# A Prolyl-Isomerase Mediates Dopamine-Dependent Plasticity and Cocaine Motor Sensitization

Joo Min Park,<sup>1,6,7</sup> Jia-Hua Hu,<sup>1,7</sup> Aleksandr Milshteyn,<sup>3,7</sup> Ping-Wu Zhang,<sup>1</sup> Chester G. Moore,<sup>1</sup> Sungjin Park,<sup>1</sup> Michael C. Datko,<sup>4</sup> Racquel D. Domingo,<sup>4</sup> Cindy M. Reyes,<sup>4</sup> Xiaodong J. Wang,<sup>5</sup> Felicia A. Etzkorn,<sup>5</sup> Bo Xiao,<sup>1</sup> Karen K. Szumlinski,<sup>4</sup> Dorothee Kern,<sup>3</sup> David J. Linden,<sup>1</sup> and Paul F. Worley<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Neuroscience

<sup>2</sup>Department of Neurology

Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Biochemistry, Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA 02452, USA

<sup>4</sup>Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences and the Neuroscience Research Institute, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA

<sup>5</sup>Department of Chemistry, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA 24061, USA

<sup>6</sup>Department of Physiology, Jeju National University School of Medicine, Jeju 690-756, South Korea

<sup>7</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work

\*Correspondence: pworley@jhmi.edu

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2013.07.001

### SUMMARY

Synaptic plasticity induced by cocaine and other drugs underlies addiction. Here we elucidate molecular events at synapses that cause this plasticity and the resulting behavioral response to cocaine in mice. In response to D1-dopamine-receptor signaling that is induced by drug administration, the glutamatereceptor protein metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 (mGluR5) is phosphorylated by microtubule-associated protein kinase (MAPK), which we show potentiates Pin1-mediated prolyl-isomerization of mGluR5 in instances where the product of an activity-dependent gene, Homer1a, is present to enable Pin1mGluR5 interaction. These biochemical events potentiate N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor (NMDAR)mediated currents that underlie synaptic plasticity and cocaine-evoked motor sensitization as tested in mice with relevant mutations. The findings elucidate how a coincidence of signals from the nucleus and the synapse can render mGluR5 accessible to activation with consequences for drug-induced dopamine responses and point to depotentiation at corticostriatal synapses as a possible therapeutic target for treating addiction.

# INTRODUCTION

Many drugs, including cocaine, elicit dopamine increases, and thus dopamine receptor signaling plays a central role in the synaptic plasticity that underlies drug addiction (Lüscher and Malenka, 2011). Actions of dopamine are often codependent upon glutamate receptors including N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) ionotropic receptors and group I metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluR1 and mGluR5) (Calabresi et al., 2007), which are G protein-coupled receptors that are physically linked to postsynaptic ionotropic receptors by adaptor proteins (Shepherd and Huganir, 2007) and are thus poised to coordinate between ionotropic and neuromodulator pathways. Genetic deletion of mGluR5 prevents cocaine-evoked motor sensitization and self-administration (Bird et al., 2010; Chiamulera et al., 2001), and mGluR5 antagonists prevent cocaine self-administration in rodents (Kenny et al., 2005) and primates (Platt et al., 2008). mGluR5 also plays a role in reinstatement of cocaine self-administration following a period of abstinence (Knackstedt et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2013) and facilitates extinction learning during drug abstinence (Gass and Olive, 2009).

mGluR1/5 are linked to ionotropic receptor pathways by adaptor proteins that include Shank (Tu et al., 1999), Preso1 (Hu et al., 2012), and Homer (Tu et al., 1998). The EVH1 domain of Homer binds a consensus PPXXF that is present in mGluR1/5, Shank, and Preso1 (Beneken et al., 2000). Constitutively expressed Homer proteins (Homer1b/c, Homer2, and Homer3) self-multimerize via their C-terminal coiled-coil regions to create a crosslinking scaffold (Xiao et al., 1998). Homer crosslinking is in dynamic competition with an immediate-early gene (IEG) form of Homer, termed Homer1a, which contains only the EVH1 domain such that it can bind to the same target proteins but does not self-associate. Homer crosslinking influences the signaling and pharmacology of mGluR1/5 (Ango et al., 2001; Hu et al., 2012), and changes in Homer expression have been suggested to contribute to cocaine-induced plasticity (Szumlinski et al., 2004).

We focused on how dopamine receptor and group I mGluR signaling might be cofunctional and noted that microtubuleassociated protein kinase (MAPK) phosphorylates mGluR5 (S1126) within the sequence that is bound by Homer (TPPSPF) (Beneken et al., 2000; Hu et al., 2012; Orlando et al., 2009).



#### Figure 1. Pin1 and Homer Competitively Bind to Phosphorylated mGluR5

(A) Cocaine administration to mice (20 mg/kg, i.p.) induces transient phosphorylation of mGluR5 S1126 but not T1123 in striatum. Cocaine also induces Homer1a expression. n = 6 each group.

(B) Pin1 selectively binds phosphorylated mGluR5 peptides. Synthetic mGluR5 peptides (KELVALTPPSPFRD) including unphosphorylated, T1123phosphorylated, or S1126-phosphorylated were conjugated to Affigel-15 Sepharose beads and incubated with lysate from HA-Pin1-transfected HEK293 cells.

(C) Homer1c inhibits Pin1 binding. Tagged transgenes were expressed in HEK293T cells, and detergent lysates were assayed for coimmunoprecipitation. Homer1c, but not Homer1a, inhibits Pin1-mGluR5 binding.

(D) Experiments similar to (C), showing that Homer1a concentration-dependently restores Pin1-mGluR5 binding in the presence of Homer1c.

(E) Homer inhibits Pin1 binding to mGluR5 in vivo. Mouse striatum lysates from WT and Homer1<sup>-/-</sup>Homer2<sup>-/-</sup>Homer3<sup>-/-</sup> mice w/o cocaine administration (10 mg/kg, i.p., 30 min) were blotted with anti-mGluR5 and anti-pS-mGluR antibody or immunoprecipitated with anti-mGluR5 antibody. Cocaine-injected WT (legend continued on next page)

D1-dopamine receptors activate MAPK, and phosphorylation of mGluR5(S1126) increases Homer-binding avidity and influences mGluR signaling (Hu et al., 2012; Orlando et al., 2009). But intriguingly, phosphorylation of mGluR5(S1126) also creates a binding site for the prolyl-isomerase Pin1. Pin1 accelerates rotation of the phosphorylated S/T-P bond in target proteins and acts as a molecular switch. This provoked an idea that Pin1 may be cofunctional with Homer in controlling mGluR1/5 signaling. Here, we demonstrate that Pin1 catalyzes isomerization of phosphorylated mGluR5 at the pS1126-P site and consequently enhances mGluR5-dependent gating of NMDA receptor (NMDAR) channels. The IEG Homer1a, induced in response to neuronal activity, plays an essential role by interrupting Homer crosslinking and therefore facilitating Pin1 catalysis. Mutant mice that constrain Pin1-dependent mGluR5 signaling fail to exhibit normal motor sensitization, implicating this mechanism in cocaine-induced behavioral adaptation.

#### RESULTS

# Pin1 Binds Phosphorylated Group I mGluR and Competes with Homer1c

mGluR5(S1126) is phosphorylated in vivo in response to cocaine (Figure 1A) and in cultured striatal neurons in response to agents, including D1-dopamine receptors or brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) receptor tyrosine kinase B (TrkB), that activate p42/44 MAPK (Figures S1A and S1B available online). mGluR5(T1123) is also phosphorylated, but it is not dynamically regulated (Figures 1A, S1A, and S1B). Phosphorylated mGluR5 is enriched on the cell surface (Figure S1C). Immunoselection of pT1123 enriches pS1126, indicating that mGluR5 can be doubly phosphorylated (Figure S1D). Double phosphorylation is induced by cocaine administration (Figure S1D). Pin1 bound singly phosphorylated mGluR5 pT or pS peptides (Figure 1B). We examined full-length mGluR5 expressed in HEK293 cells where both T1123 and S1126 are phosphorylated (Hu et al., 2012 and data not shown). GST-Pin1 bound wild-type (WT) mGluR5, as well as mutants that prevent phosphorylation at either T1123 (mGluR5(T1123A)) or S1126 (mGluR5(S1126A)), but Pin1 did not bind a mutant that prevents phosphorylation at both sites (mGluR5(T1123A, S1126A)) (Figure S1E). We examined effects of crosslinking Homer on Pin1 binding and focused on Homer1c because it is most abundantly expressed in forebrain (Xiao et al., 1998). Homer1c coexpression reduced Pin1-mGluR5 binding (Figure 1C). By contrast, Homer1a coexpression did not inhibit Pin1 binding (Figure 1C) and, when coexpressed with Homer1c, facilitated Pin1 binding to mGluR5 (Figure 1D). In vivo studies confirmed that Pin1 coimmunoprecipitates with mGluR5 from mouse brain (Figure S1F). Consistent with the notion that crosslinking Homer proteins compete with Pin1 for mGluR5 binding, Pin1 coimmunoprecipitation with mGluR5 increased in brains of mice lacking Homer (Homer1-/-Homer2<sup>-/-</sup>Homer3<sup>-/-</sup>, Homer triple knockout, HTKO) (Figure 1E) and increased in parallel with mGluR5(S1126) phosphorylation induced by acute administration of cocaine (Figure 1E). We did not detect an increase of Pin1 binding in WT mice. This could challenge the notion that Pin1 is a natural signaling partner of mGluR5(S1126), but because Homer1a protein levels in vivo are many fold less than constitutively expressed Homer proteins (Figure 1A), we considered the possibility that effects of Homer1a may be restricted to a minority of mGluR5(S1126) that are not easily detected in biochemical assays. Overall, there data indicate that the IEG isoform Homer1a facilitates the binding of Pin1 to mGluR5 that has been phosphorylated in response to cocaine and/or dopamine receptor stimulation.

