Neurogenesis and the Cell Cycle

Review

Shin-ichi Ohnuma^{1,*} and William A. Harris^{2,*} ¹Department of Oncology The Hutchison/MRC Research Centre University of Cambridge Hills Road Cambridge CB2 2XZ United Kingdom ²Department of Anatomy University of Cambridge Downing Street Cambridge CB2 3DY United Kingdom

For a long time, it has been understood that neurogenesis is linked to proliferation and thus to the cell cycle. Recently, the gears that mediate this linkage have become accessible to molecular investigation. This review describes some of the progress that has been made in understanding how the molecular machinery of the cell cycle is used in the processes of size regulation in the brain, histogenesis, neuronal differentiation, and the maintenance of stem cells.

Introduction

Neurogenesis involves proliferation and differentiation. In cell cycle terms, this means re-entering and exiting the cell cycle. The number of times neuroblasts re-enter the cell cycle largely determines the size of the brain. But it isn't simply the number of cell cycles that is important; it is also the fraction of progenitors that exit at each cycle. This fraction increases as neurogenesis proceeds (Caviness et al., 2000). As cells exit the cell cycle in the developing brain, they take up particular neuronal or glial fates. This process of neural differentiation is linked to the cell cycle in two important ways. The first is histogenesis: cells that exit the cell cycle early take on early fates, while cells that exit the cell cycle later take on later fates. The second is the molecular coordination between cell cycle exit and neuronal cell fate determination, in which determination factors influence the cell cycle, and cell cycle factors influence determination. Finally, some cells in the nervous system remain undifferentiated throughout the life of the animal. These are neural stem cells. Recent work on adult neural stem cells suggests that part of the mechanism by which these cells remain undifferentiated has to do with keeping these cells in the cell cycle. In this review, we hope to update readers on some of the work concerning the links between the cell cycle and these various aspects of neurogenesis.

Cell Cycle and Size Regulation in the Nervous System

The overall size of the brain is governed by cell cycle machinery. This is clearly demonstrated by the enlarged

*Correspondence: harris@mole.bio.cam.ac.uk (W.A.H.), so218@ cam.ac.uk (S.-i.O.)

brains of mice lacking the rather ubiquitous cell cycle inhibitor p27Kip1 (Fero et al., 1996; Nakayama et al., 1996). But as some parts of the brain tend to be larger than others, many cell cycle components are expressed in specific areas and control regional growth. For example, cyclin D1 has a highly restricted expression pattern in the retina and cerebellum (Fantl et al., 1995; Huard et al., 1999), while in the hindbrain, cyclin D1 and cyclin D2 are expressed in distinct rhombomeres (Wianny et al., 1998). Mouse knockouts for these genes show specific proliferative defects of these respective regions (Fantl et al., 1995; Huard et al., 1999; Sicinski et al., 1995).

How are these cell cycle components expressed and activated appropriately so that each part of the CNS ends up being the correct size? Studies in the retina provide some insight. The retina is specified by a number of transcription factors, some of which have a role in controlling proliferation. Thus, overexpression of the eve-specific transcription factors Optix2/Six6. Six3. and Rx1 in Xenopus and zebrafish embryos all result in giant eyes (Figure 1; Andreazzoli et al., 1999; Kobayashi et al., 2001; Zuber et al., 1999). That this is due to extra cell divisions is shown by the fact that clones overexpressing these genes have more cells than control clones. Both Optix2/Six6 and Six3 bind to groucho, a generic transcriptional corepressor, and overexpression of groucho also leads to increased eye size (Lopez-Rios et al., 2003; Zhu et al., 2002). This suggests that the eye-specific factors may work by repressing cell cycle inhibitors locally. Indeed, knockouts of Optix2/Six6 show upregulation of two cdk inhibitors, p27Kip1 and p19lnk4d (Li et al., 2002).

Switching from a pattern of symmetric divisions, in which both cells stay in the cycle, to asymmetric divisions, in which one daughter leaves the cell cycle, can also be used to regulate neuronal number (Takahashi et al., 1996). This kind of control mechanism may explain why transgenic mice expressing constitutively active β-catenin under a neuron-specific enhancer develop enlarged brains. B-catenin is a downstream component of the wnt pathway, which has been implicated in the control polarity of asymmetrical cell divisions (Bellaiche et al., 2001). Consistent with this, in the β -catenin transgenic mice there is a 2-fold increase in the proportion of precursors that re-enter the cell cycle during cortical neurogenesis, implicating a substantial increase in symmetrical divisions (Chenn and McConnell, 1995; Chenn and Walsh, 2002).

How big cells are is another a factor that determines brain size. Highly related invertebrates, for example, may have similar numbers of neurons to each other but great differences in the size of these neurons. Even within a single animal, neurons may vary greatly in size. One way that this is accomplished is through the process of endoreplication, moving through the cell cycle without cytokinesis. Cyclin Es seem to be critically involved in endoreplication in *Drosophila* (Edgar and Orr-Weaver, 2001) and mouse (Geng et al., 2003). Thus, natural giant neurons are often polyploid, and it is possible to make unnatural giant neurons experimentally by



Figure 1. Giant Eye Induced by Optix2 Injection of a low dose of Optix2 mRNA into right side of *Xenopus* embryo (dorsal blastomere) at the 8-cell stage produced a significant enlargement of the eye on that side.

interfering with cytokinesis (Wu et al., 1990). Cell size is also controlled by cell growth during the G phases of the cell cycle. The insulin receptor pathway has been implicated in this. In mice, a conditional brain-specific knockout of PTEN, a component of the insulin receptor-PI3K pathway, shows increased neuron size (Backman et al., 2001). In Drosophila, mutations in almost all components of this pathway produce cells of abnormal size (Bohni et al., 1999; Leevers et al., 1996; Potter et al., 2001; Saucedo et al., 2003; Stocker et al., 2003; Tapon et al., 2001; Verdu et al., 1999; Zhang et al., 2003). The size of the brain is thus determined by the number of its constituent cells times the average size of each cell, and both of these features are linked by developmental pathways of neurogenesis to components of the cell cycle.

Cell Cycle and Neural Histogenesis

The time at which the cell exits the cell cycle is its "birth date." In most systems studied, there is a correlation between birth date and fate, giving rise to the process known as histogenesis (Caviness and Sidman, 1973; Holt et al., 1988). One of the clearest examples of histogenesis in the vertebrate brain is the generation of neurons before glial cells. Even in clonal culture experiments, glial cells are formed after neurons, suggesting that this may be an intrinsic property of mammalian neuroblasts (Qian et al., 2000). Components of the cell cycle, it turns out, may be involved in coordinating this aspect of histogenesis. Suggestions that this is so come from experiments using the cell cycle inhibitors. One of these, p27Kip (a cdk inhibitor), gradually increases in cultures of glial progenitors, and when it accumulates

to a high enough level, it causes oligodendrocyte precursors to exit the cell cycle and differentiate (Durand and Raff, 2000). In experiments where the increase of p27Kip1 is accelerated, oligodendrocytes differentiate after a smaller number of cell divisions (Gao et al., 1997), suggesting that cells may be measuring proliferative time by monitoring the accumulation of cell cycle inhibitors. Similarly, in the Xenopus retina, the partially homologous cell cycle inhibitor p27Xic1 builds up gradually until it eventually reaches a level high enough to drive cells out of the cell cycle. As elsewhere in the brain, the last-born cells in the retina are glial cells, in this case Müller glial cells. In this system, p27Xic1 appears to be directly involved in the differentiation of Müller glial cells, as overexpression of p27Xic1 not only drives the progenitors out of the cycle early, but also turns most of them into Müller glial cells. Analysis of the functional domains of p27Xic1 show that it is, in fact, a bifunctional molecule, with overlapping yet separable domains for cyclin kinase inhibition and Müller glial determination (Ohnuma et al., 1999).

