

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

science
$$d$$
 direct

JOURNAL OF COMPUTATIONAL AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS

Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 172 (2004) 1-6

www.elsevier.com/locate/cam

Biorthogonality of the Lagrange interpolants

Alexei Zhedanov

Donetsk Institute for Physics and Technology, Donetsk 83114, Ukraine

Received 19 May 2003; received in revised form 5 January 2004

Abstract

We show that the Lagrange interpolation polynomials are biorthogonal with respect to a set of rational functions whose poles coincide with interpolation points. (c) 2003 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Interpolation polynomials; Biorthogonality

The Newton–Lagrange interpolation is a well-known problem in elementary calculus. Recall basic facts concerning this problem [2,5].

Let A_k , k = 0, 1, 2, ... and a_k , k = 0, 1, 2, ... be two arbitrary sequences of complex numbers (we assume that all a_k are distinct $a_k \neq a_j$ if $k \neq j$. By interpolation polynomial we mean a *n*-degree polynomial $P_n(z)$ whose values at points $a_0, a_1, ..., a_n$ coincide with $A_0, A_1, ..., A_n$, i.e.

$$P_n(a_k) = A_k, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n.$$
 (1)

Usually, the parameters A_k are interpreted as values of some function F(z) at fixed points a_k , i.e.,

$$A_k = F(a_k). \tag{2}$$

In this case polynomials $P_n(z)$ interpolate the function F(z) at points a_k .

Explicit expression for interpolation polynomial $P_n(z)$ can be presented in two forms. In the Newtonian form we have [2,5]

$$P_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k] \omega_k(x),$$
(3)

0377-0427/\$ - see front matter O 2003 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.cam.2004.01.030

E-mail address: zhedanov@yahoo.com (A. Zhedanov).

where

$$\omega_0 = 1, \ \omega_k(x) = (x - a_0)(x - a_1) \dots (x - a_{k-1})$$

and $[a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_k]$ denotes the kth Newtonian divided difference which is defined as

$$[a_0] = A_0, \ [a_0, a_1] = \frac{A_1 - A_0}{a_1 - a_0}, \dots, [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k] = \sum_{s=0}^{k} \frac{A_s}{\omega'_{k+1}(a_s)},$$

where

$$\omega_{k+1}'(a_s) = (a_s - a_1)(a_s - a_2) \dots (a_s - a_{s-1})(a_s - a_{s+1}) \dots (a_s - a_k) = \prod_{i=0, i \neq s}^k (a_s - a_i)$$

If representation (2) holds where F(z) is a meromorphic function then the Hermite formula is useful [2]

$$[a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k] = (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{F(\zeta) \,\mathrm{d}\zeta}{\omega_{k+1}(\zeta)},\tag{4}$$

where the contour Γ in complex plane is chosen such that points a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_k lie inside the contour whereas all singularities of the function F(z) lie outside the contour.

In the Lagrangian form we have [2,5]

$$P_n(z) = \omega_{n+1}(z) \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{A_k}{(z - a_k)\omega'_{n+1}(a_k)}.$$
(5)

Introduce the monic interpolation polynomials $\hat{P}_n(z) = P_n(z)/\alpha_n$, where

 $\alpha_n = [a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n].$

In what follows, we will assume that $\alpha_n \neq 0$ for all n = 1, 2, This condition guarantees that polynomials $P_n(z)$ are indeed of the *n*th degree. It is easily seen that $P_n(z) = z^n + O(z^{n-1})$. For polynomials $\hat{P}_n(z)$ one has the recurrence relation [3]

$$\hat{P}_{n+1}(z) = \left(z - a_n + \frac{\alpha_n}{\alpha_{n+1}}\right) \hat{P}_n(z) - \frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{\alpha_n} (z - a_n) \hat{P}_{n-1}(z)$$
(6)

with the initial conditions

$$\hat{P}_{-1} = 0, \ \hat{P}_0(z) = 1.$$
 (7)

It is clear that the set of monic interpolation polynomials $\hat{P}_0, \hat{P}_1(z), \dots, \hat{P}_n(z), \dots$ does not belong to a set of orthogonal polynomials (OP), because OP satisfy 3-term recurrence relations of the form [6]

$$P_{n+1}(z) + b_n P_n(z) + u_n P_{n-1}(z) = z P_n(z)$$
(8)

which does not have the form (6).

