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#### Abstract

Suppose that $X$ is a nonempty compact metrizable space and $X_{1} \subset X_{2} \subset \cdots$ is a sequence of nonempty closed subspaces such that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}, \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}} X_{k} \leqslant k<\infty$. We show that there exists a compact metrizable space $Z$, having closed subspaces $Z_{1} \subset Z_{2} \subset \cdots$, and a surjective cell-like map $\pi: Z \rightarrow X$, such that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$,


(a) $\operatorname{dim} Z_{k} \leqslant k$,
(b) $\pi\left(Z_{k}\right)=X_{k}$, and
(c) $\pi \mid Z_{k}: Z_{k} \rightarrow X_{k}$ is a cell-like map.

Moreover, there is a sequence $A_{0} \subset A_{1} \subset \cdots$ of closed subspaces of $Z$ such that for each $k, Z_{k} \subset A_{k}$, $\operatorname{dim} A_{k} \leqslant k, \pi \mid A_{k}: A_{k} \rightarrow X$ is surjective, and for $k \in \mathbb{N}, \pi \mid A_{k}: A_{k} \rightarrow X$ is a $\mathrm{UV}^{k-1}$-map. © 2003 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
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## 1. Introduction

The objective of this paper is to prove the following theorem.
Theorem 1.1. Suppose that $X$ is a nonempty compact metrizable space and $X_{1} \subset X_{2} \subset \cdots$ is a sequence of nonempty closed subspaces such that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}, \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}} X_{k} \leqslant k<\infty$. Then there exists a compact metrizable space $Z$, having closed subspaces $Z_{1} \subset Z_{2} \subset \cdots$, and a surjective cell-like map $\pi: Z \rightarrow X$, such that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$,
(a) $\operatorname{dim} Z_{k} \leqslant k$,
(b) $\pi\left(Z_{k}\right)=X_{k}$, and
(c) $\pi \mid Z_{k}: Z_{k} \rightarrow X_{k}$ is a cell-like map.

Moreover, there is a sequence $A_{0} \subset A_{1} \subset \cdots$ of closed subspaces of $Z$ such that for each $k$, $Z_{k} \subset A_{k}, \operatorname{dim} A_{k} \leqslant k, \pi \mid A_{k}: A_{k} \rightarrow X$ is surjective, and for $k \in \mathbb{N}, \pi \mid A_{k}: A_{k} \rightarrow X$ is a $\mathrm{UV}^{k-1}$-map.

By $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ we mean integral cohomological dimension [11,5]. This is sometimes called $\mathbb{Z}$-dimension. Our use of the term "strongly countable" in the title is meant to infer a parallel with the notion of strong countable dimension, which is standard in dimension theory.

Let us recall that a map $\pi: Z \rightarrow X$ is called cell-like if each of its fibers $\pi^{-1}(x)$ is a celllike space [2], i.e., has the shape of a point [7]. On the other hand, $\pi$ is called a $\mathrm{UV}^{k}$ map if each of its fibers has property $\mathrm{UV}^{k}$. This means that each embedding $\pi^{-1}(x) \hookrightarrow A$ into an ANR $A$ has property $\mathrm{UV}^{k}$ : for every $0 \leqslant r \leqslant k$ and every neighborhood $U$ of $\pi^{-1}(x)$ in $A$, there exists a neighborhood $V$ of $\pi^{-1}(x)$ in $U$ such that every map of $S^{r}$ into $V$ is nullhomotopic in $U$. (We actually shall use an inverse sequence characterization of this property later in the paper.) It is well known that cell-like compacta have property $\mathrm{UV}^{k}$ for all $k$.

The Edwards-Walsh resolution theorem [4,11] was the first in the category of our Theorem 1.1.

Resolution Theorem 1.2. If $X$ is a metrizable compactum and $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}} X \leqslant m<\infty$, then there exists a metrizable compactum $Z$ with $\operatorname{dim} Z \leqslant m$ and a cell-like map of $Z$ onto $X$.

Later resolution theorems extended this one, [10] to the case that $X$ is a metrizable space, and [6] to the case that $X$ is a Hausdorff compactum. An approach to extending the result to pairs was used in [8], and some of the ideas in the latter influenced our techniques. Finally, the work in [1] (see Section 7), which provided an alternative proof of Theorem 1.2, was an important inspiration for the current research.

Theorem 1.1 would be made stronger if one could prove:

Conjecture 1.3. Suppose that $X$ is a nonempty compact metrizable space and $X_{1} \subset$ $X_{2} \subset \cdots$ is a sequence of nonempty closed subspaces such that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}, \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}} X_{k} \leqslant$ $k<\infty$. Then there exists a compact metrizable space $Z$, having closed subspaces $Z_{1} \subset$ $Z_{2} \subset \cdots$, and a surjective cell-like map $\pi: Z \rightarrow X$, such that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$,
(a) $\operatorname{dim} Z_{k} \leqslant k$, and
(b) $\pi^{-1}\left(X_{k}\right)=Z_{k}$.