The evidence of cell cycle inhibitor influences on cell fate contends in a way with a much larger body of evidence suggesting that transcription factors are the key determinants of neural and glial cell fate. Clearly, the proneural genes, especially the bHLH type, have a major role in cell determination. For example, the proneural bHLH transcription factor ath5 is the determination factor for retinal ganglion cells, the first-born neuronal type in the retina (Brown et al., 1998; Kanekar et al., 1997). But such findings raise the question of how transcription factors like ath5 influence cells to exit the cell cycle at the appropriate "histogenetic" moment. Let us first look at ath5 mutants, in mice and zebrafish. In these mutants, retinal ganglion cells are not made (Brown et al., 2001; Kay et al., 2001), and what is particularly interesting for this review is the fact that in such mutants no retinal progenitors exit the cell cycle at the time when RGCs are normally born. Rather, they all stay in the cycle and produce later-type neurons. This is also consistent with the observation that the overexpression of Xath5 in Xenopus produces extra RGCs, which are all born at the appropriate time for RGC genesis (Ohnuma et al., 2002). These studies suggest that ath5 helps retinal progenitors leave the cell cycle. How they do this is not clear, but some evidence suggests that bHLH genes downregulate the cell cycle by activating expression of cdk inhibitors (Farah et al., 2000).

In the *Xenopus* retina, the idea that Xath5 might activate the expression of p27Xic1 so that the cell exits the cell cycle early leads to an interesting question, since Xath5 induces ganglion cells and p27Xic1 induces Müller glial cells. To address this question, p27Xic1 was transfected together with Xath5 into retinal progenitors; the result was that these cells exit the cell cycle early but that they are almost all retinal ganglion cells, not Müller glial cells. Thus, the determinative power of the proneural genes appears to be dominant to that of the cell cycle inhibitor. The simple answer seems to be that p27Xic1 makes glial cells only in the absence of co-expressed bHLH proteins.

Observations concerning the role of the cell cycle in histogenesis have been also been reported in the *Drosophila* CNS (Figure 2). The cell cycle protein *string*



Figure 2. Progression of *Drosophila* Neurogenesis Requires Cell Cycle Progression

In Drosophila neurogenesis, a neuroblast divides asymmetrically to produce a series of differentially fated ganglion mother cells, GMCs. As it produces these distinct GMCs, each neuroblast sequentially expresses four transcription factors (*Hunchback* [*Hb*] \rightarrow *Krüppel* [*K*] \rightarrow *Pdm* [*P*] \rightarrow *Castor* [*C*]) (Brody and Odenwald, 2000; Isshiki et al., 2001). Top: Normal progression of neuroblast lineage 2–4 (NB 2–4) was shown with progressive expression of transcriptional factors. Bottom: In string mutants, the progressive expression of transcription factors is completely inhibited.

codes for the phosphatase *cdc25* and, in *string* mutants, cells are arrested at the G2/M transition. Interestingly, *string* mutant neuroblasts fail to undergo the temporal transitions in transcription factors that lead to the succession of different fates in their sequential progeny (Isshiki et al., 2001). Indeed, a delay in the cell cycle results in a delay in the expression of the determinant *even-skipped* in the GMC 1-1 lineage (Weigmann and Lehner, 1995), and complete cell cycle arrest in S phase by injection of the DNA synthesis inhibitor aphidicolin results in complete inhibition of *even-skipped* expression. These observations indicate that progression of the cell cycle is required to progress a clock that progenitors use to drive histogenesis.

Could these findings be revealing an entangled network in which the molecular machinery regulating the cell cycle influences the molecular machinery of determination and vice versa? This may be quite a reasonable suggestion. One mechanism by which such cross-coordination of determinative transcription factors and cell cycle components might be accomplished involves what are known as heterochronic genes. Heterochronic genes are defined by mutations that cause changes of timings of developmental events. Such genes were identified first in C. elegans, although homologs have now been found in flies and mammals (Dostie et al., 2003; Frantz et al., 1994; Honma et al., 1999; Lagos-Quintana et al., 2002, 2003; Lim et al., 2003; Mourelatos et al., 2002). The main members of these heterochronic genes encode microRNAs (miRNAs) and RNA binding proteins (Ambros, 2000; Rougvie, 2001). In nematodes, for example, they turn off the expression of genes like hbl-1 and lin-29, homologs of the sequentially expressed neuroblast determinants in Drosophila, hunchback and Krüppel (Isshiki et al., 2001). Recently, it has been reported that the mammalian heterochronic gene miRNA-23 regulates the timing of expression of the basic-helixloop-helix transcription repressor Hes1 at the posttranscriptional level (Kawasaki and Taira, 2003). Mutants in heterochronic genes generally cause precocious development. Importantly, the functions of heterochronic genes may be sensitive to phases of the cell cycle and thus may couple developmental decisions to cell cycle transitions and thereby provide a mechanism for ordering cell fate choices (Ambros, 1999; Euling and Ambros, 1996).

In C. elegans, the heterochonic gene lin12 is a homo-

log of Notch. The Notch pathway leads us back to the issue of why neurons are born before glia. The overexpression of an activated form of Notch increases gliogenesis at the expense of neurogenesis in several systems (Gaiano and Fishell, 2002; Lundkvist and Lendahl, 2001). This is almost certainly mediated, at least in part, by the ability of the Notch pathway to inhibit the transcription of proneural genes (Chitnis, 1995). As precursor neurons decide their fate in the retina, they may thus use the Notch pathway to inhibit neighboring cells from acquiring the same fate (Dorsky et al., 1995). Interestingly, in several systems such as the Xenopus retina, the Notch pathway is active in cells that already express bHLH proneural genes (Perron et al., 1998). Experimentally, in these systems, when a constitutive activator of the Notch signaling pathway is co-expressed with proneural genes, this increases the number of neurons induced by the proneural genes, not glia (Ohnuma et al., 2002). As in the case of the cdk inhibitor p27Xic1, the proneural action of the bHLH genes seems dominant to the gliogenic activity of Notch activation in this system. It turns out, however, that these two findings are linked by the fact that Notch activation pulls the cells out of the cell cycle in this system just as effectively as p27Xic1, though the mechanism by which it does so is not yet clear (Bao and Cepko, 1997; Dorsky et al., 1997; Ohnuma et al., 2002; Scheer et al., 2001; Sriuranpong et al., 2001). As a result, if a precursor cell already expresses a proneural gene like Xath5, Notch activation may cause it to exit the cell cycle. Thus, the Notch pathway regulates neurogenesis early by helping precursors that express bHLH out of the cell cycle and regulates gliogenesis later by inhibiting proneural gene expression in cells that are starting to express high levels of p27Xic1.

Cell Cycle Phases and Neuronal Differentiation

We know that neuronal fates are often determined around their final cell cycle (Cremisi et al., 2003; Edlund and Jessell, 1999; Ohnuma et al., 2001), but what is less clear is that these determination events are often linked to specific phases of the cell cycle. This is illustrated in experiments where young cortical progenitor cells are transplanted into older animals. Young cells change their fate in accordance with the older environment, but only if they are transplanted at G1 or S phase. Cells transplanted at M phase retain their early fates (McCon-



Figure 3. Interaction between Cell Cycle Regulation and Neural Cell Fate Determination

(A) The basic model that is most consistent with our present understanding is shown in this simple diagram in which cell cycle regulators affect the expression and function of neural determination factors and vice versa. (B) The cell cycle components that are mentioned in this review and which influence neurogenesis are shown at their approximate working positions (in yellow background) around a schematic drawing of cell cycle. The neural determination factors mentioned in this review that affect the cell cycle are indicated at their possible working points (in orange background). R means "cell cycle restriction point."

nell and Kaznowski, 1991). Retinal cells also lose their responsiveness to some extrinsic determinants as they enter M phase (Belliveau and Cepko, 1999). Intrinsic determinants may also be linked to specific phases of the cell cycle. For example, Prox1, a homeobox protein that is required for determination of horizontal cells in the vertebrate retina, is initiated and has its greatest expression at G2 (Dyer et al., 2003). Phase dependency may provide clues about the mechanisms that coordinate cell cycle exit with neural determination. For example, in S phase, chromosomal DNA is must be exposed by the removal of chromatin so that replication can take place. Thus, if chromatin remodeling is involved in neural determination, this phase might be more susceptible to transcriptional determinants than to other phases such as M phase, in which the chromatin is highly condensed. However, asymmetric divisions occur in M phase. Thus, where determination is regulated by asymmetric inheritance of determinants, M phase might be critical. Therefore, in the following paragraphs and Figure 3, we will review how some determination events may be linked to particular phases of the cell cycle during neurogenesis. M Phase