# Potentiation of mGluR-Dependent NMDAR Current Is Dependent on Homer1a and Pin1

To investigate the physiological effect of these biochemical interactions, we performed whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings and identified a biphasic inward current in response to pressure ejection of the group I mGluR agonist DHPG in combination with glutamate (Figure S2A). The fast component is mediated by AMPA receptors, and its amplitude provides an indicator of the stability of recordings. The slow inward current (SIC) is dependent upon mGluR5, as it was blocked by bath application of the mGluR5 inhibitor MPEP (2-methyl-6-(phenylethynyl)pyridine) (5 µM, Figure S2A). The mGluR5-SIC is also dependent upon NMDARs as it was blocked by APV (50 µM), ifenprodil (3 µM), and PPDA (0.2 µM, Figure S2A). The combination of ifenprodil and PPDA blocked >90% of the mGluR-SIC, indicating a role for NMDARs NR2B and NR2C/D subunits. Blockade by inclusion of MK-801 in the recording pipette demonstrates that mGluR-SIC requires NMDAR activation in the recorded cell rather than in neighbors acting via secondary signals (Figure S2A). Additionally, external Mg<sup>2+</sup> at negative holding potentials attenuated the mGluR-SIC (Figure S2B), a feature characteristic of NMDARmediated currents. We also confirmed that mGluR-SIC is absent in neurons derived from mice that lack mGluR1 and mGluR5 (Grm1<sup>-/-</sup>Grm5<sup>-/-</sup>, Figure S2C). Biochemical assays confirmed that expression of cell-surface NMDARs on Grm1-/-Grm5-/neurons is not different than that on WT neurons (not shown). These data are consistent with previous reports of an mGluR5dependent NMDA conductance in striatal neurons (Pisani et al., 1997, 2001).

BDNF-TrkB or D1-receptor activation increases mGluR5 phosphorylation in primary striatal cultures (Figure S1A). Because only ~50% of neurons are expected to express D1 receptors, we used BDNF (10 ng/ml for 10 min) to begin our studies of how phosphorylation might modulate mGluR signaling. However, BDNF did not alter the amplitude or duration of the evoked mGluR5-SIC in WT neurons assessed over a 40 min monitoring period (Figure 2A). Considering biochemical evidence that crosslinking Homer proteins can inhibit Pin1 binding, we repeated the experiment with neurons cultured from  $Homer1^{-/-}$  Homer2<sup>-/-</sup>Homer3<sup>-/-</sup> or  $Homer1^{-/-}$  mice. Strikingly, in neurons

<sup>(</sup>n = 6) and  $Homer1^{-/-}Homer3^{-/-}$  (n = 7) mice showed increased mGluR5 phosphorylation compared to saline-injected WT (n = 7) and  $Homer1^{-/-}Homer2^{-/-}Homer3^{-/-}$  (n = 6) mice. Pin1 coimmunoprecipitation is increased in  $Homer1^{-/-}Homer2^{-/-}Homer3^{-/-}$  mice and increases after cocaine administration.

Where shown, data are reported as means  $\pm$  SEM, \*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01. See also Figure S1.



#### Figure 2. Homer1a Is Required for Potentiation of mGluR5-SIC by BDNF or D1-Dopamine-Receptor Agonist

(A–E) Population time-course graphs show potentiation of the mGluR5-SIC following bath application of BDNF (10 ng/ml, 10 min) or SKF38393 (1  $\mu$ M, 20 min). Representative traces of inward currents evoked by a micropressure pulse of glutamate and DHPG (arrows) before (black) and after (gray) BDNF or SKF38393 application (scale bars: 200 pA, 2 s). The values of mGluR5-SIC charge transfer were normalized to pre-BDNF or SKF38393 baseline values (0–5 min). (A) Bath-applied BDNF increased mGluR5-SIC in *Homer1<sup>-/-</sup>Homer2<sup>-/-</sup>Homer3<sup>-/-</sup>* (black filled circles, n = 14) or *Homer1<sup>-/-</sup>* (black filled triangles, n = 11) neurons, but not WT neurons (gray filled circles, n = 14). BDNF-mediated potentiation of mGluR5-SIC was inhibited by Homer1c viral transgene expression in *Homer1<sup>-/-</sup>* neurons (gray filled triangles, n = 8). Measurements correspond to the time points indicated on the time-course graph in this and all subsequent figures. (B) BDNF potentiated the mGluR5-SIC in Homer1 a transgene-expressing WT neurons (black filled circles, n = 6), but not in Homer1aW24A mutant (black filled triangles, n = 6) or GFP-expressing WT neurons (gray filled circles, n = 5).

(C) BDNF potentiated the mGluR5-SIC in WT neurons pretreated with KCI (black filled circles, n = 6), but not in Homer1 $a^{-/-}$  pretreated with KCI (gray filled diamonds, n = 3).

(D) SKF38393 increased mGluR5-SIC in a subset of *Homer1<sup>-/-</sup>Homer2<sup>-/-</sup>Homer3<sup>-/-</sup>* neurons (black filled circles, n = 6), but not others (gray filled circles, n = 7). (E) SKF38393 increased mGluR5-SIC in D1-GFP cells pretreated with KCI (black filled circles, n = 8), but not in D2-GFP cells pretreated with KCI (gray filled triangles, n = 10).

Where shown, data are reported as means  $\pm$  SEM, \*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.005, \*\*\*p < 0.001. See also Figures S2 and S3.

of both genotypes, mGluR5-SIC was rapidly potentiated >2-fold following 10 min of BDNF application (Figure 2A). Inhibitors of TrkB (K252a; 100 nM) or MEK (UO126; 20 µM) blocked BDNF potentiation of the mGluR5-SIC in Homer1<sup>-/-</sup>Homer2<sup>-/-</sup> Homer3<sup>-/-</sup> neurons (Figure S3A). Further, viral transgene expression of Homer1c blocked BDNF potentiation in Homer1<sup>-/-</sup> neurons (Figure 2A). By contrast, Homer1a transgene expression in WT neurons was permissive for mGluR5-SIC potentiation by BDNF, and this effect was absent when mutant Homer1aW24A that does not bind mGluR5 was used (Figure 2B). To assess the role of native Homer1a, we first determined that 30 min treatment of cultures with 50 mM KCl induced Homer1a (Figure S3B). Following KCI pretreatment, BDNF potentiated the mGluR5-SIC  $\sim$ 1.5-fold in WT but not in Homer1a<sup>-/-</sup> neurons (Figure 2C). Similar to results with BDNF, bath application of the D1-receptor agonist SKF38393 (1 µM) potentiated the mGluR5-SIC in Homer1<sup>-/-</sup>Homer2<sup>-/-</sup>Homer3<sup>-/-</sup> neurons. This effect was evident in 6 of 13 neurons (Figure 2D); however, when the experiment was repeated using KCI pretreatment to induce Homer1a in WT neurons, robust and consistent potentiation by SKF38393 was observed in D1-receptor-expressing neurons (D1R-GFP) but not D2 receptor-expressing neurons (D2-GFP) (Figure 2E).

Finally we used Homer1<sup>-/-</sup>Homer2<sup>-/-</sup>Homer3<sup>-/-</sup> neurons to examine the mechanism of Pin1 in BDNF potentiation of the mGluR5-SIC. Addition of a peptide-mimic inhibitor of Pin1, but not an inactive control peptide (Namanja et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2004), to the internal saline blocked BDNF potentiation of the mGluR5-SIC (Figure 3A). As an alternative approach, we transfected Homer1-1-Homer2-1-Homer3-1- neurons with mutant Pin1C113S (binding competent but isomerase deficient; Zhou et al., 2000) and then found that BDNF was unable to potentiate the mGluR5-SIC (Figure 3A). We examined neurons cultured from Pin1<sup>-/-</sup> mice (Atchison et al., 2003) and used Sindbis virus to overexpress Homer1a. The baseline mGluR5-SIC was normal in amplitude and duration but was not potentiated by BDNF (Figure 3B). Furthermore, the peptide-mimic inhibitor of Pin1, but not the control peptide, blocked potentiation of the mGluR5-SIC in D1-GFP neurons that were pretreated with KCI (Figure 3C). Thus, Pin1 interaction with mGluR5 potentiates NMDAR-mediated SIC upon dopamine receptor stimulation.

