



# Finite generalized quadrangles as the union of few large subquadrangles

H. Van Maldeghem<sup>1</sup>

*Department of Pure Mathematics and Computer Algebra, University of Ghent, Galglaan 2,  
9000 Gent, Belgium*

Received 5 March 1997; accepted 5 January 1998

## Abstract

We study the question: what is the smallest number  $n$  of subquadrangles of order  $(s, t')$  of a finite generalized quadrangle  $\Gamma$  of order  $(s, t)$  such that the union of the point sets of all these subquadrangles is equal to the point set of  $\Gamma$ ? It turns out that  $n \geq s + 1$  and if  $n = s + 1$ , then except for a finite list of small examples, either all the subquadrangles are disjoint, or  $\sqrt{t} = s = t'$  and all the subquadrangles meet pairwise in a common subquadrangle of order  $(s, 1)$ . Examples exist in both cases and they show that a further classification is out of reach. A similar result holds for finite polar spaces. © 1999 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Finite generalized quadrangles; Subquadrangles; Polar spaces; Polar subspaces

## 1. Introduction, notation and statement of the results

A *finite generalized quadrangle of order  $(s, t)$* ,  $s, t \geq 1$ , is a point-line geometry  $\Gamma = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}, \mathbf{I})$  (where we treat the incidence relation  $\mathbf{I}$  as a symmetric relation) satisfying the following axioms:

(GQ1) each point is incident with  $1 + t$  lines and two distinct points are incident with at most one line;

(GQ2) each line is incident with  $1 + s$  points and two distinct lines are incident with at most one point;

(GQ3) if  $x$  is a point and  $L$  is a line not incident with  $x$ , then there is a unique pair  $(y, M) \in \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{L}$  for which  $x \mathbf{I} M \mathbf{I} y \mathbf{I} L$ .

Generalized quadrangles were introduced by Tits [8]. The above definition is taken from Payne and Thas [4].

*E-mail address:* hvm@cage.rug.ac.be (H. Van Maldeghem)

<sup>1</sup> The author is a Research Director of the Fund for Scientific Research, Flanders, Belgium.

A subquadrangle  $\Gamma' = (\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{L}', \mathbf{I}')$  of a given generalized quadrangle  $\Gamma = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}, \mathbf{I})$  is a generalized quadrangle for which  $\mathcal{P}' \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}' \subseteq \mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathbf{I}'$  is the restriction of  $\mathbf{I}$  to  $(\mathcal{P}' \times \mathcal{L}') \cup (\mathcal{L}' \times \mathcal{P}')$ . Let us define a large subquadrangle of a generalized quadrangle of order  $(s, t)$  as a subquadrangle of order  $(s, t')$  with  $t' < t$ , i.e., they are ‘large’ with respect to the point set (a large subquadrangle in this sense is often called a full subquadrangle). Natural questions are

- (1) whether a given generalized quadrangle has a (large) subquadrangle;
- (2) are there restrictions on the orders of a quadrangle and a (large) subquadrangle;
- (3) how many large subquadrangles do we need to cover a generalized quadrangle?

Considerable attention is always given to the first question when a new class of quadrangles is discovered. The second question has been solved by Thas [5] and the answer is as follows, see also Payne and Thas [4].

**Theorem 1** (Thas [5]). *Let  $\Gamma$  be a generalized quadrangle of order  $(s, t)$ . If  $\Gamma$  contains a large subquadrangle  $\Gamma'$  of order  $(s, t')$ , then  $t \geq st'$ . If  $t' > 1$ , then  $t \geq \sqrt{s^3}$ . If  $t = st'$ , then every line of  $\Gamma$  not in  $\Gamma'$  is incident with a unique point of  $\Gamma'$ . If  $\Gamma'$  contains a large subquadrangle of order  $(s, t'')$ , then  $t'' = 1$ ,  $t' = s$  and  $t = s^2$ .*

In the present paper, we give a fairly general answer to the third question. For short, we say that a generalized quadrangle is the union of  $n$  large subquadrangles if its point set is the union of the point sets of  $n$  large subquadrangles. Our main result is:

