# CrossMark

# **CLINICAL LIVER**

Eric Lawitz,<sup>1</sup> Mihály Makara,<sup>2</sup> Ulus Salih Akarca,<sup>3</sup> Paul J. Thuluvath,<sup>4</sup> Liliana Lucia Preotescu,<sup>5</sup> Peter Varunok,<sup>6</sup> Rosa M<sup>a</sup>. Morillas,<sup>7</sup> Coleen Hall,<sup>8</sup> Niloufar Mobashery,<sup>8</sup> Rebecca Redman,<sup>8</sup> Tami Pilot-Matias,<sup>8</sup> Regis A. Vilchez,<sup>8</sup> and Christophe Hézode<sup>9</sup>

Efficacy and Safety of Ombitasvir, Paritaprevir, and Ritonavir

in an Open-Label Study of Patients With Genotype 1b Chronic

**Hepatitis C Virus Infection With and Without Cirrhosis** 

<sup>1</sup>Texas Liver Institute, University of Texas Health Science Center, San Antonio, Texas; <sup>2</sup>Outpatient Clinic, Saint Laszlo Hospital, Budapest, Hungary; <sup>3</sup>Ege University, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Gastroenterology, Izmir, Turkey; <sup>4</sup>Mercy Medical Center and University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland; <sup>5</sup>National Institute of Infectious Diseases Prof. Dr. Matei Balş, Bucharest, Romania; <sup>6</sup>Premier Medical Group, New York Medical College, Poughkeepsie, New York; <sup>7</sup>Liver Section and CIBERehd, Department of Gastroenterology, Hospital Universitari Germans Trias i Pujol, Badalona, Spain; <sup>8</sup>Infectious Diseases Clinical Development, AbbVie Inc, North Chicago, Illinois; and <sup>9</sup>Department of Hepatology and Gastroenterology, Hôpital Henri Mondor, AP-HP, Université Paris-Est, INSERM U955, Créteil, France

BACKGROUND & AIMS: Interferon-free treatment options are rapidly evolving for patients with chronic hepatitis C virus (HCV) genotype 1b (GT1b) infection with cirrhosis and for nonresponders to prior pegylated interferon and ribavirin therapy. We performed a phase 2b, open-label trial of the combination of ombitasvir (a NS5A replication complex inhibitor), paritaprevir, and ritonavir (an NS3/4A protease inhibitor)-an interferon- and ribavirin-free regimen-in difficult-to-treat patients, including prior null responders and patients with cirrhosis. METHODS: In an international study, 82 patients without cirrhosis (42 treatment-naive and 40 prior null responders) and 99 with cirrhosis (47 treatment-naive and 52 treatment-experienced with prior relapse or a null or partial response) with chronic HCV GT1b infection received ombitasvir (25 mg), paritaprevir (150 mg), and ritonavir (100 mg) once daily for 12 weeks (without cirrhosis) or 24 weeks (with cirrhosis). The primary efficacy endpoint was sustained virologic response 12 weeks after the end of treatment (SVR<sub>12</sub>). RESULTS: In treatment-naive and null responder patients without cirrhosis, rates of SVR<sub>12</sub> were 95.2% and 90.0%, respectively. In treatment-naive and treatment-experienced patients with cirrhosis, rates of  $\ensuremath{\text{SVR}_{12}}$ were 97.9% and 96.2%, respectively. No clinically meaningful differences in rates of SVR<sub>12</sub> were observed between patients with or without cirrhosis. Virologic relapse occurred in 3 null responders without cirrhosis and 1 with cirrhosis; virologic breakthrough occurred in 1 null responder without cirrhosis. Common adverse events included headache, asthenia, pruritus, and diarrhea. One patient discontinued taking the drugs because of treatment-related adverse events. CONCLUSIONS: An interferon- and ribavirin-free regimen of ombitasvir, paritaprevir, and ritonavir, achieved high rates of SVR<sub>12</sub> in patients with HCV GT1b infection with and without cirrhosis. This regimen was well tolerated and was associated with low rates of treatment discontinuation. ClinicalTrials.gov no: NCT01685203.

*Keywords:* Direct-Acting Antivirals; HCV Genotype 1b; Interferon-Free/Ribavirin-Free.

C hronic hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection affects up to 150 million people worldwide and is a leading cause of cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma.<sup>1</sup> Of the 7 major

HCV genotypes (GTs) identified globally,<sup>2</sup> GT1 is the most common. GT1b is the most prevalent HCV subtype worldwide, particularly in parts of Europe and Asia, whereas GT1a is more prevalent in North America.<sup>3</sup> In the era of interferon-based therapy, HCV GT1 infection has been difficult to treat; approximately 60% of patients do not achieve sustained virologic response (SVR) with pegylated interferon and ribavirin therapy.<sup>4,5</sup>

Since the approval of the first direct-acting antiviral (DAA) agents in 2011, HCV therapeutic approaches have rapidly evolved. Although the addition of the NS3/4A protease inhibitors boceprevir, telaprevir, or simeprevir to pegylated interferon and ribavirin regimens increased SVR rates in patients with GT1 infection, efficacy rates have remained low in patients with cirrhosis, particularly in prior null responders (eg, an SVR rate of 19.4% with telaprevir). Furthermore, these pegylated interferon and ribavirincontaining regimens have been associated with additional adverse events (AEs), such as severe rash and a high frequency of anemia.<sup>6-10</sup> The addition of the NS5B inhibitor sofosbuvir to pegylated interferon and ribavirin regimens improved SVR rates (89%) but showed lower efficacy in patients with cirrhosis compared with patients without cirrhosis (80% vs 92%) and in patients with GT1b infection compared with GT1a infection (82% vs 92%).<sup>11</sup> Additionally, this regimen has not been studied in treatment-experienced patients, and the AE profile of an interferon-based regimen remains unclear.

© 2015 by the AGA Institute Open access under CC BY-NC-ND license. 0016-5085

http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2015.07.001

Abbreviations used in this paper: AE, adverse event; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; DAA, direct-acting antiviral; GT, genotype; HCV, hepatitis C virus; IL, interleukin; NS, nonstructural viral protein; RAV, resistance-associated variant; RVR, rapid virologic response; SVR, sustained virologic response; SVR4, sustained virologic response 4 weeks after the end of treatment; SVR<sub>12</sub>, sustained virologic response 12 weeks after the end of treatment.