At M phase, inherited determinants become localized to one pole of a mother cell undergoing asymmetric cell division. Asymmetric divisions of neural precursor cells have been extensively studied in fly neurogenesis (Chia and Yang, 2002; Doe and Bowerman, 2001; Jan and Jan, 2001; Lu et al., 2000; Matsuzaki, 2000). There are two basic points to be made here. The first is that the

basic cell cycle machinery is involved in setting up asymmetric divisions. For example, in Drosophila string mutants, the proteins Partner of Numb, Numb, and Prospero do not localize properly (Lu et al., 1999; Spana and Doe, 1995). This brings us to the second basic point, which is that the segregated determinants may affect both cell fate and further cell proliferation. Numb, a wellknown antagonist of the Notch pathway (Guo et al., 1996; Spana and Doe, 1996), appears to be asymmetrically inherited in both Drosophila (Rhyu et al., 1994) and vertebrate (Cayouette et al., 2001; Silva et al., 2002; Wakamatsu et al., 1999; Zhong et al., 1996) neurogenesis. In humans, alternative splicing of Numb generates four different transcripts (Verdi et al., 1999). Two of these forms mediate neuronal cell fate choice, while the other two forms activate proliferation. Another molecule inherited asymmetrically is known as Dryk1, an ortholog of Drosophila minibrain, which is essential for the normal neuroblast proliferation (Tejedor et al., 1995). In chicks, Dryk1 is transiently expressed in neuroepithelial progenitor cells from M phase to G1 phase, and interestingly, the mRNA of Dryk1 is asymmetrically localized during mitosis to the differentiating rather than the proliferating lineage, leading to the proposal that Dryk1 defines a transition step between proliferation and differentiation in neuroepithelial cells (Hammerle et al., 2002). G1 Phase

A key cell cycle restriction point is located at the end of G1 phase. If cells pass this point, they will almost invariably complete the cell cycle. This restriction point is regulated by many G1 phase components, including cdk4, cdk6, cdk2, cyclin Ds, cyclin Es, cyclin As, RB, E2F, p53, and cdk inhibitors. In order to differentiate, cells need to leave the cell cycle in G1 and enter G0 without passing the cell cycle restriction point. This suggests that blocking the cell cycle in G1 by overexpression of certain cdk inhibitors should promote differentiation, while driving cells through G1 should inhibit differentiation. This prediction is borne out in experiments in the Xenopus retina. Here, blocking the cells in G1 by overexpressing the cdk inhibitor p27Xic1 potentiates the activity of proneural genes, while driving cells through G1 by overexpressing cyclin E1 reduces the activities of proneural genes (Ohnuma et al., 2002). Similarly in mammalian cells in culture, Cyclin D1 downregulates the transcriptional activity of the bHLH gene NeuroD (Ratineau et al., 2002), while Cyclin D3 directly regulates the transcriptional activity of the retinoic acid receptor α , which promotes neurogenesis (Despouy et al., 2003; Sharpe and Goldstone, 1997). In fact, nearly all the components of G1 cell cycle regulation have been reported to influence neural determination, and most studies agree that the factors that direct cell cycle arrest in G1 phase somehow also activate determination pathways (Carruthers et al., 2003; Dyer and Cepko, 2000b; Vernon et al., 2003; Zezula et al., 2001).

While many G1 phase cell cycle components affect determination, the reverse is also true, i.e., that many determination factors also affect the cell cycle at G1, allowing cells to take the G0 branch (Carey et al., 2002; Farah et al., 2000; Gallo et al., 2002; Geling et al., 2003; Hardcastle and Papalopulu, 2000; Insua et al., 2003; Li and Vaessin, 2000; Lin et al., 1998; Lyden et al., 1999; Wu et al., 2003). For example, overexpression of the proneural gene NeuroD2, Mash1, or neurogenin-1 can convert mouse P19 embryonic carcinoma cells into differentiated neurons. In this process, they induce the expression of p27Kip1 and cause cell cycle arrest in G1 (Farah et al., 2000). External neural determination and proliferation signals also appear to work through G1 cell cycle components. Wnt, for example, regulates the cell cycle by modulating the expression of cyclin D1, cyclin D2, and c-Myc (Baek et al., 2003; Kioussi et al., 2002), while Shh modulates the transcription of cyclin D1 and N-myc (Kenney et al., 2003; Oliver et al., 2003). S Phase

The degree of condensation of chromatin changes during the cell cycle (Hakimi et al., 2002; Machida et al., 2001; Olave et al., 2002). In S phase, the chromosomes lose their histones and decondense to replicate their DNA, and then the chromosomes recondense, sometimes incorporating remodeling of the chromatin. Thus, neural development might be particularly susceptible to factors that affect chromatin remodeling. Indeed, several studies show that the chromatin-remodeling complex known as SWI/SNF plays a critical role in neural development. A dramatic example comes from studies of the Srg3 protein, a core subunit of SWI/SNF. Mice that are heterozygous for a mutant form of the Srg3 gene show severe defects in neural proliferation and neural differentiation in association with exencephaly (Kim et al., 2001). Not only does SWI/SNF affect replication, but it may also interact directly with particular neural determination factors, as recent studies have shown that the remodeling protein CBF-1 recruits the SWI/SNF complex to the promoters of Notch-regulated genes such as Hes1 and Hes5 (Kadam and Emerson, 2003). Interestingly, the SWI/SNF remodeling complex may also regulate cell division, as the tumor suppressors prohibitin and retinoblastoma appear to recruit SWI/ SNF to E2F-dependent promoters and thus promote the action of these proteins in hanging cells up in G1 and leading to their differentiation (Martens and Winston, 2003).

Once assembled on the chromatin, histones may become acetylated, which encourages transcription of nearby genes. This epigenetic process is also critical for normal neural development, as shown, for example, by the knockout of Querkopf, a histone acetyltransferase. These mutant mice show abnormal cerebral cortex development including a reduction of Otx1-positive neurons (Thomas et al., 2000). These observations that chromatin structure is critical for normal neural determination are not necessarily very surprising. The idea that neural determination factors might affect chromatin structure is perhaps more so. The protein called Geminin, because of its dual function in neural determination and cell cycle progression, is a good example of this. In Xenopus and fly embryos, overexpression of geminin both (1) neuralizes ectoderm and induces expression of neural determination factors such as neurogenin-1 and (2) takes cells out of the cell cycle (Kroll et al., 1998; Quinn et al., 2001). Like p27Xic1, Geminin is a bifunctional molecule with separable domains for neuronal determination and cell cycle control (McGarry and Kirschner, 1998). Geminin, as it turns out, is a component of a prereplicative complex affecting chromatin condensation by modifying the binding of topoisomerase II with chromatin (Cuvier and Hirano, 2003; McGarry and Kirschner, 1998; Yanagi et al., 2002).

