Journal of Algebra 398 (2014) 227-242



# Primary decomposition of the ideal of polynomials whose fixed divisor is divisible by a prime power

# Giulio Peruginelli

Institut für Analysis und Comput. Number Theory, Technische Universität, Steyrergasse 30, A-8010 Graz, Austria

### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 10 May 2012 Available online 17 October 2013 Communicated by Bernd Ulrich

MSC: 13B25 13F20

Keywords: Integer-valued polynomial Image of a polynomial Fixed divisor Factorization of integer-valued polynomials Primary components Primary decomposition

# ABSTRACT

We characterize the fixed divisor of a polynomial f(X) in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  by looking at the contraction of the powers of the maximal ideals of the overring  $Int(\mathbb{Z})$  containing f(X). Given a prime p and a positive integer n, we also obtain a complete description of the ideal of polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  whose fixed divisor is divisible by  $p^n$  in terms of its primary components.

© 2013 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. Open access under CC BY license.

To Sergio Paolini, whose teachings and memory I deeply preserve.

#### 1. Introduction

In this work we investigate the image set of integer-valued polynomials over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . The set of these polynomials is a ring usually denoted by:

$$\operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) \doteq \{ f \in \mathbb{Q}[X] \mid f(\mathbb{Z}) \subset \mathbb{Z} \}.$$

Since an integer-valued polynomial f(X) maps the integers in a subset of the integers, it is natural to consider the subset of the integers formed by the values of f(X) over the integers and the ideal

E-mail address: peruginelli@math.tugraz.at.

<sup>0021-8693 © 2013</sup> The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. Open access under CC BY license. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2013.09.016

generated by this subset. This ideal is usually called the fixed divisor of f(X). Here is the classical definition.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $f \in Int(\mathbb{Z})$ . The *fixed divisor* of f(X) is the ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}$  generated by the values of f(n), as *n* ranges in  $\mathbb{Z}$ :

$$d(f) = d(f, \mathbb{Z}) = (f(n) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

We say that a polynomial  $f \in Int(\mathbb{Z})$  is *image primitive* if  $d(f) = \mathbb{Z}$ .

It is well-known that for every integer  $n \ge 1$  we have

$$d(X(X-1)\cdots(X-(n-1)))=n!$$

so that the so-called binomial polynomials  $B_n(X) \neq X(X-1)\cdots(X-(n-1))/n!$  are integer-valued (indeed, they form a free basis of  $Int(\mathbb{Z})$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module; see [4]).

Notice that, given two integer-valued polynomials f and g, we have  $d(fg) \subset d(f)d(g)$  and we may not have an equality. For instance, consider f(X) = X and g(X) = X - 1; then we have  $d(f) = d(g) = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $d(fg) = 2\mathbb{Z}$ . If  $f \in Int(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then directly from the definition we have d(nf) = nd(f). If cont(F) denotes the content of a polynomial  $F \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ , that is, the greatest common divisor of the coefficients of F, we have F(X) = cont(F)G(X), where  $G \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  is a primitive polynomial (that is, cont(G) = 1). We have the relation:

$$d(F) = \operatorname{cont}(F)d(G).$$

In particular, the fixed divisor is contained in the ideal generated by the content. Hence, given a polynomial with integer coefficients, we can assume it to be primitive. In the same way, if we have an integer-valued polynomial f(X) = F(X)/N, with  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , we can assume that  $(\operatorname{cont}(F), N) = 1$  and F(X) to be primitive.

The next lemma gives a well-known characterization of a generator of the above ideal (see [1, Lemma 2.7]).

#### **Lemma 1.1.** Let $f \in Int(\mathbb{Z})$ be of degree d and set

1)  $d_1 = \sup\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \frac{f(X)}{n} \in \operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z})\},\$ 2)  $d_2 = GCD\{f(n) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\},\$ 3)  $d_3 = GCD\{f(0), \dots, f(d)\},\$ 

*then*  $d_1 = d_2 = d_3$ .

Let  $f \in Int(\mathbb{Z})$ . We remark that the value  $d_1$  of Lemma 1.1 is plainly equal to:

$$d_1 = \sup\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid f \in n \operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z})\}.$$

Moreover, given an integer n, we have this equivalence that we will use throughout the paper, a sort of ideal-theoretic characterization of the arithmetical property that all the values attained by f(X) are divisible by n:

$$f(\mathbb{Z}) \subset n\mathbb{Z} \iff f \in n \operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$$

 $(n \operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) \text{ is the principal ideal of } \operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) \text{ generated by } n)$ . From 1) of Lemma 1.1 we see immediately that if f(X) = F(X)/N is an integer-valued polynomial, where  $F \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  coprime with the content of F(X), then d(f) = d(F)/N, so we can just focus our attention on the fixed divisor of a primitive polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ .

We want to give another interpretation of the fixed divisor of a polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  by considering the maximal ideals of  $Int(\mathbb{Z})$  containing f(X) and looking at their contraction to  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ . We recall first the definition of unitary ideal given in [12].

**Definition 1.2.** An ideal  $I \subseteq Int(\mathbb{Z})$  is unitary if  $I \cap \mathbb{Z} \neq 0$ .

That is, an ideal *I* of  $Int(\mathbb{Z})$  is unitary if it contains a non-zero integer, or, equivalently,  $I\mathbb{Q}[X] = \mathbb{Q}[X]$  (where  $I\mathbb{Q}[X]$  denotes the extension ideal in  $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ ). The whole ring  $Int(\mathbb{Z})$  is clearly a principal unitary ideal generated by 1.

The next results are probably well-known, but for the ease of the reader we report them. The first lemma says that a principal unitary ideal *I* is generated by a non-zero integer, which generates the contraction of *I* to  $\mathbb{Z}$ . In particular, this lemma establishes a bijective correspondence between the nonzero ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}$  and the set of principal unitary ideals of  $Int(\mathbb{Z})$ .

**Lemma 1.2.** Let  $I \subseteq Int(\mathbb{Z})$  be a principal unitary ideal. If  $I \cap \mathbb{Z} = n\mathbb{Z}$  with  $n \neq 0$  then  $I = n Int(\mathbb{Z})$ . In particular,  $n Int(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \mathbb{Z} = n\mathbb{Z}$ . Moreover,  $n_1 Int(\mathbb{Z}) = n_2 Int(\mathbb{Z})$  with  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  if and only if  $n_1 = \pm n_2$ .

**Proof.** If I = (f) for some  $f \in Int(\mathbb{Z})$  then deg(f) = 0 since a non-zero integer n is in I. Since f(X) is integer-valued it must be equal to an integer and so it is contained in  $I \cap \mathbb{Z} = n\mathbb{Z}$ . Hence we get the first statement of the lemma. If  $n_1 Int(\mathbb{Z}) = n_2 Int(\mathbb{Z})$  then  $n_1 = n_2 f$  with  $f \in Int(\mathbb{Z})$ ; this forces f to be a non-zero integer, so that  $n_1$  divides  $n_2$ . Similarly, we get that  $n_2$  divides  $n_1$ .  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 1.3.** Let  $I_1, I_2 \subseteq Int(\mathbb{Z})$  be principal unitary ideals. Then  $I_1 \cap I_2$  is a principal unitary ideal too.