Most current article

The recent development of interferon-free DAA regimens has improved both the efficacy and tolerability of antiviral treatment for HCV, although many such regimens continue to include ribavirin.<sup>12,13</sup> In interferon-free all-oral DAA regimens that include ribavirin, the AE profile of ribavirin is becoming clearer. In several phase 3 trials that compared all-oral DAA regimens with and without ribavirin, treatment discontinuation rates due to AEs were similarly low in the ribavirincontaining and ribavirin-free arms.<sup>14-18</sup> Although ribavirin is associated with several characteristic AEs, such as pruritus, asthenia, and insomnia, most of these events were characterized as mild in severity.<sup>16,17</sup> Furthermore, in recent studies, the frequency and severity of ribavirin-associated anemia appear to be much lower than seen in the past, perhaps due to the absence of the bone marrow-suppressant effects of interferon.<sup>19</sup> However, the development of ribavirin-free regimens would be an important option for patients who are ineligible to receive or intolerant of ribavirin therapy. Clinical trials in patients with GT1 infection suggest that alloral DAA regimens may obviate the need for ribavirin as a standard component of therapy and thereby eliminate ribavirin-associated AEs.<sup>14–18,20–22</sup>

Ombitasvir (formerly ABT-267), an inhibitor of the HCV NS5A protein, and paritaprevir (formerly ABT-450), an NS3/ 4A protease inhibitor identified by AbbVie and Enanta, have potent antiviral activity against multiple HCV GTs, including 1a and 1b.<sup>23</sup> Paritaprevir is administered with the pharmacokinetic enhancer ritonavir (r), which inhibits its metabolism, increasing peak, trough, and overall drug exposures and allowing for once-daily dosing.<sup>24</sup> In phase 3 trials, a combination of ombitasvir, paritaprevir, and ritonavir plus dasabuvir (a nonnucleoside NS5B polymerase inhibitor) with or without ribavirin was shown to be effective and well tolerated in treatment-naive and -experienced noncirrhotic patients with HCV GT1 infection.<sup>17,25,26</sup> In a meta-analysis of 992 GT1b-infected patients treated for 12 or 24 weeks, 98.3% of 691 treatment-naive and treatment-experienced patients with or without cirrhosis who received ombitasvir, paritaprevir, and ritonavir plus dasabuvir with ribavirin and 99.3% of 301 treatment-naive and treatment-experienced patients without cirrhosis who received ombitasvir, paritaprevir, and ritonavir plus dasabuvir alone achieved SVR 12 weeks after the end of treatment (SVR<sub>12</sub>).<sup>27</sup> Both regimens were well tolerated, although the ribavirin-free regimen was associated with a lower rate of anemia than the ribavirincontaining regimen (6.5% vs 0.2%).<sup>28</sup> Based on these studies, this 3-DAA regimen has been approved for the treatment of patients with HCV GT1a and GT1b infections in the United States and Europe.

PEARL-I is an ongoing, randomized, open-label, phase 2b, combination treatment study evaluating the safety and efficacy of an all-oral interferon-free regimen of ombitasvir, paritaprevir, and ritonavir with or without ribavirin in treatment-naive and pegylated interferon and ribavirin treatment-experienced patients with HCV GT1b or GT4 infection. PEARL-I comprises two substudies; substudy 1 enrolled patients without cirrhosis (HCV GT1b and GT4) and substudy 2 enrolled patients with cirrhosis (HCV GT1b). Results from patients with HCV GT1b infection with and without cirrhosis who received an interferon- and ribavirin-free regimen of ombitasvir, paritaprevir, and ritonavir are reported herein.

# Methods

#### Study Design

PEARL-I The study (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT01685203) is an ongoing phase 2b, open-label, combination treatment study being conducted at 47 sites in France, Hungary, Italy, Poland, Puerto Rico, Romania, Spain, Turkey, and the United States. Screening for the trial began in August 2012; the last patient completed treatment in March 2014. The study was designed as an open-label study to maximize the probability of all patients in the study achieving SVR. Additionally, an active comparator group that contained pegylated interferon was not included because it could not be effectively blinded. All GT1b-infected patients without cirrhosis were enrolled and completed treatment before enrollment of the patients with cirrhosis to allow for a sequential evaluation of the 2-DAA regimen in these 2 patient populations. The data reported here are from the primary database lock completed after all patients reached post-treatment week 12. The study was approved by all institutional review boards and was conducted in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki and International Conference on Harmonisation guidelines. Written informed consent was provided by all patients before enrollment. All authors had access to the study data and critically reviewed, revised, and approved the final manuscript.

#### Patient Population

Eligible patients were 18 to 70 years of age with chronic HCV GT1b infection (>6 months) and a plasma HCV RNA level >10,000 IU/mL, and were documented to be without cirrhosis or with compensated cirrhosis defined as Child-Pugh score < 6 at screening by liver biopsy (Metavir score = 4 or Ishak score >4), FibroScan (Echosens, Paris, France) score >14.6 kPa within 6 months of screening or during the screening period, or FibroTest. Subjects with a non-qualifying FibroScan result were enrolled only if they had a qualifying liver biopsy performed during the screening period. Patients without cirrhosis were eligible if they were treatment-naive or had a prior null response to pegylated interferon and ribavirin treatment. Patients with cirrhosis were eligible if they were treatment-naive or if prior pegylated interferon and ribavirin treatment had failed (null/partial response or relapse). Exclusion criteria included co-infection with hepatitis B virus or HIV, liver disease not due to chronic HCV infection, current or past clinical evidence of cirrhosis (in substudy 1), or a Child-Pugh B or C classification or clinical history of liver decompensation such as ascites (noted on physical exam), variceal bleeding, or hepatic encephalopathy (in substudy 2). Detailed eligibility criteria, including definitions of null and partial responses and relapse, are provided in the Supplemental Appendix.

#### Treatment

All GT1b-infected patients received an oral interferon- and ribavirin-free regimen of ombitasvir, paritaprevir, and ritonavir 25 mg/150 mg/100 mg once daily. The duration of treatment was 12 weeks in patients without cirrhosis and 24 weeks in patients with cirrhosis. The 24-week treatment duration in

patients with cirrhosis (substudy 2) was based on initial efficacy and safety data from the prior null responder patients without cirrhosis (substudy 1) and preliminary results from a phase 3 study (TURQUOISE-II) that demonstrated that a 24-week treatment duration with ombitasvir, paritaprevir, and ritonavir plus dasabuvir and ribavirin in HCV GT1–infected patients with cirrhosis led to higher SVR rates than 12 weeks of treatment, particularly in prior null responders.<sup>13</sup> After completion or early discontinuation of treatment, patients were followed for 48 weeks to monitor HCV RNA levels, the emergence and persistence of resistant viral variants, and serious AEs.