Nevertheless, recurrence relation (6) belongs to the so-called R_I -type recurrence relations (in terminology of [4]). It was shown in [4] that polynomials satisfying R_I -type relations possess some orthogonality property. In our case this orthogonality property is well known [5]:

2

Lemma 1. Polynomials $\hat{P}_n(z)$ satisfy formal orthogonality relation

$$I_{nj} = (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\zeta^{j} \hat{P}_{n}(\zeta) \, \mathrm{d}\zeta}{\omega_{n+1}(\zeta) F(\zeta)} = \frac{\delta_{nj}}{\alpha_{n}}, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n,$$
(9)

where the contour Γ encompasses points a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_N with $N \ge n$ and all singularities of the function 1/F(z) lie outside the contour.

For the proof it is sufficient to note, that under conditions upon choice of the contour Γ , the integral can be presented as a sum of residues

$$I_{nj} = \sum_{s=0}^{n} \frac{a_s^j \hat{P}_n(a_s)}{A_s \omega_{n+1}'(a_s)} = \sum_{s=0}^{n} \frac{a_s^j}{\alpha_n \omega_{n+1}'(a_s)},$$
(10)

where we used interpolation property (1). Hence, in integral (9) one can replace $P_n(\zeta)/F(\zeta) = 1/\alpha_n$ and we have

$$I_{nj} = (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\zeta^{j} d\zeta}{\alpha_{n} \omega_{n+1}(\zeta)} = 0, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1,$$

because the value of the integral from pure rational function does not depend on choice of the contour Γ (provided that all poles of the function lie inside the contour) and we can choose Γ as a circle of a great radius. For j = n we have analogously

$$I_{nn} = (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\zeta^n d\zeta}{\alpha_n \omega_{n+1}(\zeta)} = (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{d\zeta}{\alpha_n \zeta} = 1/\alpha_n.$$

As was shown in [7,8], polynomials of R_I type possess not only orthogonality of the form (9) but also nice *biorthogonality* property with respect to some set of rational functions.

In order to derive this biorthogonality property in our case, we construct auxiliary polynomials

$$T_n(z) = P_{n+1}(z) - (z - a_{n+1})P_n(z).$$
(11)

Clearly, degree of these polynomials $\leq n$. More exactly,

$$T_n(z) = v_n z^n + \mathcal{O}(z^{n-1}),$$

where

$$w_n = a_{n+1} - a_n + \frac{\alpha_n}{\alpha_{n+1}} - \frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{\alpha_n}.$$
 (12)

In what follows we will assume that $v_n \neq 0$. This means that degree of $T_n(z)$ is *n* and it is possible to introduce monic polynomials

$$\hat{T}_n = T_n(z)/v_n = z^n + O(z^{n-1}).$$
 (13)

We have

Theorem 1. Let $\hat{P}_n(z)$ be Lagrange interpolation polynomials for the function F(z) and $\hat{T}_n(z)$ defined by (11) and (13). Define a set of rational functions

$$V_n(z) = \frac{\hat{T}_n(z)}{\omega_{n+2}(x)}.$$
(14)

Then Lagrange interpolation polynomials $\hat{P}_n(z)$ and functions $V_n(z)$ form a biorthogonal system in the following sense:

$$\int_{\Gamma} \frac{\hat{P}_n(\zeta) V_m(\zeta) \,\mathrm{d}\zeta}{F(\zeta)} = \alpha_n^{-1} \delta_{nm},\tag{15}$$

where the contour Γ should be chosen such that interpolation points a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_N lie inside the contour $(N \ge \max(n, m + 1))$, and the function 1/F(z) is regular inside and on the contour.

Proof. Assume first that m < n - 1. Then we have, obviously

$$\int_{\Gamma} \frac{\hat{P}_{n}(\zeta) V_{m}(\zeta) \,\mathrm{d}\zeta}{F(\zeta)} = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\hat{P}_{n}(\zeta) \hat{T}_{m}(\zeta) (z - a_{m+2}) (z - a_{m+3}) \dots (z - a_{n}) \,\mathrm{d}\zeta}{\omega_{n+1}(z) F(\zeta)}$$
$$= \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\hat{P}_{n}(\zeta) q_{n-1}(z) \,\mathrm{d}\zeta}{F(\zeta)} = 0, \tag{16}$$

where $q_{n-1}(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq n-1$ and in the last equality in (16) we used orthogonality property (9).