Moreover, there is a sequence $A_{0} \subset A_{1} \subset \cdots$ of closed subspaces of $Z$ such that for each $k$, $Z_{k} \subset A_{k}, \operatorname{dim} A_{k} \leqslant k, \pi \mid A_{k}: A_{k} \rightarrow X$ is surjective, and for $k \in \mathbb{N}, \pi \mid A_{k}: A_{k} \rightarrow X$ is a $\mathrm{UV}^{k-1}$-map.

## 2. Background

In this paper map will always mean continuous function, and $Q$ will designate the Hilbert cube. We are going to provide the reader with some background material that will make our later work more easily understood.

The following lemma is a form of the homotopy extension theorem with control.

Lemma 2.1. Let $f: X \rightarrow R$ be a map of a compact polyhedron $X$ to a space $R, X_{0}$ be a closed subpolyhedron of $X$, and $\mathcal{U}$ be an open cover of $R$. Suppose that $F: X_{0} \times I \rightarrow R$ is a $\mathcal{U}$-homotopy of $f \mid X_{0}$. Then there exists a $\mathcal{U}$-homotopy $H: X \times I \rightarrow R$ of $f$ such that $H \mid X_{0} \times I=F: X_{0} \times I \rightarrow R$.

There are also two facts from the theory of dimension $\operatorname{dim}$ and $\mathbb{Z}$-cohomological dimension, $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ which will be used in the sequel. First is a formulation of the existence of $j$-invertible maps (due to Dranishnikov [3]) which will be sufficient for our needs. Note that the $j$-invertibility of $D_{j}$ in this lemma implies that for any metrizable compactum $Y$ with $\operatorname{dim} Y \leqslant j$, and any embedding $g: Y \rightarrow Q$, there exists a map $s: Y \rightarrow M_{j}$ such that $D_{j} \circ s=g$.

Lemma 2.2. For each $j \geqslant 0$, there exists a $j$-invertible map $D_{j}: M_{j} \rightarrow Q$ where $M_{j}$ is a metrizable compactum and $\operatorname{dim} M_{j} \leqslant j$.

The other one goes as follows. A proof of it may be deduced from Theorem 7.3 of [9] or Theorem 5.1 of [11].

Theorem 2.3. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $X$ is a metrizable compactum, $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}} X \leqslant m$, and $g: X \rightarrow P$ is a map to a triangulated polyhedron $P$. Then for every finite-dimensional compactum $Y$ and map $h: Y \rightarrow X$, there exists a map $f: Y \rightarrow P^{(m)}$ having the property that for each $x \in Y$, if $g(h(x))$ lies in a simplex $\sigma$ of $P$, then $f(x) \in \sigma$ also.

We state without proof the filtration version of Theorem 2.3, which is a straightforward corollary of the approximate lifting property of cell-like maps and our main resultTheorem 1.1.

Theorem 2.4. Suppose that $X$ is a metric compactum and $X_{1} \subset X_{2} \subset \cdots \subset X_{m}=X$ is a sequence of closed subspaces such that for each $k \leqslant m, \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}} X_{k} \leqslant k$ and $g: X \rightarrow P$ is a map to a triangulated polyhedron $(P, \tau)$. Then for every finite-dimensional compactum $Y$, sequence $Y_{1} \subset Y_{2} \subset \cdots \subset Y_{m}=Y$ of closed subspaces, and map $h: Y \rightarrow X$ such that $h\left(Y_{k}\right) \subset X_{k}$ for every $k \leqslant m$, there exists a map $f: Y \rightarrow P^{(m)}$ having the property that for each $k \leqslant m, f\left(Y_{k}\right) \subset P^{(k)}$, and $\operatorname{dist}(f, g \circ h)<(m+1) \cdot \operatorname{mesh}(\tau)$.

## 3. Preliminary results

Let the Hilbert cube $Q=\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} I$ be endowed with the metric $\rho$ such that if $x=\left(x_{i}\right)$, $y=\left(y_{i}\right)$, then $\rho(x, y)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i} \cdot\left|x_{i}-y_{i}\right|$. As usual, $I=[0,1]$. For any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ it will be convenient to write $Q=I^{i} \times Q_{i}$ in factored form. In this case, any subset $E$ of $I^{i}$ will always be treated as $E \times\{0\} \subset Q$. We shall use $p_{i}: Q \rightarrow I^{i}$ for coordinate projection.

The first type of result we want is a lemma which is technical, but which will help us find certain maps and to understand their fibers. Once the correct conditions are found on the construction of said maps, then our Theorem 1.1 will follow readily.