**Proof.** Suppose  $I_i = n_i \operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ , where  $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n_i \mathbb{Z} = I_i \cap \mathbb{Z}$ , for i = 1, 2. We have  $n_1 \mathbb{Z} \cap n_2 \mathbb{Z} = n\mathbb{Z}$ , where  $n = \operatorname{lcm}\{n_1, n_2\}$ . The ideal  $I_1 \cap I_2$  is unitary since  $n \in I_1 \cap I_2$ . In particular, we have  $I_1 \cap I_2 \supseteq n \operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ . We have to prove that  $I_1 \cap I_2 \subseteq n \operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ . Let  $f \in I_1 \cap I_2$ . Then  $f(\mathbb{Z}) \subset n_1 \mathbb{Z} \cap n_2 \mathbb{Z} = n\mathbb{Z}$ , so that  $\frac{f(X)}{n} \in \operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ .  $\Box$ 

The previous lemma implies the following decomposition for a principal unitary ideal generated by an integer *n*, with prime factorization  $n = \prod_i p_i^{a_i}$ . We have

$$n \operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) = \bigcap_{i} p_{i}^{a_{i}} \operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) = \prod_{i} p_{i}^{a_{i}} \operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$$

where the last equality holds because the ideals  $p_i^{a_i}\mathbb{Z}$  are coprime in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , hence they are coprime in  $Int(\mathbb{Z})$ .

We are now ready to give the following definition.

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $f \in Int(\mathbb{Z})$ . The *extended fixed divisor* of f(X) is the minimal ideal of the set  $\{n Int(\mathbb{Z}) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, f \in n Int(\mathbb{Z})\}$ . We denote this ideal by D(f).

Equivalently, in the above definition, we require that  $n \operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  contains the principal ideal in  $\operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  generated by the polynomial f(X). Lemmas 1.2 and 1.3 show that the minimal ideal in the above definition does exist: it is equal to the intersection of all the principal unitary ideals containing f(X). Notice that the extended fixed divisor is an ideal of  $\operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ , while the fixed divisor is an ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . The polynomial f(X) is image primitive if and only if its extended fixed divisor is the whole ring  $\operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ . In the next sections we will study the extended fixed divisor by considering the *p*-part of it, namely the principal unitary ideals of the form  $p^n \operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  being prime and *n* a positive integer.

The following proposition gives a link between the fixed divisor and the extended fixed divisor: the latter is the extension of the former and conversely. So each of them gives information about the other one.

**Proposition 1.1.** Let  $f \in Int(\mathbb{Z})$ . Then we have:

a)  $D(f) \cap \mathbb{Z} = d(f)$ , b)  $d(f) \operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) = D(f)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $d, D \in \mathbb{Z}$  be such that  $d(f) = d\mathbb{Z}$  and  $D(f) = D \operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ . Since  $d(f) \operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) = d \operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  is a principal unitary ideal containing f(X), from the definition of extended fixed divisor, we have  $D(f) \subseteq d \operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ . In particular,  $D \ge d$ . We also have  $f(X)/D \in \operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  and so  $d \ge D$ , by characterization 1) of Lemma 1.1. Hence we get a). From that we deduce that  $d(f) \subseteq D(f)$ , so statement b) follows.  $\Box$ 

As already remarked in [5], the rings  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $Int(\mathbb{Z})$  share the same units, namely  $\{\pm 1\}$ . Then [5, Proposition 2.1] can be restated as follows.

**Proposition 1.2** (*Cahen–Chabert*). Let  $f \in Int(\mathbb{Z})$  be irreducible in  $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ . Then f(X) is irreducible in  $Int(\mathbb{Z})$  if and only if f(X) is not contained in any proper principal unitary ideal of  $Int(\mathbb{Z})$ .

The next lemma has been given in [6] and is analogous to the Gauss Lemma for polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  which are irreducible in  $Int(\mathbb{Z})$ .

**Lemma 1.4** (*Chapman–McClain*). Let  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  be a primitive polynomial. Then f(X) is irreducible in  $Int(\mathbb{Z})$  if and only if it is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  and image primitive.

For example, the polynomial  $f(X) = X^2 + X + 2$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Q}[X]$  and also in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  since it is primitive (because of Gauss Lemma). But it is reducible in  $Int(\mathbb{Z})$  since its extended fixed divisor is not trivial, namely it is the ideal  $2Int(\mathbb{Z})$ . So in  $Int(\mathbb{Z})$  we have the following factorization:

$$f(X) = 2 \cdot \frac{X^2 + X + 2}{2}$$

and indeed this is a factorization into irreducibles in  $Int(\mathbb{Z})$ , since the latter polynomial is image primitive and irreducible in  $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ , and by [5, Lemma 1.1], the irreducible elements in  $\mathbb{Z}$  remain irreducible in  $Int(\mathbb{Z})$ . So the study of the extended fixed divisor of the elements in  $Int(\mathbb{Z})$  is a first step toward studying the factorization of the elements in this ring (which is not a unique factorization domain).

Here is an overview of the content of the paper. At the beginning of the next section we recall the structure of the prime spectrum of  $Int(\mathbb{Z})$ . Then, for a fixed prime *p*, we describe the contractions to  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  of the maximal unitary ideals of  $Int(\mathbb{Z})$  containing p (Lemma 2.1). In Theorem 2.1 we describe the ideal  $I_p$  of  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  of those polynomials whose fixed divisor is divisible by p, namely the contraction to  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  of the principal unitary ideal  $p \operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ , which is the ideal of integer-valued polynomials whose extended fixed divisor is contained in  $p \ln(\mathbb{Z})$ . It turns out that  $I_p$  is the intersection of the aforementioned contractions. In the third section we generalize the result of the second section to prime powers, by means of a structure theorem of Loper regarding unitary ideals of  $Int(\mathbb{Z})$ . We consider the contractions to  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  of the powers of the prime unitary ideals of  $Int(\mathbb{Z})$  (Lemma 3.1). In Remark 2 we give a description of the structure of the set of these contractions; that allows us to give the primary decomposition of the ideal  $I_{p^n} = p^n \operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \mathbb{Z}[X]$ , made up of those polynomials whose fixed divisor is divisible by a prime power  $p^n$ . We shall see that we have to distinguish two cases:  $p \leq n$  and p > n (see also the examples in Remark 3). In Theorem 3.1 we describe  $I_{p^n}$  in the case  $p \leq n$ . This result was already known in a slightly different context by Dickson (see [7, p. 22, Theorem 27]), but our different proof uses the primary decomposition of  $I_{p^n}$  and that gives an insight to generalize the result to the second case. In Proposition 3.2 we give a set of generators for the primary components of  $I_{p^n}$ , in the case p > n. Finally in the last section, as an application, we explicitly compute the ideal  $I_{n^{p+1}}$ .

#### **2.** Fixed divisor via $\text{Spec}(\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z}))$

The study of the prime spectrum of the ring  $Int(\mathbb{Z})$  began in [3]. We recall that the prime ideals of  $Int(\mathbb{Z})$  are divided into two different categories, unitary and non-unitary. Let *P* be a prime ideal of  $Int(\mathbb{Z})$ . If it is unitary then its intersection with the ring of integers is a principal ideal generated by a prime *p*.

#### **Non-unitary prime ideals:** $P \cap \mathbb{Z} = \{0\}$ .

In this case P is a prime (non-maximal) ideal and it is of the form

$$\mathfrak{B}_q = q\mathbb{Q}[X] \cap \operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$$

for some  $q \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$  irreducible. By Gauss Lemma we may suppose that  $q \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  is irreducible and primitive.