# Potentiation of mGluR-Dependent NMDAR Current Is Dependent on mGluR5 Phosphorylation

To determine whether phosphorylation of mGluR5 is required for BDNF potentiation of the mGluR5-SIC, we created a knockin (KI) mouse that expresses mutant mGluR5 and that cannot be phosphorylated at T1123 or S1126 ( $Grm5^{AA/AA}$ ) (Figures S4A–S4D). GST-Homer1c, but not GST-Pin1, can bind mGluR5TSAA from brain lysates (Figure S4F). We also generated a KI mouse expressing mutant mGluR5 and in which F1128 is mutated to arginine ( $Grm5^{R/R}$ ) (Cozzoli et al., 2009). mGluR5FR mutation disrupts the ability of the HomerEVH1 domain to bind (Beneken et al., 2000; Tu et al., 1998), and  $Grm5^{R/R}$  mice were created to test the role of Homer in mGluR signaling (Cozzoli et al., 2009; Hu et al., 2012). mGluR5FR from brain lysate binds GST-Pin1, but not GST-Homer1c (Figure S4F). We confirmed that levels of Homer, mGluR5, GluA1, and GluN1 in  $Grm5^{AA/AA}$  or  $Grm5^{R/R}$  mice are not different from those in WT (Figure S4E). Striatal cultures derived from these mice were recorded to monitor the mGluR5-SIC. The basal mGluR5-SIC from Grm5<sup>R/R</sup> was identical to mGluR5-SIC from WT; however, BDNF potentiated the mGluR5-SIC in Grm5<sup>R/R</sup> neurons, without a requirement for either KCI pretreatment or Homer1a expression (Figure 3D). This response mimics that of mGluR5-SIC in Homer1-/-Homer2<sup>-/-</sup>Homer3<sup>-/-</sup> neurons and is consistent with the inability of Homer1c to bind mGluR5FR and thereby compete with Pin1. Next, we examined Grm5<sup>AA/AA</sup> neurons and found that the basal mGluR5-SIC was not different from that in WT neurons; however the mGluR5-SIC in Grm5<sup>AA/AA</sup> neurons was not potentiated by BDNF even when Homer1a was overexpressed by viral transduction (Figure 3D). These data verify that potentiation of mGluR5-SIC is dependent on Pin1 acting upon phosphorylated mGluR5. It is important to note that Pin1 is required only for conditional potentiation of mGluR5-SIC as a robust basal mGluR5-SIC is present in all conditions with the exception of the  $Grm1^{-/-}Grm5^{-/-}$ .

# Homer1a Potentiates Pin1-Mediated Isomerization of Phosphorylated mGluR5

We used nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy to examine how a concerted action of Homer and Pin1 can dynamically regulate mGluR5 at an atomic level to create different properties of the receptor. NMR allows detection of cis and trans isomers of prolyl-peptide bonds and importantly a direct detection of enzyme catalysis of cis/trans isomerization (Bosco et al., 2002, 2010). To study the effects of Homer and Pin1 binding upon the conformational state of the mGluR5 ligand, we developed a bacterially expressed mGluR5 peptide spanning the Homer/Pin1-binding site within mGluR5. A comparison of the NMR spectra of the <sup>15</sup>N-labeled Homer1 EVH1 domain bound to the mGluR5 peptide or a 120 amino acid, C-terminal fragment of mGluR5 (Figure S5A) shows the same pattern of chemical shift changes, demonstrating that the shorter peptide is a good mimic of mGluR5 for the Homer-binding studies. We used the EVH1 domain rather than the full-length Homer1a in order to simplify the NMR spectra and facilitate the identification of residues exhibiting significant chemical shifts. Homer1a contains an additional, C-terminal, 75 amino acid, intrinsically disordered sequence that is not involved in interactions with mGluR5 and does not affect the binding affinity of the EVH1 domain (data not shown). This unstructured sequence results in a strong and sharp set of peaks with little chemical shift dispersion, thereby severely diminishing the quality of the spectrum of the folded part of the protein. In addition, the Homer1 EVH1 domain residues involved in mGluR binding, as measured by chemical-shift perturbation (Figure S5B), correspond to the binding surface identified in the crystal EVH1 structure of the EVH1 domain in complex with a minimal Homer-binding peptide, TPPSPF (Beneken et al., 2000). This suggests that the Homer-mGluR5 interaction is restricted to the canonical surfaces and does not involve extensive additional surfaces as, for example, occurs with the EVH1 domain of WASP in association with Whip (Volkman et al., 2002).

We were able to fully phosphorylate the mGluR5 peptide in vitro at either S1126 (pS1126) alone or pT1123/pS1126



### Figure 3. Pin1 Prolyl-Isomerase Activity and mGluR5 Phosphorylation Are Required for Potentiation of mGluR5-SIC

(A–D) Population time-course graphs show potentiation of the mGluR5-SIC following bath application of BDNF (10 ng/ml, 10 min) or SKF38393 (1  $\mu$ M, 20 min). Representative traces of inward currents evoked by a micropressure pulse of glutamate and DHPG (arrows) before (black) and after (gray) BDNF or SKF38393 application (scale bars: 200 pA, 2 s). The values of mGluR5-SIC charge transfer were normalized to pre-BDNF or SKF38393 baseline values (0–5 min).

(A) BDNF-mediated potentiation of mGluR5-SIC observed in *Homer1<sup>-/-</sup>Homer2<sup>-/-</sup>Homer3<sup>-/-</sup>* neurons was blocked by Pin1 peptide mimic inhibitor (gray filled circles, 0.5  $\mu$ M in the pipette, n = 5) and Pin1 C113S-expressing *Homer1<sup>-/-</sup>Homer2<sup>-/-</sup>Homer3<sup>-/-</sup>* (black filled triangles, n = 6), but not by Pin1 control peptide mimic (black filled circles, 0.5  $\mu$ M in the pipette, n = 5).

(B) BDNF-mediated potentiation of mGluR5-SIC was not observed in *Pin1<sup>-/-</sup>* (black filled circles, n = 6) or Homer1a-expressing *Pin1<sup>-/-</sup>* (gray filled circles, n = 4).

(C) SKF38393-mediated potentiation of mGluR5-SIC observed in D1-GFP neurons pretreated with KCI was blocked by Pin1 peptide mimic inhibitor (gray filled circles,  $0.5 \ \mu$ M in the pipette, n = 7), but not by Pin1 control peptide mimic (black filled circles,  $0.5 \ \mu$ M in the pipette, n = 8).

(D) Bath-applied BDNF increased mGluR5-SIC in  $Grm5^{R/R}$  neurons (black filled circles, n = 7). However, BDNF-mediated potentiation of mGluR5-SIC was not observed in either  $Grm5^{AA/AA}$  neurons (black inverted triangles, n = 8) or Homer1a-expressing  $Grm5^{AA/AA}$  neurons (gray filled diamonds, n = 8). Middle: representative whole-cell recording traces of inward currents evoked by a micropressure pulse of glutamate and DHPG (arrow) before (black) and after (gray) BDNF application (scale bars: 200 pA, 2 s).

Where shown, data are reported as means  $\pm$  SEM, \*\*\*\* p < 0.001. See also Figure S4.

reduced the *cis* population of the T-P bond from ~12.5% to ~6%, and phosphorylation of T1123 further reduced the *cis* population of the pT-P bond to <1% (Figure 4B). Homer1 EVH1 binds to the *trans* conformation of the T1123-P bond and binds to both, the *cis* and *trans*, conformations of S1126-P, albeit with higher affinity toward the *cis* conformation, resulting in an equilibrium shift toward the

simultaneously. Phosphorylation of the mGluR5 peptide at the S1126 position increased the affinity of Homer1a by more than 10-fold, from 16.4  $\pm$  0.2  $\mu$ M to 1.56  $\pm$  0.16  $\mu$ M. Additional phosphorylation at the T1123 site further increased Homer1a affinity to 0.47  $\pm$  0.1  $\mu$ M (Figure 4A). The phosphorylation state of either residue did not significantly affect *cis/trans* equilibrium at the pS-P bond (~16% *cis*); however, phosphorylation of the S1126

*cis* conformation of the for S1126-P bond (Figure 4B; Table S1). This means that double-phosphorylated mGluR5, when bound to Homer, is in *trans* for the pT1123-P bond and in *cis* and *trans* for the pS1126-P bond with about equal probabilities. This contrasts with the crystal structure (Beneken et al., 2000), in which only the *cis* conformation of the S1126-P bond could be observed in complex with the EVH1 domain.