Staying Undifferentiated and in the Cycle-Neural Stem Cells

Mature neurons cannot re-enter the cell cycle, though no one quite knows why. Therefore, it is generally believed that most regions of the adult mammalian brain are unable to generate new neurons and that as a consequence, we are basically stuck with the neurons we are born with. These neurons have to last us for the rest of our lives, which is one of the key reasons why brain damage can cause such devastatingly long-term negative outcomes. However, it has been shown recently that undifferentiated neural stem cells exist in the adult mammalian nervous system (Gage, 2000) and that these cells can be activated to produce new neurons even in the adult. The majority of these stem cells are localized in specialized areas or niches such as the subventricular zone, the olfactory epithelium, the dentate gyrus of the hippocampus, and the ciliary marginal zone of the retina. Stem cells may divide very slowly in a symmetric manner (Sommer and Rao, 2002). Recent observations indicate that this slow cycling may be due to the low expression of cell cycle activators in stem cells. For example, in the CMZ of Xenopus retina, expression of the cell cycle activators cyclin A2, cyclin E1, cyclin D1, cdc2, and cdk2 is much lower in the stem cell population than in the quickly dividing early retinoblasts (Ohnuma et al., 2002). More intriguing still is the possibility that some mature glia may have stem cell-like properties. For example, in the retina, Müller glial cells can re-enter the cell cycle and produce progeny that transdifferentiate into neurons (Reh and Levine, 1998). In a process called reactive gliosis, Müller glial cells re-enter the cell cycle after retinal injury. A key question is why these cells do not divide under normal circumstances. In this case, it appears to be high levels of cell cycle inhibitors. Adult Müller cells express high levels of p27Kip1, but upon reactivation, there is a downregulation of p27Kip1 and the cells move on to S phase. Consistent with this observation, mice lacking p27Kip1 showed a constitutive state of reactive gliosis (Dyer and Cepko, 2000a).

The localized distribution of stem cells suggests that the environment may be responsible in part for keeping stem cells in the cell cycle (though perhaps dividing only slowly) and maintaining their multipotency. Clues to what these environmental cues might be come from attempts to culture adult neural stem cells (Weiss et al., 1996). In high-density culture, FGF2 alone is sufficient to maintain neural stem cells (Gage et al., 1995; Gritti et al., 1996), although EGF also appears to promote neural stem cell proliferation (Martens et al., 2000). Interestingly, FGF2 and EGF also affect the cellular commitment, as FGF2 induces neurogenesis from gliogenic precursors and EGF converts neurogenic precursors to multipotent stem cells (Doetsch et al., 2002; Palmer et al., 1999). Notch signaling may also be involved in maintaining stem cells. Mice lacking RBP-Jk, an essential component of the Notch pathway, or presenilin1, a regulator of the Notch pathway, produce reduced numbers of multipotential neural stem cells (Hitoshi et al., 2002). This effect in presenilin1 knockout mice is rescued by overexpression of an active form of Notch1. Exactly how these factors and others, like Wnts and hedgehogs that have been implicated in this process (Ahlgren and Bronner-Fraser, 1999; Machold et al., 2003; McMahon and Bradley, 1990; Perron et al., 2003), maintain the neural stem cell fate is not understood, but it may have to do with their ability to regulate the regional expression of transcriptions factors such as Emx2 (Ligon et al., 2003; Theil et al., 1999, 2002; Tole et al., 2000b). Emx2 is expressed in adult stem cells in the telencephalon and the dentate gyrus (Galli et al., 2002; Pellegrini et al., 1996; Tole et al., 2000a). Inhibition of Emx2 activity increases the population of symmetrically dividing stem cells, while activation of Emx2 decreases this type of division (Galli et al., 2002). Sox2 is another such transcription factor that is expressed in neural stem cells. Constitutive expression of Sox2 results in the maintenance of the progenitor state, while inhibition of Sox2 causes neural progenitors to exit the cell cycle and differentiate (Graham et al., 2003).

Conclusions

In this review, we have highlighted some of the ways that the cell cycle is fundamentally linked with neural development and some of the molecular mechanisms that form the links. Understanding these links better may have relevance to human developmental diseases of the brain, many of which are associated with abnormal proliferation (Walsh, 1999). For example, Dyrk1A may be a causal factor in Downs' syndrome (Altafaj et al., 2001; Hammerle et al., 2003). Mutations in Six3 result in holoprosencephaly (Roessler et al., 1996; Wallis et al., 1999), while defects of Emx2 and TSC2 cause schizencephaly and focal dysplasias (thickened and disordered structure) in the cortex, respectively (Brunelli et al., 1996; Consortium, 1993). Moreover, almost all signaling pathways involved in neural development are also implicated in tumorigenesis (Allenspach et al., 2002; Lustig and Behrens, 2003; Wetmore, 2003). It is therefore important to understand the links these pathways have to the cell cycle.

One of the important lessons we have learned from explorations into the cell cycle during neural development is that many cell cycle genes show restricted expression and affect cellular fate. Conversely, many neural differentiation factors regulate the cell cycle, both directly and indirectly. Indeed, there seem to be many complex relationships between cell cycle components and developmental factors, as one might imagine there would be in building an organ as complex and refined as the nervous system. We might be just scratching the surface of this issue now, but in the next few years we can hope that the underlying logic of the network that links the cell cycle to various aspects of neurogenesis will be elucidated.

Acknowledgments

We thank Linda Ko Ferrigno for advice and comments on the manuscript. The authors are supported by Cancer Research UK (S.-i.O.), the Royal Society (S.-i.O.), the EC (W.A.H.), and Wellcome Trust (W.A.H.).

References

Ahlgren, S.C., and Bronner-Fraser, M. (1999). Inhibition of sonic hedgehog signaling in vivo results in craniofacial neural crest cell death. Curr. Biol. 9, 1304–1314.

Allenspach, E.J., Maillard, I., Aster, J.C., and Pear, W.S. (2002). Notch signaling in cancer. Cancer Biol. Ther. 1, 466–476.

Altafaj, X., Dierssen, M., Baamonde, C., Marti, E., Visa, J., Guimera, J., Oset, M., Gonzalez, J.R., Florez, J., Fillat, C., and Estivill, X. (2001). Neurodevelopmental delay, motor abnormalities and cognitive deficits in transgenic mice overexpressing Dyrk1A (minibrain), a murine model of Down's syndrome. Hum. Mol. Genet. *10*, 1915–1923.

Ambros, V. (1999). Cell cycle-dependent sequencing of cell fate decisions in *Caenorhabditis elegans* vulva precursor cells. Development *126*, 1947–1956.

Ambros, V. (2000). Control of developmental timing in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. *10*, 428–433.

Andreazzoli, M., Gestri, G., Angeloni, D., Menna, E., and Barsacchi, G. (1999). Role of Xrx1 in *Xenopus* eye and anterior brain development. Development *126*, 2451–2460.

Backman, S.A., Stambolic, V., Suzuki, A., Haight, J., Elia, A., Pretorius, J., Tsao, M.S., Shannon, P., Bolon, B., Ivy, G.O., and Mak, T.W. (2001). Deletion of Pten in mouse brain causes seizures, ataxia and defects in soma size resembling Lhermitte-Duclos disease. Nat. Genet. *29*, 396–403.

Baek, S.H., Kioussi, C., Briata, P., Wang, D., Nguyen, H.D., Ohgi, K.A., Glass, C.K., Wynshaw-Boris, A., Rose, D.W., and Rosenfeld, M.G. (2003). Regulated subset of G1 growth-control genes in response to derepression by the Wnt pathway. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *100*, 3245–3250.

Bao, Z.Z., and Cepko, C.L. (1997). The expression and function of Notch pathway genes in the developing rat eye. J. Neurosci. *17*, 1425–1434.

Bellaiche, Y., Gho, M., Kaltschmidt, J.A., Brand, A.H., and Schweisguth, F. (2001). Frizzled regulates localization of cell-fate determinants and mitotic spindle rotation during asymmetric cell division. Nat. Cell Biol. *3*, 50–57.

Belliveau, M.J., and Cepko, C.L. (1999). Extrinsic and intrinsic factors control the genesis of amacrine and cone cells in the rat retina. Development *126*, 555–566.

Bohni, R., Riesgo-Escovar, J., Oldham, S., Brogiolo, W., Stocker, H., Andruss, B.F., Beckingham, K., and Hafen, E. (1999). Autonomous control of cell and organ size by CHICO, a *Drosophila* homolog of vertebrate IRS1–4. Cell 97, 865–875.

Brody, T., and Odenwald, W.F. (2000). Programmed transformations in neuroblast gene expression during *Drosophila* CNS lineage development. Dev. Biol. *226*, 34–44.

Brown, N.L., Kanekar, S., Vetter, M.L., Tucker, P.K., Gemza, D.L., and Glaser, T. (1998). Math5 encodes a murine basic helix-loophelix transcription factor expressed during early stages of retinal neurogenesis. Development *125*, 4821–4833.