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a generalized quadrangle of order  $(s, t)$  with  $s, t > 1$ . Then  $\Gamma$  cannot be the union of fewer than  $s + 1$  large subquadrangles. Also, if  $\Gamma$  is the union of  $s + 1$  subquadrangles, then, if  $s > 2$ , these subquadrangles all have the same order  $(s, t')$ , and one of the following holds (denoting by  $\mathcal{S}$  the set of  $s + 1$  large subquadrangles):*

- (i) *the point set of  $\Gamma$  is the disjoint union of the points sets of the members of  $\mathcal{S}$ , and  $t' = (t - 1)/(s + 1)$ ;*
- (ii) *there exists a large subquadrangle  $\Gamma^*$  of order  $(s, 1)$  such that every two members of  $\mathcal{S}$  meet precisely in  $\Gamma^*$ . Every member of  $\mathcal{S}$  has order  $(s, s)$ , and  $t = s^2$ ;*
- (iii)  *$(t', s, t) = (2, 4, 8)$ , every two members of  $\mathcal{S}$  meet in the nine points of an ovoid in both members, there are exactly 30 points of  $\Gamma$  which lie in at least two members of  $\mathcal{S}$  and every such point lies in exactly 3 members, every member contains exactly 18 points which lie in three members of  $\mathcal{S}$  and no line is contained in at least two members of  $\mathcal{S}$ ;*
- (iv)  *$(t', s, t) = (1, 3, 3)$  and there are exactly two non-isomorphic examples, one with no line of  $\Gamma$  in at least two members of  $\Gamma$ , and the other with two unique concurrent lines contained in 3 members of  $\Gamma$ .*
- (v)  *$(t', s, t) = (10, 15, 160)$  and there exists a line  $L$  of  $\Gamma$  such that every two members of  $\mathcal{S}$  meet precisely in  $L$ .*

There are plenty of examples for the first two cases. In fact, for case (i), every known generalized quadrangle  $\Gamma$  of order  $(s, s + 2)$  has at least  $s + 2$  different sets of

$s + 1$  large subquadrangles of order  $(s, 1)$  whose union is  $\Gamma$ . Indeed, every known such quadrangle arises from a quadrangle  $\Gamma'$  of order  $(s + 1, s + 1)$  by deleting a regular point  $p$ , all points collinear with  $p$  and all lines through  $p$ , and adding as new lines all traces containing  $p$  (a trace is the set of points collinear with two given non-collinear points). The set of points of  $\Gamma$  collinear in  $\Gamma'$  with a given point  $x$  of  $\Gamma' \setminus \Gamma$ ,  $x \neq p$ , is easily seen to be the point set of a large subquadrangle of  $\Gamma$ . Varying  $x$  over some fixed line  $L$  of  $\Gamma'$  through  $p$ , we obtain a partition of the point set of  $\Gamma$  into large subquadrangles. Varying  $L$ , we obtain  $s + 2$  such partitions.

For case (ii), it is enough to have a regular line for which the corresponding dual net satisfies the axiom of Veblen, see Thas and Van Maldeghem [7]. Examples include the classical quadrangles  $Q(5, q)$ , the Tits quadrangles  $T_3(O)$  (for  $O$  an ovoid in three-dimensional projective space), the generalized quadrangles discovered by Kantor [2], and the dual of the Roman generalized quadrangles discovered by Payne [3].

Concerning case (iii), an example exists which is the smallest case of a covering of  $H(4, q^2)$  by a set of  $2q^2 - 2q + 1$  large subquadrangles isomorphic to  $H(3, q^2)$ . It is not known whether or not case (v) occurs.