#### **Unitary prime ideals:** $P \cap \mathbb{Z} = p\mathbb{Z}$ .

In this case P is maximal and is of the form

$$\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha} = \left\{ f \in \operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) \mid f(\alpha) \in p\mathbb{Z}_p \right\}$$

for some *p* prime in  $\mathbb{Z}$  and some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , the ring of *p*-adic integers. We have  $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha} = \mathfrak{M}_{q,\beta}$  if and only if  $(p, \alpha) = (q, \beta)$ . So if we fix the prime *p*, the elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  are in bijection with the unitary prime ideals of  $Int(\mathbb{Z})$  above the prime *p*. Moreover,  $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}$  is height 1 if and only if  $\alpha$  is transcendental over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . If  $\alpha$  is algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and q(X) is its minimal polynomial then  $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha} \supset \mathfrak{B}_q$ . We have  $\mathfrak{B}_q \subset \mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}$  if and only if  $q(\alpha) = 0$ . Every prime ideal of  $Int(\mathbb{Z})$  is not finitely generated.

For a detailed study of  $\text{Spec}(\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z}))$  see [4].

If we denote by  $d(f, \mathbb{Z}_p)$  the fixed divisor of  $f \in Int(\mathbb{Z})$  viewed as a polynomial over the ring of p-adic integers  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  (that is,  $d(f, \mathbb{Z}_p)$  is the ideal  $(f(\alpha) | \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p)$ ), Gunji and McQuillan in [8] observed that

$$d(f) = \bigcap_p d(f, \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

where the intersection is taken over the set of primes in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Moreover,  $d(f, \mathbb{Z}_p) = d(f)\mathbb{Z}_p \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Remember that given an ideal  $I \subset \mathbb{Z}$  and a prime p we have  $I\mathbb{Z}_p = \mathbb{Z}_p$  if and only if  $I \not\subset (p)$ , so that in the previous equation we have a finite intersection. Since  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is a DVR we have  $d(f, \mathbb{Z}_p) = p^n \mathbb{Z}_p$ , for some integer n (which of course depends on p), so that the exact power of p which divides  $f(\mathbb{Z})$  is the same as the power of p dividing  $f(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ . Without loss of generality, we can restrict our attention to the p-part of the fixed divisor of a polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ . We begin our research by finding those polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  whose fixed divisor is divisible by a fixed prime p, namely the ideal  $p \ln(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \mathbb{Z}[X]$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** Let p be a prime and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Then  $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha} \cap \mathbb{Z}[X] = (p, X - a)$ , where  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  is such that  $\alpha \equiv a \pmod{p}$ . Moreover, if  $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  is another p-adic integer, we have  $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha} \cap \mathbb{Z}[X] = \mathfrak{M}_{p,\beta} \cap \mathbb{Z}[X]$  if and only if  $\alpha \equiv \beta \pmod{p}$ .

**Proof.** Let *a* be an integer as in the statement of the lemma; it exists since  $\mathbb{Z}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  for the *p*-adic topology. We immediately see that *p* and *X* – *a* are in  $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}$ . Then the conclusion follows since (p, X - a) is a maximal ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  and  $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha} \cap \mathbb{Z}[X]$  is not equal to the whole ring  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ . The second statement follows from the fact that (p, X - a) = (p, X - b) if and only if  $a \equiv b \pmod{p}$ .  $\Box$ 

We have just seen that the contraction of  $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}$  to  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  depends only on the residue class modulo p of  $\alpha$ . So, if p is a fixed prime, the contractions of  $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}$  to  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  as  $\alpha$  ranges through  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  are made up of p distinct maximal ideals, namely

$$\left\{\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}\cap\mathbb{Z}[X]\mid\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_p\right\}=\left\{(p,X-j)\mid j\in\{0,\ldots,p-1\}\right\}.$$

Conversely, the set of prime ideals of  $Int(\mathbb{Z})$  above a fixed maximal ideal of the form (p, X - j) is  $\{\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p, \alpha \equiv j \pmod{p}\}$ , since  $\mathfrak{B}_q$  are non-unitary ideals and p is the only prime integer in  $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}$ .

For a prime *p* and an integer  $j \in \{0, ..., p-1\}$ , we set:

$$\mathcal{M}_{p,j} = \mathcal{M}_j \doteq (p, X - j).$$

Whenever the notation  $\mathcal{M}_{p,j}$  is used, it will be implicit that  $j \in \{0, \ldots, p-1\}$ .

The next lemma computes the intersection of the ideals  $\mathcal{M}_{p,j}$ , for a fixed prime p, by finding an ideal whose primary decomposition is given by this intersection (and its primary components are precisely the p ideals  $\mathcal{M}_{p,j}$ ). From now on we will omit the index p.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  be a prime. Then we have

$$\bigcap_{j=0,\dots,p-1} \mathcal{M}_j = \left(p, \prod_{j=0,\dots,p-1} (X-j)\right).$$

**Proof.** Let *J* be the ideal on the right-hand side. If *P* is a prime minimal over *J*, then we see immediately that  $P = \mathcal{M}_j$  for some  $j \in \{0, ..., p-1\}$ , since  $\mathcal{M}_j$  is a maximal ideal. Conversely, every such a maximal ideal contains *J* and is minimal over it. Then the minimal primary decomposition of *J* is of the form

$$J = \bigcap_{j=0,\dots,p-1} Q_j$$

where  $Q_j$  is an  $\mathcal{M}_j$ -primary ideal. Since  $X - i \notin \mathcal{M}_j$  for all  $i \in \{0, \dots, p-1\} \setminus \{j\}$ , we have  $(X - j) \in Q_j$ , so indeed  $Q_j = (p, X - j)$  for each  $j = 0, \dots, p-1$ .  $\Box$ 

The next proposition characterizes the principal unitary ideals in  $Int(\mathbb{Z})$  generated by a prime *p*.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  be a prime. Then the principal unitary ideal  $p \operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  is equal to

$$p\operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p} \mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}.$$

**Proof.** We trivially have that  $p \operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  is contained in the above intersection, since p is in every ideal of the form  $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}$ . On the other hand, this intersection is equal to  $\{f \in \operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) \mid f(\mathbb{Z}_p) \subset p\mathbb{Z}_p\}$ . If f(X) is in this intersection, since f(X) is integer-valued and  $p\mathbb{Z}_p \cap \mathbb{Z} = p\mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $f(\mathbb{Z}) \subset p\mathbb{Z}$ . This is equivalent to saying that  $f(X)/p \in \operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ , that is,  $f \in p \operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ .  $\Box$ 

In particular, the previous proposition implies that  $Int(\mathbb{Z})$  does not have the finite character property (we recall that a ring has this property if every non-zero element is contained in a finite number of maximal ideals).

From the above results we get the following theorem, which characterizes the ideal of polynomials with integer coefficients whose fixed divisor is divisible by a prime p, that is, the ideal  $p \operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \mathbb{Z}[X]$ .

Theorem 2.1. Let p be a prime. Then

$$p \operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \mathbb{Z}[X] = \left(p, \prod_{j=0,\dots,p-1} (X-j)\right).$$

232

233

Notice that Lemma 2.2 gives the primary decomposition of  $p \operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \mathbb{Z}[X]$ , so  $\mathcal{M}_j$  for  $j = 0, \ldots, p-1$  are exactly the prime ideals belonging to it. As a consequence of this theorem we get the following well-known result: if  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  is primitive and p is a prime such that  $d(f) \subseteq p$  then  $p \leq \deg(f)$ . This immediately follows from the theorem, since the degree of  $\prod_{j=0,\ldots,p-1}(X-j)$  is p. We remark that by Fermat's little theorem the ideal on the right-hand side of the statement of Theorem 2.1 is equal to  $(p, X^p - X)$ . This amounts to saying that the two polynomials  $X \cdots (X - (p-1))$  and  $X^p - X$  induce the same polynomial function on  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ .

#### 3. Contraction of primary ideals

We remark that Proposition 2.1 also follows from a general result contained in [11]: every unitary ideal in  $Int(\mathbb{Z})$  is an intersection of powers of unitary prime ideals (namely the maximal ideals  $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}$ ). In particular, every  $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}$ -primary ideal is a power of  $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}$  itself, since  $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}$  is maximal. From the same result we also have the following characterization of the powers of  $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}$ , for any positive integer *n*:

$$\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}^{n} = \left\{ f \in \operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) \mid f(\alpha) \in p^{n} \mathbb{Z}_{p} \right\}.$$

This fact implies the following expression for the principal unitary ideal generated by  $p^n$ :

$$p^{n} \operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}} \mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}^{n}.$$
(1)

We remark again that the previous ideal is made up of those integer-valued polynomials whose extended fixed divisor is contained in  $p^n \operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ . Similarly to the previous case n = 1 (see Theorem 2.1) we want to find the contraction of this ideal to  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ , in order to find the polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  whose fixed divisor is divisible by  $p^n$ . We set:

$$I_{p^n} \doteq p^n \operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \mathbb{Z}[X].$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

Notice that by (1) we have  $I_{p^n} = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p} (\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}^n \cap \mathbb{Z}[X]).$ 

Like before, we begin by finding the contraction to  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  of  $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}^n$ , for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . The next lemma is a generalization of Lemma 2.1.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let p be a prime, n a positive integer and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Then  $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}^n \cap \mathbb{Z}[X] = (p^n, X - a)$ , where  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  is such that  $\alpha \equiv a \pmod{p^n}$ . The ideal  $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}^n \cap \mathbb{Z}[X]$  is  $\mathcal{M}_{p,j}$ -primary, where  $j \equiv \alpha \pmod{p}$ . Moreover, if  $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  is another p-adic integer, we have  $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}^n \cap \mathbb{Z}[X] = \mathfrak{M}_{p,\beta}^n \cap \mathbb{Z}[X]$  if and only if  $\alpha \equiv \beta \pmod{p^n}$ .

**Proof.** The case n = 1 has been done in Lemma 2.1. For the general case, let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  be such that  $a \equiv \alpha \pmod{p^n}$  (again, such an integer exists since  $\mathbb{Z}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  for the *p*-adic topology). We have  $(p^n, X - a) \subset \mathfrak{M}^n_{p,\alpha} \cap \mathbb{Z}[X]$  (notice that if n > 1 then  $(p^n, X - a)$  is not a prime ideal). To prove the other inclusion let  $f \in \mathfrak{M}^n_{p,\alpha} \cap \mathbb{Z}[X]$ . By the Euclidean algorithm in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  (the leading coefficient of X - a is a unit) we have

$$f(X) = q(X)(X - a) + f(a).$$

Since  $f(\alpha) \in p^n \mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $p^n | a - \alpha$  we have  $p^n | f(a)$ . Hence,  $f \in (p^n, X - a)$  as we wanted. Since  $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}^n$  is an  $\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}$ -primary ideal in  $\operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  and the contraction of a primary ideal is a primary ideal, by Lemma 2.1 we get the second statement. Finally, like in the proof of Lemma 2.1, we immediately see that  $(p^n, X - a) = (p^n, X - b)$  if and only if  $a \equiv b \pmod{p^n}$ , which gives the last statement of the lemma.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 1.** It is worth to write down the fact that we used in the above proof: given a polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ , we have

$$f \in (p^n, X - a) \iff f(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^n}.$$
 (3)

**Remark 2.** If *p* is a fixed prime and *n* is a positive integer, Lemma 3.1 implies

$$\mathcal{I}_{p,n} \doteq \{\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}^n \cap \mathbb{Z}[X] \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p\} = \{(p^n, X - i) \mid i = 0, \dots, p^n - 1\}.$$

Let us consider an ideal  $I = \mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha}^n \cap \mathbb{Z}[X] = (p^n, X - i)$  in  $\mathcal{I}_{p,n}$ , with  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $i \equiv \alpha \pmod{p^n}$ . It is quite easy to see that I contains  $(\mathfrak{M}_{p,\alpha} \cap \mathbb{Z}[X])^n = \mathcal{M}_{p,j}^n = (p, X - j)^n$ , where  $j \in \{0, \ldots, p - 1\}$ ,  $j \equiv \alpha \pmod{p}$  (notice that  $j \equiv i \pmod{p}$ ). If n > 1 this containment is strict, since  $X - i \notin (p, X - j)^n$ . We can group the ideals of  $\mathcal{I}_{p,n}$  according to their radical: there are p radicals of these  $p^n$  ideals, namely the maximal ideals  $\mathcal{M}_{p,j}$ ,  $j = 0, \ldots, p - 1$ . This amounts to making a partition of the residue classes modulo  $p^n$  into p different sets of elements congruent to j modulo p, for  $j = 0, \ldots, p - 1$ ; each of these sets has cardinality  $p^{n-1}$ . Correspondingly we have:

$$\mathcal{I}_{p,n} = \bigcup_{j=0,\dots,p-1} \mathcal{I}_{p,n,j}$$

where  $\mathcal{I}_{p,n,j} \doteq \{(p^n, X - i) \mid i = 0, ..., p^n - 1, i \equiv j \pmod{p}\}$ , for j = 0, ..., p - 1. Every ideal in  $\mathcal{I}_{p,n,j}$  is  $\mathcal{M}_{p,j}$ -primary and it contains the *n*-th power of its radical, namely  $\mathcal{M}_{p,j}^n$ .

Now we want to compute the intersection of the ideals in  $\mathcal{I}_{p,n}$ , which is equal to the ideal  $I_{p^n}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  (see (1) and (2)). We can express this intersection as an intersection of  $\mathcal{M}_{p,j}$ -primary ideals as we have said above, in the following way (in the first equality we make use of Eq. (1) and Lemma 3.1):

$$I_{p^n} = \bigcap_{i=0,\dots,p^n-1} (p^n, X - i) = \bigcap_{j=0,\dots,p-1} \mathcal{Q}_{p,n,j}$$
(4)

where

$$\mathcal{Q}_{p,n,j} \doteq \bigcap_{i \equiv j \pmod{p}} \left( p^n, X - i \right)$$

(notice that the intersection is taken over the set  $\{i \in \{0, ..., p^n - 1\} \mid i \equiv j \pmod{p}\}$ ). The ideal  $\mathcal{Q}_{p,n,j}$  is an  $\mathcal{M}_{p,j}$ -primary ideal, for j = 0, ..., p - 1, since the intersection of  $\mathcal{M}$ -primary ideals is an  $\mathcal{M}$ -primary ideal. We will omit the index p in  $\mathcal{Q}_{p,n,j}$  and in  $\mathcal{M}_{p,j}$  if that will be clear from the context. The  $\mathcal{M}_{p,j}$ -primary ideal  $\mathcal{Q}_{n,j}$  is just the intersection of the ideals in  $\mathcal{I}_{p,n,j}$ , according to the partition we made. It is equal to the set of polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  which modulo  $p^n$  are zero at the residue classes congruent to j modulo p (see (3) of Remark 1). We remark that (4) is the minimal primary decomposition of  $I_{p^n}$ . Notice that there are no embedded components in this primary decomposition, since the prime ideals belonging to it (the minimal primes containing  $I_{p^n}$ ) are  $\{\mathcal{M}_i \mid j = 0, ..., p - 1\}$ , which are maximal ideals.