Brown, N.L., Patel, S., Brzezinski, J., and Glaser, T. (2001). Math5 is required for retinal ganglion cell and optic nerve formation. Development *128*, 2497–2508.

Brunelli, S., Faiella, A., Capra, V., Nigro, V., Simeone, A., Cama, A., and Boncinelli, E. (1996). Germline mutations in the homeobox gene EMX2 in patients with severe schizencephaly. Nat. Genet. *12*, 94–96. Carey, R.G., Li, B., and DiCicco-Bloom, E. (2002). Pituitary adenylate cyclase activating polypeptide anti-mitogenic signaling in cerebral cortical progenitors is regulated by p57Kip2-dependent CDK2 activity. J. Neurosci. *22*, 1583–1591.

Carruthers, S., Mason, J., and Papalopulu, N. (2003). Depletion of the cell-cycle inhibitor p27(Xic1) impairs neuronal differentiation and increases the number of ElrC(+) progenitor cells in *Xenopus tropicalis*. Mech. Dev. *120*, 607–616.

Caviness, V.S., Jr., and Sidman, R.L. (1973). Time of origin or corresponding cell classes in the cerebral cortex of normal and reeler mutant mice: an autoradiographic analysis. J. Comp. Neurol. *148*, 141–151.

Caviness, V.S., Jr., Takahashi, T., and Nowakowski, R.S. (2000). Neuronogenesis and the early events of neocortical histogenesis. Results Probl. Cell Differ. *30*, 107–143.

Cayouette, M., Whitmore, A.V., Jeffery, G., and Raff, M. (2001). Asymmetric segregation of Numb in retinal development and the influence of the pigmented epithelium. J. Neurosci. *21*, 5643–5651.

Chenn, A., and McConnell, S.K. (1995). Cleavage orientation and the asymmetric inheritance of Notch1 immunoreactivity in mammalian neurogenesis. Cell 82, 631–641.

Chenn, A., and Walsh, C.A. (2002). Regulation of cerebral cortical size by control of cell cycle exit in neural precursors. Science 297, 365–369.

Chia, W., and Yang, X. (2002). Asymmetric division of *Drosophila* neural progenitors. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. *12*, 459–464.

Chitnis, A.B. (1995). The role of Notch in lateral inhibition and cell fate specification. Mol. Cell. Neurosci. *6*, 311–321.

Consortium (European Chromosome 16 Tuberous Sclerosis Consortium). (1993). Identification and characterization of the tuberous sclerosis gene on chromosome 16. The European chromosome 16 tuberous sclerosis consortium. Cell 75, 1305–1315.

Cremisi, F., Philpott, A., and Ohnuma, S. (2003). Cell cycle and cell fate interactions in neural development. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. *13*, 26–33.

Cuvier, O., and Hirano, T. (2003). A role of topoisomerase II in linking DNA replication to chromosome condensation. J. Cell Biol. *160*, 645–655.

Despouy, G., Bastie, J.N., Deshaies, S., Balitrand, N., Mazharian, A., Rochette-Egly, C., Chomienne, C., and Delva, L. (2003). Cyclin D3 is a cofactor of retinoic acid receptors, modulating their activity in the presence of cellular retinoic acid-binding protein II. J. Biol. Chem. *278*, 6355–6362.

Doe, C.Q., and Bowerman, B. (2001). Asymmetric cell division: fly neuroblast meets worm zygote. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. *13*, 68–75.

Doetsch, F., Petreanu, L., Caille, I., Garcia-Verdugo, J.M., and Alvarez-Buylla, A. (2002). EGF converts transit-amplifying neurogenic precursors in the adult brain into multipotent stem cells. Neuron 36, 1021–1034.

Dorsky, R.I., Rapaport, D.H., and Harris, W.A. (1995). Xotch inhibits cell differentiation in the *Xenopus* retina. Neuron *14*, 487–496.

Dorsky, R.I., Chang, W.S., Rapaport, D.H., and Harris, W.A. (1997). Regulation of neuronal diversity in the *Xenopus* retina by Delta signalling. Nature *385*, 67–70.

Dostie, J., Mourelatos, Z., Yang, M., Sharma, A., and Dreyfuss, G. (2003). Numerous microRNPs in neuronal cells containing novel microRNAs. RNA *9*, 180–186.

Durand, B., and Raff, M. (2000). A cell-intrinsic timer that operates during oligodendrocyte development. Bioessays 22, 64–71.

Dyer, M.A., and Cepko, C.L. (2000a). Control of Muller glial cell proliferation and activation following retinal injury. Nat. Neurosci. *3*, 873–880.

Dyer, M.A., and Cepko, C.L. (2000b). p57(Kip2) regulates progenitor cell proliferation and amacrine interneuron development in the mouse retina. Development *127*, 3593–3605.

Dyer, M.A., Livesey, F.J., Cepko, C.L., and Oliver, G. (2003). Prox1 function controls progenitor cell proliferation and horizontal cell genesis in the mammalian retina. Nat. Genet. *34*, 53–58.

Edgar, B.A., and Orr-Weaver, T.L. (2001). Endoreplication cell cycles: more for less. Cell 105, 297–306.

Edlund, T., and Jessell, T.M. (1999). Progression from extrinsic to intrinsic signaling in cell fate specification: a view from the nervous system. Cell 96, 211–224.

Euling, S., and Ambros, V. (1996). Heterochronic genes control cell cycle progress and developmental competence of *C. elegans* vulva precursor cells. Cell *84*, 667–676.

Fantl, V., Stamp, G., Andrews, A., Rosewell, I., and Dickson, C. (1995). Mice lacking cyclin D1 are small and show defects in eye and mammary gland development. Genes Dev. 9, 2364–2372.

Farah, M.H., Olson, J.M., Sucic, H.B., Hume, R.I., Tapscott, S.J., and Turner, D.L. (2000). Generation of neurons by transient expression of neural bHLH proteins in mammalian cells. Development *127*, 693–702.

Fero, M.L., Rivkin, M., Tasch, M., Porter, P., Carow, C.E., Firpo, E., Polyak, K., Tsai, L.H., Broudy, V., Perlmutter, R.M., et al. (1996). A syndrome of multiorgan hyperplasia with features of gigantism, tumorigenesis, and female sterility in p27(Kip1)-deficient mice. Cell *85*, 733–744.

Frantz, G.D., Bohner, A.P., Akers, R.M., and McConnell, S.K. (1994). Regulation of the POU domain gene SCIP during cerebral cortical development. J. Neurosci. *14*, 472–485.

Gage, F.H. (2000). Mammalian neural stem cells. Science 87, 1433–1438.

Gage, F.H., Coates, P.W., Palmer, T.D., Kuhn, H.G., Fisher, L.J., Suhonen, J.O., Peterson, D.A., Suhr, S.T., and Ray, J. (1995). Survival and differentiation of adult neuronal progenitor cells transplanted to the adult brain. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *92*, 11879–11883.

Gaiano, N., and Fishell, G. (2002). The role of notch in promoting glial and neural stem cell fates. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 25, 471–490.

Galli, R., Fiocco, R., De Filippis, L., Muzio, L., Gritti, A., Mercurio, S., Broccoli, V., Pellegrini, M., Mallamaci, A., and Vescovi, A.L. (2002). Emx2 regulates the proliferation of stem cells of the adult mammalian central nervous system. Development *129*, 1633–1644.

Gallo, R., Zazzeroni, F., Alesse, E., Mincione, C., Borello, U., Buanne, P., D'Eugenio, R., Mackay, A.R., Argenti, B., Gradini, R., et al. (2002). REN: a novel, developmentally regulated gene that promotes neural cell differentiation. J. Cell Biol. *158*, 731–740.

Gao, F.B., Durand, B., and Raff, M. (1997). Oligodendrocyte precursor cells count time but not cell divisions before differentiation. Curr. Biol. 7, 152–155.

