Allergology International xxx (2016) 1–3



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Allergology International



journal homepage: http://www.elsevier.com/locate/alit

Letter to the Editor

Poor pharmacological adherence to inhaled medicines compared with oral medicines in Japanese patients with asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

Dear Editor,

Poor pharmacological adherence (adherence) is a problem that reduces quality of life in patients with chronic diseases and leads to wastage of health care resources.^{1,2} Few comparative studies of adherence to inhaled and oral medicines have been performed in Japanese patients with asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD).^{3,4} To investigate adherence levels to inhaled medicines, a multicenter, cross-sectional non-interventional trial was conducted in Japanese patients who had regular use of both inhaled and oral medicines for asthma or COPD by the Kyushu Asthma Seminar Investigator Group, which was approved by each local ethics board (UMIN No. R000015329) (Supplementary Material: Table 1).

To investigate adherence in consecutive outpatients with asthma (aged \geq 20 and <80 years) and COPD (aged \geq 40 and <80 years) who were taking at least one regular prescribed inhaled and oral medication without changes in regimens for >6 months (Supplementary Material: Table 2), one inhaled and one oral medicine were selected as the most important among all regular prescribed medicines [mean (±standard deviation) was the number different inhaled devices and oral medicines of $(range) = 1.1 \pm 0.4 (1-3)$ and $4.3 \pm 3.0 (1-18)$ available to patients with asthma; $1.5 \pm 0.5 (1-3)$ and $5.0 \pm 4.1 (1-22)$ in patients with COPD, respectively] by each physician without consultation with the patient (Supplementary Material). Adherence levels were examined by questionnaire and prescription refill method. The characteristics and lung function data within 6 months of patients were obtained after written consent (see Supplementary Material for definition and control levels of asthma and COPD). Poor adherence to medicines was defined on the basis of <80% adherence to selected inhaled or oral medicines by either the questionnaire or prescription refill method.^{5,6} We statistically compared the populations of patients with poor adherence to selected inhaled and oral medicines, and calculated the odds ratios [95% confidential interval (CI)] of poor adherence to inhaled medicines in total patients (asthma and COPD), patients with asthma, and patients with COPD by using a Fisher's exact tests. By univariate and multivariate analyses, we investigated the risk factors as patient-, social-, and treatment-related factors [odds ratios (95% CI)] for poor adherence to inhaled medicines to compare patients with and without poor adherence (Supplementary Material: Table 4).^{5,6} Differences with p < 0.05 were considered significant. Statistical analyses were performed using software package JMP version 9.0[®] (SAS Institute Japan Inc., Tokyo, Japan).

Of the 479 patients who provided informed consent, 372 (number of patients with Asthma-COPD overlap = 9 [248 (5) with asthma and 124(4) with COPD] patients were part of the final analysis, and the numbers of total patients with and without poor adherence to inhaled medicines were 62 and 310, respectively (Supplementary Material: Fig. 1 and Table 3). Figure 1 shows that the population of patients with poor adherence to inhaled medicines was significantly higher than that of patients with poor adherence to oral medicines in total patients (odds ratio: 8.0 [95% CI: 3.8-17.0] p < 0.0001), patients with asthma (10.2 [3.9-26.5] p < 0.0001), and patients with COPD (5.0 [1.4–18.0] p = 0.0156). Table 1 shows that the independent risk factors for poor adherence to inhaled medicines included a poor compliance rate between scheduled appointments and clinic visits as social-related factors in total patients, once inhalation per administration as treatmentrelated factors in total patients and patients with asthma, and the regular usage of any nasal sprays as treatment-related factors in patients with COPD. However, the types of compounds such as inhaled corticosteroids, β_2 -agonist and muscarinic receptor antagonist and devices such as pressurized metered-dose inhaler, dry powder inhaler and soft mist inhaler were not significantly associated with any adherence levels to inhaled medicines in patients with asthma and COPD (full analysis is shown in Supplementary Material: Table 4).

To our knowledge, our study is the first study in which adherence levels between inhaled and oral medicines are compared, and the feature of poor adherence to only inhaled medicines is investigated in Japanese patients with asthma and COPD. We found that the adherence levels to selected inhaled medicines were significantly poorer than that to oral medicines in all patients, patients with asthma, and patients with COPD. However, our study demonstrated that the population of patients with good adherence to selected inhaled (>75%) and oral (>90%) medications was higher than that of patients in other countries with chronic diseases such as hypertension, diabetes, and asthma.^{1,5} The difference is still unclear; however, it may be associated with local behaviors or differences in the health care system among countries or areas. A compliance rate for the clinic visit is associated with a relationship between patients and physicians and is considered a social-related factor.^{7,8} In our study, the

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.alit.2016.10.008

Please cite this article in press as: Imamura Y, et al., Poor pharmacological adherence to inhaled medicines compared with oral medicines in Japanese patients with asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, Allergology International (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.alit.2016.10.008

Peer review under responsibility of Japanese Society of Allergology.