We will use the following notation. Let $x$ belong to a metric space $X$ and let $\delta>0$. Then by $\bar{N}(x, \delta)$ we shall mean the closed $\delta$-neighborhood of $x$ in $X$. Whenever $\left(P_{i}, g_{i}^{i+1}\right)$ is an inverse sequence, $T_{i} \subset P_{i}$ and $g_{i}^{i+1}\left(T_{i+1}\right) \subset T_{i}$ for each $i$, then we shall write $\left(T_{i}, g_{i}^{i+1}\right)$ for the induced inverse sequence, using the same notation for the bonding maps as long as no confusion can arise.

Lemma 3.1. Suppose that for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have selected $n_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$, a compact subset $P_{i} \subset I^{n_{i}}, 0<\delta_{i}, 0<\varepsilon_{i}$, and a map $g_{i}^{i+1}: P_{i+1} \rightarrow P_{i}$ so that:
(i) if $u, v \in Q$ and $\rho(u, v)<\varepsilon_{i+1}$, then $\rho\left(p_{n_{i}}(u), p_{n_{i}}(v)\right)<\delta_{i}$,
(ii) $n_{i}<n_{i+1}$,
(iii) $9 \cdot 2^{-n_{i}}<\varepsilon_{i}$,
(iv) $\rho\left(g_{i}^{i+1}(x), p_{n_{i}}(x)\right)<\delta_{i}$ for all $x \in P_{i+1}$,
(v) $\delta_{i}<2^{1-n_{i}}$, and
(vi) $P_{i+1} \times Q_{n_{i+1}} \subset P_{i} \times Q_{n_{i}}$.

Put $X=\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} P_{i} \times Q_{n_{i}}, \quad \mathbf{P}=\left(P_{i}, g_{i}^{i+1}\right)$, and $Z=\lim \mathbf{P}$. Then for each $z=$ $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right) \in Z \subset \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} P_{i}$, and associated sequence $\left(a_{i}\right)$ in $Q$,
(a) $\left(a_{i}\right)$ is a Cauchy sequence in $Q$ whose limit lies in $X$, and
(b) the function $\pi: Z \rightarrow X$ given by $\pi(z)=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left(a_{i}\right)$ is continuous.

Fix $x \in X$ and for each $i \in N$, let $B_{x, i}=\bar{N}\left(p_{n_{i}}(x), 2 \delta_{i}\right) \cap P_{i}, B_{x, i}^{\#}=\bar{N}\left(p_{n_{i}}(x), \varepsilon_{i}\right) \cap P_{i}$. Then,
(c) $B_{x, i} \subset B_{x, i}^{\#}$ and $g_{i}^{i+1}\left(B_{x, i+1}^{\#}\right) \subset B_{x, i}$.

If we let $\mathbf{P}_{x}=\left(B_{x, i}, g_{i}^{i+1}\right)$ and $\mathbf{P}_{x}^{\#}=\left(B_{x, i}^{\#}, g_{i}^{i+1}\right)$, then,
(d) $\lim \mathbf{P}_{x}=\lim \mathbf{P}_{x}^{\#}$, and
(e) $\pi^{-1}(x)=\lim \mathbf{P}_{x}$.

In addition, suppose we are given, for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, a closed subspace $T_{i} \subset P_{i}$ in such a manner that $g_{i}^{i+1}\left(T_{i+1}\right) \subset T_{i}$. Put $\mathbf{T}=\left(T_{i}, g_{i}^{i+1}\right)$ and $Z^{\prime}=\lim \mathbf{T} \subset Z$. For $x \in X$, let $S_{x, i}=B_{x, i} \cap T_{i}, \mathbf{T}_{x}=\left(S_{x, i}, g_{i}^{i+1}\right) ;$ set $\tilde{\pi}=\pi \mid Z^{\prime} \rightarrow X$. Then,
(f) $\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(x)=\lim \mathbf{T}_{x}$, and
(g) if $S_{x, i} \neq \emptyset$ for each $i$, then $\tilde{\pi}$ is surjective.

Proof. Observe that our choice of metric shows that for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in Q$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(p_{n_{i}}(x), x\right) \leqslant 2^{-n_{i}} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The triangle inequality along with (1) and (iv) and (v) of the hypothesis show that $\rho\left(a_{i}, a_{i+1}\right)=\rho\left(g_{i}^{i+1}\left(a_{i+1}\right), a_{i+1}\right) \leqslant \rho\left(g_{i}^{i+1}\left(a_{i+1}\right), p_{n_{i}}\left(a_{i+1}\right)\right)+\rho\left(p_{n_{i}}\left(a_{i+1}\right), a_{i+1}\right)<$ $\delta_{i}+2^{-n_{i}}<2^{1-n_{i}}+2^{-n_{i}}=3 \cdot 2^{-n_{i}}$, so
(2) $\rho\left(a_{i}, a_{i+1}\right)<2^{2-n_{i}}$,
independently of choice of $z=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right) \in Z$.
From (2) and (ii), ( $a_{i}$ ) is a Cauchy sequence. Since $X=\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} P_{i} \times Q_{n_{i}}, a_{i} \in P_{i} \subset$ $P_{i} \times Q_{n_{i}}$ for each $i$, and (vi) is true, one concludes the validity of (a).

