

JOURNAL OF ALGEBRA 25, 176–184 (1973)

Analog of a Theorem of Schur on Matrix Transformations*

H. J. RYSER

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91109

Received January 25, 1972

1. INTRODUCTION

Let A and B be matrices of sizes m by t and t by n , respectively, with elements in a field F . Let x_1, \dots, x_t denote t independent indeterminates over F and define

$$X = \text{diag}[x_1, \dots, x_t]. \quad (1.1)$$

Then

$$AXB = Y \quad (1.2)$$

is a matrix of size m by n such that every element of Y is a linear form in x_1, \dots, x_t over F . In the present paper we investigate the converse proposition. Thus let

$$Y = Y(x_1, \dots, x_t) \quad (1.3)$$

be a matrix of size m by n such that every element of Y is a linear form in x_1, \dots, x_t over F . Then under what conditions are we assured of the existence of a factorization of Y of the form (1.2)? Our conditions turn out to be very natural ones and they are easily described in terms of compound matrices. We now state in entirely elementary terms a special case of one of our conclusions.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let Y be a matrix of order $n \geq 3$ such that every element of Y is a linear form in x_1, \dots, x_n over F and let*

$$X = \text{diag}[x_1, \dots, x_n]. \quad (1.4)$$

Suppose that

$$\det(Y) = cx_1 \cdots x_n, \quad (1.5)$$

* This research was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research under Contract N00014-67-A-0094-0010.

where $c \neq 0$ and $c \in F$, and suppose further that every element of Y^{-1} is a linear form in $x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n^{-1}$ over F . Then there exist matrices A and B of order n with elements in F such that

$$AXB = Y. \quad (1.6)$$

Our work has been strongly motivated by the much earlier investigations of Kantor [2], Frobenius [1], and Schur [5]. These authors study a related problem but with X a matrix of size m by n and such that the elements of X are mn independent variables over the complex field. A more recent account of this theory is available in [3].

Finally, we remark that the matrix equation (1.2) is of considerable combinatorial importance in its own right. For example, if A and B are $(0, 1)$ -matrices, then (1.2) admits of a simple set theoretic interpretation. The special case

$$AXA^T = Y, \quad (1.7)$$

where A^T is the transpose of A , has been investigated briefly in [4]. But we do not pursue the combinatorial aspects of this subject here.

2. THE MAIN THEOREMS

Throughout the discussion we let F denote an arbitrary field and we let x_1, \dots, x_t denote t independent indeterminates over F . We define

$$X = \text{diag}[x_1, \dots, x_t]. \quad (2.1)$$

We then form all of the products of x_1, \dots, x_t taken r at a time and we always denote these products written for convenience in the "lexicographic" ordering by

$$y_1, \dots, y_u \quad (u = \binom{t}{r}). \quad (2.2)$$

Now let

$$Y = Y(x_1, \dots, x_t) \quad (2.3)$$

denote a matrix of size m by n such that every element of Y is a linear form in x_1, \dots, x_t over F . We further assume that

$$1 \leq r \leq \min(m, n) \quad (2.4)$$

and we let $C_r(Y)$ denote the r th compound of the matrix Y . Thus $C_r(Y)$ is of size $\binom{m}{r}$ by $\binom{n}{r}$ and the elements of $C_r(Y)$ are the determinants of the

various submatrices of order r of Y displayed within $C_r(Y)$ in the "lexicographic" ordering. We note that the preceding terminology implies

$$C_r(X) = \text{diag}[y_1, \dots, y_u]. \quad (2.5)$$

We are now prepared to state one of our main conclusions.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let Y denote a matrix of size m by n such that every element of Y is a linear form in x_1, \dots, x_t over F and let y_1, \dots, y_u denote the products of x_1, \dots, x_t taken r at a time. We assume that*

$$2 \leq r \leq \text{rank}(Y) - 2 \quad (2.6)$$

and that every element of $C_r(Y)$ is a linear form in y_1, \dots, y_u over F . Then there exist matrices A and B of sizes m by t and t by n , respectively, with elements in F such that

$$AXB = Y. \quad (2.7)$$

We begin with a simple lemma concerning the matrix Y of (2.3).