We recall that if *I* and *J* are two coprime ideals in a ring *R*, that is I + J = R, then  $IJ = I \cap J$  (in general only the inclusion  $IJ \subset I \cap J$  holds). The condition for two ideals *I* and *J* to be coprime amounts to saying that *I* and *J* are not contained in a same maximal ideal *M*, that is, I + J is not contained in any maximal ideal *M*. If  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are two distinct maximal ideals then they are coprime, and the same holds for any of their respective powers. If *R* is Noetherian, then every primary ideal *Q* contains a power of its radical and moreover if the radical of *Q* is maximal then also the converse holds (see [14]). So if  $Q_i$  is an  $M_i$ -primary ideal for i = 1, 2 and  $M_1, M_2$  are distinct maximal ideals, then  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  are coprime.

Since  $\{\mathcal{M}_i\}_{i=0,\dots,p-1}$  are p distinct maximal ideals, for what we have just said above we have

$$\bigcap_{j=0,\ldots,p-1}\mathcal{Q}_{n,j}=\prod_{j=0,\ldots,p-1}\mathcal{Q}_{n,j}.$$

Now we want to describe the  $M_j$ -primary ideals  $Q_{n,j}$ , for j = 0, ..., p - 1. The next lemma gives a relation of containment between these ideals and the *n*-th powers of their radicals.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let p be a fixed prime and n a positive integer. For each j = 0, ..., p - 1, we have

$$\mathcal{Q}_{n,j} \supseteq \mathcal{M}_j^n$$
.

**Proof.** The statement follows from Remark 2. □

As a consequence of this lemma, we get the following result:

**Corollary 3.1.** *Let p be a fixed prime and n a positive integer. Then we have:* 

$$I_{p^n} \supseteq \left(p, \prod_{j=0,\ldots,p-1} (X-j)\right)^n.$$

Proof. By (4) and Lemma 3.2 we have

$$I_{p^n} = \prod_{j=0,\dots,p-1} \mathcal{Q}_{n,j} \supseteq \prod_{j=0,\dots,p-1} \mathcal{M}_j^n$$

where the last containment follows from Lemma 3.2. Finally, by Lemma 2.2, the product of the ideals  $\mathcal{M}_{i}^{n}$  is equal to

$$\prod_{j=0,\dots,p-1} \mathcal{M}_j^n = \left(p, \prod_{j=0,\dots,p-1} (X-j)\right)^n.$$

Notice that the product of the  $M_j$ 's is actually equal to their intersection, since they are maximal coprime ideals.  $\Box$ 

The last formula of the previous proof gives the primary decomposition of the ideal  $(p, \prod_{i=0,\dots,p-1} (X-j))^n$ .

**Remark 3.** In general, for a fixed  $j \in \{0, ..., p-1\}$ , the reverse containment of Lemma 3.2 does not hold, that is, the *n*-th power of  $\mathcal{M}_j$  can be strictly contained in the  $\mathcal{M}_j$ -primary ideal  $\mathcal{Q}_{n,j}$ . For example (again, we use (3) to prove the containment):

$$X(X-2) \in \left(\bigcap_{k=0,\ldots,3} \left(2^3, X-2k\right)\right) \setminus (2, X)^3.$$

Because of that, in general we do not have an equality in Corollary 3.1. For example, let p = 2 and n = 3. We have

$$X(X-1)(X-2)(X-3) \in I_{2^3} \setminus (2, X(X-1))^3.$$

It is also false that

$$\bigcap_{i=0,...,p^n-1} (p^n, X-i) = \left(p^n, \prod_{i=0,...,p^n-1} (X-i)\right).$$

See for example: p = 2, n = 2:  $2X(X - 1) \in \bigcap_{i=0,...,3} (4, X - i) \setminus (4, \prod_{i=0,...,3} (X - i))$ .

We want to study under which conditions the ideal  $Q_{n,j}$  is equal to  $\mathcal{M}_j^n$ . Our aim is to find a set of generators for  $Q_{n,j}$ . For  $f \in Q_{n,j}$ , we have  $f \in (p^n, X - i)$  for each  $i \equiv j \pmod{p}$ ,  $i \in \{0, \dots, p^n - 1\}$ . By (3) that means  $p^n | f(i)$  for each such an *i*. Equivalently, such a polynomial has the property that modulo  $p^n$  it is zero at the  $p^{n-1}$  residue classes of  $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$  which are congruent to *j* modulo *p*.

Without loss of generality, we proceed by considering the case j = 0. We set  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_0 = (p, X)$ and  $\mathcal{Q}_n = \mathcal{Q}_{n,0} = \bigcap_{i \equiv 0 \pmod{p}} (p^n, X - i)$ . Let  $f \in \mathcal{Q}_n$ , of degree *m*. We have

$$f(X) = q_1(X)X + f(0)$$
(5)

where  $q_1 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  has degree equal to m - 1. Since  $f \in (p^n, X)$  we have  $p^n | f(0)$ .

Since  $f \in (p^n, X - p)$ , we have  $p^n | f(p) = q_1(p)p + f(0)$ , so  $p^{n-1} | q_1(p)$ . By the Euclidean algorithm,

$$q_1(X) = q_2(X)(X - p) + q_1(p)$$
(6)

for some polynomial  $q_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  of degree m - 2. So

$$f(X) = q_2(X)(X - p)X + q_1(p)X + f(0).$$

We set  $R_1(X) = q_1(p)X + f(0)$ . Then  $R_1 \in \mathcal{M}^n$ , since  $p^{n-1}|q_1(p)$  and  $p^n|f(0)$ . Since  $f \in (p^n, X - 2p)$ , we have  $p^n|f(2p) = q_2(2p)2p^2 + q_1(p)2p + f(0)$ . If p > 2 then  $p^{n-2}|q_2(2p)$ , because  $p^n|q_1(p)2p + f(0)$ . If p = 2 then we can just say  $p^{n-3}|q_2(2p)$ . By the Euclidean algorithm again, we have

$$q_2(X) = q_3(X)(X - 2p) + q_2(2p)$$

for some  $q_3 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ . So we have

$$f(X) = q_3(X)(X - 2p)(X - p)X + q_2(2p)(X - p)X + q_1(p)X + f(0).$$

Like before, if we set  $R_2(X) = q_2(2p)(X-p)X + q_1(p)X + f(0)$ , we have  $R_2 \in \mathcal{M}^n$  if p > 2, or  $R_2 \in \mathcal{Q}_n$  if p = 2.

We define now the following family of polynomials:

**Definition 3.1.** For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \ge 1$ , we set

$$G_{p,0,k}(X) = G_k(X) \doteq \prod_{h=0,\dots,k-1} (X - hp).$$

We also set  $G_0(X) \doteq 1$ .

From now on, we will omit the index p in the above notation. Notice that the polynomials  $G_k(X)$ , whose degree for each k is k, enjoy these properties:

- i) For every  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $G_k(tp) = p^k t(t-1) \cdots (t-(k-1))$ . Hence, the highest power of p which divides all the integers in the set  $\{G_k(tp) \mid t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is  $p^{k+\nu_p(k!)}$ . It is easy to see that  $k + \nu_p(k!) = \nu_p((pk)!)$ .
- ii)  $G_k(X) = (X kp)G_{k-1}(X)$ .

236

iii) since for every integer h,  $X - hp \in \mathcal{M}$ , we have  $G_k(X) \in \mathcal{M}^k$ . We remark that k is the maximal integer with this property, since  $\deg(G_k) = k$  and  $G_k(X)$  is primitive (since monic).