^{1323-8930/}Copyright © 2016, Japanese Society of Allergology. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Letter to the Editor / Allergology International xxx (2016) 1-3



Fig. 1. Comparison of the population of patients with poor and good adherence between inhaled and oral medicines in total patients, patients with asthma, and patients with COPD by either questionnaire or prescription refill methods.

Table 1

Risk factors for patients with poor adherence to only selected inhaled medicines in patients with asthma and COPD by univariate and multivariate analysis.

Characteristics†	Univariate analysis				Multivariate analysis	
	Poor adherence to only inhaled medicines‡		Odds ratio	p Values	Odds ratio	p Values
	With n/N	Without n/N	(95% CI)		(95% CI)	
Total patients						
>23.0 kg/m ² of body mass index	35/62	148/310	1.4 (0.8-2.5)	0.2	_	_
Poor attention to detail in personality	14/62	34/309	2.4 (1.2-4.7)	0.0210	1.3 (0.5-3.0)	0.6
Poor compliance rate between	8/60	7/305	6.6 (2.3-18.3)	0.0009	4.9 (1.4-18.7)	0.0144
scheduled appointments and clinic visits						
Once inhalation per administration in selected inhaled medicines	49/62	197/310	2.2 (1.1-4.2)	0.0189	2.0 (1.0-4.0)	0.0377
Asthma						
Poor attention to detail in personality	12/41	26/180	2.9 (1.3-6.3)	0.0153	1.7 (0.6-4.4)	0.3
Poor compliance rate between scheduled appointments and clinic visits	6/39	6/202	5.9 (1.8–19.5)	0.0055	3.8 (0.9–16.7)	0.1
Once inhalation per administration in selected inhaled medicines	39/41	139/207	9.5 (2.2-40.7)	<0.0001	8.7 (2.5–54.8)	0.0001
COPD						
Regular usage of any nasal sprays in all medicines	5/21	5/103	6.1 (1.6-23.6)	0.0125	4.4 (1.0-18.6)	0.0460
>1 time of administrations per day in selected inhaled medicines	9/21	19/103	3.3 (1.2–9.0)	0.0220	2.5 (0.8–7.3)	0.1

CI, confidence interval.

[†] The median was used as cut-off value between high and low. The median of body mass index in total patients was 23.0 kg/m², numbers of inhalations per administration in selected inhaled medicines in total patients and patients with asthma was 1 inhalation, and time of administrations per day in selected inhaled medicines in patients with COPD was 1 time.

[‡] The number of patients with positive results in each characteristics/number of total patients with and without poor adherence to only inhaled medicines was expressed as n/N. The number of patients who did not report regarding characteristics of personality was 1 (1 with asthma) and the compliance rate between scheduled appointments and clinic visits was 7 (7 with asthma).

relationships may be good, since patients with a poor compliance rate may visit a clinic without forfeits and discriminations. Previous reviews showed that complex regimens were associated with poor adherence and are considered treatment-related factors.^{7,8} We considered that poor adherence to only inhaled, not oral, medicines may be associated with the complexity of device usage. We found that fewer numbers of inhalations (once inhalation per administration) of selected inhaled medicines was an independent risk factor for poor adherence to only selected inhaled medicines rather than twice or more inhalations per administration in total patients and patients with asthma. For patients with poor adherence, respiratory physicians have a tendency to change medication regimens to make it easier to take, such as less frequency of administration, which may have led to the correlation between fewer numbers of inhalations per administration and poorer adherence observed in our study. However, we do not have data to confirm this. In patients with COPD, those with the regular usage of any nasal sprays had a significantly higher population of patients with poor adherence to only selected inhaled medicines than patients who did not use nasal spray, although the population was small (8%). It was not clear whether the regular usage of any nasal sprays was associated with poor adherence to inhaled medicines in patients with COPD. Further studies are necessary to clarify the issue.