#### Efficacy Endpoints and Assessments

Plasma samples were collected at screening and each study visit, and HCV RNA levels were determined by real-time polymerase chain reaction using the COBAS TaqMan® HCV test version 2.0 (Roche Molecular Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN), which has a lower limit of quantitation of 25 IU/mL and a lower limit of detection of 15 IU/mL. The primary efficacy endpoint was SVR<sub>12</sub> (HCV RNA level <25 IU/mL 12 weeks after the last dose of study drug). Secondary efficacy endpoints included (1) the percentage of patients experiencing post-treatment relapse (HCV RNA >25 IU/mL within 12 weeks post-treatment in patients who completed treatment with HCV RNA <25 IU/mL) and (2) the percentage of patients experiencing on-treatment virologic failure (failure to achieve HCV RNA <25 IU/mL after 6 weeks of treatment, 2 consecutive HCV RNA measurements that were  $>1 \log_{10} IU/mL$  above the nadir at any time point, or 2 consecutive HCV RNA measurements  $\geq$  25 IU/mL at any time point after having achieved HCV RNA levels <25 IU/mL). The rates of SVR 4 weeks after the end of treatment (SVR<sub>4</sub>) and rapid virologic response (RVR; HCV RNA <25 IU/mL at treatment week 4) were also determined.

#### Resistance Analyses

Resistance testing was performed on all available patient samples at baseline and, for patients who did not achieve SVR, on the first available sample after virologic failure with an HCV RNA level  $\geq$ 1000 IU/mL. Resistance-associated variants (RAVs) in NS3/4A and NS5A were identified by population sequencing.

#### Safety

All AEs were recorded from the time of first study drug administration to 30 days after the last dose; serious AEs were monitored throughout the study. All AEs were coded using the *Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities*. The severity of AEs and their relationship to the treatment were assessed by the investigator. Clinical and laboratory parameters were evaluated throughout the study.

#### Statistical Analyses

A sample size of 40 patients per group was estimated to provide approximately 80% power using a Fisher exact test with a 2-sided significance level of 0.05 to detect a 25% difference between HCV GT1b-infected treatment-naive and prior null responder patients without cirrhosis, assuming that 70% of prior null responders and 95% of treatment-naive patients would achieve SVR<sub>12</sub>. Efficacy and safety analyses were performed using data from the intent-to-treat population, defined as all patients who received  $\geq 1$  dose of study drug. Missing HCV RNA values were imputed using flanking imputation.

The number and percentage of patients achieving efficacy endpoints were summarized, and corresponding exact 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated. SVR rates in patient groups without cirrhosis were compared using a logistic regression model with treatment group, baseline log<sub>10</sub> HCV RNA level, and interleukin 28B (IL28B) genotype as predictors; the difference in SVR<sub>12</sub> response was assessed using the stratum-adjusted Mantel-Haenszel method adjusted for IL28B genotype. AEs and laboratory values were summarized for each treatment group. SAS/STAT<sup>®</sup> software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) for the UNIX operating system was used for all analyses. Statistical tests and 95% CIs were 2-sided with a significance level of 0.05.

# Results

#### Patients

A total of 467 patients with HCV were screened for inclusion in PEARL-I for enrollment in both the GT1b and GT4 arms of the study. Of these patients, 293 had HCV GT1b infection, and 181 (82 noncirrhotic and 99 with compensated cirrhosis) received  $\geq 1$  dose of study medication (Supplementary Figure 1). Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics are presented in Table 1. The 2 patient groups without cirrhosis consisted of 42 treatment-naive and 40 prior null responder patients. Of the patients with cirrhosis, 47 were treatment-naive and 52 were pegylated interferon and ribavirin treatment-experienced and had mean baseline platelet count, albumin, and international normalized ratio (PT-INR) of 141.6 x 10<sup>9</sup> cells/L, 40.4 g/dL, and 1.08, respectively. The vast majority (68.3%-95.0%) of patients had a non-CC IL28B genotype. Nearly half of the treatment-experienced patients with cirrhosis (n = 25[48.1%]) were prior null responders. Baseline characteristics in treatment-naive and treatment-experienced patients with cirrhosis were similar. Among patients without cirrhosis, a greater percentage of null responder versus treatment-naive patients were female, white, had a non-CC IL28B genotype, or had fibrosis stage F0-F1. The majority of all patients (96.1%) completed treatment; only 7 patients discontinued prematurely (Supplementary Figure 1).

#### Virologic Response

All but 3 patients achieved RVR at week 4, and SVR<sub>12</sub> rates were similarly high in patients with and without cirrhosis (Figure 1). SVR<sub>12</sub> was achieved in 95.2% (n = 40/42; 95% CI, 83.8%–99.4%) of treatment-naive and 90.0% (n = 36/40; 95% CI, 76.3%–97.2%) of prior null responder patients without cirrhosis. Among patients with cirrhosis, SVR<sub>12</sub> was achieved in 97.9% (n = 46/47; 95% CI, 88.7%–99.9%) of treatment-naive and 96.2% (n = 50/52; 95% CI, 86.8%–99.5%) of treatment-experienced patients. After the primary database lock, 1 treatment-naive patient who was thought to be lost to follow-up returned for a visit and had undetectable HCV RNA levels, making the SVR<sub>12</sub> rate following the database lock 100% (n = 47/47) in treatment-naive

	Non	cirrhotic	Cirrhotic		
Parameter	Treatment-naive $(n = 42)$	Prior null responder $(n = 40)$	Treatment-naive $(n = 47)$	Treatment-experienced $(n = 52)$	
No. of males (%)	25 (59.5)	15 (37.5)	23 (48.9)	33 (63.5)	
	07 (04 0)		44 (00 0)	50 (100)	
White	27 (64.3)	39 (97.5)	44 (93.6)	52 (100)	
Black	11 (26.2)	1 (2.5)	0	0	
Asian	3 (7.1)	0	1 (2.1)	U	
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	1 (2.1)	U	
Other	1 (2.4)	0	1 (2.1)	0	
Mean $\pm$ SD age, y	$55.8 \pm 6.9$	54.2 ± 9.6	57.8 ± 7.1	57.1 ± 6.0	
Mean $\pm$ SD BMI, $kg/m^2$	27.2 ± 4.5	$26.9 \pm 4.9$	27.2 ± 3.6	$26.6 \pm 3.8$	
No. of IL28B genotype subjects (%) <sup>a</sup>					
CC	13 (31.7)	2 (5.0)	8 (17.0)	5 (9.6)	
Non-CC	28 (68.3)	38 (95.0)	39 (83.0)	47 (90.4)	
Mean ± SD HCV	$6.4 \pm 0.9$	$6.4 \pm 0.5$	$6.3 \pm 0.6$	$6.5 \pm 0.5$	
RINA log <sub>10,</sub> IU/ML					
distribution (%) <sup>b</sup>					
F0–F1 <sup>°</sup>	22 (52.4)	30 (75.0)	0	0	
F2 <sup>d</sup>	14 (33.3)	5 (12.5)	0	0	
F3 <sup>e</sup>	6 (14.3)	5 (12.5)	0	0	
F4 <sup>f</sup>	0	Û Î	47 (100)	52 (100)	
Subjects with previous pegylated interferon and ribavirin response (%)					
Null response	N/A	40 (100)	N/A	25 (48.1)	
Partial response	N/A	Û	N/A	15 (28.8)	
Relapse	N/A	0	N/A	12 (23.1)	

BMI, body mass index; HCV, hepatitis C virus; IL, interleukin; N/A, not applicable.