Geling, A., Itoh, M., Tallafuss, A., Chapouton, P., Tannhauser, B., Kuwada, J.Y., Chitnis, A.B., and Bally-Cuif, L. (2003). bHLH transcription factor Her5 links patterning to regional inhibition of neurogenesis at the midbrain-hindbrain boundary. Development *130*, 1591– 1604.

lifying neuro- 1604.

Geng, Y., Yu, Q., Sicinska, E., Das, M., Schneider, J.E., Bhattacharya, S., Rideout, W.M., Bronson, R.T., Gardner, H., and Sicinski, P. (2003). Cyclin E ablation in the mouse. Cell *114*, 431–443.

Graham, V., Khudyakov, J., Ellis, P., and Pevny, L. (2003). SOX2 functions to maintain neural progenitor identity. Neuron 39, 749–765.

Gritti, A., Parati, E.A., Cova, L., Frolichsthal, P., Galli, R., Wanke, E., Faravelli, L., Morassutti, D.J., Roisen, F., Nickel, D.D., and Vescovi, A.L. (1996). Multipotential stem cells from the adult mouse brain proliferate and self-renew in response to basic fibroblast growth factor. J. Neurosci. *16*, 1091–1100.

Guo, M., Jan, L.Y., and Jan, Y.N. (1996). Control of daughter cell fates during asymmetric division: interaction of Numb and Notch. Neuron *17*, 27–41.

Hakimi, M.A., Bochar, D.A., Chenoweth, J., Lane, W.S., Mandel, G., and Shiekhattar, R. (2002). A core-BRAF35 complex containing histone deacetylase mediates repression of neuronal-specific genes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 99, 7420–7425.

Hammerle, B., Vera-Samper, E., Speicher, S., Arencibia, R., Martinez, S., and Tejedor, F.J. (2002). Mnb/Dyrk1A is transiently expressed and asymmetrically segregated in neural progenitor cells at the transition to neurogenic divisions. Dev. Biol. *246*, 259–273.

Hammerle, B., Carnicero, A., Elizalde, C., Ceron, J., Martinez, S., and Tejedor, F.J. (2003). Expression patterns and subcellular localization of the Down syndrome candidate protein MNB/DYRK1A suggest a role in late neuronal differentiation. Eur. J. Neurosci. *17*, 2277– 2286.

Hardcastle, Z., and Papalopulu, N. (2000). Distinct effects of XBF-1 in regulating the cell cycle inhibitor p27(XIC1) and imparting a neural fate. Development *127*, 1303–1314.

Hitoshi, S., Alexson, T., Tropepe, V., Donoviel, D., Elia, A.J., Nye, J.S., Conlon, R.A., Mak, T.W., Bernstein, A., and van der Kooy, D. (2002). Notch pathway molecules are essential for the maintenance, but not the generation, of mammalian neural stem cells. Genes Dev. *16*, 846–858.

Holt, C.E., Bertsch, T.W., Ellis, H.M., and Harris, W.A. (1988). Cellular determination in the *Xenopus* retina is independent of lineage and birth date. Neuron *1*, 15–26.

Honma, Y., Kiyosawa, H., Mori, T., Oguri, A., Nikaido, T., Kanazawa, K., Tojo, M., Takeda, J., Tanno, Y., Yokoya, S., et al. (1999). Eos: a novel member of the lkaros gene family expressed predominantly in the developing nervous system. FEBS Lett. *447*, 76–80.

Huard, J.M., Forster, C.C., Carter, M.L., Sicinski, P., and Ross, M.E. (1999). Cerebellar histogenesis is disturbed in mice lacking cyclin D2. Development *126*, 1927–1935.

Insua, M.F., Garelli, A., Rotstein, N.P., German, O.L., Arias, A., and Politi, L.E. (2003). Cell cycle regulation in retinal progenitors by gliaderived neurotrophic factor and docosahexaenoic acid. Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci. 44, 2235–2244.

Isshiki, T., Pearson, B., Holbrook, S., and Doe, C.Q. (2001). *Drosophila* neuroblasts sequentially express transcription factors which specify the temporal identity of their neuronal progeny. Cell *106*, 511–521.

Jan, Y.N., and Jan, L.Y. (2001). Asymmetric cell division in the *Drosophila* nervous system. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 2, 772–779.

Kadam, S., and Emerson, B.M. (2003). Transcriptional specificity of human SWI/SNF BRG1 and BRM chromatin remodeling complexes. Mol. Cell *11*, 377–389.

Kanekar, S., Perron, M., Dorsky, R., Harris, W.A., Jan, L.Y., Jan, Y.N., and Vetter, M.L. (1997). Xath5 participates in a network of bHLH genes in the developing *Xenopus* retina. Neuron *19*, 981–994.

Kawasaki, H., and Taira, K. (2003). Hes1 is a target of microRNA-23 during retinoic-acid-induced neuronal differentiation of NT2 cells. Nature *423*, 838–842.

Kay, J.N., Finger-Baier, K.C., Roeser, T., Staub, W., and Baier, H. (2001). Retinal ganglion cell genesis requires lakritz, a zebrafish atonal homolog. Neuron *30*, 725–736.

Kenney, A.M., Cole, M.D., and Rowitch, D.H. (2003). Nmyc upregulation by sonic hedgehog signaling promotes proliferation in developing cerebellar granule neuron precursors. Development *130*, 15–28. Kim, J.K., Huh, S.O., Choi, H., Lee, K.S., Shin, D., Lee, C., Nam, J.S., Kim, H., Chung, H., Lee, H.W., et al. (2001). Srg3, a mouse homolog of yeast SWI3, is essential for early embryogenesis and involved in brain development. Mol. Cell. Biol. *21*, 7787–7795.

Kioussi, C., Briata, P., Baek, S.H., Rose, D.W., Hamblet, N.S., Herman, T., Ohgi, K.A., Lin, C., Gleiberman, A., Wang, J., et al. (2002). Identification of a Wnt/Dvl/beta-Catenin \rightarrow Pitx2 pathway mediating cell-type-specific proliferation during development. Cell *111*, 673–685.

Kobayashi, M., Nishikawa, K., Suzuki, T., and Yamamoto, M. (2001). The homeobox protein Six3 interacts with the Groucho corepressor and acts as a transcriptional repressor in eye and forebrain formation. Dev. Biol. *232*, 315–326.

Kroll, K.L., Salic, A.N., Evans, L.M., and Kirschner, M.W. (1998). Geminin, a neuralizing molecule that demarcates the future neural plate at the onset of gastrulation. Development *125*, 3247–3258.

Lagos-Quintana, M., Rauhut, R., Yalcin, A., Meyer, J., Lendeckel, W., and Tuschl, T. (2002). Identification of tissue-specific microRNAs from mouse. Curr. Biol. *12*, 735–739.

Lagos-Quintana, M., Rauhut, R., Meyer, J., Borkhardt, A., and Tuschl, T. (2003). New microRNAs from mouse and human. RNA 9, 175–179.

Leevers, S.J., Weinkove, D., MacDougall, L.K., Hafen, E., and Waterfield, M.D. (1996). The *Drosophila* phosphoinositide 3-kinase Dp110 promotes cell growth. EMBO J. *15*, 6584–6594.

Li, L., and Vaessin, H. (2000). Pan-neural Prospero terminates cell proliferation during *Drosophila* neurogenesis. Genes Dev. 14, 147–151.

Li, X., Perissi, V., Liu, F., Rose, D.W., and Rosenfeld, M.G. (2002). Tissue-specific regulation of retinal and pituitary precursor cell proliferation. Science 297, 1180–1183.

Ligon, K.L., Echelard, Y., Assimacopoulos, S., Danielian, P.S., Kaing, S., Grove, E.A., McMahon, A.P., and Rowitch, D.H. (2003). Loss of Emx2 function leads to ectopic expression of Wnt1 in the developing telencephalon and cortical dysplasia. Development *130*, 2275–2287.

Lim, L.P., Glasner, M.E., Yekta, S., Burge, C.B., and Bartel, D.P. (2003). Vertebrate microRNA genes. Science 299, 1540.