Next we wanted to directly detect Pin1 catalysis on mGluR5. Using <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>15</sup>N heteronuclear exchange spectroscopy (ZZ-exchange) (Farrow et al., 1994), we were able to show that Pin1 efficiently catalyzes the interconversion of the pS1126-P prolyl-peptide bond in the double-phosphorylated mGluR5 (pT1123pS1126) substrate with  $k_{cat} \approx 1140 \pm 114 \text{ s}^{-1}$  (Figure 5A). This compares with an intrinsic uncatalyzed rate of pS-P isomerization of  $\leq$  0.01 s<sup>-1</sup> (Reimer et al., 1998). No catalysis was detected for the pT1123-P bond, noting that the population of the cis conformation of the pT1123-P bond was too low for detection by NMR (<1%). In the single-phosphorylated mGluR5(pS1126) peptide, Pin1 catalyzed the isomerization of the pS1126-P bond with a similar  $k_{cat} \approx 800 \pm 20 \text{ s}^{-1}$  (Figure S6A). We found that the WW domain binds the mGluR5(pT1123pS1126) peptide tightly at the pT1123-P site (diffusioin constant [K<sub>d</sub>] of 10.7  $\pm$ 0.2 µM), whereas the catalytic domain acts on the pS1126-P site in mGluR5 (Figure 5). Efficient Pin1 catalysis of the pS1126-P site is fully consistent with the in vivo experiments, indicating that this catalysis is the key event for dopaminedependent plasticity.

Although Homer proteins are not required for the dynamic increase of the mGluR-SIC, as seen from experiments in HTKO background, this pathway operates in the presence of Homer1a in vivo. Accordingly, we tested the ability of Pin1 to accelerate isomerization of mGluR5 in the presence of Homer1a. At Homer1a concentrations equimolar to the mGluR5-pS or mGluR5-pTpS peptide, Pin1 was able to catalyze isomerization of the pS1126-P bond at a substoichiometric (1:10) ratio (Figures 5B and S6B). This ratio is comparable or lower than the estimated Pin1/Homer1 ratio in PSD fractions from the brain (about 1:12; our unpublished data). These findings demonstrate that Pin1 can efficiently exert catalytic activity toward mGluR5 in the presence of Homer1a.

Finally, Homer1c displacement by Homer1a is required in vivo for activation of this signaling cascade. Based on the fact that Homer1a and Homer1c share an identical binding (EVH1) domain, we predicted that their interactions with mGluR5 would be analogous. Using isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC), we assessed the binding of both proteins to mGluR5 and mGluR5(pS1126) peptides. We found the affinities to be the same within experimental error, with a roughly 10-fold increase in affinity following phosphorylation of S1126 (Figure 4C). Using a competition experiment monitored by NMR, we indeed found that Homer1a can displace the mGluR5(pS1126) ligand bound to <sup>15</sup>N Homer1c (Figure 4D), thereby allowing Pin1 catalysis to occur on mGluR5.

# mGluR5-Pin1 Mechanism Is Essential for Cocaine Sensitization

To link our biochemical and electrophysiological findings to cocaine-induced changes in brain and behavior, we used genetic mouse models to assess a possible role for mGluR5 phosphorylation, Homer1a, and Pin1 in behavioral responsiveness to cocaine. Acute administration of cocaine induces phosphorylation of mGluR5 and induction of Homer1a in striatum (Figure 1A). Repeated administration of cocaine increases locomotor activity in response to a subsequent test dose of cocaine (sensitization), providing a model of cocaine-induced plasticity that may be proxy to addiction. *Homer1a<sup>-/-</sup>* mice showed basal motor activ

ity similar to that of WT (WT: 14.4  $\pm$  1.4 m [n = 34]; Homer1a<sup>-/-</sup>:  $13.4 \pm 1.3$  m [n = 38]; t test, not significant [n.s.]) yet displayed a profound deficit of cocaine motor sensitization, despite a WTlike response to the initial dose of cocaine (Figure 6A). Similarly, Grm5<sup>AA/AA</sup> mice showed similar basal motor activity as did WT (WT:  $15.1 \pm 0.9 \text{ m} [n = 41]$ ; Grm5<sup>AA/AA</sup>:  $14.2 \pm 0.84 \text{ m} [n = 45]$ , t test, n.s.) and an increased locomotor response to acute cocaine but markedly reduced sensitization (Figure 6B). By contrast,  $Grm5^{R/R}$  mice displayed basal activity (WT: 13.4 ± 0.7 m;  $Grm5^{R/R}$ : 12.5 ± 0.6 m, n = 35/genotype; t test, n.s.), acute cocaine-induced motor activation, and motor sensitization after the 4<sup>th</sup> dose of cocaine that were all similar to WT mice (Figure 6C). The latter result indicates that the altered cocaine responses observed in Homer1<sup>-/-</sup> and Homer2<sup>-/-</sup> mice (Szumlinski et al., 2004) are not due simply to reduced Homer binding to mGluR5. Neurochemical measures of basal and cocaineevoked increases of glutamate and dopamine within the nucleus accumbens paralleled behavioral findings and provide independent evidence of deficits of cocaine-induced neuroplasticity (Figures S7A-S7D and 6E-6H) (Cornish and Kalivas, 2001). As a further test, we examined Pin1 heterozygous mice that expressed a single copy of mGluR5TSAA (Grm5<sup>TS/AA</sup>Pin1<sup>+/-</sup>) (Pin1<sup>-/-</sup> mice have reduced viability). Mice heterozygous for either allele alone showed normal cocaine-induced hyperactivity and motor sensitization, whereas double heterozygotes showed reduced motor sensitization, despite WT levels of activity in response to acute cocaine (Figure 6D). These data support a role for phosphorylation of mGluR5, induction of Homer1a, and Pin1 in cocaine-induced sensitization.

# Homer1a and mGluR5 Phosphorylation Are Required for Dopamine Inhibition of Depotentiation of Corticostriatal LTP

Corticostriatal synapses exhibit NMDA-dependent long-term potentiation (LTP) that is mGluR5 dependent (Pisani et al., 2001). LTP can be depotentiated by a subsequent low-frequency, NMDA-dependent synaptic activation that is similar to long-term depotentiation (LTD) (Centonze et al., 2006). Depotentiation is blocked by pretreatment of slices with D1 agonist or cocaine 1 hr prior to the LTP-depotentiation stimulus, but not if the same agents are administered acutely during LTP depotentiation (Centonze et al., 2006). Moreover, depotentiation is absent in slices prepared from rodents following repeated cocaine administration that is sufficient to evoke motor sensitization, and failure of depotentiation is proposed as a synaptic correlate of cocaine-induced motor sensitization (Centonze et al., 2006; Pascoli et al., 2012). We confirmed corticostriatal LTP and depotentiation in field recordings of acute slices from WT mice (Figure 7A). Further, pretreatment with selective D1-receptor agonist SKF38393 (3 µM, 0.5 hr before high-frequencey stimulation [HFS]) prevented depotentiation in WT slices (Figure 7A). Using slices from Homer1a<sup>-/-</sup> mice, corticostriatal LTP and depotentiation were not different from WT slices; however, pretreatment with SKF38393 failed to block depotentiation (Figure 7B). Similarly, in slices derived from Grm5<sup>AA/AA</sup> mice, corticostriatal LTP and depotentiation appeared normal, but SKF38393 failed to block depotentiation (Figures 7C and 7D). By contrast, acute SKF38393, which did not block depotentiation in WT



mouse slices, did block depotentiation in *Grm5<sup>R/R</sup>* mouse slices (Figures 7E and 7F). Given that *Grm5<sup>R/R</sup>* does not require Homer1a for stimulus-dependent potentiation of NMDAR (Figure 3D), this finding suggests that normal requirement of pretreatment with SKF38393 is to allow time of induction of Homer1a. These results provide further support for the hypothesized role for depotentiation of corticostriatal LTP in cocaine sensitization (Centonze et al., 2006; Pascoli et al., 2012) and implicate the present mGluR5-signaling pathway.

### DISCUSSION

The present study defines a D1-dopamine receptor-signaling pathway that potentiates the ability of mGluR5 to activate NMDARs and implicates this pathway in cocaine-induced plasticity (see Graphical Abstract). Pin1 catalysis on mGluR5(pS1126) is central to this signaling. Homer1a is also required to compete with Homer1b/c to create a permissive condition for Pin1 to bind and catalyze cis/trans isomerization of the pS1126-P peptide bond. Although Pin1 catalysis does not alter the cis/trans equilibrium around the pS1126-P bond, it does accelerate the interconversion between the conformations by >10<sup>5</sup>-fold. We propose that Pin1 acts as a control for an "active state" of mGluR5 that is kinetically inaccessible without the isomerase. Mechanisms that mediate coupling to NMDARs remain to be elucidated.