Applied to the classical quadrangles  $Q(5, q)$  and generalized to finite polar spaces of arbitrary (finite) rank, we obtain (with similar definitions for polar spaces as for quadrangles above):

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite polar space of rank  $r$  naturally embedded in  $\text{PG}(d, q)$ . Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is the union of  $k \leq q + 1$  large polar subspaces of rank  $r$ , and that  $q > 2$  if  $r = 2$ . Then  $k = q + 1$  and either  $r = 2$  and one of the cases (iii) or (iv) of Theorem 2 holds (where for case (iii) the quadrangle  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to  $H(4, 4)$ ), or  $\Gamma$  is an elliptic quadric and there exist  $q + 1$  hyperplanes of  $\text{PG}(d, q)$  containing a  $(d - 2)$ -dimensional space  $U$  such that each hyperplane meets  $\Gamma$  precisely in a large polar subspace (which is a parabolic quadric). Also,  $U$  meets  $\Gamma$  in a large polar subspace of rank  $r$  (which is a hyperbolic quadric).*

Hence one can see that the fact that makes it possible to write an elliptic quadric in  $d$ -dimensional projective space as the union of  $(q + 1)$  subquadratics is strongly related to the fact that there exist (hyperbolic) quadratics of the same rank in  $(d - 2)$ -dimensional projective space.

Let us mention here that Peter Johnson (unpublished) proves related results, allowing also infinite polar spaces of possibly infinite rank.

Finally, we mention a corollary, which gives a characterization of the quadrangles of Kantor mentioned above. For the definition of flock quadrangle, we refer to e.g. Thas [6].

**Corollary.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a flock quadrangle of order  $(q^2, q)$ ,  $q$  odd, with elation point  $(\infty)$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to the flock quadrangle of Kantor, or to the classical quadrangle  $H(3, q^2)$  if and only if the dual of  $\Gamma$  is the union of  $q + 1$  large subquadrangles all containing  $(\infty)$ .*

**2. Proof of Theorem 2**

Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite generalized quadrangle of order  $(s, t)$ ,  $s, t \geq 2$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{S}$  is a set of  $n$  large subquadrangles whose union is  $\Gamma$ .

**Lemma 4.** *We have  $n \geq s + 1$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose by way of contradiction that  $n \leq s$ . Let  $L$  be any line of  $\Gamma$ . Since there are  $s + 1$  points incident with  $L$ , there must be at least two points of the same member of  $\mathcal{S}$  on  $L$ ; hence,  $L$  belongs to at least one member of  $\mathcal{S}$ . So we have the inequality

$$s(1 + t')(1 + st') \geq (1 + t)(1 + st).$$

Since  $t \geq st'$ , this implies  $s + t \geq 1 + st \geq 1 + 2t$ , hence  $s > t$ , in contradiction with  $t \geq st' \geq s$ .  $\square$

From now on we assume that  $n = s + 1$ .

**Lemma 5.** *If a point of  $\Gamma$  is contained in at least two members of  $\mathcal{S}$ , then every line of  $\Gamma$  incident with  $x$  is a line of some member of  $\mathcal{S}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{S}' \subseteq \mathcal{S}$  be defined such that  $x$  is contained in every member of  $\mathcal{S}'$  and in no member of  $\mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}'$  and suppose that  $\mathcal{S}'$  has cardinality  $\ell > 1$ . Let  $M$  be a line through  $x$  not belonging to one of the members of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Then the  $s + 1 - \ell$  elements of  $\mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}'$  have to cover the  $s$  points on  $M$  distinct from  $x$ . This is only possible if at least one member covers at least two points, hence  $M$  is contained in some member  $\Gamma_M$  of  $\mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}'$ .  $\square$

The following lemma is crucial.

**Lemma 6.** *If every point of some line  $L$  of  $\Gamma$  is contained in at least two members of  $\mathcal{S}$ , then either  $s = 2$ , or  $L$  is contained in at least  $s$  members of  $\mathcal{S}$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that the line  $L$  of  $\Gamma$  is contained in  $\ell \geq 1$  members of  $\mathcal{S}$ , which we gather in the set  $\mathcal{S}' \subseteq \mathcal{S}$  (note that indeed  $\ell \geq 1$  by the previous lemma). Let  $x$  be any point on  $L$ . There are at most  $\ell t'$  lines through  $x$  distinct from  $L$  and belonging to one of the members of  $\mathcal{S}'$ , where

$$t' = \max\{t^* \mid \text{some member of } \mathcal{S} \text{ has order}(s, t^*)\}.$$