Our study had some limitations. First, the collected data were based on interviews and not on direct methods.^{5,9,10} Second, selection of only one medicine from inhaled and oral medication groups may have led to a selection bias in our results. Third, a patient's knowledge of taking medications at a fixed time and accuracy of inhalation technique were not investigated. We did not have observers that evaluated adherence and technique. Fourth, the efficacy and safety of inhaled and oral medicines were not compared. Fifth, the sample size of COPD patients (n = 124) may be statistically small (Supplementary Material). Sixth, we did not investigate if the factors of airway reversibility to bronchodilators were associated with poor adherence levels to inhaled medicines. Seventh, we could not address why there were fewer inhalations of inhaled medicine in patients with asthma and the regular usage of any nasal sprays in patients with COPD was an independent risk factor for poor adherence to inhaled medicines. Further studies are necessary to clarify these limitations.

In conclusion, we believe that our results will contribute to improved education and management of patients that use inhaled medicines.

Please cite this article in press as: Imamura Y, et al., Poor pharmacological adherence to inhaled medicines compared with oral medicines in Japanese patients with asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, Allergology International (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.alit.2016.10.008

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Letter to the Editor / Allergology International xxx (2016) 1-3

Acknowledgements

The authors thank all the study participants. Physicians and members of institutes contributing to the enrollment of patients and collection of data are as follows: Dr. Chizuru Torigoe, Dr. Suehiro Nishio, Dr. Takuya Ueno, and Dr. Toshiyuki Sawabe at Beppu Medical Center; Dr. Motokimi Shiroishi at Fukuoka University Hospital; Dr. Ikko Higashimto, Dr. Keiko Mizuno, Dr. Kentaro Machida, Dr. Masahiro Inoue, Dr. Masaki Watanabe, Dr. Masuki Yamamoto, and Dr. Takuya Samukawa at Kagoshima University Hospital; Dr. Ken Yoshinaga and Dr. Naomi Hirata at Kumamoto Chuo Hospital; Dr. Koichiro Fukuda at Kumamoto City Hospital; Dr. Kazuyoshi Nakamura and Dr. Yuki Tenjin at Kumamoto Saishunso National Hospital; Dr. Masao Nakao, Dr. Msashi Suetomo, Dr. Ryusuke Tomioka, Dr. Keisuke Zaizen, Dr. Mamoru Nishiyama, Dr. Yoshiko Sueyasu, and Dr. Yusuke Okayama at Kurume University Hospital; Dr. Akiko Ishimatsu, Dr. Makoto Yoshida, Dr. Masashi Komori, Dr. Michiyoshi Imaoka, Dr. Reiko Kishikawa, and Dr. Yoshihiro Isaka at National Hospital Organization Fukuoka Hospital; Dr. Arisa Sano, Dr. Shigehisa Yanagi, Dr. Toshiniko Ii, and Dr. Yumi Iwa at National Hospital Organization Miyazaki Higashi Hospital: Dr. Hirovuki Matsumoto and Dr. Tetsuya Otani at Oita Prefectural Hospital; Dr. Hiroki Yoshikawa, Dr. Hisako Kushima, Dr. Issei Tokimatsu, Dr. Kenji Umeki, Dr. Satoshi Toba, Dr. Yutaka Mukai, Dr. Atsuko Iwata, Dr. Hiroko Tamuko, and Dr. Kenshi Kishi at Oita University Hospital; Dr. Minoru Ohama at Tenshindo Hetsugi Hospital; and Dr. Chinatsu Nishida, Dr. Kazuhiro Yatera, Dr. Kei Yamasaki, Dr. Minako Hanaka, and Dr. Yukiko Kawanami at University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan.

The authors are also grateful to Prof. Tatsuyuki Kakuma, Biostatistics Center, Kurume University School of Medicine, for support with statistical analysis. The authors are also grateful to Prof. Howard A. Young, National Cancer Institute-Frederick, for reading our manuscript and providing English language editing.

Collaborators of Kyushu Asthma Seminar Investigator Group are: Prof. Yoichi Nakanishi, Prof. Hiroshi Mukae, Prof. Kentaro Watanabe, Prof. Shinichiro Hayashi, Prof. Junichi Kadota, Dr. Toshihiko Ii, Prof. Hiromasa Inoue, Dr. Takao Tochigi, Prof. Jiro Fujita, and Dr. Hiroshi Nakamura.

The operating and travel traffic expenses for annual meeting of Kyushu Asthma Seminar were financially supported by Teijin Pharma.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data related to this article can be found at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.alit.2016.10.008.