The function $\pi: Z \rightarrow X$ is continuous since (2) and (ii) show that it is the limit of the uniformly convergent sequence of maps $\pi_{i} \mid Z: Z \rightarrow I^{n_{i}} \subset Q$ where $\pi_{i}(z)=a_{i}$ whenever $z=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right) \in Z$; this yields (b).

To prove (c), first note that (iii) and (v) imply that $2 \delta_{i}<\varepsilon_{i}$ so that $B_{x, i} \subset B_{x, i}^{\#}$. Next let $u \in B_{x, i+1}^{\#}$. Observe that, $p_{n_{i}} \circ p_{n_{i+1}}=p_{n_{i}}$. Since $\rho\left(u, p_{n_{i+1}}(x)\right)<\varepsilon_{i+1}$, the triangle inequality, (iv), and (i) show that $\rho\left(g_{i}^{i+1}(u), p_{n_{i}}(x)\right) \leqslant \rho\left(g_{i}^{i+1}(u), p_{n_{i}}(u)\right)+$ $\rho\left(p_{n_{i}}(u), p_{n_{i}} \circ p_{n_{i+1}}(x)\right)<\delta_{i}+\delta_{i}=2 \delta_{i}$. Hence $g_{i}^{i+1}(u) \in B_{x, i}$, giving us (c).

Item (d) is an immediate consequence of (c), so let us concentrate on (e). We now want to prove that $\pi^{-1}(x)$ is precisely $\lim \mathbf{P}_{x}$. If $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right)$ is a thread of $\mathbf{P}_{x}$, then for $i \in \mathbb{N}, a_{i} \in B_{x, i}$, so, by applying (1) and (v), $\rho\left(a_{i}, x\right) \leqslant \rho\left(a_{i}, p_{n_{i}}(x)\right)+\rho\left(p_{n_{i}}(x), x\right)<$ $2 \delta_{i}+2^{-n_{i}} \leqslant 2^{2-n_{i}}+2^{-n_{i}}$. Hence, $\lim \left(a_{i}\right)=x=\pi\left(\left(a_{i}\right)\right)$. Therefore, $\lim \mathbf{P}_{x} \subset \pi^{-1}(x)$.

Towards the opposite inclusion, suppose that a thread $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right)$ of $\mathbf{P}$ lies in $\pi^{-1}(x)$. Apply the triangle inequality, the fact that $\left(a_{i}\right)$ converges to $x$, (1), (2), (ii), and (iii) to see that when $i>1, \rho\left(a_{i}, p_{n_{i}}(x)\right) \leqslant \rho\left(a_{i}, x\right)+\rho\left(x, p_{n_{i}}(x)\right) \leqslant \sum_{k=i}^{\infty} \rho\left(a_{k}, a_{k+1}\right)+$ $2^{-n_{i}}<\sum_{k=i}^{\infty} 2^{2-n_{k}}+2^{-n_{i}} \leqslant 2 \cdot 2^{2-n_{i}}+2^{-n_{i}}=9 \cdot 2^{-n_{i}}<\varepsilon_{i}$. This puts $a_{i} \in B_{x, i}^{\#}$. So
$\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right) \in \lim \mathbf{P}_{x}^{\#}=\lim \mathbf{P}_{x}$, showing that $\pi^{-1}(x) \subset \lim \mathbf{P}_{x}$. Hence $\pi^{-1}(x)=\lim \mathbf{P}_{x}$ as we had proclaimed. We leave to the reader the routine proof of (f) and (g).

Corollary 3.2. Suppose in Lemma 3.1 that for each $i \in \mathbb{N}, P_{i}$ is a subpolyhedron of $I^{n_{i}}$ having triangulation $\tau_{i}$ with mesh $\tau_{i}<\delta_{i}$ and that for all $k \geqslant 0, g_{i}^{i+1}\left(P_{i+1}^{(k)}\right) \subset P_{i}^{(k)}$. Let

$$
T_{k, i}=P_{i}^{(k)}, \quad \mathbf{T}_{k}=\left(T_{k, i}, g_{i}^{i+1}\right), \quad \text { and } \quad A_{k}=\lim \mathbf{T}_{k}
$$

Then $A_{0} \subset A_{1} \subset \cdots$, and for each $k \geqslant 0$,
(a) $\operatorname{dim} A_{k} \leqslant k$ and $\pi \mid A_{k}: A_{k} \rightarrow X$ is surjective.