<sup>a</sup>Data were missing for 1 noncirrhotic treatment-naive patient.

<sup>b</sup>Liver biopsy was performed to assess fibrosis in 61.9% (26 of 42) and 15% (6 of 40) of treatment-naive and prior null responders without cirrhosis and in 23.4% (11 of 47) and 21.2% (11 of 52) of treatment-naive and treatment-experienced patients with cirrhosis.

<sup>c</sup>No cirrhosis or limited fibrous expansion into portal areas.

<sup>d</sup>Fibrous expansion in most portal areas, with some portal-to-portal bridging.

<sup>e</sup>Marked fibrous expansion, including portal-to-portal and portal-to-central bridging.

<sup>*t*</sup>Fibrosis indicative of cirrhosis.

patients with cirrhosis. No clinically meaningful differences in  $SVR_{12}$  rates were observed between any of these groups. No patient experienced relapse after having achieved  $SVR_{12}$ .

#### Virologic Failure

Five patients experienced virologic failure: 4 prior null responder patients without cirrhosis and 1 treatmentexperienced (prior null responder) patient with cirrhosis; all patients had CT IL28B genotype. One patient without cirrhosis experienced on-treatment breakthrough during week 8, and 3 relapsed in post-treatment weeks 3, 5, and 9 (n = 1 each). The patient with cirrhosis relapsed during post-treatment week 2.

#### Resistance-Associated Variants

Overall, RAVs in NS3 and NS5A were detected in 1.1% and 17.6% of patients at baseline, respectively (Table 2), although

many of the NS5A RAVs do not confer resistance to ombitasvir in vitro as single variants. The most commonly detected baseline variant was Y93H in NS5A (n = 13 [7.4%]). In patients without cirrhosis, the SVR<sub>12</sub> rate in those with baseline RAVs versus those without RAVs was 92.9% (n = 13/14) versus 96.3% (n = 26/27) in treatment-naive patients and 92.6% (n = 25/27) versus 84.6% (n = 11/13) in prior null responders. In patients with cirrhosis, the SVR<sub>12</sub> rate in those with baseline RAVs versus those without RAVs was 96.6% (n = 28/29) versus 100% (n = 18/18) in treatmentnaive patients and 96.3% (n = 26/27) versus 95.8% (n = 23/24) in treatment-experienced patients.

No association was observed between specific baseline NS3 and NS5A RAVs and SVR<sub>12</sub>; the Y93H NS5A variant at baseline was detected in 2 of the 4 prior null responder patients without cirrhosis who did not achieve SVR<sub>12</sub> (Y93H, n = 1; P58S + Y93H, n = 1) and it was detected in 2 prior





null responder and 2 treatment-naive patients who achieved SVR<sub>12</sub>. None of the patients with cirrhosis who had RAVs in NS5A at baseline experienced virologic failure. RAVs in NS3 and NS5A were present after breakthrough or relapse in all 5 of the patients with virologic failure (NS3: D168V [n = 4], Y56H + D168A [n = 1]; NS5A: Y93H [n = 3], P58S + Y93H [n = 2]; Table 3).

## Safety

Similar rates of treatment-emergent AEs were reported across patient groups (73.1%–80.9%; Table 4), and most were mild in severity. The most commonly reported events were headache (17.3%-33.3%), asthenia (5.0%-21.3%), pruritus (0%-17.0%), and diarrhea (0%-14.9%). Serious

AEs occurred in 7 patients (n = 1 each): extrusion of penile prosthesis, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbation, esophageal variceal hemorrhage, humerus fracture and partial seizures, elevated alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and aspartate aminotransferase (AST) levels (see the following summary of laboratory abnormalities for further details), peripheral artery aneurysm, and hepatic neoplasm. Only the elevated ALT and AST levels were considered by the investigator to have a reasonable possibility of being related to the study drug. AEs resulted in 3 patients prematurely discontinuing treatment; all 3 patients were treatment-naive with cirrhosis. One of the patients, a 62-year-old male with a previous history of esophageal varices, developed esophageal variceal hemorrhage and prematurely discontinued the study drug on day 24. On day

Table 2.NS3 and NS5A RA	Vs Detected at Baseline
-------------------------	-------------------------

Target	RAV	Non	cirrhotic	Cirrhotic		
		Treatment-naive $(n = 39)$	Prior null responder $(n = 40)$	Treatment-naive $(n = 46)$	Treatment-experienced $(n = 51)$	
NS3	Y56H <sup>a</sup>	0	0	0	0	
	A156V	1	0	0	0	
	D168E	0	0	1	0	
	D168V	0	0	0	0	
NS5A	L28M <sup>b</sup>	2	0	0	2	
	R30Q <sup>b</sup>	1	2	4	1	
	P58S <sup>b</sup>	1	4	1	4	
	Y93H	2	4	5	2	

NS, nonstructural viral protein; RAV, resistance-associated variant.

<sup>a</sup>NS3 polymorphism Y56H alone does not impact paritaprevir potency when tested in vitro, although it influences the level of resistance when combined with signature NS3 RAVs at position D168.

<sup>b</sup>NS5A polymorphisms L28M, R30Q, and P58S alone do not impact ombitasvir potency when tested in vitro, although they influence the level of resistance when combined with signature NS5A RAV Y93H. Four patients had more than 1 variant present in NS5A (2 had R30Q+Y93H and 2 had P58S+Y93H).

		Deccen for	NS3 RAVs		NS5A RAVs	
Patient <sup>a</sup>	Disease characteristic	nonresponse	At baseline	At time of failure	At baseline	At time of failure
1	Noncirrhotic prior null responder	Breakthrough	None	Y56H + D168A	Y93H	P58S + Y93H
2	Noncirrhotic prior null responder	Relapse	None	D168V	None	Y93H
3	Noncirrhotic prior null responder	Relapse	None	D168V	P58S + Y93H	P58S + Y93H
4	Noncirrhotic prior null responder	Relapse	None	D168V	None	Y93H
5	Cirrhotic treatment-experienced	Relapse	None	D168V	None	Y93H

Table 3. RAVs in Patients Who Experienced Virologic Failure

IL, interleukin; NS, nonstructural viral protein; RAV, resistance-associated variant. <sup>a</sup>All patients had CT IL28B genotype.

28 (post-treatment day 4), increased transaminases, renal impairment, and ascites were noted. This event (esophageal variceal hemorrhage) resolved on post-treatment day 17 but the patient subsequently experienced another episode of gastrointestinal hemorrhage (post-treatment day 83) and died 11 days later (post-treatment day 94). The other 2 patients prematurely discontinued study drug because of nonserious events of ascites in the setting of hepatic neoplasm (n = 1) and isolated peripheral edema in the setting of calcium channel blocker use (n = 1).