In vivo experiments demonstrate that Homer1c, but not Homer1a, precludes Pin1 interaction with mGluR5 (Figure 1C), which seems to contradict NMR and ITC data showing identical binding of mGluR5 peptide with Homer1a and 1c. However, in the cellular context, full-length mGluR5 is a dimer constrained to the plasma membrane and bound to scaffolding proteins that possess additional binding sites for Homer EVH1 (Hu et al., 2012; Tu et al., 1999). We envision that multivalent Homer1c and Homer2 assemblies bind these multiple sites within the mGluR-signaling complex, and this increases the effective affinity of Homer binding (correctly termed avidity when multivalent) in a way that is not mimicked in our NMR experiments. Homer1a is monovalent and can compete with Homer1c at individual binding sites within the mGluR5 complex, and when Homer1a binds, it relieves a steric hindrance upon the mGluR5 C terminus that then allows Pin1 to effectively compete for binding and catalyze isomerization.

Cocaine potentiates the corticostriatal synapse onto D1 dopamine-receptor-containing medium spiny neurons, and optogenetic reversal of cocaine-induced LTP in vivo reverses motor sensitization (Pascoli et al., 2012). This suggests that the agents that enhance depotentiation offer therapeutic potential for cocaine addiction. Both LTP and depotentiation are dependent on NMDARs, whereas only depotentiation is blocked by D1-dopamine-receptor activation (Centonze et al., 2006). Our finding that D1-receptor block of depotentiation is dependent on Pin1 acting on mGluR5 suggests that inhibitors of Pin1, or allosteric modulators of mGluR5 that selectively disrupt this output, could be useful in treating drug addiction.

D1-receptor activation of the mGluR5(pS1126)-Pin1 mechanism alters the ability of subsequent synaptic activity to induce NMDA-dependent plasticity and provides a molecular basis for metaplasticity. The requirement for Homer1a suggests how synapse-specific plasticity may arise in the IEG response. mGluR5 phosphorylation is dependent upon activation of MAPK, and the synergistic action of NMDA and D1 dopamine receptors for activation of MAPK (Kaphzan et al., 2006) could localize this response to specific synapses. The combined phosphorylation of T1123 and S1126 increases Homer1 EVH1-binding affinity by 40-fold and assures that Pin1 action is conditional upon the presence of Homer1a at the synapse. The increased affinity may also serve to concentrate Homer1a at activated synapses. Homer1a is highly dynamic and induced by NMDA-dependent mechanisms and in association with a variety of neural-activating stimuli including place-cell activity of hippocampal neurons, visual experience in cortex, and cocaine (Brakeman et al., 1997; Ghasemzadeh et al., 2009). Homer1a is an unusual IEG in that it includes a large intron that delays the generation of Homer1a messenger RNA (mRNA) for more than 20 min after a stimulus (Bottai et al., 2002), and protein induction becomes evident only after 1 hr (Figure 1A) (Brakeman et al., 1997). It

Both experiments were performed in 50 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM TCEP (0.5 mM for ITC), pH 7.4, at 25°C. See also Figure S5.

Figure 4. Phosphorylation of mGluR5 at T1123 and S1126 Similarly Enhances mGluR5's Affinity for Homer1a and Homer1c, Allowing for Direct Competition between Homer1a and Homer1c for mGluR5 Ligand

<sup>(</sup>A) ITC of mGluR5 peptide in different phosphorylation states into full-length Homer1a shows that the phosphorylation of S1126 residue (mGluR5-pS) increases mGluR5 affinity for Homer1a >10-fold from  $16.4 \pm 0.2 \mu$ M to  $1.56 \pm 0.16 \mu$ M. Double phosphorylation at T1123 and S1126 sites (mGluR5-pTpS) results in a further >3-fold increase in affinity to  $0.47 \pm 0.1 \mu$ M.

<sup>(</sup>B) Expansions of the <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>15</sup>N HSQC spectra of free and Homer1 EVH1 domain-bound mGluR5 peptide in different phosphorylation states, showing S1126 and pS1126 amide peaks corresponding to the conformers of the T1123-P and S1126-P bonds. Phosphorylation did not have a significant effect on the population of the S1126/pS1126-P bond; however, the *cis* population of the T1123-P bond drops below the 1% detection limit when both T1123 and S1126 are phosphorylated. Binding of Homer1 EVH1 domain favors the *cis* conformation at the S/pS1126-P bond and results in the equilibrium being shifted significantly toward *cis* (Table S1). (C) Homer1a competes with Homer1c at 1:1 stoichiometry. ITC of either nonphosphorylated mGlu5 peptide (not shown, K<sub>d</sub> = 15.8 ± 1.6  $\mu$ M) or mGluR5-pS peptide (shown) into full-length Homer1c (K<sub>d</sub> = 1.91 ± 0.19  $\mu$ M) demonstrates that Homer1a and Homer1c bind mGluR5 with the same affinity, and that mGluR5 phosphorylation increases the affinity to both Homer isoforms.

<sup>(</sup>D) Expansion of <sup>15</sup>N HSQC spectra of <sup>15</sup>N Homer1c (right) shows indol N-H (W24(N $\epsilon$ 1)) and backbone amide resonances of several amino acids that report on ligand binding. Addition of a subsaturating amount of mGluR5-pS peptide produces a change of chemical shift from the free (red) to bound (blue) position. Subsequent addition of unlabeled Homer1a at 1:1 molar ratio with <sup>15</sup>N Homer1c (green) results in a spectrum with peaks corresponding to Homer1c in the free and bound forms, caused by partitioning of the ligand peptide to the unlabeled Homer1a, indicating that Homer1a effectively competes with Homer1c at 1:1 stoichiometry. The splitting of W24(N $\epsilon$ 1) resonance in the ligand-bound spectra (blue and green) is due to its sensitivity to the *cis* or *trans* conformation of the pS-P bond in the mGluR5 peptide.



Figure 5. Pin1 Catalyzes Isomerization of the pS1126-P Bond in mGluR5-pTpS in the Absence and Presence of Homer1a

(A) Expansion of <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>15</sup>N ZZ-heteronuclear exchange (Farrow et al., 1994) spectra of <sup>15</sup>N-labeled, mGluR5-pT/pS peptide spanning the Homer ligand site at 25°C showing amide signals corresponding to *cis* and *trans* isomers of pS1126 residue. Conformational exchange between *cis* and *trans* isomers was slow on the NMR timescale ( $k_{ex} < 0.1 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) in absence of Pin1 (left). Addition of a catalytic amount of Pin1 (1 mM <sup>15</sup>N peptide, 20  $\mu$ M Pin1) resulted in the appearance of exchange peaks in the ZZ-exchange spectrum, indicating efficient catalysis of the pS-P bond isomerization by Pin1 (middle, shown with mixing time [ $\tau_m$ ] = 43.9 ms). By varying  $\tau_m$  and fitting the resulting peak intensities for the autocorrelated and exchange peaks (Farrow et al., 1994), the exchange rate constant ( $k_{ct}$  and  $k_{tc}$ ) could be obtained (right), and the catalytic rate constant ( $k_{cat}$ ) for Pin1 catalyzed isomerization of pS1126-P bond could be calculated to be 1140  $\pm$  114 s<sup>-1</sup> as  $k_{cat} = k_{ex}[S]/[E]$  (Bosco et al., 2010), where  $k_{ex}$  is the sum of *trans*-to-*cis* and *cis*-to-*trans* exchange rates.

(B) Similarly, no exchange was observed in the sample containing Homer1a at 1:1 molar ratio to the  $^{15}N$  mGluR5-pTpS peptide in the absence of Pin1 (left). A higher, but still substoichiometric, ratio of Pin1 was required to accelerate the *cis/trans* isomerization of the pS1126-P bond in the presence of Homer1a (0.82 mM  $^{15}N$  peptide, 0.82 mM Homer1a, 82  $\mu$ M Pin1) to a rate of  $\geq 0.1 \text{ s}^{-1}$  observable in a ZZ-exchange spectrum (right, shown  $\tau_m = 333.7$  ms). This indicates that Pin1 is able to effectively compete with Homer1a for the mGluR5 ligand and catalyze the pS1126-P bond. At the concentrations of the component proteins used, the exchange rate of 0.1 s<sup>-1</sup> corresponds to a k<sub>cat</sub> of 1 s<sup>-1</sup>, which represents more than a 100-fold acceleration of the intrinsically slow, uncatalyzed isomerization rate of the pS-P bond in a free peptide of < 0.01 s<sup>-1</sup> (Reimer et al., 1998).

