

NOTE

ON A CLIQUE COVERING PROBLEM OF ORLIN

David A. GREGORY and Norman J. PULLMAN

Mathematics and Statistics Department, Queen's University, Kingston, Canada

Received 19 August 1981

Let T_{2n} be the complement of a perfect matching in the complete graph on $2n$ vertices, and $cc(T_{2n})$ be the minimum number of complete subgraphs necessary to cover all the edges of T_{2n} . Orlin posed the problem of determining the asymptotic behaviour of $cc(T_{2n})$. We show that $cc(T_{2n}) = \min\{k: n \leq \binom{k-1}{k/2}\}$ for all $n > 1$, (which implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} cc(T_{2n})/\log_2 n = 1$). This is done by applying a Sperner-type theorem on set families due to Bollobás and Schönheim.

A *clique covering* of a graph G is a family of complete subgraphs such that every edge of G is in some member of the family. The minimum cardinality of all clique coverings of G is called the *clique covering number* of G and is denoted here by $cc(G)$. For an outline of the background of this subject see, e.g., [3] or [4].

Let T_{2n} denote the graph obtained by deleting a perfect matching from the complete graph on $2n$ vertices. In [4, p. 411] Orlin presented the following as an unsolved problem: What is the asymptotic behaviour of $cc(T_{2n})$? He associated the determination of $cc(T_{2n})$ with the solution of an optimization problem in the theory of Boolean functions [4, Remark 3.7].

Let $\sigma(n) = \min\{k: n \leq \binom{k-1}{k/2}\}$. We will show that $cc(T_{2n}) = \sigma(n)$ for all $n > 1$ (which implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} cc(T_{2n})/\log_2 n = 1$).

Suppose $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_N\}$ is an indexed family of subsets of a set S . Repetitions in \mathcal{F} are allowed. That is, it may happen that $F_i = F_j$ even though $i \neq j$. Let $G(\mathcal{F})$ be the graph on n distinct vertices v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n with v_i adjacent to v_j whenever $i \neq j$ and $F_i \cap F_j \neq \emptyset$. This is the *intersection graph* of \mathcal{F} .

Suppose G is a simple graph (no loops or multiple edges) having n vertices, none of which is isolated, and \mathcal{C} is any clique covering of G . Index the vertices of G : v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n . For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let F_i consist of those members of \mathcal{C} having v_i as a vertex. Then define $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C}) = \{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n\}$. It follows that the intersection graph of $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C})$ is isomorphic to G . Therefore $cc(G)$ is the least value of $|\mathcal{S}|$ for which a representation of G as an intersection graph of a family of subsets of S exists. This correspondence between set families and graphs is the historical basis for the subject of clique coverings, (see, e.g. [3] or [4]).

A family \mathcal{F} of subsets of a set S is called a *clutter* if none of its members contains another. Call a family $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n\}$ of subsets of S *complementary*, if for every $1 \leq i \leq n$, there is some $1 \leq j \leq n$ such that $F_j = S \setminus F_i$.

Theorem 1 (Bollobás, Schönheim [1]). *The largest complementary clutters of subsets of a k -element set have $2^{\binom{k-1}{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor}}$ members.*

Call a family \mathcal{F} , *balanced* if for every F in \mathcal{F} , there is precisely one F' in \mathcal{F} disjoint from F . Notice that every balanced family is a clutter. By replacing each pair $\{F, F'\}$ in a balanced family \mathcal{F} by $\{F, S \setminus F\}$, we obtain a new balanced complementary family of the same size as \mathcal{F} . Therefore

Corollary 1. *The largest balanced families of subsets of a k -element set have $2^{\binom{k-1}{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor}}$ members.*

Corollary 2. *For all $n > 1$, $\text{cc}(T_{2n}) = \sigma(n)$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{C} be a clique covering of T_{2n} of minimum cardinality k and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C})$. Since $G(\mathcal{F})$ is isomorphic to T_{2n} and each vertex of T_{2n} is adjacent to all but one other vertex, the family \mathcal{F} is balanced.

Therefore $|\mathcal{F}| \leq 2^{\binom{k-1}{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor}}$, and hence $\text{cc}(T_{2n}) \geq \sigma(n)$ by Corollary 1.

Let $k = \sigma(n)$ and choose a balanced family \mathcal{F} in $S = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ with cardinality $2n \leq 2^{\binom{k-1}{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor}}$, as we may by Corollary 1. Then $G(\mathcal{F})$ is isomorphic to T_{2n} and $\text{cc}(G(\mathcal{F})) \leq k$. Therefore $\text{cc}(T_{2n}) \leq \sigma(n)$. \square

Let $k = \sigma(n)$, then the definition of $\sigma(n)$ and some elementary manipulations of binomial coefficients imply that

$$(1 - (2/k)) \binom{k-1}{\lfloor (k-1)/2 \rfloor} < n \leq \frac{1}{2} \binom{k}{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor}.$$

But Wallis' product representation of π (see, e.g., [2, p. 225]) implies that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\binom{k}{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \right) 2^{-k} \sqrt{k} = \sqrt{2/\pi}.$$

Therefore

$$0.1995 < n 2^{-k} \sqrt{k} < 0.3184$$

and hence

$$1.325 < \sigma(n) - 1/2 \log_2 \sigma(n) - \log_2(n) < 2.326$$

for all sufficiently large n . Dividing by $\alpha(n)$, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{cc(T_{2n})}{\log_2(n)} = 1,$$

by Corollary 2.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported in part by the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada under grants A4041 and A5134.

References

- [1] B. Bollobás, Sperner systems consisting of pairs of complementary subsets, *J. Combin. Theory (A)* 15 (1973) 363–366.
- [2] R. Courant, *Differential and Integral Calculus*, Vol. 1, 2nd ed. (Blackie & Son Ltd., London, 1937).
- [3] P. Erdős, A.W. Goodman, and L. Pósa, The representations of a graph by set intersections, *Canad. J. Math.* 18 (1966) 106–112.
- [4] J. Orlin, Contentment in Graph Theory, *K. Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. (Ser. A)* 80 (1977) 406–424.