Assume further that for each $x \in X$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a subpolyhedron $P_{x, i}$ of $P_{i}$ triangulated by a subset of $\tau_{i}$ so that

$$
B_{x, i} \subset P_{x, i} \subset B_{x, i}^{\#}
$$

and the inclusion $B_{x, i} \hookrightarrow P_{x, i}$ is null homotopic. Then
(b) $\pi: Z \rightarrow X$ is a cell-like map and
(c) for each $k \in \mathbb{N}, \pi \mid A_{k}: A_{k} \rightarrow X$ is a $\mathrm{UV}^{k-1}$-map.

If all the above statements are true, $m \geqslant 0$, and $g_{i}^{i+1}\left(P_{i+1}^{(m+1)}\right) \subset P_{i}^{(m)}$ for infinitely many $i$, then
(d) $\pi \mid A_{m}: A_{m} \rightarrow X$ is a cell-like map.

Proof. Surely $\operatorname{dim} A_{k} \leqslant k$. Apply Lemma 3.1 with $T_{i}=T_{k, i}$ and $S_{x, i}=B_{x, i}$ for each $x \in X$. Then $\mathbf{T}$ becomes $\mathbf{T}_{k}$ and $Z^{\prime}=A_{k}$. The facts: mesh $\tau_{i}<\delta_{i}$, and $p_{n_{i}}(X) \subset P_{i}$ easily can be used to check that $S_{x, i} \neq \emptyset$. So (g) of Lemma 3.1 shows that (a) is true.

Part (b) comes from (c) of Lemma 3.1 along with the fact that each $B_{x, i} \hookrightarrow P_{x, i}$ is null homotopic. This shows that the bonding maps in $\mathbf{P}_{x}$ are null homotopic. To get at (c), suppose that $0 \leqslant r \leqslant k-1$ and $h: S^{r} \rightarrow S_{x, i+1} \subset B_{x, i+1} \subset P_{x, i+1}$ is a map. Then there is a nullhomotopy $H$ of $h$ such that im $H$ is contained in $P_{x, i+1}^{(k)} \subset B_{x, i+1}^{\#}$. Applying the fact that $g_{i}^{i+1}\left(P_{i+1}^{(k)}\right) \subset P_{i}^{(k)}$ and (c) of Lemma 3.1, one sees that the bonding map $g_{i}^{i+1}$ carries $\operatorname{im} H$ into $S_{x, i}$ and therefore $g_{i}^{i+1}$ induces a null-homomorphism of $\pi_{j}, j<k$. So all fibers of $\pi \mid A_{k}$ are $\mathrm{UV}^{k-1}$.

The proof of (d) is not much different from this. Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$ be chosen such that $g_{i}^{i+1}\left(P_{i+1}^{(m+1)}\right) \subset P_{i}^{(m)}$. Using the fact that $\operatorname{dim} S_{x, i+1} \leqslant m$, we may assume that there is a nullhomotopy $H$ of the inclusion of $S_{x, i+1}$ into $B_{x, i+1}$ such that im $H \subset P_{x, i+1}^{(m+1)}$. But then, $g_{i}^{i+1}$ carries im $H$ into $S_{x, i}$, providing a nullhomotopy. Since this occurs infinitely often in the inverse sequence describing the fiber of $\pi \mid A_{m}: A_{m} \rightarrow X$ above $x$, the latter map is cell-like.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Choose a function $v: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$ such that for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$,
(i) $v(i) \leqslant i$, and
(ii) $v^{-1}(i-1)$ is infinite.