#### Laboratory Abnormalities

A grade 2 (<10.0-8.0 g/dL) reduction in hemoglobin occurred in a patient with cirrhosis who had a grade 1 abnormality at baseline. This reduction in hemoglobin persisted throughout the study and required no action with the study drug (Table 4). No grade 3 or 4 reductions in hemoglobin were observed during treatment.

The number of patients with grade 2 or greater elevations in ALT is presented in Table 4. Four patients had grade  $\geq$ 3 elevations in ALT levels during the treatment period.

#### Table 4. Treatment-Emergent AEs and Laboratory Abnormalities

		Noncirrhotic			Cirrhotic			
Event	Total (n = 82)	Treatment-naive $(n = 42)$	Prior null responder (n = 40)	Total (n = 99)	Treatment-naive $(n = 47)$	Treatment- experienced (n = 52)		
Any AE, <i>n</i> (%)	63 (76.8)	31 (73.8)	32 (80.0)	76 (76.8)	38 (80.9)	38 (73.1)		
Serious AE, n (%)	2 (2.4)	1 (2.4)	1 (2.5)	5 (5.1)	3 (6.4)	2 (3.8)		
AE leading to treatment discontinuation, n (%)	0	0	0	3 (3.0)	3 (6.4)	0		
Severe AE, n (%)	2 (2.4)	0	2 (5.0)	5 (5.1)	2 (4.3)	3 (5.8)		
Common AEs, $n'$ (%) <sup>a</sup>	· · ·			· · ·	( )			
Asthenia	5 (6.1)	3 (7.1)	2 (5.0)	17 (17.2)	10 (21.3)	7 (13.5)		
Back pain	Û	Û	Û Û	10 (10.1)	6 (12.8)	4 (7.7)		
Diarrhea	6 (7.3)	6 (14.3)	0	14 (14.1)	7 (14.9)	7 (13.5)		
Dry skin	7 (8.5)	7 (16.7)	0	1 (1.0)	1 (2.1)	Û Í		
Fatigue	6 (7.3)	6 (14.3)	0	10 (10.1)	4 (8.5)	6 (11.5)		
Headache	24 (29.3)	14 (33.3)	10 (25.0)	18 (18.2)	9 (19.1)	9 (17.3)		
Hypertension	1 (1.2)	0	1 (2.5)	8 (8.1)	7 (14.9)	1 (1.9)		
Nausea	8 (9.8)	8 (19.0)	0	10 (10.1)	5 (10.6)	5 (9.6)		
Pruritus	6 (7.3)	6 (14.3)	0	16 (16.2)	8 (17.0)	8 (15.4)		
Death, n (%)	0	0	0	1 (1.0)	1 (2.1) <sup>b</sup>	0		
Laboratory abnormalities, n (	%)							
Hemoglobin, grade	0	0	0	1 (1.0)	1 (2.1)	0		
≥2 (≤8.0 g/dL)								
ALT, grade 2	4 (4.9)	1 (2.4)	3 (7.5)	4 (4.0)	3 (6.4)	1 (1.9)		
ALT, grade 3 or 4	2 (2.4)	1 (2.4)	1 (2.5)	2 (2.0)	2 (4.3)	0		
AST, grade 3 or 4	2 (2.4)	1 (2.4)	1 (2.5)	1 (1.0)	1 (2.1)	0		
Total bilirubin, grade 3	0	0	0	3 (3.0)	2 (4.3)	1 (1.9)		

AE, adverse event; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase.

<sup>a</sup>AEs that occurred in  $\geq$ 10% of patients in any group.

<sup>b</sup>Death (due to gastrointestinal hemorrhage) was not considered by the investigator to be related to treatment.

Two patients (1 with and 1 without cirrhosis) were asymptomatic with no related AEs. A third patient, a 39-year-old female without cirrhosis, required a study drug interruption (days 20-36) because of AEs of ALT, AST, and blood bilirubin increased; the patient resumed study drug on day 37 and achieved SVR12. The fourth patient had cirrhosis and concomitant direct hyperbilirubinemia, edema, and serious AEs of ALT and AST increase on day 45. None of the patients with grade  $\geq 3$  elevations in ALT discontinued the study drug, and no interventions were required for these laboratory abnormalities other than study drug interruption in the third patient mentioned above (without cirrhosis); all 4 of these patients achieved SVR<sub>12</sub>. ALT elevations resolved with continued study treatment by the final visit. Mean ALT and AST changes from baseline are shown in the Supplemental Table. Mean decreases in ALT and AST were observed in all treatment groups and the decreases were generally greater for the patients with cirrhosis than without cirrhosis.

The number of patients with grade 3 elevations in total bilirubin is shown in Table 4. No grade 4 elevations in total bilirubin were observed during treatment. Two patients with cirrhosis (with no ALT/AST elevations) had grade 3 total bilirubin increases that were predominantly indirect and occurred at only 1 visit (days 15 and 112, respectively).

### Discussion

Patients with HCV GT1 infection and cirrhosis or those for whom prior treatment with pegylated interferon and ribavirin has failed have historically been difficult to treat successfully. Recent clinical trials have demonstrated that a combination of potent DAAs targeting different stages of the viral life cycle is an efficacious treatment approach for patients with HCV GT1 infection without the use of interferon. In this phase 2b, international, multicenter clinical trial in 181 patients from North America and Europe with HCV GT1b infection, an interferon- and ribavirin-free regimen of ombitasvir, paritaprevir, and ritonavir resulted in high SVR<sub>12</sub> rates of 90% to 98% in patients without cirrhosis or with compensated cirrhosis, including patients who were prior null responders. These high SVR<sub>12</sub> rates are comparable with or are higher than those reported for other 2-DAA  $^{14,15,22,29-31}$  and 3-DAA  $^{13,16,17,20,25,26,32,33}$  interferonfree regimens.