Lin, X., Cui, H., and Bulleit, R.F. (1998). BDNF accelerates gene expression in cultured cerebellar granule neurons. Brain Res. Dev. Brain Res. *105*, 277–286.

Lopez-Rios, J., Tessmar, K., Loosli, F., Wittbrodt, J., and Bovolenta, P. (2003). Six3 and Six6 activity is modulated by members of the groucho family. Development *130*, 185–195.

Lu, B., Ackerman, L., Jan, L.Y., and Jan, Y.N. (1999). Modes of protein movement that lead to the asymmetric localization of partner of Numb during *Drosophila* neuroblast division. Mol. Cell 4, 883–891.

Lu, B., Jan, L., and Jan, Y.N. (2000). Control of cell divisions in the nervous system: symmetry and asymmetry. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 23, 531–556.

Lundkvist, J., and Lendahl, U. (2001). Notch and the birth of glial cells. Trends Neurosci. 24, 492–494.

Lustig, B., and Behrens, J. (2003). The Wnt signaling pathway and its role in tumor development. J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol. 129, 199–221.

Lyden, D., Young, A.Z., Zagzag, D., Yan, W., Gerald, W., O'Reilly, R., Bader, B.L., Hynes, R.O., Zhuang, Y., Manova, K., and Benezra, R. (1999). Id1 and Id3 are required for neurogenesis, angiogenesis and vascularization of tumour xenografts. Nature 401, 670–677.

Machida, Y., Murai, K., Miyake, K., and Iijima, S. (2001). Expression of chromatin remodeling factors during neural differentiation. J. Biochem. (Tokyo) *129*, 43–49.

Machold, R., Hayashi, S., Rutlin, M., Muzumdar, M.D., Nery, S., Corbin, J.G., Gritli-Linde, A., Dellovade, T., Porter, J.A., Rubin, L.L., et al. (2003). Sonic hedgehog is required for progenitor cell maintenance in telencephalic stem cell niches. Neuron *39*, 937–950.

Martens, J.A., and Winston, F. (2003). Recent advances in understanding chromatin remodeling by Swi/Snf complexes. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. *13*, 136–142.

Martens, D.J., Tropepe, V., and van Der Kooy, D. (2000). Separate proliferation kinetics of fibroblast growth factor-responsive and epi-

dermal growth factor-responsive neural stem cells within the embryonic forebrain germinal zone. J. Neurosci. 20, 1085–1095.

Matsuzaki, F. (2000). Asymmetric division of *Drosophila* neural stem cells: a basis for neural diversity. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. *10*, 38–44. McConnell, S.K., and Kaznowski, C.E. (1991). Cell cycle dependence of laminar determination in developing neocortex. Science *254*, 282–285.

McGarry, T.J., and Kirschner, M.W. (1998). Geminin, an inhibitor of DNA replication, is degraded during mitosis. Cell 93, 1043–1053.

McMahon, A.P., and Bradley, A. (1990). The Wnt-1 (int-1) protooncogene is required for development of a large region of the mouse brain. Cell 62, 1073–1085.

Mourelatos, Z., Dostie, J., Paushkin, S., Sharma, A., Charroux, B., Abel, L., Rappsilber, J., Mann, M., and Dreyfuss, G. (2002). miRNPs: a novel class of ribonucleoproteins containing numerous microRNAs. Genes Dev. *16*, 720–728.

Nakayama, K., Ishida, N., Shirane, M., Inomata, A., Inoue, T., Shishido, N., Horii, I., and Loh, D.Y. (1996). Mice lacking p27(Kip1) display increased body size, multiple organ hyperplasia, retinal dysplasia, and pituitary tumors. Cell *85*, 707–720.

Ohnuma, S., Philpott, A., Wang, K., Holt, C.E., and Harris, W.A. (1999). p27Xic1, a Cdk inhibitor, promotes the determination of glial cells in *Xenopus* retina. Cell 99, 499–510.

Ohnuma, S., Philpott, A., and Harris, W.A. (2001). Cell cycle and cell fate in the nervous system. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. *11*, 66–73.

Ohnuma, S., Hopper, S., Wang, K.C., Philpott, A., and Harris, W.A. (2002). Co-ordinating retinal histogenesis: early cell cycle exit enhances early cell fate determination in the *Xenopus* retina. Development *129*, 2435–2446.

Olave, I., Wang, W., Xue, Y., Kuo, A., and Crabtree, G.R. (2002). Identification of a polymorphic, neuron-specific chromatin remodeling complex. Genes Dev. *16*, 2509–2517.

Oliver, T.G., Grasfeder, L.L., Carroll, A.L., Kaiser, C., Gillingham, C.L., Lin, S.M., Wickramasinghe, R., Scott, M.P., and Wechsler-Reya, R.J. (2003). Transcriptional profiling of the Sonic hedgehog response: a critical role for N-myc in proliferation of neuronal precursors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *100*, 7331–7336.

Palmer, T.D., Markakis, E.A., Willhoite, A.R., Safar, F., and Gage, F.H. (1999). Fibroblast growth factor-2 activates a latent neurogenic program in neural stem cells from diverse regions of the adult CNS. J. Neurosci. *19*, 8487–8497.

Pellegrini, M., Mansouri, A., Simeone, A., Boncinelli, E., and Gruss, P. (1996). Dentate gyrus formation requires Emx2. Development *122*, 3893–3898.

Perron, M., Kanekar, S., Vetter, M.L., and Harris, W.A. (1998). The genetic sequence of retinal development in the ciliary margin of the *Xenopus* eye. Dev. Biol. *199*, 185–200.

Perron, M., Boy, S., Amato, M.A., Viczian, A., Koebernick, K., Pieler, T., and Harris, W.A. (2003). A novel function for Hedgehog signalling in retinal pigment epithelium differentiation. Development *130*, 1565–1577.

Potter, C.J., Huang, H., and Xu, T. (2001). *Drosophila* Tsc1 functions with Tsc2 to antagonize insulin signaling in regulating cell growth, cell proliferation, and organ size. Cell *105*, 357–368.

Qian, X., Shen, Q., Goderie, S.K., He, W., Capela, A., Davis, A.A., and Temple, S. (2000). Timing of CNS cell generation: a programmed sequence of neuron and glial cell production from isolated murine cortical stem cells. Neuron 28, 69–80.

Quinn, L.M., Herr, A., McGarry, T.J., and Richardson, H. (2001). The *Drosophila* Geminin homolog: roles for Geminin in limiting DNA replication, in anaphase and in neurogenesis. Genes Dev. 15, 2741–2754.

Ratineau, C., Petry, M.W., Mutoh, H., and Leiter, A.B. (2002). Cyclin D1 represses the basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor, BETA2/ NeuroD. J. Biol. Chem. 277, 8847–8853.

Reh, T.A., and Levine, E.M. (1998). Multipotential stem cells and progenitors in the vertebrate retina. J. Neurobiol. *36*, 206–220.

Rhyu, M.S., Jan, L.Y., and Jan, Y.N. (1994). Asymmetric distribution of numb protein during division of the sensory organ precursor cell confers distinct fates to daughter cells. Cell *76*, 477–491.

Roessler, E., Belloni, E., Gaudenz, K., Jay, P., Berta, P., Scherer, S.W., Tsui, L.C., and Muenke, M. (1996). Mutations in the human Sonic Hedgehog gene cause holoprosencephaly. Nat. Genet. *14*, 357–360.

Rougvie, A.E. (2001). Control of developmental timing in animals. Nat. Rev. Genet. 2, 690–701.

Saucedo, L.J., Gao, X., Chiarelli, D.A., Li, L., Pan, D., and Edgar, B.A. (2003). Rheb promotes cell growth as a component of the insulin/TOR signalling network. Nat. Cell Biol. 5, 566–571.

Scheer, N., Groth, A., Hans, S., and Campos-Ortega, J.A. (2001). An instructive function for Notch in promoting gliogenesis in the zebrafish retina. Development *128*, 1099–1107.