LEMMA 2.2. *Let*

$$Y_i = Y(0, \dots, 0, x_i, 0, \dots, 0) \quad (2.8)$$

and suppose that

$$\text{rank}(Y_i) \leq 1 \quad (i = 1, \dots, t). \quad (2.9)$$

Then there exist matrices A and B of sizes m by t and t by n , respectively, with elements in F such that

$$AXB = Y. \quad (2.10)$$

Proof. The assertion $\text{rank}(Y_i) \leq 1$ implies that we may write

$$Y_i = \alpha_i x_i \beta_i, \quad (2.11)$$

where

$$\alpha_i = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1i} \\ \vdots \\ a_{mi} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta_i = (b_{i1}, \dots, b_{in}) \quad (2.12)$$

are vectors with components in F . Here if $\text{rank}(Y_i) = 1$ we have $\alpha_i \neq 0$

and $\beta_i \neq 0$. But if $\text{rank}(Y_i) = 0$ we have $\alpha_i = 0$ and β_i arbitrary or $\beta_i = 0$ and α_i arbitrary. Thus

$$Y = Y_1 + \cdots + Y_t = \alpha_1 x_1 \beta_1 + \cdots + \alpha_t x_t \beta_t = [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t] X \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_t \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2.13)$$

and our conclusion follows.

Notice further that if

$$\text{rank}(Y_i) = 1 \quad (i = 1, \dots, t) \quad (2.14)$$

and if

$$A'XB' = Y, \quad (2.15)$$

then there exists a nonsingular diagonal matrix D with elements in F such that

$$A' = AD^{-1}, \quad B' = DB. \quad (2.16)$$

It is now clear that the following lemma is actually a reformulation of Theorem 2.1.

LEMMA 2.3. *The matrix Y of Theorem 2.1 satisfies*

$$\text{rank}(Y_i) \leq 1 \quad (i = 1, \dots, t). \quad (2.17)$$

Proof. We remark at the outset that the lemma is elementary for $r = 2$. In this case $\text{rank}(Y_i) \leq 1$ because otherwise we contradict the assumption that every element of $C_2(Y)$ is a linear form in y_1, \dots, y_u over F .

Hence we take $r \geq 3$. Let us suppose that

$$\text{rank}(Y_i) = p > 1 \quad (2.18)$$

for some $i = 1, \dots, t$. Then there exist nonsingular matrices P and Q of orders m and n , respectively, with elements in F such that

$$PY_iQ = x_i I \oplus 0. \quad (2.19)$$

In (2.19) the matrix I is the identity matrix of order p , 0 is a zero matrix, and the sum is direct. The elements of the matrix

$$PYQ = Z \quad (2.20)$$

are linear forms in x_1, \dots, x_t over F . It follows from (2.13) and (2.19) that the structure of Z is such that the indeterminate x_i appears in positions $(1, 1), \dots, (p, p)$, and in no other positions in Z . The familiar multiplicative property of the compound matrix implies

$$C_r(P)C_r(Y)C_r(Q) = C_r(Z), \quad (2.21)$$

and by our assumption on $C_r(Y)$ we may conclude that each of the elements of $C_r(Z)$ is also a linear form in y_1, \dots, y_u over F .

We designate by F_i the quotient field of the polynomial ring

$$F[x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_t]. \quad (2.22)$$

In this notation the elements of Z and $C_r(Z)$ are scalars or polynomials in x_i of degree 1 over F_i . In what follows we apply certain elementary row and column operations to Z with respect to the field F_i . This means that we determine certain nonsingular matrices P' and Q' of orders m and n , respectively, with elements in F_i such that

$$P'ZQ' = Z'. \quad (2.23)$$

Then once again we have

$$C_r(P')C_r(Z)C_r(Q') = C_r(Z'). \quad (2.24)$$

Thus we see that the elements of Z' and $C_r(Z')$ are scalars or polynomials in x_i of degree 1 over F_i .

We now write Z in the form

$$Z = \begin{bmatrix} W & * \\ * & * \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2.25)$$

where W is of order p . We note that $\det(W)$ is a polynomial in x_i of degree $p > 1$ over F_i . Let the submatrix of Z in the lower right corner of Z of size $m - p$ by $n - p$ be of rank ρ . Then we may apply elementary row and column operations with respect to F_i to the last $m - p$ rows and the last $n - p$ columns of Z and replace Z by

$$Z' = \begin{bmatrix} W & * & * \\ * & I & 0 \\ W' & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.26)$$