See also Figure S6.

may therefore be relevant that Homer EVH1 binding increases the population of the *cis* pS1126-P conformer, as this may prolong the lifetime of the phosphorylated state of mGluR5, given that phosphatases act preferentially on the *trans* conformers (Zhou et al., 2000). Homer1a is reported to target to specific synapses in response to BDNF, and this is dependent on MAPK activation (Kato et al., 2003; Okada et al., 2009). Homer1a needs to reach a near-stoichiometric ratio with synaptic Homer1c in order for Pin1 to efficiently bind for catalysis. This, together with the observation that Homer1a protein expression is many fold less than Homer1c, even at its peak induction, underscores the importance of selective Homer1a targeting to facilitate Pin1 catalysis. In a model of protein-synthesis-dependent synaptic plasticity and tagging (Frey and Morris, 1997), mGluR5(pS<sup>1126</sup>) could function as the "tag" for targeting of newly synthesized Homer1a protein to activated synapses. The present model contrasts with the action of Homer1a in the absence of a neuromodulator, wherein Homer1a mediates global homeostatic scaling down of synaptic strength (Hu et al., 2010) and appears to reduce mGluR coupling to NMDAR (Moutin et al., 2012). It is possible that these different Homer1a-dependent processes occur in the same neuron to enhance NMDA plasticity at



Figure 6. Phosphorylation of mGluR5 and Homer1a Is Required for Cocaine Sensitization

(A-D) Cocaine locomotion and sensitization with cocaine administration (10 mg/kg or 30 mg/kg, i.p. four times) in Homer1a<sup>-/-</sup>, Grm5<sup>AA/AA</sup>, Grm5<sup>R/R</sup>, and Grm5<sup>TS/AA</sup>Pin1<sup>+/-</sup> mice.

(A) Homer1a<sup>-/-</sup> mice showed normal acute sensitivity to cocaine but impaired cocaine sensitization, n = 17, 17, 17, 21 from left to right.

(B) Grm5<sup>AA/AA</sup> mice showed enhanced sensitivity to acute cocaine but impaired cocaine sensitization, n = 17, 17, 24, 28 from left to right.

(C)  $Grm5^{R/R}$  mice showed normal cocaine responsiveness, n = 17, 16, 18, 19 from left to right.

(D)  $Grm5^{TS/AA}Pin1^{+/-}$  mice showed normal acute sensitivity to cocaine but impaired cocaine sensitization, n = 17, 17, 16, 9 from left to right.  $Grm5^{TS/AA}$  and  $Pin1^{+/-}$  were normalized to their own WT littermate controls since they were tested in distinct experiments.

(E–H) Glutamate and dopamine levels in the nucleus accumbers in cocaine-treated *Homer1a<sup>-/-</sup>* and *Grm5<sup>AA/AA</sup>* mice. (E and F) *Homer1a<sup>-/-</sup>* mice exhibited normal glutamate and dopamine responses to the 1<sup>st</sup> injection of 30 mg/kg cocaine but blunted neurotransmitter responsiveness to the 4<sup>th</sup> injection of this dose. n = 8 each group. (G and H) *Grm5<sup>AA/AA</sup>* mice exhibited a normal glutamate response to the 1<sup>st</sup> injection of 10 mg/kg cocaine, a modest reduction in the capacity of the 1<sup>st</sup> injection of 10 mg/kg cocaine to elevate dopamine, but blunted neurotransmitter responsiveness to the 4<sup>th</sup> injection of this dose. n = 7 in WT and 9 in *Grm5<sup>AA/AA</sup>*. Where shown, data are reported as means  $\pm$  SEM, \*p < 0.05. See also Figure S7.





#### Figure 7. Phosphorylation of mGluR5 and Homer1a Is Required for Corticostriatal Synaptic Plasticity Implicated in Cocaine Addiction

HFS induced LTP of the corticostriatal synapse in field-potential recordings of brain slices prepared from WT, Homer1a<sup>-/-</sup>, Grm5<sup>AA/AA</sup>, or Grm5<sup>R/R</sup> mice. Subsequent LFS induced depotentiation in WT controls for Homer1 $a^{-/-}$  (n = 7, A), Grm5<sup>AA/AA</sup> (n = 11, C), and  $Grm5^{R/R}$  (n = 5, E). Depotentiation was inhibited by preincubation with SKF38393 (3 µM beginning 0.5 hr before HFS and continuous thereafter), a specific D1-like receptor agonist (n = 6 WT for Homer1 $a^{-/-}$ , A; n = 8 WT for Grm5<sup>AA/AA</sup>. C). However, depotentiation was not affected by acute application of SKF38393 (3 µM, beginning 25 min after HFS) in WT controls (n = 4, E). Synaptic depotentiation was normal in Homer1a- $(n = 8, B), Grm5^{AA/AA}$   $(n = 12, D), and Grm5^{R/R}$ (n = 8, F); however, synaptic depotentiation was not inhibited by pretreatment with SKF38393 in Homer $1a^{-/-}$  (n = 10, B) or Grm $5^{AA/AA}$  (n = 12, D). Note that acute application of SKF38393 prevented depotentiation only in  $Grm5^{R/R}$  (n = 6, F). Bottom: representative traces are striatal fieldpotential recordings of the population spike (PS) 10 min before HFS, 10 min (in A-D) or 20 min (in E and F) after HFS, and 70 min after LFS (scale bars: 2 mV 20 ms)

Where shown, data are reported as means  $\pm$  SEM.

nases. includina M1/3 muscarinic (Crespo et al., 1994), could utilize this mechanism to modify NMDA-dependent plasticity. Astrocytes also express group I mGluRs and have been implicated in release of glutamate to activate NMDARs (D'Ascenzo et al., 2007), which could contribute to in vivo actions we observe. The molecular and kinetic properties of the interplay between phosphorylated mGluR, Homer1a, and Pin1 create a novel set of plasticity contingencies that are compelling to inte-

synapses with mGluR5(pS1126) and scale down synaptic strength at other synapses.

The mGluR-Pin1 mechanism likely contributes to neural plasticity beyond cocaine motor sensitization. Our studies with BDNF activation of TrkB indicate that mGluR5(pS1126)-Pin1 signaling couples to NMDARs in both D1- and D2-expressing medium spiny neurons as all cells respond to BDNF. The role in D2-receptor-expressing neurons remains to be examined. Drug withdrawal and intensification of drug craving are associated with increased BDNF-TrkB signaling (Pickens et al., 2011), in which mGluR5(pS1126) might contribute to persistence. Loss of dopamine-dependent depotentiation of corticostriatal inputs is also described in L-Dopa-induced dyskinesia, a model relevant to Parkinson's disease (Picconi et al., 2003). Other neuromodulator receptors that activate proline-directed kigrate with activity-dependent development, motivation, and learning, as well as disease states linked to group I mGluR function.

# EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

#### **Transfection and Coimmunoprecipitation Assays**

HEK293T cells were cultured in DMEM medium with 10% FBS. Transfections were performed with Fugene 6 to manufacturer's specifications. Cells were harvested 2 days after transfection. HEK293T cells or mouse brain tissues were used for the coimmunoprecipitation assay as previous reported (Hu et al., 2012).

# **Recombinant Sindbis Virus and Infection**

Recombinant Sindbis viruses were prepared as previously reported (Hu et al., 2010).

### Whole-Cell Voltage Clamp Recording

Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings from striatal cultures were performed at  $30^{\circ}C-32^{\circ}C$ . All group data are shown as mean  $\pm$  standard error of the mean (SEM). Statistical comparison was performed by the independent t test. The n reported in the figures is the number of cells recorded, which were from individual embryos.

#### **Field-Potential Recording**

For recording, coronal brain hemislices were transferred to an interface type chamber, maintained at 32°C for 1 hr, and perfused continuously with nominally magnesium-free aCSF at a rate of 4–5 ml/min to reliably activate the N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor (NMDAR) (Calabresi et al., 1992). The recording electrodes filled with 0.9% NaCl were located in the dorsomedial striatum, as previously described (Yin et al., 2007). Extracellular field recordings were evoked by stimulation of the white matters between the cortex and the striatum with a parallel bipolar electrode (FHC, Bowdoin, ME, USA). The test stimulus intensity was adjusted to elicit 30% of the maximal population spike (PS). Test stimuli were delivered every 30 s with 0.1 ms pulse duration.

An HFS (three 3 s duration, 100 Hz frequency, 20 s interval) protocol was used to induce LTP in the dorsomedial striatum (Calabresi et al., 1992). The stimulus-pulse duration of HFS was 0.2 ms, which was two times stronger than the test stimulus. A low-frequency stimulation (LFS, 2 Hz, 10 min) protocol was used to depotentiate LTP caused by prior HFS (Calabresi et al., 1992) The stimulus-pulse duration of LFS was 0.1 ms. The amplitudes of the PS were normalized to baseline values (–5 min to 0 min) before HFS. The n reported in the figures is the number of slices. In all cases except Figure 7E, slices were obtained from more than three mice. Recordings of WT mice in Figure 7E that examined the effect of SKF38393 used slices from two mice.