Recall that, by Lemma 3.2, for every integer *n* we have  $Q_n \supseteq \mathcal{M}^n$ . By property iii) above  $G_k \in \mathcal{M}^n$  if and only if  $n \leq k$ . By property i) we have  $G_k \in Q_n$  if and only if  $k + v_p(k!) \ge n$ . From these remarks, it is very easy to deduce that, in the case  $p \ge n$ , if  $G_k \in Q_n$  then  $G_k \in \mathcal{M}^n$ . In fact, if that is not the case, it follows from above that k < n. Since  $n \leq p$  we get  $k + v_p(k!) = k$ . Since  $G_k \in Q_n$ , we have  $n \leq k$ , contradiction.

The next lemma gives a sort of division algorithm between an element of  $Q_n$  and the polynomials  $\{G_k(X)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ . In particular, we will deduce that  $Q_n = \mathcal{M}^n$ , if  $p \ge n$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** Let p be a prime and n a positive integer. Let  $f \in Q_{p,n,0} = Q_n$  be of degree m. Then for each  $1 \leq k \leq m$  there exists  $q_k \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  of degree m - k such that

$$f(X) = q_k(X)G_k(X) + R_{k-1}(X)$$

where  $R_{k-1}(X) \doteq \sum_{h=1,\dots,k-1} q_h(hp)G_h(X)$  for  $k \ge 2$  and  $R_0(X) \doteq f(0)$ . We also have  $q_k(X) = q_{k+1}(X)(X-kp) + q_k(kp)$  for  $k = 1, \dots, m-1$ . Moreover, for each such a k the following hold:

- i)  $p^{n-\nu_p((pk)!)}|q_k(kp), \text{ if } \nu_n((pk)!) < n.$
- ii)  $q_k(kp)G_k(X) \in Q_n$  and if k < p then  $q_k(kp)G_k(X) \in \mathcal{M}^n$ .
- iii) If  $m \leq p$  then  $R_{k-1} \in \mathcal{M}^n$  for k = 1, ..., m. If m > p then  $R_{k-1} \in \mathcal{M}^n$  for k = 1, ..., p and  $R_{k-1} \in \mathcal{Q}_n$  for k = p + 1, ..., m.

**Proof.** We proceed by induction on *k*. The case k = 1 follows from (5), and by (6) we have the last statement regarding the relation between  $q_1(X)$  and  $q_2(X)$ . Suppose now the statement is true for k - 1, so that

$$f(X) = q_{k-1}(X)G_{k-1}(X) + R_{k-2}(X)$$

with  $R_{k-2}(X) \doteq \sum_{h=1,\dots,k-2} q_h(hp) G_h(X)$  and

- $p^{n-\nu_p((p(k-1))!)}|q_{k-1}((k-1)p)$ , if  $\nu_p((p(k-1)!)) < n$ ,
- $q_{k-1}((k-1)p)G_{k-1}(X)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{Q}_n$  and if k-1 < p it belongs to  $\mathcal{M}^n$ ,
- $R_{k-2} \in Q_n$  and if k-2 < p then  $R_{k-2} \in \mathcal{M}^n$ .

We divide  $q_{k-1}(X)$  by (X - (k-1)p) and we get

$$q_{k-1}(X) = q_k(X)(X - (k-1)p) + q_{k-1}((k-1)p)$$

for some polynomial  $q_k \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  of degree m - k. We substitute this expression of  $q_{k-1}(X)$  in the equation of f(X) at the step k - 1 and we get:

$$f(X) = q_k(X) (X - (k-1)p) G_{k-1}(X) + R_{k-1}(X),$$
(7)

where  $R_{k-1}(X) \doteq q_{k-1}((k-1)p)G_{k-1}(X) + R_{k-2}(X)$ . This is the expression of f(X) at step k, since  $(X - (k-1)p)G_{k-1}(X)$  is equal to  $G_k(X)$ . By the inductive assumption,  $R_{k-1} \in Q_n$  and if k-1 < p we also have  $R_{k-1} \in \mathcal{M}^n$ . We still have to verify i) and ii).

We evaluate the expression (7) in X = kp and we get

$$f(kp) = q_k(kp)G_k(kp) + R_{k-1}(kp) = q_k(kp)p^kk! + R_{k-1}(kp).$$

Since  $p^n$  divides both f(kp) and  $R_{k-1}(kp)$  (by definition of  $Q_n$ ), if  $v_p((pk)!) < n$  we get that  $q_k(kp)$  is divisible by  $p^{n-v_p((pk)!)}$ , which is statement i) at the step k. Notice that  $q_k(kp)G_k(X)$  is zero modulo  $p^n$  on every integer congruent to zero modulo p; hence,  $q_k(kp)G_k(X) \in Q_n$ . Moreover,  $k , so in that case <math>q_k(kp)G_k(X) \in \mathcal{M}^n$ . So ii) follows.  $\Box$ 

Notice that by formula (3) of Remark 1, under the assumptions of Lemma 3.3 we have for each  $k \in \{1, ..., p-1\}$  that

$$q_k \in (p^{n-k}, X-kp)$$

(see i) of Lemma 3.3: in this case  $v_p((pk)!) = k$ ). If  $k = m = \deg(f)$  then  $q_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Hence, we get the following expression for a polynomial  $f \in Q_n$  in the case  $p \ge n > m$  (this assumption is not restrictive, since  $X^n \in Q_n$ ):

$$f(X) = q_m G_m(X) + R_{m-1}(X) = q_m G_m(X) + \sum_{k=1,\dots,m-1} q_k(kp) G_k(X)$$
(8)

where  $q_m \in \mathbb{Z}$  is divisible by  $p^{n-m}$  and  $R_{m-1}(X)$  is in  $\mathcal{M}^n$ .

The next proposition determines the primary components  $Q_{n,j}$  of  $I_{p^n}$  of (4) in the case  $p \ge n$ . It shows that in this case the containment of Lemma 3.2 is indeed an equality.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  be a prime and n a positive integer such that  $p \ge n$ . Then for each j = 0, ..., p - 1 we have

$$\mathcal{Q}_{n,j} = \mathcal{M}_j^n$$

**Proof.** It is sufficient to prove the statement for j = 0: for the other cases we consider the  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ -automorphisms  $\pi_j(X) = X - j$ , for j = 1, ..., p - 1, which permute the ideals  $\mathcal{Q}_{n,j}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_j$ . Let  $\mathcal{Q}_n = \mathcal{Q}_{n,0}$  and  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_0$ .

The inclusion  $(\supseteq)$  follows from Lemma 3.2. For the other inclusion  $(\subseteq)$ , let f(X) be in  $Q_n$ . We can assume that the degree m of f(X) is less than n, since  $X^n$  is the smallest monic monomial in  $Q_n$ . By Eq. (8) above, f(X) is in  $\mathcal{M}^n$ , since  $p^{n-m}$  divides  $q_m$ ,  $G_m \in \mathcal{M}^m$  and  $R_{m-1} \in \mathcal{M}^n$  by Lemma 3.3 (notice that m - 1 < p).  $\Box$ 

**Remark 4.** In the case  $p \ge n$ , Lemma 3.3 implies that  $Q_n$  is generated by  $\{p^{n-m}G_m(X)\}_{0 \le m \le n}$ : it is easy to verify that these polynomials are in  $Q_n$  (using (3) again) and (8) implies that every polynomial  $f \in Q_n$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combination of  $\{p^{n-m}G_m(X)\}_{0 \le m \le n}$ , since  $q_m(mp)$  is divisible by  $p^{n-m}$ , for each of the relevant m.