Let  $M$  be a line through  $x$  not belonging to one of the members of  $\mathcal{S}'$ . Then by Lemma 5  $M$  is contained in some member  $\Gamma_M$  of  $\mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}'$ . Suppose some other line  $M'$  concurrent with  $L$  is also contained in  $\Gamma_M$ . If  $M'$  is not incident with  $x$ , then this implies that  $L$  is in  $\Gamma_M$ , a contradiction to our assumptions. Therefore,  $M'$  is incident with  $x$ . Since there are at least  $t - \ell t'$  lines through  $x$  not contained in any member

of  $\mathcal{S}'$ , there are at least  $(t - \ell t') / (t' + 1)$  members of  $\mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}'$  containing  $x$ . Varying  $x$ , this gives us a total of at least

$$\ell + \frac{(t - \ell t')(s + 1)}{t' + 1}$$

elements of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Expressing that this is at most equal to  $s + 1$ , we obtain after a short calculation

$$l \geq \frac{(t - t' - 1)(s + 1)}{st' - 1},$$

which, using  $t \geq st'$ , simplifies to

$$\ell \geq s - \frac{t' + 1}{st' - 1}.$$

Noting that  $t' + 1 \geq st' - 1$  (which is equivalent with  $(s - 1)t' \leq 2$ ) if and only if  $s = 2$  or  $3$ , we are done if  $s > 3$ . Suppose now that  $s = 3$  and  $\ell < 3$ . Then  $t' + 1 \geq 3t' - 1$ , hence  $t' = 1$  and  $\ell = 2$ . Consequently, equality holds in the above expressions, implying first that  $t = st' = 4$ , and second that each  $x$  is contained in exactly  $(t - \ell t') / (t' + 1) = \frac{1}{2}$  members of  $\mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}'$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

We now treat some special cases.

**Lemma 7.** *If all members of  $\mathcal{S}$  have order  $(s, 1)$ , then either  $s = t = 2$ , or  $s = t = 3$ , or  $t = s + 2$  and  $\mathcal{S}$  forms a partition of the point set of  $\Gamma$ .*

**Proof.** Since all points of  $\Gamma$  must be covered, we have

$$(s + 1)^3 \geq (s + 1)(1 + st).$$

This implies  $2s + s^2 \geq st$ , hence  $t \leq s + 2$ . By the divisibility condition  $s + t \mid (1 + st)st$  (see Payne and Thas [4, 1.2.2]),  $t \neq s + 1$ . Hence  $t = s + 2$  or  $t = s$ . If  $t = s + 2$ , then the assertion follows from the equality  $(s + 1)^3 = (s + 1)(1 + st)$ . So we may suppose that  $s = t$ . Note that every line of  $\Gamma$  meets every member of  $\mathcal{S}$  in exactly one point if it is not contained in it (this follows from Theorem 1).

(i) First suppose that some line  $L$  of  $\Gamma$  is contained in  $\ell > 1$  members of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Since this implies that all points of  $L$  are contained in at least two members of  $\mathcal{S}$ , we conclude with Lemma 6 that  $L$  is contained in at least  $s$  members of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}'$  be the set of elements of  $\mathcal{S}$  containing  $L$ .

Assume first that  $s \geq 4$  and  $\ell = s$ . If every line of  $\Gamma$  concurrent with  $L$  is contained in a member of  $\mathcal{S}'$ , then every point is in a member of  $\mathcal{S}'$ , contradicting Lemma 4. So there exists a line  $N$  meeting  $L$  not contained in a member of  $\mathcal{S}'$ . But that means that two members of  $\mathcal{S}'$  share a line  $L' \neq L$  incident with the meeting point  $y$  of  $L$  and  $N$ . Again,  $L'$  is contained in at least  $s$  members of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Suppose first that it is contained in precisely  $s$  members, which we gather in  $\mathcal{S}''$ . Then clearly  $|\mathcal{S}' \cap \mathcal{S}''|$  is either  $s$  or  $s - 1$ . In the first case, the  $s - 1$  lines through  $y$  distinct from  $L$  and  $L'$  must lie in the unique element of  $\mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}''$ ; in the second case these  $s - 1$  lines must

lie together with  $L$  and  $L'$  in one of the members of  $\mathcal{S} \setminus (\mathcal{S}' \cap \mathcal{S}'')$ . In either case we deduce  $s - 1 \leq 2$ , or  $s = 3$ , a contradiction. Now, suppose that  $L'$  is contained in all members of  $\mathcal{S}$ , then we interchange the roles of  $L$  and  $L'$  in the next paragraph.