One may assume that $X \subset Q$. We are going to prove the existence of a certain sequence $\mathfrak{S}_{j}=\left\{n_{j},\left(P_{j}^{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, \varepsilon_{j}, \delta_{j},\left(\tau_{j}^{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, g_{j-1}^{j}\right\}, j=1,2,3, \ldots$, of elements of the following nature:
$n_{j} \in \mathbb{N} ; P_{j}^{1} \subset P_{j}^{2} \subset \cdots \subset P_{j}^{\infty}$ are compact polyhedra of $I^{n_{j}} ; \varepsilon_{j}$, and $\delta_{j} \in \mathbb{R}^{+} ;$
$\tau_{j}^{\infty}$ is a triangulation of $P_{j}^{\infty}$ and $\tau_{j}^{k}=\left.\tau_{j}^{\infty}\right|_{P_{j}^{k}}$ is a triangulation of $P_{j}^{k}$;
$g_{j-1}^{j}: P_{j}^{\infty} \rightarrow P_{j-1}^{\infty}$ is a simplicial map relative to $\tau_{j}^{\infty}$ and $\tau_{j-1}^{\infty}$.
We shall require that for each $j \geqslant 1$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$
(1) ${ }_{j, j>1} n_{j-1}<n_{j}$;
(2) $j_{, j \geqslant 1}$ if $j<k<\infty$, then $P_{j}^{k}=P_{j}^{\infty}$ and $P_{j}^{r} \subset \operatorname{int}_{I^{n_{j}}} P_{j}^{r+1}$ whenever $r \leqslant j$;
(3) $)_{j, j \geqslant 1} X \subset \operatorname{int}_{Q}\left(P_{j}^{\infty} \times Q_{n_{j}}\right) \subset N\left(X, \frac{2}{j}\right), p_{n_{j-1}}\left(P_{j}^{k}\right) \subset \operatorname{int}_{I^{n_{j-1}}} P_{j-1}^{k}$, and, whenever $k \leqslant j, X_{k} \subset \operatorname{int}_{Q}\left(P_{j}^{k} \times Q_{n_{j}}\right) \subset N\left(X_{k}, \frac{2}{j}\right) ;$
(4) $j_{j, j>1}$ if $u, v \in Q$ and $\rho(u, v)<\varepsilon_{j}$, then $\rho\left(p_{n_{j-1}}(u), p_{n_{j-1}}(v)\right)<\delta_{j-1}$;
(5) $j_{j, j \geqslant 1} 9 \cdot 2^{-n_{j}}<\varepsilon_{j}$;
(6) ${ }_{j, j \geqslant 1} \quad \delta_{j}<2^{1-n_{j}}$;
(7) $j_{j, j \geqslant 1} \operatorname{mesh} \tau_{j}^{\infty}<\frac{\delta_{j}}{2}$;
(8) $)_{j, j \geqslant 1}$ if $x \in X_{k}$, then there exists a subpolyhedron $P_{x, j}^{k}$ of $P_{j}^{k}$, which is triangulated by $\tau_{j}^{k}$, and so that $\bar{N}\left(p_{n_{j}}(x), 2 \delta_{j}\right) \subset P_{x, j}^{k} \subset \bar{N}\left(p_{n_{j}}(x), \varepsilon_{j}\right) \cap P_{j}^{k}$ and $\bar{N}\left(p_{n_{j}}(x), 2 \delta_{j}\right)$ is contractible in $P_{x, j}^{k}$;
(9) $j_{j, j>1}$ whenever $x \in P_{j}^{\infty}$ and $g_{j-1}^{j}(x) \in \sigma$, where $\sigma$ is a simplex of $\tau_{j-1}^{\infty}$, then $p_{n_{j-1}}(x)$ lies in $N\left(\sigma, \frac{\delta_{j-1}}{2}\right)$ (and therefore, as it follows from here and (7) $j_{j-1}$, $\rho\left(g_{j}^{j-1}(x), p_{n_{j-1}}(x)\right)<\delta_{j-1} / 2+\delta_{j-1} / 2=\delta_{j-1}$ for all $\left.x \in P_{j}^{\infty}\right) ;$
$(10)_{j, j>1} g_{j-1}^{j}\left(P_{j}^{k}\right) \subset P_{j-1}^{k}$; and
$(11)_{j, j>1} g_{j-1}^{j}\left(\left(P_{j}^{\nu(j-1)}\right)^{(\nu(j-1)+1)}\right) \subset\left(P_{j-1}^{\nu(j-1)}\right)^{(\nu(j-1))}$.
It is easy to check that the first step of the induction $(j=1)$ will be accomplished if we choose $n_{1}=1, P_{1}^{k}=I^{n_{1}}$ for all $1 \leqslant k \leqslant \infty, \varepsilon_{1}=5, \delta_{1}=\frac{1}{3}$. Select a triangulation $\tau_{1}^{\infty}$ of $P_{1}^{\infty}$ with mesh $\tau_{1}^{\infty}<\delta_{1} / 2$ and put $\tau_{1}^{k}=\tau_{1}^{\infty}$ when $k<\infty$.

Before proving the existence of such data, let us see why they would imply the conclusion of Theorem 1.1. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let $P_{i}^{\infty}=P_{i}$. The conditions (i)-(v) of Lemma 3.1 are clearly true. Condition (3) $)_{i}$ implies (vi) and that $X=\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} P_{i}^{\infty} \times Q_{n_{i}}$. Surely $Z=\lim \left(P_{i}, g_{i}^{i+1}\right)$ is a metrizable compactum, and we get the map $\pi: Z \rightarrow X$ defined by the formula given in Lemma 3.1(b).