In (2.26) the matrix I is the identity matrix of order ρ and the 0 's denote zero matrices. We assert that

$$p + \rho \leq r - 1 \quad (2.27)$$

because $p + \rho \geq r$ contradicts the fact that all of the elements of $C_r(Z')$ are scalars or polynomials in x_i of degree 1 over F_i . Let the submatrix W' of Z' be of rank ρ' . We have $\text{rank}(Z') = \text{rank}(Y)$ and hence we may conclude that

$$p + \rho + \rho' \geq \text{rank}(Y). \quad (2.28)$$

It now follows from (2.6), (2.27), and (2.28) that

$$\rho' \geq 3. \tag{2.29}$$

We permute the last $m - (p + \rho)$ rows and the first p columns of Z' so that the submatrix of order 2 in the lower left corner of W' has a nonzero determinant. We then further permute the first p rows of Z' so that the p polynomials in x_i of degree 1 over F_i again occupy the main diagonal positions of W . By elementary row operations with respect to F_i we may replace the matrix of order 2 in the lower left corner of W' by the identity matrix. We then apply further elementary row operations with respect to F_i and make all elements in columns 1 and 2 of Z' equal to 0, apart from the elements in the (1, 1), (2, 2), $(m - 1, 1)$, $(m, 2)$ positions, and these elements are equal to $x_i, x_i, 1, 1$, respectively.

We delete rows 1, 2, $m - 1, m$ and columns 1, 2 from Z' and call the resulting submatrix \tilde{Z} . Then we have

$$Z' = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} x_i & 0 & * \\ 0 & x_i & * \\ \hline 0 & 0 & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \tilde{Z} \\ 0 & 0 & \\ \hline 1 & 0 & \\ 0 & 1 & * \end{array} \right] \tag{2.30}$$

The matrix \tilde{Z} is of size $m - 4$ by $n - 2$. Let $\tilde{\rho}$ be of rank $\tilde{\rho}$. We have $\text{rank}(Z') = \text{rank}(Y)$ and hence

$$\tilde{\rho} + 4 \geq \text{rank}(Y). \tag{2.31}$$

We assert that

$$C_{r-2}(\tilde{Z}) \neq 0. \tag{2.32}$$

Suppose on the contrary that $C_{r-2}(\tilde{Z}) = 0$. Then

$$\tilde{\rho} \leq r - 3. \tag{2.33}$$

But then by (2.6), (2.31), and (2.33) we have

$$\text{rank}(Y) \leq \tilde{\rho} + 4 \leq r + 1 \leq \text{rank}(Y) - 1, \tag{2.34}$$

and this is a contradiction. Hence $C_{r-2}(\tilde{Z}) \neq 0$. This means that \tilde{Z} has a submatrix of order $r - 2$ with a nonzero determinant. But this submatrix of \tilde{Z} in conjunction with the first two rows and columns of Z' yields a submatrix of Z' of order r whose determinant is a polynomial in x_i of degree 2

or higher over F_i . This contradicts the fact that the elements of $C_r(Z')$ are scalars or polynomials in x_i of degree 1 over F_i . Hence we have

$$\text{rank}(Y_i) \leq 1 \quad (i = 1, \dots, t). \tag{2.35}$$

This proves Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 2.1.

The range of r in the preceding theorem cannot in general be extended to $r = \text{rank}(Y) - 1$. We define

$$Y = \text{diag}[x_1, \dots, x_n] + \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 0 & & 0 \\ \hline x_{n+1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_{n+1} & \end{array} \right], \tag{2.36}$$

where the 0's denote zero matrices. Then we have $t = n + 1$ and if $n \geq 4$ we have

$$Y^{-1} = \text{diag}\left[\frac{1}{x_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{x_n}\right] + \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 0 & & 0 \\ \hline -x_{n+1} & 0 & \\ x_1 x_{n-1} & & 0 \\ 0 & -x_{n+1} & \\ & x_2 x_n & \end{array} \right]. \tag{2.37}$$

Hence for $r = n - 1$ we see that every element of $C_r(Y)$ is a linear form in y_1, \dots, y_u over F . But clearly $\text{rank}(Y_{n+1}) = 2$.

The preceding theorem, however, is valid for $r = \text{rank}(Y) - 1$ under the added assumption $t = \text{rank}(Y)$. This theorem is actually a generalization of Theorem 1.1 described in Section 1.

THEOREM 2.4. *Let Y denote a matrix of size m by n such that every element of Y is a linear form in x_1, \dots, x_t over F and let y_1, \dots, y_u denote the products of x_1, \dots, x_t taken r at a time. We assume that*

$$2 \leq r = \text{rank}(Y) - 1, \tag{2.38}$$

$$t = \text{rank}(Y), \tag{2.39}$$

and that every element of $C_r(Y)$ is a linear form in y_1, \dots, y_u over F . Then there exist matrices A and B of sizes m by t and t by n , respectively, with elements in F such that

$$AXB = Y. \tag{2.40}$$

LEMMA 2.5. *Let Y be a nonsingular matrix of order $t \geq 3$ such that every*

element of Y is a linear form in x_1, \dots, x_t over F . Let $r = t - 1$ and suppose that every element of $C_r(Y)$ is a linear form in y_1, \dots, y_u over F . Then

$$\det(Y) = cx_1 \cdots x_t, \tag{2.41}$$

where $c \neq 0$ and $c \in F$.