So assume now that  $s \geq 4$  and  $\ell = s + 1$ . Let  $x$  be any point on  $L$ . Then some line  $K \neq L$  through  $x$  is also contained in at least  $s$  members of  $\mathcal{S}$ . At most one member remains to cover the points of  $\Gamma$  collinear with  $x$  and not incident with  $L$  or  $K$ , and that member also contains  $L$ . Hence  $s = 2$ .

(ii) Now, suppose that no line of  $\Gamma$  lies in two distinct members of  $\mathcal{S}$ . It follows readily from Lemma 5 that any point  $x$  which is contained in at least two members of  $\mathcal{S}$ , lies in exactly  $(s + 1)/2$  members of  $\mathcal{S}$ .

Now, consider any line  $M$  contained in some member of  $\mathcal{S}$ , say,  $\Gamma'$ . Every member of  $\mathcal{S} \setminus \{\Gamma'\}$  meets  $M$  in exactly one point. But every point defines exactly  $(s - 1)/2$  members of  $\mathcal{S} \setminus \{\Gamma'\}$ . Hence  $s$  is odd and  $2s$  must be divisible by  $s - 1$ , which implies that  $s = 3$ .

This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 8.** *If at least one member of  $\mathcal{S}$  has order  $(s, t')$  with  $t' > 1$ , and if two collinear points  $x, y$  of  $\Gamma$  lie each in at least two members of  $\mathcal{S}$ , then all points of the line joining  $x$  and  $y$  do, or  $s = 2$ , or  $(t', s, t) = (2, 4, 8)$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose  $z$  is a point of the line  $L$  of  $\Gamma$  incident with both  $x$  and  $y$ , with the property that it lies in a unique member  $\Gamma'$  of  $\mathcal{S}$ , and suppose that  $x$ , respectively,  $y$  is contained in two members of  $\mathcal{S}$ , say  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ , respectively,  $\Gamma_3$  and  $\Gamma_4$ . By Lemma 5, we may assume that  $L$  belongs to  $\Gamma_1$ , and similarly to  $\Gamma_3$  as well. This implies that  $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_3 = \Gamma'$  (otherwise  $z$  is contained in at least two members of  $\mathcal{S}$ ). Let  $M$  be a line through  $x$  not belonging to  $\Gamma_1$ . Remark that by Lemma 5 every line through  $x$  lies in some member of  $\mathcal{S}$ .

Let  $t'$  be the largest number such that  $\mathcal{S}$  contains a member of order  $(s, t')$ . Then it is clear that  $x$  lies in at least

$$\frac{t - t'}{t' + 1}$$

members of  $\mathcal{S} \setminus \{\Gamma'\}$ . We now show that, provided  $s > 2$  and  $s \neq 4$ , this is more than half of the members of  $\mathcal{S} \setminus \{\Gamma'\}$ , i.e., we show that

$$\frac{t - t'}{t' + 1} > \frac{s}{2}.$$

Suppose on the contrary that

$$\frac{t - t'}{t' + 1} \leq \frac{s}{2}.$$

Then  $2t - 2t' \leq st' + s$ . From Theorem 1, we infer  $t \geq st'$ , hence  $2t - 2t' \leq t + s$ . Multiplying with  $s$ , we obtain  $st - 2t \leq s^2$ . Since we may suppose that  $t' > 1$  and  $s > 2$ , we use  $t \geq \sqrt{s^3}$  (see Theorem 1) to obtain  $s - 2 \leq \sqrt{s}$ , which implies after a short calculation  $(s - 4)(s - 1) \leq 0$ . Hence  $s = 3, 4$ , disregarding the case  $s = 2$ . If  $s = 3$ , then automatically

$t'=3$  and hence, since  $t \geq st'$ ,  $t=9$ . But in this case there are at least  $(t-t')/(t'+1) = \frac{3}{2}$ , hence 2 members of  $\mathcal{S} \setminus \{\Gamma'\}$  containing  $x$ .