#### **Biophysical Studies**

Homer1 EVH1, Homer1, Homer1c, and mGluR5(C-term) proteins were expressed in *E. coli* as 6×-His-tagged constructs in either pET28a or pET30a vector. Pin1 constructs were expressed as GST-tagged proteins in pGEX-4T2 vector. Expression and purification were done according to standard protocols for His- and GST-tagged proteins. mGluR5 peptides were expressed as GB1 domain fusion proteins as described previously for TRPC1 channel peptides (Shim et al., 2009), cleaved with AcTEV protease, further purified by HPL,C and phosphorylated by Erk2/MAPK2.

<sup>15</sup>N HSQC (Kay et al., 1992) and <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>15</sup>N heteronuclear ZZ-exchange (Farrow et al., 1994) experiments were acquired on Varian INOVA 500 MHz and 600 MHz spectrometers at 25°C. All NMR and ITC experiments were carried out in the final buffer consisting of 50 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.4, and 2 mM TCEP for NMR and 0.5 mM for ITC, respectively.

#### **Behavioral Assays**

Genotypic differences in cocaine-induced locomotor activity were assessed in 15 min sessions, using digital video-tracking ( $Grm5^{F/R}$ ,  $Grm5^{AA/AA}$ , and  $Homer1a^{-/-}$  mice) or automated activity monitors ( $Grm5^{TS/AA}Pin1^{+/-}$  mice). Mice were injected intraperitoneally (vol = 0.01 ml/kg) with either 10 or 30 mg/kg cocaine (NIDA) and immediately placed into the testing apparatus. For repeated treatment, injections were administered every other day, consistent with previous studies of cocaine-induced sensitization in mice (e.g., Szumlinski et al., 2007).

#### In Vivo Microdialysis and HPLC Procedures

The surgical, in vivo microdialysis and HPLC procedures for the sequential detection of dopamine and glutamate in the dialysate were performed as described (Szumlinski et al., 2007) and are detailed in the Extended Experimental Procedures.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

All the data were analyzed by two-tailed Student's t test, except the analysis of the behavioral and neurochemical data, which were analyzed by multifactorial ANOVAs with repeated measures on the injection or time factors. Values are presented as means  $\pm$  SEM.

#### SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes Extended Experimental Procedures, seven figures, and one table and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.cell.2013.07.001.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

J.-H.H. performed biochemical studies that provided the basis for structural studies performed by A.M., and electrophysiological studies performed by J.M.P. *Grm5<sup>AA/AA</sup>* and *Grm5<sup>R/R</sup>* KI mice were generated by P.-W.Z. and B.X. We thank Anthony R. Means of Duke University for *Pin1<sup>-/-</sup>* mice. This work was supported by NIH grants DA011742 (P.F.W.), DA010309 (P.F.W.), MH084020 (P.F.W. and D.J.L.), MH51106 (D.J.L.), NS050274 (P.F.W.), and CA110940 (F.A.E.) and in part by the Howard Hughes Medical Institute (D.K.), the Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Catalysis Science Program, and U.S. Department of Energy, award DE-FG02-05ER15699 (D.K.).

Received: November 8, 2012 Revised: April 14, 2013 Accepted: July 1, 2013 Published: August 1, 2013

#### REFERENCES

Ango, F., Prézeau, L., Muller, T., Tu, J.C., Xiao, B., Worley, P.F., Pin, J.P., Bockaert, J., and Fagni, L. (2001). Agonist-independent activation of metabotropic glutamate receptors by the intracellular protein Homer. Nature *411*, 962–965.

Atchison, F.W., Capel, B., and Means, A.R. (2003). Pin1 regulates the timing of mammalian primordial germ cell proliferation. Development *130*, 3579–3586.

Beneken, J., Tu, J.C., Xiao, B., Nuriya, M., Yuan, J.P., Worley, P.F., and Leahy, D.J. (2000). Structure of the Homer EVH1 domain-peptide complex reveals a new twist in polyproline recognition. Neuron *26*, 143–154.

Bird, M.K., Reid, C.A., Chen, F., Tan, H.O., Petrou, S., and Lawrence, A.J. (2010). Cocaine-mediated synaptic potentiation is absent in VTA neurons from mGlu5-deficient mice. Int. J. Neuropsychopharmacol. *13*, 133–141.

Bosco, D.A., Eisenmesser, E.Z., Pochapsky, S., Sundquist, W.I., and Kern, D. (2002). Catalysis of cis/trans isomerization in native HIV-1 capsid by human cyclophilin A. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 99, 5247–5252.

Bosco, D.A., Eisenmesser, E.Z., Clarkson, M.W., Wolf-Watz, M., Labeikovsky, W., Millet, O., and Kern, D. (2010). Dissecting the microscopic steps of the cyclophilin A enzymatic cycle on the biological HIV-1 capsid substrate by NMR. J. Mol. Biol. *403*, 723–738.

Bottai, D., Guzowski, J.F., Schwarz, M.K., Kang, S.H., Xiao, B., Lanahan, A., Worley, P.F., and Seeburg, P.H. (2002). Synaptic activity-induced conversion of intronic to exonic sequence in Homer 1 immediate early gene expression. J. Neurosci. *22*, 167–175.

Brakeman, P.R., Lanahan, A.A., O'Brien, R., Roche, K., Barnes, C.A., Huganir, R.L., and Worley, P.F. (1997). Homer: a protein that selectively binds metabotropic glutamate receptors. Nature *386*, 284–288.

Calabresi, P., Picconi, B., Tozzi, A., and Di Filippo, M. (2007). Dopaminemediated regulation of corticostriatal synaptic plasticity. Trends Neurosci. *30*, 211–219.

Calabresi, P., Pisani, A., Mercuri, N.B., and Bernardi, G. (1992). Long-term potentiation in the striatum is unmasked by removing the voltage-dependent magnesium block of NMDA receptor channels. Eur. J. Neurosci. *4*, 929–935.

Centonze, D., Costa, C., Rossi, S., Prosperetti, C., Pisani, A., Usiello, A., Bernardi, G., Mercuri, N.B., and Calabresi, P. (2006). Chronic cocaine prevents depotentiation at corticostriatal synapses. Biol. Psychiatry *60*, 436–443.

Chiamulera, C., Epping-Jordan, M.P., Zocchi, A., Marcon, C., Cottiny, C., Tacconi, S., Corsi, M., Orzi, F., and Conquet, F. (2001). Reinforcing and locomotor stimulant effects of cocaine are absent in mGluR5 null mutant mice. Nat. Neurosci. *4*, 873–874. Cornish, J.L., and Kalivas, P.W. (2001). Cocaine sensitization and craving: differing roles for dopamine and glutamate in the nucleus accumbens. J. Addict. Dis. 20, 43–54.

Cozzoli, D.K., Goulding, S.P., Zhang, P.W., Xiao, B., Hu, J.H., Ary, A.W., Obara, I., Rahn, A., Abou-Ziab, H., Tyrrel, B., et al. (2009). Binge drinking upregulates accumbens mGluR5-Homer2-PI3K signaling: functional implications for alcoholism. J. Neurosci. 29, 8655–8668.

Crespo, P., Xu, N., Simonds, W.F., and Gutkind, J.S. (1994). Ras-dependent activation of MAP kinase pathway mediated by G-protein beta gamma subunits. Nature 369, 418–420.

D'Ascenzo, M., Fellin, T., Terunuma, M., Revilla-Sanchez, R., Meaney, D.F., Auberson, Y.P., Moss, S.J., and Haydon, P.G. (2007). mGluR5 stimulates gliotransmission in the nucleus accumbens. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *104*, 1995–2000.

Farrow, N.A., Zhang, O., Forman-Kay, J.D., and Kay, L.E. (1994). A heteronuclear correlation experiment for simultaneous determination of 15N longitudinal decay and chemical exchange rates of systems in slow equilibrium. J. Biomol. NMR 4, 727–734.

Frey, U., and Morris, R.G. (1997). Synaptic tagging and long-term potentiation. Nature *385*, 533–536.

Gass, J.T., and Olive, M.F. (2009). Positive allosteric modulation of mGluR5 receptors facilitates extinction of a cocaine contextual memory. Biol. Psychiatry 65, 717–720.

Ghasemzadeh, M.B., Windham, L.K., Lake, R.W., Acker, C.J., and Kalivas, P.W. (2009). Cocaine activates Homer1 immediate early gene transcription in the mesocorticolimbic circuit: differential regulation by dopamine and gluta-mate signaling. Synapse *63*, 42–53.