Proof. Let

$$\text{rank}(Y_i) = p. \tag{2.42}$$

We apply the same elementary row and column operations as in Lemma 2.3. Thus we know that there exist nonsingular matrices P and Q of order t with elements in F such that

$$PYQ = Z. \tag{2.43}$$

The elements of Z are linear forms in x_1, \dots, x_t over F . But the structure of Z is such that x_i appears in positions $(1, 1), \dots, (p, p)$, and in no other positions in Z . We know that every element of $C_r(Z)$ is a linear form in y_1, \dots, y_u over F . Hence $t \geq 3$ implies that we cannot have x_i in the (t, t) position of Z . Thus x_i does not occur in the last column of Z . An evaluation of $\det(Z)$ by this column implies that no term of $\det(Z)$ contains x_i to a power higher than the first. Thus no term of $\det(Y)$ contains x_i to a power higher than the first, and this is valid for each $i = 1, \dots, t$. Hence by the structure of Y we conclude that $\det(Y)$ is a nonzero scalar multiple of $x_1 \cdots x_t$.

The following lemma completes the proof of Theorem 2.4.

LEMMA 2.6. *The matrix Y of Theorem 2.4 satisfies*

$$\text{rank}(Y_i) \leq 1 \quad (i = 1, \dots, t). \tag{2.44}$$

Proof. We assume that

$$\text{rank}(Y_i) = p > 1 \tag{2.45}$$

for some $i = 1, \dots, t$. Once again there exist nonsingular matrices P and Q of orders m and n , respectively, with elements in F such that

$$PYQ = Z. \tag{2.46}$$

The elements of Z are linear forms in x_1, \dots, x_t over F . But the structure of Z is such that the indeterminate x_i appears in positions $(1, 1), \dots, (p, p)$, and in no other positions in Z . Furthermore, every element of $C_r(Z)$ is a linear form in y_1, \dots, y_u over F .

The submatrix W of order p in the upper left corner of Z is nonsingular because its determinant is a polynomial in x_i of degree p over F_i . We have

$$t = \text{rank}(Y) = \text{rank}(Z) \geq 3 \quad (2.47)$$

and hence Z contains a nonsingular submatrix Z' of order t with W in its upper left corner. We now write

$$Z'Z'^{-1} = I. \quad (2.48)$$

The elements of Z' are of the form $ax_i + b$, where $a, b \in F_i$. Moreover, the polynomials in x_i of degree 1 over F_i appear in positions $(1, 1), \dots, (p, p)$, and in no other positions in Z' . Every element of $C_r(Z')$ is a linear form in y_1, \dots, y_u over F . Hence by Lemma 2.5 every element of Z'^{-1} is of the form $cx_i^{-1} + d$, where $c, d \in F_i$. We now multiply row 1 of Z' by column j of Z'^{-1} . This product is 0 or 1. Hence the element in the $(1, j)$ position of Z'^{-1} is of the form cx_i^{-1} , where $c \in F_i$. Similarly, each of the elements in the first p rows of Z'^{-1} is of this form. Hence

$$\det(Z'^{-1}) = x_i^{-p} f(x_i^{-1}), \quad (2.49)$$

where $f(x_i^{-1})$ is a nonzero polynomial in x_i^{-1} over F_i . But by Lemma 2.5 we have

$$\det(Z'^{-1}) = ex_i^{-1}, \quad (2.50)$$

where $e \neq 0$ and $e \in F_i$. This contradicts $p > 1$. Hence $p = 1$ and the lemma is established.

REFERENCES

1. G. FROBENIUS, Über die Darstellung der endlichen Gruppen durch lineare Substitutionen, *Sitzungsber. Berliner Akad.* (1897), 994–1015.
2. S. KANTOR, Theorie der Äquivalenz von linearen ∞^{λ} -Schaaren bilinearer Formen, *Sitzungsber. Münchener Akad.* (1897), 367–381.
3. M. MARCUS AND F. MAY, On a theorem of I. Schur concerning matrix transformations, *Archiv. Math.* 11 (1960), 401–404.
4. H. J. RYSER, A fundamental matrix equation for finite sets, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 34 (1972), 332–336.
5. I. SCHUR, Einige Bemerkungen zur Determinantentheorie, *Sitzungsber. Berliner Akad.* (1925), 454–463.