If  $s = 4$ , then since  $(s - 4)(s - 1) = 0$ , equality holds in every equation above, so  $(t', s, t) = (2, 4, 8)$ .

If  $s > 2$  and  $(t', s, t) \neq (2, 4, 8)$ , then we similarly deduce that  $y$  is contained in more than half of the members of  $\mathcal{S} \setminus \{\Gamma'\}$ . Hence at least one member of  $\mathcal{S} \setminus \{\Gamma'\}$  contains both  $x$  and  $y$  and hence  $L$  is contained in at least two members of  $\mathcal{S}$ , therefore also  $z$  is.  $\square$

**Lemma 9.** *Suppose that at least one member of  $\mathcal{S}$  has order  $(s, t')$  with  $t' > 1$  and that  $st > 9$ . If  $(t', s, t) \neq (2, 4, 8)$ , then one of the following holds:*

- (i) *no line of  $\Gamma$  is contained in at least two members of  $\mathcal{S}$ ;*
- (ii) *all members of  $\mathcal{S}$  have the same order,  $(t', s, t) = (s, s, s^2)$  and there exists a large subquadrangle  $\Gamma^*$  of order  $(s, 1)$  such that the intersection of any two members of  $\mathcal{S}$  is exactly  $\Gamma^*$ ;*
- (iii) *there is a unique line  $L$  of  $\Gamma$  belonging to at least two members of  $\mathcal{S}$ . In this case  $L$  belongs to all members of  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $(t', s, t) = (10, 15, 160)$ .*

**Proof.** We may assume that there exists a line  $L$  contained in at least two members of  $\mathcal{S}$ . By Lemma 6,  $L$  is contained in  $\ell \geq s$  members of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Suppose first that  $\ell = s$ . Let  $\Gamma'$  be the unique element of  $\mathcal{S}$  not containing  $L$ . Let  $u$  be any point of  $\Gamma$  not on  $L$ , and not contained in any member of  $\mathcal{S} \setminus \{\Gamma'\}$  ( $u$  exists by Lemma 4). Let  $L_u$  be the unique line of  $\Gamma$  through  $u$  meeting  $L$ . Then the  $s$  points of  $L_u$  not on  $L$  all belong to  $\Gamma'$  (since if one such point belongs to a member  $\Gamma''$  of  $\mathcal{S} \setminus \{\Gamma'\}$ , the line  $L_u$  and hence the point  $u$  also belongs to  $\Gamma''$ ), and hence so does  $L_u$ . So there exists a point  $x$  on  $L$  (namely, the intersection of  $L$  and  $L_u$ ) contained in  $\Gamma'$ . It is easily seen that there is at least one other point  $z$  in  $\Gamma'$  not collinear with  $x$ . Let  $M$  be the line of  $\Gamma$  through  $z$  and meeting  $L$ . Since  $M$  is not incident with  $x$ , the line  $M$  belongs to a member of  $\mathcal{S} \setminus \{\Gamma'\}$ . But that means that  $z$  is contained in at least two members of  $\mathcal{S}$ . By Lemmas 8 and 6, the line  $M$ , and hence the point  $z$  belongs to at least  $s$  members of  $\mathcal{S}$ . We can do this reasoning with every point of  $\Gamma'$  collinear with  $z$ , but not collinear with  $x$ . But by Lemma 8, this property also holds for all points of  $\Gamma'$  collinear with  $x$ . Hence all points of  $\Gamma'$  are contained in at least  $s$  members of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Deleting  $\Gamma'$  from  $\mathcal{S}$ , we obtain a contradiction to Lemma 4.