Sharpe, C.R., and Goldstone, K. (1997). Retinoid receptors promote primary neurogenesis in *Xenopus*. Development *124*, 515–523.

Sicinski, P., Donaher, J.L., Parker, S.B., Li, T., Fazeli, A., Gardner, H., Haslam, S.Z., Bronson, R.T., Elledge, S.J., and Weinberg, R.A. (1995). Cyclin D1 provides a link between development and oncogenesis in the retina and breast. Cell *82*, 621–630.

Silva, A.O., Ercole, C.E., and McLoon, S.C. (2002). Plane of cell cleavage and numb distribution during cell division relative to cell differentiation in the developing retina. J. Neurosci. 22, 7518–7525.

Sommer, L., and Rao, M. (2002). Neural stem cells and regulation of cell number. Prog. Neurobiol. 66, 1–18.

Spana, E.P., and Doe, C.Q. (1995). The prospero transcription factor is asymmetrically localized to the cell cortex during neuroblast mitosis in *Drosophila*. Development *121*, 3187–3195.

Spana, E.P., and Doe, C.Q. (1996). Numb antagonizes Notch signaling to specify sibling neuron cell fates. Neuron 17, 21–26.

Sriuranpong, V., Borges, M.W., Ravi, R.K., Arnold, D.R., Nelkin, B.D., Baylin, S.B., and Ball, D.W. (2001). Notch signaling induces cell cycle arrest in small cell lung cancer cells. Cancer Res. *61*, 3200–3205.

Stocker, H., Radimerski, T., Schindelholz, B., Wittwer, F., Belawat, P., Daram, P., Breuer, S., Thomas, G., and Hafen, E. (2003). Rheb is an essential regulator of S6K in controlling cell growth in *Drosophila*. Nat. Cell Biol. *5*, 559–565.

Takahashi, T., Nowakowski, R.S., and Caviness, V.S., Jr. (1996). The leaving or Q fraction of the murine cerebral proliferative epithelium: a general model of neocortical neuronogenesis. J. Neurosci. *16*, 6183–6196.

Tapon, N., Ito, N., Dickson, B.J., Treisman, J.E., and Hariharan, I.K. (2001). The *Drosophila* tuberous sclerosis complex gene homologs restrict cell growth and cell proliferation. Cell *105*, 345–355.

Tejedor, F., Zhu, X.R., Kaltenbach, E., Ackermann, A., Baumann, A., Canal, I., Heisenberg, M., Fischbach, K.F., and Pongs, O. (1995). minibrain: a new protein kinase family involved in postembryonic neurogenesis in *Drosophila*. Neuron *14*, 287–301.

Theil, T., Alvarez-Bolado, G., Walter, A., and Ruther, U. (1999). Gli3 is required for Emx gene expression during dorsal telencephalon development. Development *126*, 3561–3571.

Theil, T., Aydin, S., Koch, S., Grotewold, L., and Ruther, U. (2002). Wht and Bmp signalling cooperatively regulate graded Emx2 expression in the dorsal telencephalon. Development *129*, 3045–3054.

Thomas, T., Voss, A.K., Chowdhury, K., and Gruss, P. (2000). Querkopf, a MYST family histone acetyltransferase, is required for normal cerebral cortex development. Development *127*, 2537–2548.

Tole, S., Goudreau, G., Assimacopoulos, S., and Grove, E.A. (2000a). Emx2 is required for growth of the hippocampus but not for hippocampal field specification. J. Neurosci. 20, 2618–2625.

Tole, S., Ragsdale, C.W., and Grove, E.A. (2000b). Dorsoventral patterning of the telencephalon is disrupted in the mouse mutant extratoes(J). Dev. Biol. *217*, 254–265.

Verdi, J.M., Bashirullah, A., Goldhawk, D.E., Kubu, C.J., Jamali, M., Meakin, S.O., and Lipshitz, H.D. (1999). Distinct human NUMB isoforms regulate differentiation vs. proliferation in the neuronal lineage. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 96, 10472–10476.

Verdu, J., Buratovich, M.A., Wilder, E.L., and Birnbaum, M.J. (1999). Cell-autonomous regulation of cell and organ growth in *Drosophila* by Akt/PKB. Nat. Cell Biol. *1*, 500–506. Vernon, A.E., Devine, C., and Philpott, A. (2003). The cdk inhibitor p27Xic1 is required for differentiation of primary neurones in *Xenopus*. Development *130*, 85–92.

Wakamatsu, Y., Maynard, T.M., Jones, S.U., and Weston, J.A. (1999). NUMB localizes in the basal cortex of mitotic avian neuroepithelial cells and modulates neuronal differentiation by binding to NOTCH-1. Neuron 23, 71–81.

Wallis, D.E., Roessler, E., Hehr, U., Nanni, L., Wiltshire, T., Richieri-Costa, A., Gillessen-Kaesbach, G., Zackai, E.H., Rommens, J., and Muenke, M. (1999). Mutations in the homeodomain of the human SIX3 gene cause holoprosencephaly. Nat. Genet. 22, 196–198.

Walsh, C.A. (1999). Genetic malformations of the human cerebral cortex. Neuron 23, 19–29.

Weigmann, K., and Lehner, C.F. (1995). Cell fate specification by even-skipped expression in the *Drosophila* nervous system is coupled to cell cycle progression. Development *121*, 3713–3721.

Weiss, S., Dunne, C., Hewson, J., Wohl, C., Wheatley, M., Peterson, A.C., and Reynolds, B.A. (1996). Multipotent CNS stem cells are present in the adult mammalian spinal cord and ventricular neuroaxis. J. Neurosci. *16*, 7599–7609.

Wetmore, C. (2003). Sonic hedgehog in normal and neoplastic proliferation: insight gained from human tumors and animal models. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. *13*, 34–42.

Wianny, F., Real, F.X., Mummery, C.L., Van Rooijen, M., Lahti, J., Samarut, J., and Savatier, P. (1998). G1-phase regulators, cyclin D1, cyclin D2, and cyclin D3: up-regulation at gastrulation and dynamic expression during neurulation. Dev. Dyn. *212*, 49–62.

Wu, C.F., Sakai, K., Saito, M., and Hotta, Y. (1990). Giant *Drosophila* neurons differentiated from cytokinesis-arrested embryonic neuroblasts. J. Neurobiol. *21*, 499–507.

Wu, H.H., Ivkovic, S., Murray, R.C., Jaramillo, S., Lyons, K.M., Johnson, J.E., and Calof, A.L. (2003). Autoregulation of neurogenesis by GDF11. Neuron *37*, 197–207.

Yanagi, K., Mizuno, T., You, Z., and Hanaoka, F. (2002). Mouse geminin inhibits not only Cdt1-MCM6 interactions but also a novel intrinsic Cdt1 DNA binding activity. J. Biol. Chem. 277, 40871–40880.

Zezula, J., Casaccia-Bonnefil, P., Ezhevsky, S.A., Osterhout, D.J., Levine, J.M., Dowdy, S.F., Chao, M.V., and Koff, A. (2001). p21cip1 is required for the differentiation of oligodendrocytes independently of cell cycle withdrawal. EMBO Rep. 2, 27–34.

Zhang, Y., Gao, X., Saucedo, L.J., Ru, B., Edgar, B.A., and Pan, D. (2003). Rheb is a direct target of the tuberous sclerosis tumour suppressor proteins. Nat. Cell Biol. *5*, 578–581.

Zhong, W., Feder, J.N., Jiang, M.M., Jan, L.Y., and Jan, Y.N. (1996). Asymmetric localization of a mammalian numb homolog during mouse cortical neurogenesis. Neuron *17*, 43–53.

Zhu, C.C., Dyer, M.A., Uchikawa, M., Kondoh, H., Lagutin, O.V., and Oliver, G. (2002). Six3-mediated auto repression and eye development requires its interaction with members of the Groucho-related family of co-repressors. Development *129*, 2835–2849.

Zuber, M.E., Perron, M., Philpott, A., Bang, A., and Harris, W.A. (1999). Giant eyes in *Xenopus laevis* by overexpression of XOptx2. Cell *98*, 341–352.