To see that $\pi$ is surjective, let $T_{i}=P_{i}=P_{i}^{\infty}$. According to the notation of the last part of Lemma 3.1, one sees that for $x \in X, S_{x, i}=B_{x, i}=\bar{N}\left(p_{n_{i}}(x), 2 \delta_{i}\right) \cap P_{i}$. From (3) $)_{i}$ it is sure that $p_{n_{i}}(x) \in P_{i}$ and therefore $p_{n_{i}}(x) \in B_{x, i}$, showing that the latter is not empty. The map $\tilde{\pi}$ is the same as $\pi$ in this setting, so ( g ) of Lemma 3.1 shows that $\pi$ is surjective.

One then checks that all the hypotheses of Corollary 3.2 except for the very last one (which we do not need yet) are also satisfied. Thus (a)-(c) hold true, so $\pi$ is a cell-like map, and we are assured of the existence of the subspaces $A_{0} \subset A_{1} \subset \cdots, A_{k}=\lim \left(P_{i}^{(k)}, g_{i}^{i+1}\right)$, as required by Theorem 1.1 so that when $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\operatorname{dim} A_{k} \leqslant k$, and $\pi$ carries $A_{k}$ in a $\mathrm{UV}^{k-1}$ manner onto $X$.

Fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$. In the last part of Lemma 3.1, instead of putting $T_{i}=P_{i}^{\infty}$, as we did previously, use $T_{i}=P_{i}^{m}$. It is an easy consequence of (7) ${ }_{i}$ that for $x \in X_{m}, S_{x, i} \neq \emptyset$. Define $Z_{m}^{\prime}$ to be $\lim \mathbf{T}_{m}$ where $\mathbf{T}_{m}=\left(P_{i}^{m}, g_{i}^{i+1}\right)$. Put $Z_{m}=A_{m} \cap Z_{m}^{\prime}=\lim \left(T_{m, i}, g_{i}^{i+1}\right)=$ $\lim \left(\left(P_{i}^{m}\right)^{(m)}, g_{i}^{i+1}\right)$. The ultimate condition of Corollary 3.2 is now operative because of (i) and (ii) of this section and (11). If we apply (d) of Corollary 3.2, then we find that $\pi \mid Z_{m}: Z_{m} \rightarrow X_{m}$ is a cell-like map. Of course, $\operatorname{dim} Z_{m} \leqslant m$ and $Z_{1} \subset Z_{2} \subset \cdots$, so our proof of Theorem 1.1 will be complete once we have obtained the information in conditions (1)-(11).

Assume that we have completed the construction of $\mathfrak{S}_{i}$ through index $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Choose an open cover $\mathcal{V}$ of $P_{i}^{\infty}$ having the property that mesh $\mathcal{V}<\frac{\delta_{i}}{2}$. Then select a finer open cover $\mathcal{W}$ such that any two $\mathcal{W}$-close maps of any space into $P_{i}^{\infty}$ are $\mathcal{V}$-homotopic. Let $\tau$ be a subdivision of $\tau_{i}^{\infty}$ such that every simplex of $\tau$ lies in an element of $\mathcal{W}$. Hence,
(12) $\operatorname{mesh} \tau<\frac{\delta_{i}}{2}$.

If $i>1$, choose a map $\mu: P_{i}^{\infty} \rightarrow P_{i}^{\infty}$ which is simplicial from $\tau$ to $\tau_{i}^{\infty}$ and which is a simplicial approximation to the identity on $P_{i}^{\infty}$. Then the map $\lambda=g_{i-1}^{i} \circ \mu$ is simplicial from $\tau$ to $\tau_{i-1}^{\infty}$. If we replace $g_{i-1}^{i}$ by $\lambda$ and $\tau_{i}^{\infty}$ by $\tau$, then all the conditions (1)-(11) for index $i$ still prevail (the only ones affected being $(7)_{i}-(8)_{i}$ and (11) $)_{i}$ ). So we assume that these replacements have been made, but continue to use $g_{i-1}^{i}$ and $\tau_{i}^{\infty}$ to denote the respective bonding map and triangulation.

Using Lemma 2.2, find a $(v(i)+1)$-invertible map $D: M \rightarrow Q$, with $\operatorname{dim} M \leqslant v(i)+1$, and put

$$
Y=D^{-1}\left(X_{v(i)}\right) \subset M
$$

Note that $p_{n_{i}} \circ D \mid Y$ factors through $X_{\nu(i)}$ and $\operatorname{dim} Y \leqslant v(i)+1$. Let

$$
C_{1}=\left(P_{i}^{\nu(i)}\right)^{(\nu(i))}
$$

Since $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}} X_{\nu(i)} \leqslant \nu(i)$, and $\operatorname{dim} Y<\infty$, Theorem 2.3, (3) $)_{i}$, and the fact that $\tau_{i}^{\infty}$ refines $\mathcal{W}$ show that there exists a map $f: Y \rightarrow C_{1}$ such that $f$ is $\mathcal{W}$-close to $p_{n_{i}} \circ D \mid Y$. We may assume that $f$ is defined on a closed neighborhood $N$ of $Y$ in $M, f(N) \subset C_{1}$, and that
(13) $f$ is $\mathcal{W}$-close to $p_{n_{i}} \circ D \mid N$.