Now, suppose that  $L$  is contained in exactly  $s + 1$  members of  $\mathcal{S}$ . By the previous paragraph, we may assume that every line which is contained in at least two members of  $\mathcal{S}$ , is contained in all members of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Let  $x$  be any point on  $L$ . Let  $C$  be the number of lines through  $x$  contained in all members of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Then, since by Lemma 5 every line through  $x$  is contained in either 1 or all members of  $\mathcal{S}$ ,  $C$  satisfies the equation  $(s + 1)C + (s + 1 - C) = \tau$ , where  $\tau$  is the sum of all  $t^* + 1$  such that  $(s, t^*)$  is the order of a member of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Hence  $C$  is a constant. If  $C > 1$ , then the set of all points lying in all members of  $\mathcal{S}$  forms a large subquadrangle  $\Gamma^*$ , which is also a large subquadrangle of any member of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Now (ii) follows from Theorem 1.

So we may assume that  $C = 1$ . Then, clearly, there is a unique line  $L$  contained in all members of  $\mathcal{S}$  and every point of  $\Gamma$  contained in at least two members of  $\mathcal{S}$  is incident with  $L$ . Let  $\Gamma' \in \mathcal{S}$  have order  $(s, t')$ . Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be the set of all lines of  $\Gamma$  not contained in any member of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Then every element of  $\mathcal{R}$  is incident with a unique point of every element of  $\mathcal{S}$ , hence with a unique point of  $\Gamma'$ . Conversely, every point of  $\Gamma'$  not incident with  $L$  is incident with exactly  $t - t'$  elements of  $\mathcal{R}$ . So the set  $\mathcal{R}$  has size  $(1 + s)st'(t - t')$ . Similarly, if  $\Gamma'' \in \mathcal{S}$  has order  $(s, t'')$ , then  $\mathcal{R}$  has size  $(1 + s)st''(t - t'')$ . It follows that  $t'(t - t') = t''(t - t'')$ , therefore either  $t' = t''$  or  $t' + t'' = t$ . In the latter case, we consider a point of  $L$  and deduce from  $C = 1$  and Lemma 5 that  $\mathcal{S} = \{\Gamma', \Gamma''\}$ , so  $s = 1$ , a contradiction. We conclude that  $t' = t''$ , so all members of  $\mathcal{S}$  have the same order  $(s, t')$ . If  $x$  is incident with  $L$ , then every line through  $x$  distinct from  $L$  belongs to exactly one member of  $\mathcal{S}$ , so we deduce from this that  $(1 + s)t' = t$ .

We now show that the parameter set  $(t', s, t) = (t', s, t' + st')$  is never feasible, except for  $(t', s, t) = (10, 15, 160)$ . Indeed, we must have  $s + t \mid (1 + st)st$ , which is readily seen to be equivalent with  $s + t \mid (s^2 - 1)s^2$ . Let  $k$  be the greatest common divisor of  $s^2$  and  $s + t$ . Let  $p^i$  divide  $k$ , with  $p$  prime and  $i$  maximal. Let  $p^j$  divide  $s$ , with  $j \leq i$  maximal. Then  $p^j$  divides  $t = (1 + s)t'$ , and so  $p^j$  divides  $t'$ . It follows that  $p^{2j}$  divides  $st'$ , so  $p^i$  divides  $s + t - st' = s + t'$  (because  $i \leq 2j$ ). We conclude that  $k$  divides  $s + t'$ . Suppose first that  $k \leq (s + t')/3$ .

Note that  $s + t = s + (1 + s)t'$  and  $s + 1$  are relatively prime. Hence  $(s + t)/k$  must divide  $s - 1$ . However, the greatest common divisor of  $s - 1$  and  $s + t' + st'$  is a divisor of  $(s - 1) + 1 + t' + (s - 1)t' + t'$ , hence of  $1 + 2t'$ . Consequently,  $(s + t)/k$  must divide  $1 + 2t'$ . So we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + 2t' &\geq \frac{s + t' + st'}{k} \\ &\geq \frac{s + t' + st'}{\frac{s+t'}{3}} \\ &\geq 3 + 3\frac{st'}{s + t'}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies  $2t'^2 \geq 2s + 2t' + st'$ . This is only possible if  $2t' \geq 2 + s$ .