The results described here provide information that was previously lacking in prior null responder patients with GT1b infection. Few studies have evaluated this specific subpopulation and, if they have, efficacy data for such patients are not discernible from the published literature.<sup>14,22,30,34,35</sup> For example, 1 trial that examined the efficacy and safety of sofosbuvir and ledipasvir combined SVR results from patients with heterogeneous treatment histories; efficacy results in specific patient subsets, such as null responders, were not provided.<sup>14</sup> One phase 3 study with well-characterized SVR rates by treatment history noted SVR rates of 82% with daclatasvir plus asunaprevir among prior null responders; however, patients with

cirrhosis were not evaluated.<sup>30</sup> In another trial, only 41 patients with GT1 infection were prior interferon and ribavirin null responders and none had cirrhosis.<sup>35</sup>

One trial that fully characterized SVR rates by patient subsets examined the safety and efficacy of grazoprevir, an NS3/4A protease inhibitor, and elbasvir, an NS5A protease inhibitor, combined with ribavirin. In this trial, the combination regimen provided SVR12 rates of 90% and 97% with 12 and 18 weeks of treatment, respectively, in treatmentnaive patients with HCV GT1 infection without cirrhosis. Similar rates were also observed with the ribavirin-free combination (97% and 94%).<sup>22</sup> In the same study, grazoprevir plus elbasvir with or without ribavirin for 12 and 18 weeks also provided high SVR<sub>12</sub> rates (91%-100%) in pegylated interferon and ribavirin null responder patients with or without cirrhosis.<sup>22</sup> However, the CIs were wide because the number of GT1b-infected cirrhotic patients with a prior null response in this trial was limited (n = 15).<sup>22</sup> Another trial that characterized SVR rates by patient subsets was in pegylated-interferon and ribavirin treatment-experienced Japanese patients with HCV GT1b infection without cirrhosis.34 The study evaluated the interferon- and ribavirin-free regimen of ombitasvir (25 mg), paritaprevir (100 or 150 mg), and ritonavir (100 or 150 mg) for 12 or 24 weeks.<sup>34</sup> The SVR rates were high (88.9%-100%) and in prior null responder GT1b-infected patients (n = 51), SVR rates were 100% regardless of paritaprevir dose or treatment duration.<sup>34</sup> The small differences in SVR between the present and other studies in prior null responder GT1b-infected patients suggest that further studies are needed to determine if this subset of treatment-experienced patients should receive longer treatment duration or an additional DAA.

A low rate (2%; 5/181) of virologic failure was observed in this study; virologic relapse occurred in 4 patients and virologic breakthrough occurred in 1 patient. All 5 patients had RAVs in both NS3 and NS5A at the time of failure, including 2 patients who had RAVs at baseline (both NS5A). It is worth noting that SVR<sub>12</sub> rates were similar between patients with and without virus harboring RAVs at baseline (92.9% vs 96.3%); these findings suggest a high resistance barrier for ombitasvir, paritaprevir, and ritonavir in the treatment of HCV GT1b infection. The impact of RAVs at the time of treatment failure on future treatment options is a key topic in the era of interferon-free DAA regimens. A recent analysis in patients with GT1a infection who experienced virologic failure with the 3-DAA regimen of ombitasvir, paritaprevir, and ritonavir plus dasabuvir reported that some NS3 and NS5A RAVs persisted through 48 weeks post-treatment.<sup>36</sup> Another report among patients with GT1b infection who failed daclatasvir plus asunaprevir showed that NS5A RAVs remained at high frequency through posttreatment weeks 103 through 170, while NS3 RAVs were replaced by wild-type variants in all patients.<sup>37</sup> Together, these data suggest that the persistence of NS5A RAVs is a key factor in evaluating treatment options for patients with GT1 infection who are failing current interferon-free DAA regimens. Studies are needed to evaluate the optimal retreatment strategy for these patients.

In the PEARL-I trial, treatment with ombitasvir, paritaprevir, and ritonavir was generally well tolerated. Anemia was reported in 1 patient and was not considered related to treatment. The majority of AEs were mild in severity and occurred at similar rates in all groups. There was 1 discontinuation in a cirrhotic patient due to a treatment-related AE (isolated peripheral edema that resolved after study drug discontinuation). Grade 1 or 2 decreases in hemoglobin levels occurred more frequently in patients with cirrhosis than in patients without cirrhosis, but the elevations were mild and did not require any changes in study drug regimen. None of the 4 patients who had grade  $\geq$ 3 elevations in ALT levels during the treatment period discontinued the study drug, and no interventions were required for the laboratory abnormalities other than study drug interruption in 1 patient. Three patients had grade 3 elevations in total bilirubin; in 2 cases, these elevations were mostly indirect, were not associated with elevated aminotransferases, and were present at only a single visit. These rates are lower than those reported among similar patient populations who received ribavirin, which is known to elevate bilirubin because of hemolysis. Paritaprevir also increases bilirubin levels indirectly through its inhibition of the bilirubin transporter OATP1B1 and may augment the already increased bilirubin levels due to hemolysis.<sup>38</sup>

It is important to specifically evaluate the efficacy and safety profiles of interferon-free regimens in patients with cirrhosis who have generally had lower SVR rates with interferon-based therapies and who experienced higher rates of AEs than noncirrhotic patients.<sup>39-42</sup> In patients for whom prior pegylated interferon and ribavirin treatment failed, a combination of sofosbuvir and ledipasvir with or without ribavirin for 12 weeks had lower response rates in cirrhotic patients (82%-86%) than noncirrhotic patients (95%–100%).<sup>14</sup> When the duration of treatment was increased from 12 to 24 weeks, a significantly greater proportion of patients with cirrhosis (99% with or without ribavirin) achieved SVR<sub>12</sub>.<sup>14</sup> In the present study, an intentto-treat analysis showed that 97.0% of patients with cirrhosis achieved SVR<sub>12</sub> following 24 weeks of treatment with ombitasvir, paritaprevir, and ritonavir. In addition, SVR<sub>12</sub> rates in patients with cirrhosis were similar among treatment-naive (97.9%) and treatment-experienced patients (96.2%). Whether a shorter 12-week course of therapy with ombitasvir and paritaprevir may also lead to comparable SVR rates in patients with cirrhosis has not yet been explored. Rates of AEs in patients with cirrhosis were similar to those in patients without cirrhosis, and only 1 patient with cirrhosis discontinued treatment because of an AE related to the study drug. These results suggest that a 24-week course of therapy does not increase the risk of AEs compared with a shorter 12-week course. It is also worth noting that the overall discontinuation rate for any reason was approximately 4% (n = 7/181).

A limitation of this study was that the treatmentexperienced group of patients without cirrhosis only included patients who had a null response to prior pegylated interferon and ribavirin treatment; therefore, a full assessment in patients in whom interferon-based treatment has failed is unknown. However, among such patients, null responders are considered the most difficult to treat; the high rates of  $SVR_{12}$  achieved in these patients suggest that ombitasvir, paritaprevir, and ritonavir would be equally efficacious in patients with a prior partial response or relapse. Another limitation is that a 12-week treatment duration was not studied in patients with cirrhosis. Although patients with decompensated cirrhosis were not included in this study, patients with Child-Pugh class B cirrhosis will be evaluated in future clinical trials of ombitasvir, paritaprevir, and ritonavir plus dasabuvir with and without ribavirin.

In conclusion, an all-oral interferon- and ribavirin-free regimen of ombitasvir, paritaprevir, and ritonavir was generally well tolerated and achieved high rates of  $SVR_{12}$  in both cirrhotic and noncirrhotic patients with HCV GT1b infection who were treatment-naive or treatment-experienced, including prior null responders.