Hu, J.H., Park, J.M., Park, S., Xiao, B., Dehoff, M.H., Kim, S., Hayashi, T., Schwarz, M.K., Huganir, R.L., Seeburg, P.H., et al. (2010). Homeostatic scaling requires group I mGluR activation mediated by Homer1a. Neuron *68*, 1128–1142. Hu, J.H., Yang, L., Kammermeier, P.J., Moore, C.G., Brakeman, P.R., Tu, J., Yu, S., Petralia, R.S., Li, Z., Zhang, P.W., et al. (2012). Preso1 dynamically reg-

ulates group I metabotropic glutamate receptors. Nat. Neurosci. 15, 836–844. Kaphzan, H., O'Riordan, K.J., Mangan, K.P., Levenson, J.M., and Rosenblum,

K. (2006). NMDA and dopamine converge on the NMDA-receptor to induce ERK activation and synaptic depression in mature hippocampus. PLoS ONE 1, e138. Kato, A., Fukazawa, Y., Ozawa, F., Inokuchi, K., and Sugiyama, H. (2003). Activation of ERK cascade promotes accumulation of VesI-1S/Homer-1a immuno-reactivity at synapses. Brain Res. Mol. Brain Res. *118*, 33–44.

Kay, L.E., Keifer, P., and Saarinen, T. (1992). Pure Absorption Gradient Enhanced Heteronuclear Single Quantum Correlation Spectroscopy with Improved Sensitivity. J. Am. Chem. Soc. *114*, 10663–10665.

Kenny, P.J., Boutrel, B., Gasparini, F., Koob, G.F., and Markou, A. (2005). Metabotropic glutamate 5 receptor blockade may attenuate cocaine self-administration by decreasing brain reward function in rats. Psychopharmacology (Berl.) *179*, 247–254.

Knackstedt, L.A., Moussawi, K., Lalumiere, R., Schwendt, M., Klugmann, M., and Kalivas, P.W. (2010). Extinction training after cocaine self-administration induces glutamatergic plasticity to inhibit cocaine seeking. J. Neurosci. *30*, 7984–7992.

Lüscher, C., and Malenka, R.C. (2011). Drug-evoked synaptic plasticity in addiction: from molecular changes to circuit remodeling. Neuron 69, 650–663.

Moutin, E., Raynaud, F., Roger, J., Pellegrino, E., Homburger, V., Bertaso, F., Ollendorff, V., Bockaert, J., Fagni, L., and Perroy, J. (2012). Dynamic remodeling of scaffold interactions in dendritic spines controls synaptic excitability. J. Cell Biol. *198*, 251–263.

Namanja, A.T., Wang, X.J., Xu, B., Mercedes-Camacho, A.Y., Wilson, B.D., Wilson, K.A., Etzkorn, F.A., and Peng, J.W. (2010). Toward flexibility-activity relationships by NMR spectroscopy: dynamics of Pin1 ligands. J. Am. Chem. Soc. *132*, 5607–5609.

Okada, D., Ozawa, F., and Inokuchi, K. (2009). Input-specific spine entry of somaderived VesI-1S protein conforms to synaptic tagging. Science 324, 904–909.

Orlando, L.R., Ayala, R., Kett, L.R., Curley, A.A., Duffner, J., Bragg, D.C., Tsai, L.H., Dunah, A.W., and Young, A.B. (2009). Phosphorylation of the homer-

binding domain of group I metabotropic glutamate receptors by cyclin-dependent kinase 5. J. Neurochem. *110*, 557–569.

Pascoli, V., Turiault, M., and Lüscher, C. (2012). Reversal of cocaine-evoked synaptic potentiation resets drug-induced adaptive behaviour. Nature 481, 71–75.

Picconi, B., Centonze, D., Håkansson, K., Bernardi, G., Greengard, P., Fisone, G., Cenci, M.A., and Calabresi, P. (2003). Loss of bidirectional striatal synaptic plasticity in L-DOPA-induced dyskinesia. Nat. Neurosci. *6*, 501–506.

Pickens, C.L., Airavaara, M., Theberge, F., Fanous, S., Hope, B.T., and Shaham, Y. (2011). Neurobiology of the incubation of drug craving. Trends Neurosci. *34*, 411–420.

Pisani, A., Calabresi, P., Centonze, D., and Bernardi, G. (1997). Enhancement of NMDA responses by group I metabotropic glutamate receptor activation in striatal neurones. Br. J. Pharmacol. *120*, 1007–1014.

Pisani, A., Gubellini, P., Bonsi, P., Conquet, F., Picconi, B., Centonze, D., Bernardi, G., and Calabresi, P. (2001). Metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 mediates the potentiation of N-methyl-D-aspartate responses in medium spiny striatal neurons. Neuroscience *106*, 579–587.

Platt, D.M., Rowlett, J.K., and Spealman, R.D. (2008). Attenuation of cocaine self-administration in squirrel monkeys following repeated administration of the mGluR5 antagonist MPEP: comparison with dizocilpine. Psychopharma-cology (Berl.) 200, 167–176.

Reimer, U., Scherer, G., Drewello, M., Kruber, S., Schutkowski, M., and Fischer, G. (1998). Side-chain effects on peptidyl-prolyl cis/trans isomerisation. J. Mol. Biol. 279, 449–460.

Shepherd, J.D., and Huganir, R.L. (2007). The cell biology of synaptic plasticity: AMPA receptor trafficking. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 23, 613–643.

Shim, S., Yuan, J.P., Kim, J.Y., Zeng, W., Huang, G., Milshteyn, A., Kern, D., Muallem, S., Ming, G.L., and Worley, P.F. (2009). Peptidyl-prolyl isomerase FKBP52 controls chemotropic guidance of neuronal growth cones via regulation of TRPC1 channel opening. Neuron *64*, 471–483.

Szumlinski, K.K., Dehoff, M.H., Kang, S.H., Frys, K.A., Lominac, K.D., Klugmann, M., Rohrer, J., Griffin, W., 3rd, Toda, S., Champtiaux, N.P., et al. (2004). Homer proteins regulate sensitivity to cocaine. Neuron 43, 401–413.

Szumlinski, K.K., Diab, M.E., Friedman, R., Henze, L.M., Lominac, K.D., and Bowers, M.S. (2007). Accumbens neurochemical adaptations produced by binge-like alcohol consumption. Psychopharmacology (Berl.) *190*, 415–431.

Tu, J.C., Xiao, B., Yuan, J.P., Lanahan, A.A., Leoffert, K., Li, M., Linden, D.J., and Worley, P.F. (1998). Homer binds a novel proline-rich motif and links group 1 metabotropic glutamate receptors with IP3 receptors. Neuron *21*, 717–726.

Tu, J.C., Xiao, B., Naisbitt, S., Yuan, J.P., Petralia, R.S., Brakeman, P., Doan, A., Aakalu, V.K., Lanahan, A.A., Sheng, M., and Worley, P.F. (1999). Coupling of mGluR/Homer and PSD-95 complexes by the Shank family of postsynaptic density proteins. Neuron 23, 583–592.

Volkman, B.F., Prehoda, K.E., Scott, J.A., Peterson, F.C., and Lim, W.A. (2002). Structure of the N-WASP EVH1 domain-WIP complex: insight into the molecular basis of Wiskott-Aldrich Syndrome. Cell *111*, 565–576.

Wang, X., Moussawi, K., Knackstedt, L., Shen, H., and Kalivas, P.W. (2013). Role of mGluR5 neurotransmission in reinstated cocaine-seeking. Addict. Biol. *18*, 40–49.

Wang, X.J., Xu, B., Mullins, A.B., Neiler, F.K., and Etzkorn, F.A. (2004). Conformationally locked isostere of phosphoSer-cis-Pro inhibits Pin1 23-fold better than phosphoSer-trans-Pro isostere. J. Am. Chem. Soc. *126*, 15533–15542.

Xiao, B., Tu, J.C., Petralia, R.S., Yuan, J.P., Doan, A., Breder, C.D., Ruggiero, A., Lanahan, A.A., Wenthold, R.J., and Worley, P.F. (1998). Homer regulates the association of group 1 metabotropic glutamate receptors with multivalent complexes of homer-related, synaptic proteins. Neuron *21*, 707–716.

Yin, H.H., Park, B.S., Adermark, L., and Lovinger, D.M. (2007). Ethanol reverses the direction of long-term synaptic plasticity in the dorsomedial striatum. Eur. J. Neurosci. *25*, 3226–3232.

Zhou, X.Z., Kops, O., Werner, A., Lu, P.J., Shen, M., Stoller, G., Küllertz, G., Stark, M., Fischer, G., and Lu, K.P. (2000). Pin1-dependent prolyl isomerization regulates dephosphorylation of Cdc25C and tau proteins. Mol. Cell *6*, 873–883.