The following theorem gives a description of the ideal  $I_{p^n}$  in the case  $p \ge n$ . In this case the containment of Corollary 3.1 becomes an equality.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  be a prime and n a positive integer such that  $p \ge n$ . Then the ideal in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  of those polynomials whose fixed divisor is divisible by  $p^n$  is equal to

$$I_{p^n} = \left(p, \prod_{i=0,\dots,p-1} (X-i)\right)^n.$$

**Proof.** By Proposition 3.1, for each j = 0, ..., p - 1 the ideal  $Q_{n,j}$  is equal to  $\mathcal{M}_j^n$ . So, by the last formula of the proof of Corollary 3.1, we get the statement.  $\Box$ 

As a consequence, we have the following remark. Let p be a prime and n a positive integer less than or equal to p. Let  $f \in I_{p^n}$  such that the content of f(X) is not divisible by p. Then  $\deg(f) \ge np$ , since  $np = \deg(\prod_{i=0,\dots,p-1} (X - i)^n)$ . Another well-known result in this context is the following: if we fix the degree d of such a polynomial f, then the maximum n such that  $f \in I_{p^n}$  is bounded by  $n \le \sum_{k\ge 1} \lfloor d/p^k \rfloor = v_p(d!)$ .

If we drop the assumption  $p \ge n$ , the ideal  $Q_{n,j}$  may strictly contain  $\mathcal{M}_j^n$ , as we observed in Remark 3. The next proposition shows that this is always the case, if p < n. This result follows from Lemma 3.3 as Proposition 3.1 does, and it covers the remaining case p < n. It is stated for the case j = 0. Remember that  $\mathcal{M} = (p, X)$  and  $Q_n = \bigcap_{i \equiv 0 \pmod{p}} (p^n, X - i)$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** Let  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  be a prime and n a positive integer such that p < n. Then we have

$$\mathcal{Q}_n = \mathcal{M}^n + \left(q_{n,p}G_p(X), \dots, q_{n,n-1}G_{n-1}(X)\right)$$

where, for each k = p, ..., n - 1,  $q_{n,k}$  is an integer defined as follows:

$$q_{n,k} \doteq \begin{cases} p^{n-\nu_p((pk)!)}, & \text{if } \nu_p((pk)!) < n, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In particular,  $\mathcal{M}^n$  is strictly contained in  $\mathcal{Q}_n$ .

**Proof.** We begin by proving the containment  $(\supseteq)$ . Lemma 3.2 gives  $\mathcal{M}^n \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_n$ . We have to show that the polynomials  $q_{n,k}G_k(X)$ , for  $k \in \{p, \ldots, n-1\}$ , lie in  $\mathcal{Q}_n$ . This follows from property i) of the polynomials  $G_k(X)$  and the definition of  $q_{n,m}$ .

Now we prove the other containment ( $\subseteq$ ). Let  $f \in Q_n$  be of degree *m*. If m < p then  $f \in M^n$  (see Lemma 3.3 and in particular (8)). So we suppose  $p \leq m$ . By Lemma 3.3 we have

$$f(X) = \sum_{k=p,...,m} q_h(hp)G_h(X) + R_{p-1}(X)$$
(9)

where  $R_{p-1}(X) = \sum_{k=1,...,p-1} q_k(hp)G_h(X) \in \mathcal{M}^n$  and  $q_m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so that  $q_m(mp) = q_{n,m}$ . Then, since  $q_{n,k} = p^{n-v_p((pk)!)}|q_k(kp)$  if  $v_p((pk)!) < n$ , it follows that the first sum on the right-hand side of the previous equation belongs to the ideal  $(q_{n,p}G_p(X), \ldots, q_{n,n-1}G_{n-1}(X))$ . For the last sentence of the proposition, we remark that the polynomials  $\{q_{n,k}G_k(X)\}_{k=p,\ldots,n-1}$  are not contained in  $\mathcal{M}^n$ : in fact, for each  $k \in \{p, \ldots, n-1\}$ , by property iii) of the polynomials  $G_k(X)$  we have that the minimal integer N such that  $q_{n,k}G_k(X)$  is contained in  $\mathcal{M}^N$  is  $n - v_p(k!)$  if  $v_p((pk)!) = k + v_p(k!) < n$  and it is k otherwise. In both cases it is strictly less than n (since  $v_p(k!) \ge 1$ , if  $k \ge p$ ).  $\Box$ 

**Remark 5.** The following remark allows us to obtain another set of generators for  $Q_n$ . We set

$$\overline{m} = \overline{m}(n, p) \doteq \min\{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid v_p((pm)!) \ge n\}.$$
(10)

Remember that  $v_p((pm)!) = m + v_p(m!)$ . If  $p \ge n$  then  $\overline{m} = n$  and if p < n then  $p \le \overline{m} < n$ .

Suppose p < n. Then for each  $m \in \{\overline{m}, ..., n\}$  we have  $v_p((pm)!) \ge n$ , since the function  $e(m) = m + v_p(m!)$  is increasing. So for each such m we have  $q_{n,m} = 1$ , hence  $G_m \in (G_{\overline{m}}(X))$ . So we have the equalities:

$$\mathcal{Q}_n = \mathcal{M}^n + \left( q_{n,m} G_m(X) \mid m = p, \dots, \overline{m} \right)$$
$$= \left( q_{n,m} G_m(X) \mid m = 0, \dots, \overline{m} \right)$$
(11)

where  $q_{n,m} = p^{n-m}$ , for m = 0, ..., p-1, and for  $m = p, ..., \overline{m}$  is defined as in the statement of Proposition 3.2. The containment ( $\supseteq$ ) is just an easy verification using the properties of the polynomials  $G_m(X)$ ; the other containment follows by (9).

We can now group together Proposition 3.1 and 3.2 into the following one:

**Proposition 3.3.** Let  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  be a prime and n a positive integer. Then we have

$$\mathcal{Q}_n = (q_{n,0}G_0(X), \dots, q_{n,\overline{m}}G_{\overline{m}}(X))$$

where  $\overline{m} = \min\{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid v_p((pm)!) \ge n\}$  and for each  $m = 0, \ldots, \overline{m}, q_{n,m}$  is an integer defined as follows:

$$q_{n,m} \doteq \begin{cases} p^{n-\nu_p((pm)!)}, & m < \overline{m}, \\ 1, & m = \overline{m}. \end{cases}$$

It is clear what the primary ideals  $Q_j$ , for j = 1, ..., p - 1, look like:

$$\mathcal{Q}_{n,j} = \bigcap_{i \equiv j \pmod{p}} \left( p^n, X - i \right) = \mathcal{M}_j^n + \left( q_{n,p} G_p(X - j), \dots, q_{n,\overline{m}} G_{\overline{m}}(X - j) \right)$$
$$= \left( q_{n,0} G_0(X - j), \dots, q_{n,\overline{m}} G_{\overline{m}}(X - j) \right).$$

In fact, for each j = 1, ..., p - 1, it is sufficient to consider the automorphisms of  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  given by  $\pi_j(X) = X - j$ . It is straightforward to check that  $\pi_j(I_{p^n}) = I_{p^n}$ . Moreover,  $\pi(Q_{n,0}) = Q_{n,j}$  and  $\pi(\mathcal{M}_0) = \mathcal{M}_j$  for each such a j, so that  $\pi_j$  permutes the primary components of the ideal  $I_{p^n}$ .

The ideal  $I_{p^n} = p^n \operatorname{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \mathbb{Z}[X]$  was studied in [2] in a slightly different context, as the kernel of the natural map  $\varphi_n : \mathbb{Z}[X] \to \Phi_n$ , where the latter is the set of functions from  $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$  to itself. In that article a recursive formula is given for a set of generators of this ideal. Our approach gives a new point of view to describe this ideal.