# Supplementary Material

Note: To access the supplementary material accompanying this article, visit the online version of *Gastroenterology* at www.gastrojournal.org, and at http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2015.07.001.

#### References

- World Health Organization. Hepatitis C. WHO fact sheet 164. Available at: http://www.who.int/mediacentre/ factsheets/fs164/en/. Accessed September 9, 2015.
- Smith DB, Bukh J, Kuiken C, et al. Expanded classification of hepatitis C virus into 7 genotypes and 67 subtypes: updated criteria and genotype assignment web resource. Hepatology 2014;59:318–327.
- World Health Organization. Global alert and response (GAR): hepatitis C. Available at: http://www.who.int/ csr/disease/hepatitis/whocdscsrlyo2003/en/. Accessed September 9, 2015.
- Strader DB, Wright T, Thomas DL, et al. Diagnosis, management, and treatment of hepatitis C. Hepatology 2004;39:1147–1171.
- McHutchison JG, Lawitz EJ, Shiffman ML, et al. Peginterferon alfa-2b or alfa-2a with ribavirin for treatment of hepatitis C infection. N Engl J Med 2009;361:580–593.
- Jacobson IM, McHutchison JG, Dusheiko G, et al. Telaprevir for previously untreated chronic hepatitis C virus infection. N Engl J Med 2011;364:2405–2416.
- Zeuzem S, Andreone P, Pol S, et al. Telaprevir for retreatment of HCV infection. N Engl J Med 2011; 364:2417–2428.
- Poordad F, McCone J Jr, Bacon BR, et al. Boceprevir for untreated chronic HCV genotype 1 infection. N Engl J Med 2011;364:1195–1206.
- Bacon BR, Gordon SC, Lawitz E, et al. Boceprevir for previously treated chronic HCV genotype 1 infection. N Engl J Med 2011;364:1207–1217.
- 10. Hezode C, Fontaine H, Dorival C, et al. Effectiveness of telaprevir or boceprevir in treatment-experienced

patients with HCV genotype 1 infection and cirrhosis. Gastroenterology 2014;147:132–142 e134.

- Lawitz E, Mangia A, Wyles D, et al, Gane EJ. Sofosbuvir for previously untreated chronic hepatitis C infection. N Engl J Med 2013;368:1878–1887.
- Kowdley KV, Lawitz E, Poordad F, et al. Phase 2b trial of interferon-free therapy for hepatitis C virus genotype 1. N Engl J Med 2014;370:222–232.
- Poordad F, Hezode C, Trinh R, et al. ABT-450/r-ombitasvir and dasabuvir with ribavirin for hepatitis C with cirrhosis. N Engl J Med 2014;370:1973–1982.
- Afdhal N, Reddy KR, Nelson DR, et al. Ledipasvir and sofosbuvir for previously treated HCV genotype 1 infection. N Engl J Med 2014;370:1483–1493.
- Afdhal N, Zeuzem S, Kwo P, et al. Ledipasvir and sofosbuvir for untreated HCV genotype 1 infection. N Engl J Med 2014;370:1889–1898.
- Andreone P, Colombo MG, Enejosa JV, et al. ABT-450, ritonavir, ombitasvir, and dasabuvir achieves 97% and 100% sustained virologic response with or without ribavirin in treatment-experienced patients with HCV genotype 1b infection. Gastroenterology 2014; 147:359–365.
- Ferenci P, Bernstein D, Lalezari J, et al. ABT-450/rombitasvir and dasabuvir with or without ribavirin for HCV. N Engl J Med 2014;370:1983–1992.
- Kowdley KV, Gordon SC, Reddy KR, et al. Ledipasvir and sofosbuvir for 8 or 12 weeks for chronic HCV without cirrhosis. N Engl J Med 2014;370:1879–1888.
- Sulkowski MS, Nelson DR, Wedemeyer H, et al. Low frequency of anemia in phase 3 trials of ABT-450/r/ABT-267 and ABT-333 with ribavirin in treatment-naïve (SAPPHIRE-I) and treatment-experienced (SAPPHIRE-II) patients, In 20th International AIDS Conference, Melbourne, Australia, July 20-25, 2014.
- Muir AJ, Poordad F, Lalezari J, et al. Daclatasvir in combination with asunaprevir and beclabuvir for hepatitis C virus genotype 1 infection with compensated cirrhosis. JAMA 2015;313:1736–1744.
- 21. Lawitz E, Sulkowski MS, Ghalib R, et al. Simeprevir plus sofosbuvir, with or without ribavirin, to treat chronic infection with hepatitis C virus genotype 1 in non-responders to pegylated interferon and ribavirin and treatment-naive patients: the COSMOS randomised study. Lancet 2014;384:1756–1765.
- 22. Lawitz E, Gane E, Pearlman B, et al. Efficacy and safety of 12 weeks versus 18 weeks of treatment with grazoprevir (MK-5172) and elbasvir (MK-8742) with or without ribavirin for hepatitis C virus geno-type 1 infection in previously untreated patients with cirrhosis and patients with previous null response with or without cirrhosis (C-WORTHY): a randomised, open-label phase 2 trial. Lancet 2015; 385:1075–1086.
- 23. DeGoey DA, Randolph JT, Liu D, et al. Discovery of ABT-267, a pan-genotypic inhibitor of HCV NS5A. J Med Chem 2014;57:2047–2057.
- 24. Menon RM, Klein CE, Lawal AA, et al. Pharmacokinetics and tolerability of the HCV protease inhibitor ABT-450 following single ascending doses in healthy adult

volunteers with and without ritonavir (HEP DART 2009 abstract 57). Glob Antiviral J 2009;5:53.