Conflicts of interest

TK received grants from AstraZeneca, MSD, Novartis Pharma, and lecture fees from Novartis Pharma, GSK, Boehringer Ingelheim, Kyorin Pharmaceutical, Astellas Pharma, AstraZeneca. TKi received grants from GSK, AstraZeneca, and a lecture fee from AstraZeneca. YS received a grant from GSK, and a lecture fee from Astellas Pharma. MY received lecture fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, GSK. KT received grants from Novartis Pharma, MSD, and lecture fees from Novartis Pharma, Boehringer Ingelheim, Kyorin Pharmaceutical, AstraZeneca, Teijin Pharma, Meiji Seika Pharma. KF received lecture fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, GSK, Astellas Pharma, Teijin Pharma, AstraZeneca. MA received lecture fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, Shionogi, Teijin Pharma, AstraZeneca, GSK. TH received a grant from GSK, Novartis Pharma, Chugai Pharmaceutical. TI received lecture fees from Novartis Pharma, Boehringer Ingelheim, Kyorin Pharmaceutical, MSD, AstraZeneca, GSK, Astellas Pharma, Teijin Pharm, HK received a grant from AstraZeneca, and lecture fees from Novartis Pharma, Boehringer Ingelheim, Kyorin Pharmaceutical, MSD, AstraZeneca, GSK, Meiji Seika Pharma, Ono Pharmaceutical, Astellas Pharma. YI has no conflict of interest.

Yohei Imamura ^a, Tomotaka Kawayama ^{a,*}, Takashi Kinoshita ^a, Yuki Sakazaki ^a, Makoto Yoshida ^b, Koichiro Takahashi ^c, Kazuhiko Fujii ^d, Masaru Ando ^e, Tomoaki Hoshino ^a, Tomoaki Iwanaga ^b, Hirotsugu Kohrogi ^d, on behalf of Kyushu Asthma Seminar Investigators

^a Division of Respirology, Neurology, and Rheumatology, Department of Medicine, Kurume University School of Medicine, Kurume, Japan

^b Respiratory Medicine, National Hospital Organization Fukuoka Hospital, Fukuoka, Japan

Japan ^c Division of Hematology, Respiratory Medicine and Oncology, Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Saga Medical School, Saga, Japan

^d Department of Respiratory Medicine, Kumamoto University Hospital, Kumamoto, Japan

^e Department of Respiratory Medicine and Infectious Diseases, Faculty of Medicine, Oita University, Yuhu, Japan

* Corresponding author. Division of Respirology, Neurology, and Rheumatology, Department of Medicine, Kurume University School of Medicine, 67 Asahi-machi, Kurume 830-0011, Japan.

E-mail address: kawayama_tomotaka@med.kurume-u.ac.jp (T. Kawayama).

References

- World Health Organization. Adherence to long-term therapies: evidence for action. Publicized on January 2003. Available at http://www.who.int/en/. [Accessed 24 September, 2015].
- Braido F, Baiardini I, Blasi F, Pawankar R, Canonica GW. Adherence to asthma treatments: 'we know, we intend, we advocate'. *Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol* 2015;15:49–55.
- Tamura G, Ohta K. Adherence to treatment by patients with asthma or COPD: comparison between inhaled drugs and transdermal patch. *Respir Med* 2007;101:1895–902.
- Suzuki T, Saito I, Adachi M, Shimbo T, Sato H. [Influence of patients' adherence to medication, patient background and physicians' compliance to the guidelines on asthma control]. *Yakugaku Zasshi* 2011;**131**:129–38 (in Japanese).
- Osterberg L, Blaschke T. Adherence to medication. N Engl J Med 2005;353: 487–97.
- Farmer KC. Methods for measuring and monitoring medication regimen adherence in clinical trials and clinical practice. *Clin Ther* 1999;21:1074–90.
- 7. Bourbeau J, Bartlett SJ. Patient adherence in COPD. Thorax 2008;63:831-8
- Lasmar L, Camargos P, Champs NS, Fonseca MT, Fontes MJ, Ibiapina C, et al. Adherence rate to inhaled corticosteroids and their impact on asthma control. *Allergy* 2009;64:784–9.
- 9. Bender BG. Overcoming barriers to nonadherence in asthma treatment. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2002;109:S554–9.
- Sanduzzi A, Balbo P, Candoli P, Catapano GA, Contini P, Mattei A, et al. COPD: adherence to therapy. *Multidiscip Respir Med* 2014;9:60.

Received 22 August 2016 Received in revised form 5 October 2016 Accepted 20 October 2016 Available online xxx

Please cite this article in press as: Imamura Y, et al., Poor pharmacological adherence to inhaled medicines compared with oral medicines in Japanese patients with asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, Allergology International (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.alit.2016.10.008