If m = n - 1 then

$$\int_{\Gamma} \frac{\hat{P}_n(\zeta) V_{n-1}(\zeta) \,\mathrm{d}\zeta}{F(\zeta)} = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\hat{P}_n(\zeta) \hat{T}_{n-1}(\zeta) \,\mathrm{d}\zeta}{\omega_{n+1}(z) F(\zeta)} = 0$$

again by (9).

If m > n then we can write down

$$\int_{\Gamma} \frac{\hat{P}_{n}(\zeta) V_{m}(\zeta) \, \mathrm{d}\zeta}{F(\zeta)} = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\hat{P}_{n}(\zeta) (\hat{P}_{m+1}(\zeta) - (\zeta - a_{m+1}) \hat{P}_{m}(\zeta)) \, \mathrm{d}\zeta}{\omega_{m+2}(\zeta) F(\zeta)}$$
$$= \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\hat{P}_{n}(\zeta) \hat{P}_{m+1}(\zeta) \, \mathrm{d}\zeta}{\omega_{m+2}(\zeta) F(\zeta)} - \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\hat{P}_{n}(\zeta) \hat{P}_{m}(\zeta) \, \mathrm{d}\zeta}{\omega_{m+1}(\zeta) F(\zeta)} = 0$$

because both terms in the last relation vanish due to (9) for n < m. Finally, consider the case m - n. We have

Finally, consider the case
$$m = n$$
. We have

$$\int_{\Gamma} \frac{P_n(\zeta)V_n(\zeta)\,\mathrm{d}\zeta}{F(\zeta)} = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{P_n(\zeta)T_n(\zeta)\,\mathrm{d}\zeta}{\omega_{n+1}(\zeta)F(\zeta)}$$
$$= \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\hat{P}_n(\zeta)\hat{P}_{n+1}(\zeta)\,\mathrm{d}\zeta}{\omega_{n+2}(\zeta)F(\zeta)} - \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\hat{P}_n^2(\zeta)\,\mathrm{d}\zeta}{\omega_{n+1}(\zeta)F(\zeta)}$$
$$= \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\hat{P}_n^2(\zeta)\,\mathrm{d}\zeta}{\alpha_n\omega_n(z)} = 1/\alpha_n.$$

The theorem is proven. \Box

...

The biorthogonality property can be rewritten in another form

$$\sum_{s=0}^{N} \lim_{z=a_s} ((z-a_s)P_n(z)V_m(z))/A_s = \delta_{nm}/\alpha_n,$$
(17)

where N is any positive integer such that $N \ge \max(n, m + 1)$.

This result allows one to find coefficients ξ_k in expansion of a given polynomial Q(z) of degree n in terms of interpolation polynomials $P_n(z)$

$$Q(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \xi_k \hat{P}_k(z).$$

Indeed, from (17) we have

$$\xi_k = (2\pi i)^{-1} \alpha_k \int_{\Gamma} Q(\zeta) V_k(\zeta) \,\mathrm{d}\zeta.$$
⁽¹⁸⁾

Consider an example. For the exponential function $F(z) = \exp(hz)$ (with an arbitrary nonzero real parameter *h*) choose uniform grid of the interpolation points $a_k = k, =0, 1, \dots$ We then have (cf. [3])

$$P_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-z)_k}{k!} (1 - e^h)^k,$$
(19)

where $(b)_k = b(b+1)...(b+k-1)$ is the Pochhammer symbol. From (19) it is found

$$\alpha_n = \frac{(e^h - 1)^n}{n!}.\tag{20}$$

Construct auxiliary polynomials $T_n(z) = \hat{P}_{n+1}(z) - (z - a_{n+1})\hat{P}_n(z)$. For the leading coefficient v_n of the polynomials $T_n(z) = v_n z^n + O(z^{n-1})$ we have from (12) and (20)

$$v_n = \frac{\mathrm{e}^h}{\mathrm{e}^h - 1} \neq 0.$$

So polynomials $T_n(z)$ are indeed of degree *n* and for monic polynomials $\hat{T}_n(z) = T_n(z)/v_n$ it is not difficult to obtain a rather attractive closed formula

$$T_n(z) = \frac{(n+1)!}{(e^h - 1)^n} {}_2F_1 \begin{pmatrix} -n, -z \\ -1 - n \end{pmatrix}.$$
(21)