There exists a neighborhood $B$ of $X_{\nu(i)}$ in $Q$ such that $D^{-1}(B) \subset N$.

One may find $m_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $m \geqslant m_{0}$, then $X \subset p_{m}(X) \times Q_{m} \subset N\left(X, \frac{2}{i+1}\right)$ and for all $k \leqslant i+1, X_{k} \subset p_{m}\left(X_{k}\right) \times Q_{m} \subset N\left(X_{k}, \frac{2}{i+1}\right)$. We may therefore choose $n_{i+1}>\max \left\{n_{i}, m_{0}\right\}$ and compact subpolyhedra $P_{i+1}^{k}$ of $I^{n_{i+1}}, k \leqslant i+1$, so that (2) $)_{i+1-}$ (3) $i_{i+1}$ are true. We may also insure that $P_{i+1}^{\nu(i)} \subset B$, i.e., that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{-1}\left(P_{i+1}^{v(i)}\right) \subset N . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
C_{2}=\left(P_{i+1}^{v(i)}\right)^{(v(i)+1)} .
$$

From (14) and the fact that $D$ is $(\nu(i)+1)$-invertible, there is a map $s: C_{2} \rightarrow M$ enjoying,
(15) $D \circ s(x)=x$ for all $x \in C_{2}$, and
(16) $s\left(C_{2}\right) \subset N$.

Consider the map $\varphi: C_{2} \rightarrow C_{1}$ given by $\varphi(x)=f(s(x))$. For such $x, p_{n_{i}}(x)=$ $p_{n_{i}}(D(s(x)))$. From this and (13), one sees that $\varphi$ and $p_{n_{i}} \mid C_{2}$ are $\mathcal{W}$-close, so they are $\mathcal{V}$-homotopic. From Lemma 2.1 we see that $p_{n_{i}} \mid P_{i+1}^{\infty} \rightarrow P_{i}^{\infty}$ is $\mathcal{V}$-homotopic to a map, which we shall denote $\varphi: P_{i+1}^{\infty} \rightarrow P_{i}^{\infty}$ (an extension of the previously named $\varphi$ ). Let us note from this that,
(17) $\varphi$ is $\mathcal{V}$-close to $p_{n_{i}} \mid P_{i+1}^{\infty}$.

With this, the part of (3) ${ }_{i+1}$ indicating that $p_{n_{i}}\left(P_{i+1}^{k}\right) \subset \operatorname{int}_{I^{n_{i}}} P_{i}^{k}$ for each $k \leqslant i+1$, and the fact that we could have chosen $\mathcal{V}$ as fine as we wish, we may assume that,
(18) $\varphi\left(P_{i+1}^{k}\right) \subset P_{i}^{k}$ for all $1 \leqslant k \leqslant \infty$.

There exists $\varepsilon_{i+1}$ such that $(4)_{i+1}-(5)_{i+1}$ hold. Select $\delta_{i+1}$ and a triangulation $\tau_{i+1}^{\infty}$ so that $(6)_{i+1}-(8)_{i+1}$ are true. Making $\tau_{i+1}^{\infty}$ finer if necessary, choose a map $g_{i}^{i+1}: P_{i+1}^{\infty} \rightarrow$ $P_{i}^{\infty}$ which is simplicial from $\tau_{i+1}^{\infty}$ to $\tau_{i}^{\infty}$ and which is a simplicial approximation to $\varphi$. Now it is easy to check the validity of $(9)_{i+1}$ and $(10)_{i+1}$; item $(11)_{i+1}$ is a consequence of the fact that $g_{i}^{i+1}$ is a simplicial approximation of $\varphi$, and $\varphi\left(C_{2}\right) \subset C_{1}$.

At the end of the proof, for the reader's convenience we formulate the simplicial approximation theorem used above.

Theorem 4.1. Suppose that $\left(P, \tau_{P}\right)$ and $\left(Q, \tau_{Q}\right)$ are compact polyhedra, $P_{0}<P$ and $Q_{0}<Q$ compact subpolyhedra. Then for every map $f: P \rightarrow Q, f\left(P_{0}\right) \subset Q_{0}$, there exist a triangulation $\tau_{P}^{\prime}<\tau_{P}$ and a simplicial map $f^{\prime}:\left(P, \tau_{P}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow\left(Q, \tau_{Q}\right)$ such that
(19) $\operatorname{dist}\left(f^{\prime}, f\right)<2 \cdot \operatorname{mesh}\left(\tau_{Q}\right)$, and
(20) $f^{\prime}\left(P_{0}\right) \subset Q_{0}$.
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