \left(\sup_{1 < p \leq 2} y^p(p-1) \right) \lambda_{T\chi_A}^v(y) \lesssim \mu(A),$$

and hence, taking $p = 1 + 1/(1 + \log^+(1/y))$,

$$\sup_{y>0} \frac{y}{1 + \log^+(1/y)} \lambda_{T\chi_A}^v(y) \lesssim \mu(A). \tag{13}$$

Now, the space $L^1(v) + L^\infty(v)$ is characterized as the set of measurable functions such that

$$\|f\|_{L^1(v) + L^\infty(v)} = \int_0^1 f_v^*(s) ds = \int_0^\infty \min(1, \lambda_f^v(y)) dy < \infty.$$

This last equality leads us to define a weak-type version of this space as follows: let $W(L^1 + L^\infty)$ (weak- $(L^1 + L^\infty)$) be the set of measurable functions such that

$$\|f\|_{W(L^1 + L^\infty)} = \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{2^j}^{2^{j+1}} \min(1, \lambda_f^v(y)) dy < \infty.$$

Observe that $\|f\|_{W(L^1 + L^\infty)} \approx \sup_{r>0} \int_r^{2r} \min(1, \lambda_f^v(y)) dy$ and that this last expression is a quasi-norm. Also, if the measure v is finite, then $L^1(v) + L^\infty(v) = L^1(v)$ and the space $W(L^1 + L^\infty)$ is the weak-type space $L^{1, \infty}$.

Let us also recall (see [So]) that the space B_{φ^*} mentioned in the Introduction was defined as the set of measurable functions such that

$$\|f\|_{B_{\varphi^*}} = \int_0^\infty \varphi(\lambda_f(y)) \left[1 + \log \left(\frac{\|f\|_\varphi}{y\varphi(\lambda_f(y))} \right) \right] dy < \infty,$$

where $\|f\|_\varphi = \int_0^\infty \varphi(\lambda_f(y)) dy$.

THEOREM 4.1. *If T satisfies (12) and $\varphi(t) = t(1 + \log^+ 1/t)$, then*

$$T: B_{\varphi^*} \rightarrow W(L^1 + L^\infty)$$

is bounded.

Proof. Since $W(L^1 + L^\infty)$ and B_{φ^*} are quasi-normed lattices, we can apply our first general principle, Theorem 2.5(i). That is, we have to see that $\|Td\|_{W(L^1 + L^\infty)} \leq C$ for every $d \in D$ such that $\|d\|_{B_{\varphi^*}} = 1$.

Hence let $d = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^k \chi_{A_k} \in D$ and let us assume that $\|d\|_{B_{\varphi^*}} = 1$. If we write $E_k = \cup_{j=k+1}^\infty A_k$, we obtain that $d = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^k \chi_{E_k}$. Then, to show that

there exists a constant C such that $\|Td\|_{W(L^1+L^\infty)} \leq C$, it is enough to prove that $\sup_{j \geq 0} \int_{2^j}^{2^{j+1}} \lambda_{Td}^v(y) dy \leq C$. By Lemma 2.2 and (13) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{j \geq 0} \int_{2^j}^{2^{j+1}} \lambda_{(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^k |T\chi_{E_k}|)}^v(y) dy \\ & \leq \sup_{j \geq 0} \inf_{\sum_k a_k = 1} \sum_k 2^k \int_{a_k 2^j / 2^k}^{2^{j+1} / 2^k} (\lambda_{|T\chi_{E_k}|}^v)(y) dy \\ & \lesssim \sup_{j \geq 0} \inf_{\sum_k a_k = 1} \sum_k 2^k \mu(E_k) \int_{a_k 2^j / 2^k}^{2^{j+1} / 2^k} \frac{(1 + \log^+(1/y))}{y} dy. \end{aligned}$$

A simple computation shows that if we take

$$a_k = \frac{2^k \mu(E_k) (1 + \log^+(1/\mu(E_k)))}{\sum_j 2^j \mu(E_j) (1 + \log^+(1/\mu(E_j)))},$$

then the above expression is equivalent to $\|d\|_{B_{\varphi^*}} = 1$. ■

REFERENCES

- [BS] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, “Interpolation of Operators,” Academic Press, San Diego, 1988.
- [BL] J. Bergh and J. Löfström, “Interpolation Spaces: An Introduction,” Springer-Verlag, New York/Berlin, 1976.
- [CS] M. J. Carro and J. Soria, The Hardy–Littlewood maximal function and weighted Lorentz spaces, *J. London Math. Soc.* **55** (1997), 146–158.
- [JM1] B. Jawerth and M. Milman, “Extrapolation Theory with Applications,” Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 89, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1991.
- [JM2] B. Jawerth and M. Milman, New results and applications of extrapolation theory. Interpolation spaces and related topics, *Israel Math. Conf. Proc.* **5** (1992), 81–105.
- [K] J. Kahane, “Some Random Series of Functions,” Heath, Lexington, MA, 1968.
- [Sj] P. Sjölin, An inequality of Paley and convergence a.e. of Walsh–Fourier series, *Ark. Mat.* **7**, No. 3 (1969), 551–570.
- [S] T. Sobukawa, Extrapolation theorem on some quasi-Banach spaces, *Tokyo J. Math.* **18** (1995), 417–423.
- [So] F. Soria, On an extrapolation theorem of Carleson–Sjölin with applications to a.e. convergences of Fourier series, *Studia Math.* **94** (1989), 235–244.
- [T] E. C. Titchmarsh, Additional note on conjugate functions, *J. London Math. Soc.* **4** (1929), 204–206.
- [Y] S. Yano, An extrapolation theorem, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **3** (1951), 296–305.
- [Z] A. Zygmund, “Trigonometric Series,” Vol. I, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, 1959.