- 25. Feld JJ, Kowdley KV, Coakley E, et al. Treatment of HCV with ABT-450/r-ombitasvir and dasabuvir with ribavirin. N Engl J Med 2014;370:1594–1603.
- 26. Zeuzem S, Jacobson IM, Baykal T, et al. Retreatment of HCV with ABT-450/r-ombitasvir and dasabuvir with ribavirin. N Engl J Med 2014;370:1604–1614.
- 27. Colombo M, Weiland O, Cohen DE, et al. SVR12 rate of 98.6% in 992 HCV genotype 1b-infected patients treated with ABT-450/r/ombitasvir and dasabuvir with or without ribavirin. Hepatology 2014;60:1131A.
- 28. Fried MW, Di Bisceglie AM, Vierling JM, et al. Safety of ABT-450/r/ombitasvir + dasabuvir with or without ribavirin in HCV genotype 1-infected patients: results from phase 2 and phase 3 trials. Hepatology 2014; 60:1145A.
- 29. Lok AS, Gardiner DF, Hezode C, et al. Randomized trial of daclatasvir and asunaprevir with or without PegIFN/ RBV for hepatitis C virus genotype 1 null responders. J Hepatol 2014;60:490–499.
- **30.** Manns M, Pol S, Jacobson IM, et al. All-oral daclatasvir plus asunaprevir for hepatitis C virus genotype 1b: a multinational, phase 3, multicohort study. Lancet 2014; 384:1597–1605.
- **31.** Zeuzem S, Ghalib R, Reddy KR, et al. Grazoprevirelbasvir combination therapy for treatment-naive cirrhotic and noncirrhotic patients with chronic hepatitis C virus genotype 1, 4, or 6 infection: a randomized trial. Ann Intern Med 2015;163:1–13.
- **32.** Everson GT, Sims KD, Rodriguez-Torres M, et al. Efficacy of an interferon- and ribavirin-free regimen of daclatasvir, asunaprevir, and BMS-791325 in treatmentnaive patients with HCV genotype 1 infection. Gastroenterology 2014;146:420–429.
- **33.** Poordad F, Sievert W, Mollison L, et al. Fixed-dose combination therapy with daclatasvir, asunaprevir, and beclabuvir for noncirrhotic patients with HCV genotype 1 infection. JAMA 2015;313:1728–1735.
- Chayama K, Notsumata K, Kurosaki M, et al. Randomized trial of interferon- and ribavirin-free ombitasvir/ paritaprevir/ritonavir in treatment-experienced hepatitis C virus-infected patients. Hepatology 2015; 61:1523–1532.
- Sulkowski MS, Gardiner DF, Rodriguez-Torres M, et al. Daclatasvir plus sofosbuvir for previously treated or untreated chronic HCV infection. N Engl J Med 2014; 370:211–221.
- **36.** Krishnan P, Tripathi R, Schnell G, et al. Long-term followup of treatment-emergent resistance-associated variants in NS3, NS5A and NS5B with paritaprevir/r-, ombitasvirand dasabuvir-based regimens. J Hepatol 2015;62(Suppl 2):S222.
- Yoshimi S, Imamura M, Murakami E, et al. Long term persistence of NS5A inhibitor-resistant hepatitis C virus in patients who failed daclatasvir and asunaprevir therapy. J Med Virol 2015;87:1913–1920.
- **38.** Monteagudo E, Fonsi M, Chu X, et al. The metabolism and disposition of a potent inhibitor of hepatitis C virus NS3/4A protease. Xenobiotica 2010;40:826–839.

- **39.** Fried MW, Shiffman ML, Reddy KR, et al. Peginterferon alfa-2a plus ribavirin for chronic hepatitis C virus infection. N Engl J Med 2002;347:975–982.
- **40.** Heathcote EJ, Shiffman ML, Cooksley WG, et al. Peginterferon alfa-2a in patients with chronic hepatitis C and cirrhosis. N Engl J Med 2000;343:1673–1680.
- 41. Zeuzem S, Feinman SV, Rasenack J, et al. Peginterferon alfa-2a in patients with chronic hepatitis C. N Engl J Med 2000;343:1666–1672.
- Hezode C, Fontaine H, Dorival C, et al. Triple therapy in treatment-experienced patients with HCV-cirrhosis in a multicentre cohort of the French Early Access Programme (ANRS CO20-CUPIC)—NCT01514890. J Hepatol 2013;59:434–441.

#### Author names in bold designate shared co-first authorship.

Received March 17, 2015. Accepted July 2, 2015.

#### Reprint requests

Address requests for reprints to: Christophe Hézode, MD, PhD, Service d'Hépatologie et de Gastroentérologie, Hôpital Henri Mondor, 51 avenue du Maréchal de Lattre de Tassigny, 94010 Créteil Cedex, France. e-mail: christophe.hezode@hmn.aphp.fr.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge Mudra Kapoor, Carolyn Setze, Rajeev M. Menon, Barbara McGovern, Kerstin Krauss, Charles Meyer, Ingrid Facey, Cordula Ubrig, Christina Giannoulis, Christine Collins, Preethi Krishnan, Gretja Schnell, Rakesh Tripathi, Tom Reisch, and Jill Beyer of AbbVie for

assistance in the preparation and operation of the study. This study was funded by AbbVie Inc. Editorial and medical writing support was provided by Katherine Groschwitz, PhD, at Complete Publication Solutions, LLC; this support was funded by AbbVie Inc.

#### Conflicts of interest

The authors disclose the following: E.L. has received research grants from AbbVie, Achillion Pharmaceuticals, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Gilead Sciences, GlaxoSmithKline, Idenix Pharmaceuticals, Janssen, Merck & Co., Novartis, Presidio, Roche, Santaris Pharmaceuticals, Theravance, and Vertex Pharmaceuticals; is a speaker for AbbVie, Gilead, and Janssen; and has consulted for AbbVie, Achillion Pharmaceuticals, BioCryst, Biotica, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Enanta, Gilead Sciences, Idenix Pharmaceuticals, Janssen, Merck & Co, Novartis, Santaris Pharmaceuticals, Regulus, Theravance, and Vertex Pharmaceuticals. M.M. has received research support from AbbVie, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, and Idenix Pharmaceuticals; has been a speaker for Gilead, Merck, and Janssen; and has participated in advisory boards for AbbVie, Gilead, Janssen, Merck, and Roche. U.S.A. has participated in advisory boards for AbbVie, Gilead, Merck Sharp & Dohme, and Bristol-Myers Squibb, and has been a speaker for Gilead, AbbVie, and Roche. P.J.T. has been a speaker for AbbVie and Gilead and has received research grants from AbbVie, Gilead, and Bristol-Myers Squibb. L.L.P. has been a clinical investigator and speaker for AbbVie, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Janssen Pharmaceuticals, and Roche. P.V. has been a speaker for AbbVie. R. M<sup>a</sup>. M. is been a speaker for Gilead, Janssen, Merck, and Roche and has participated in advisory boards for AbbVie, Bristol-Myers Squibb, and Gilead. C.H., N.M., R.R., T.P-M., and R.A.V. are employees of AbbVie Inc, and may hold stock or stock options. C.H. has been a clinical investigator, speaker, and consultant for AbbVie, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Gilead Sciences, Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Merck Sharp & Dohme, and Roche.

#### Funding

This work was funded by AbbVie, which also contributed to the design and conduct of the study, data management, data analysis, interpretation of data, and preparation and approval of the manuscript.



**Supplementary Figure 1.** Disposition of patients during study treatment. The most frequent reasons for screening failure for patients without cirrhosis were abnormal laboratory values at screening (n = 22 patients), not signing informed consent (n = 5 patients), and participation in other clinical trial (n = 5 patients); for patients with cirrhosis, the most frequent reasons for screening failure were clinical evidence of Child-Pugh class B or C cirrhosis (n = 14 patients), abnormal laboratory values at screening (n = 12 patients), and lack of documentation of prior HCV treatment (n = 3 patients). GT, genotype; HCV, hepatitis C virus.