Thus for rational corresponding rational functions $V_n(z)$ we have from (14)

$$V_n(z) = \frac{(n+1)!}{(1-e^h)^n(-z)_{n+2}} {}_2F_1 \begin{pmatrix} -n, -z \\ -1-n \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (22)$$

Using standard transformation formulas for the Gauss hypergeometric function [1], we can present the functions $V_n(z)$ in a slightly different form

$$V_n(z) = \frac{1}{(1 - e^h)^n z(z - 1)} {}_2F_1 \begin{pmatrix} -n, -z \\ 2 - z \end{pmatrix}, \quad e^h \end{pmatrix}.$$
(23)

Thus rational functions $V_n(z)$ form a biorthogonal set with respect to the Lagrangian interpolation polynomials (19):

$$\int_{\Gamma} P_n(\zeta) V_m(\zeta) \exp(-h\zeta) \,\mathrm{d}\zeta = \delta_{nm},\tag{24}$$

where Γ is an arbitrary contour containing the points $0, 1, \dots, \max(n, m+1)$ inside.

Note finally, that recurrence relation (6) *completely characterizes* the Lagrange interpolation polynomials $P_n(z)$. More exactly, we have the

Theorem 2. Assume that a set of monic nth degree polynomials $\hat{P}_n(z)$ satisfies recurrence relation (6) with initial conditions (7), where parameters $\alpha_n, a_n, n=0, 1, ...$ are arbitrary with the restrictions that all a_i are distinct: $a_i \neq a_j$, for $i \neq j$ and all α_n are nonzero $\alpha_n \neq 0$, n=0,1,... Then polynomials $P_n(z) = \alpha_n \hat{P}_n(z)$ satisfy interpolation condition $P_n(a_k) = A_k$, k = 0, 1, ..., n for all n = 0, 1, ..., where

$$A_n = P_n(a_n) = \sum_{s=0}^n \alpha_s \omega_s(a_n), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
(25)

Proof. From recurrence relation (6) and initial conditions (7) it can be easily found

$$\hat{P}_{n+1}(z) - \frac{\alpha_n}{\alpha_{n+1}} \hat{P}_n(z) = \omega_{n+1}(z), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$
(26)

Hence for $P_n(z) = \alpha_n \hat{P}_n(z)$ we have the conditions

$$P_{n+1}(a_k) = P_n(a_k), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

From these relations, by induction, we obtain

$$P_n(a_k) = P_k(a_k) = A_k, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

Thus interpolation conditions are fulfilled. Expression (25) for A_k follows then from Newton formula (3).

References

- A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, F.G. Tricomi, Higher Transcendental Functions, Vols. I, II, McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York, Toronto, London, 1953, Based, in part, on notes left by Harry Bateman.
- [2] A.O. Gel'fond, Calculus of Finite Differences, International Monographs on Advanced Mathematics and Physics, Hindustan Publishing Corp., Delhi, 1971 (transl. from Russian).
- [3] P. Henrici, Note on a theorem of Saff and Varga, Padé and Rational Approximation, Proceedings of International Symposium, University of South Florida, Tampa, FL, 1976, Academic Press, New York, 1977, pp. 157–161.
- [4] M.E.H. Ismail, D. Masson, Generalized orthogonality and continued fractions, J. Approx. Theory 83 (1) (1995) 1-40.
- [5] L.M. Milne-Thomson, The Calculus of Finite Differences, Macmillan and Co., Ltd., London, 1951.
- [6] G. Szegő, Orthogonal Polynomials, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1959.
- [7] L. Vinet, A. Zhedanov, An integrable chain and bi-orthogonal polynomials, Lett. Math. Phys. 46 (1998) 233-245.
- [8] A. Zhedanov, Biorthogonal rational functions and the generalized eigenvalue problem, J. Approx. Theory 101 (2) (1999) 303-329.