For other works about the ideal  $I_{p^n}$  in a slightly different context, see [9,10,13]. This ideal is important in the study of the problem of the polynomial representation of a function from  $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$  to itself.

# 4. Case $I_{p^{p+1}}$

As a corollary we give an explicit expression for the ideal  $I_{p^n}$  in the case n = p + 1. By Proposition 3.2 the primary components of  $I_{n^{p+1}}$  are

$$\mathcal{Q}_{p+1,j} = \mathcal{M}_j^{p+1} + \left( G_p(X-j) \right) \tag{12}$$

for j = 0, ..., p - 1.

#### Corollary 4.1.

$$I_{p^{p+1}} = \left(p, \prod_{i=0,\dots,p-1} (X-i)\right)^{p+1} + \left(H(X)\right)$$

where  $H(X) = \prod_{i=0,...,p^2-1} (X - i)$ .

We want to stress that the polynomial H(X) is not contained in the first ideal of the right-hand side of the statement. In [2] a similar result is stated with another polynomial  $H_2(X)$  instead of our H(X). Indeed the two polynomials, as already remarked in [2], are congruent modulo the ideal  $(p, \prod_{i=0,...,p-1}(X-i))^{p+1}$ .

**Proof of Corollary 4.1.** Like before, we set  $Q_{p,p+1,j} = Q_{p+1,j}$ . The containment  $(\supseteq)$  follows from Corollary 3.1 and because the polynomial H(X) is equal to  $\prod_{j=0,...,p-1} G_p(X-j)$  and for each j = 0, ..., p - 1 the polynomial  $G_p(X - j)$  is in  $Q_{p+1,j}$  by Proposition 3.2. Since  $Q_{p+1,j}$ , for j = 0, ..., p - 1, are exactly the primary components of  $I_{p^{p+1}}$  (see (4)), we get the claim.

Now we prove the other containment ( $\subseteq$ ). Let  $f \in I_{p^{p+1}} = \bigcap_{i=0,\dots,p-1} \mathcal{Q}_{p+1,j}$ . By (12) we have:

$$f(X) \equiv C_{p,j}(X)G_p(X-j) \pmod{\mathcal{M}_j^{p+1}}$$

for some  $C_{p,j} \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ , for  $j = 0, \ldots, p - 1$ .

Since the ideals  $\{\mathcal{M}_{j}^{p+1} = (p, X - j)^{p+1} \mid j = 0, ..., p-1\}$  are pairwise coprime (because they are powers of distinct maximal ideals, respectively), by the Chinese Remainder Theorem we have the following isomorphism:

$$\mathbb{Z}[X] / \left(\prod_{j=0}^{p-1} \mathcal{M}_j^{p+1}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}[X] / \mathcal{M}_0^{p+1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}[X] / \mathcal{M}_{p-1}^{p+1}.$$
(13)

We need now the following lemma, which tells us what is the residue of the polynomial H(X) modulo each ideal  $\mathcal{M}_i^{p+1}$ :

**Lemma 4.1.** Let p be a prime and let  $H(X) = \prod_{i=0,\dots,p-1} G_p(X-j)$ . Then for each  $k = 0, \dots, p-1$  we have

$$H(X) \equiv -G_p(X-k) \pmod{\mathcal{M}_k^{p+1}}.$$

**Proof.** Let  $k \in \{0, ..., p-1\}$  and set  $I_k = \{0, ..., p-1\} \setminus \{k\}$ . For each  $j \in I_k$  we have  $G_p(k-j) \equiv (k-j)^p \pmod{p}$ . We have

$$H(X) + G_p(X - k) = G_p(X - k) \bigg[ 1 + \prod_{j \in I_k} G_p(X - j) \bigg].$$

Since  $G_p(X-k) \in \mathcal{M}_k^p$  we have just to prove that  $T_k(X) = 1 + \prod_{j \in I_k} G_p(X-j) \in \mathcal{M}_k$ . By formula (3) in Remark 1 it is sufficient to prove that  $T_k(k)$  is divisible by p. We have

$$T_k(k) \equiv 1 + \prod_{j \in I_k} (k-j)^p \pmod{p}$$
$$\equiv 1 + \left(\prod_{s=1,\dots,p-1} s\right)^p \pmod{p}$$
$$\equiv 1 + (p-1)!^p \pmod{p}$$
$$\equiv \left(1 + (p-1)!\right)^p \pmod{p}$$

which is congruent to zero by Wilson's theorem.  $\Box$ 

We finish now the proof of the corollary.

By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, there exists a polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  such that  $P(X) \equiv -C_{p,j}(X) \pmod{\mathcal{M}_j^{p+1}}$ , for each j = 0, ..., p - 1. Then by the previous lemma  $P(X)H(X) \equiv C_{p,j}(X)G_p(X-j) \pmod{\mathcal{M}_j^{p+1}}$  and so again by the isomorphism (13) above we have

$$f(X) \equiv P(X)H(X) \pmod{\prod_{j=0,\dots,p-1} \mathcal{M}_j^{p+1}}$$

so we are done since  $\prod_{j=0,\dots,p-1} \mathcal{M}_j^{p+1} = (p, \prod_{i=0,\dots,p-1} (X-i))^{p+1}$  (see the proof of Corollary 3.1).  $\Box$ 

#### Acknowledgments

I want to thank the referee for his/her suggestions. I wish also to thank Sophie Frisch for the useful references. I deeply thank Elvira Carlotti for her continuous and strenuous help. The author was supported by the Austrian Science Foundation (FWF), Project Number P23245-N18.

#### References

- D.F. Anderson, P.-J. Cahen, S.T. Chapman, W. Smith, Some factorization properties of the ring of integer-valued polynomials, in: Zero-Dimensional Commutative Rings, Knoxville, TN, 1994, in: Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math., vol. 171, Dekker, New York, 1995, pp. 125–142.
- [2] A. Bandini, Functions  $f : \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$  induced by polynomials of  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ , Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 181 (1) (2002) 95–104.
- [3] J.-L. Chabert, Anneaux de polynômes à valeurs entières et anneaux de Fatou, Bull. Soc. Math. France 99 (1971) 273-283.
- [4] P.-J. Cahen, J.-L. Chabert, Integer-Valued Polynomials, Math. Surv. Monogr., vol. 48, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1997.
- [5] P.-J. Cahen, J.-L. Chabert, Elasticity for integral-valued polynomials, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 103 (3) (1995) 303-311.
- [6] S.T. Chapman, B. McClain, Irreducible polynomials and full elasticity in rings of integer-valued polynomials, J. Algebra 293 (2) (2005) 595–610.
- [7] L.E. Dickson, Introduction to the Theory of Numbers, Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago, 1929.
- [8] H. Gunji, D.L. McQuillan, On a class of ideals in an algebraic number field, J. Number Theory 2 (1970) 207–222.
- [9] G. Keller, F.R. Olson, Counting polynomial functions (mod  $p^n$ ), Duke Math. J. 35 (1968) 835–838.
- [10] D.J. Lewis, Ideals and polynomial functions, Amer. J. Math. 78 (1956) 71-77.
- [11] K.A. Loper, Ideals of integer-valued polynomial rings, Comm. Algebra 25 (3) (1997) 833-845.
- [12] D.L. McQuillan, On Prüfer domains of polynomials, J. Reine Angew. Math. 358 (1985) 162-178.
- [13] I. Niven, L.J. Warren, A generalization of Fermat's theorem, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957) 306-313.
- [14] D.G. Northcott, Ideal Theory, Cambridge University Press, 1953.