On the other hand, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} s - 1 &\geq \frac{s + t' + st'}{k} \\ &\geq 3 + 3\frac{st'}{s + t'}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $s^2 \geq 2st' + 4s + 4t'$ . Using the inequality  $2t' \geq 2 + s$ , this means that  $s^2 \geq s^2 + 8s + 4$ , a contradiction. Hence we have shown that  $k = s + t'$  or  $k = (s + t')/2$ . Moreover, we have shown that, if  $T = [(1 + 2t')(s + t')]/(s + t' + st') \geq 3$ , then  $S = [(s - 1)(s + t')]/(s + t' + st') < 3$ .

First, let  $k = s + t'$ . Then  $(s + t' + st')/(s + t')$  divides both  $s - 1$  and  $1 + 2t'$ . Hence both  $S$  and  $T$  (defined above) are positive integers. We first show that  $T \geq 3$ .



Indeed, the only other possibilities are  $T = 1$  and  $2$ . If  $T = 1$ , then one calculates that  $st' + 2t'^2 = 0$ , a contradiction. If  $T = 2$ , then one computes that  $2t'^2 = s + t'$ , and since  $s \leq t'^2$ , this implies  $t'^2 \leq t'$ , so  $t' = 1 = s$ , a contradiction. So we must have  $S = 1$  or  $2$ . If  $S = 1$ , then an elementary calculation shows  $s^2 = 2s + 2t'$ . Since  $t' < s$  (indeed,  $t' = s$  implies  $t > s^2$ ), we have  $s^2 < 4s$ , so  $s = 2$  (because  $s$  must clearly be even). But now  $t' = 0$  follows, a contradiction. Suppose now  $S = 2$ . Then  $s^2 = 3s + 3t' + st'$ . Hence the quadratic equation  $s^2 - (3 + t')s - 3t' = 0$  in  $s$  has an integer solution. The discriminant is, however,  $t'^2 + 18t' + 9 = (t' + 9)^2 - 72$ . So the square root  $d$  of the discriminant satisfies  $t' + 3 < d < t' + 9$ . If  $d = t' + i$ , with  $i = 4, 6, 8$ , then  $t'$  is not an integer. If  $d = t' + 5$ , then  $t' = 2$  and  $s = 6$ , a contradiction. If  $d = t' + 7$ , then  $t' = 10$ ,  $s = 15$  and  $t = 160$  and all divisibility conditions are satisfied.

Now, suppose that  $k = (s + t')/2$ . Then both  $S$  and  $T$  must be even integers. But  $T \neq 2$  as above, hence  $S = 2$ . This again implies  $(t', s, t) = (10, 15, 160)$ , except that this cannot happen now since it means that  $s + t'$  is odd.  $\square$

**Lemma 10.** *Suppose that at least one member of  $\mathcal{S}$  has order  $(s, t')$  with  $t' > 1$ , that  $st > 9$  and that  $(t', s, t) \neq (2, 4, 8)$ . If no line of  $\Gamma$  is contained in at least two members of  $\mathcal{S}$ , then (the point set of)  $\Gamma$  is the disjoint union of (the point sets of) the members of  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $(t', s, t) = (t', s, st' + t' + 1)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be the set of points of  $\Gamma$  contained in at least two members of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Let  $x \in \mathcal{R}$ . Assume that  $x$  is not contained in all members of  $\mathcal{S}$  and let  $\Gamma'$  be a member of  $\mathcal{S}$  not containing  $x$ . Let  $y$  be a point of  $\Gamma'$  collinear with  $x$ . By Lemma 5, the line  $xy$  belongs to some member of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Clearly,  $xy$  does not belong to  $\Gamma'$  since otherwise  $x$  would belong to  $\Gamma'$ . So  $xy$  belongs to another member, which implies  $y \in \mathcal{R}$ . By Lemmas 8 and 6, the line  $xy$  belongs to at least two members of  $\mathcal{S}$ , a contradiction. Hence  $x$  belongs to all members of  $\mathcal{S}$ .

Now, let  $z$  be a point of  $\Gamma$  not belonging to  $\mathcal{R}$ . Then  $z$  is contained in a unique member  $\Gamma_1$  of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Consider two members  $\Gamma_2$  and  $\Gamma_3$  of  $\mathcal{S}$  with  $\Gamma_1 \neq \Gamma_2 \neq \Gamma_3 \neq \Gamma_1$ . Let  $\Gamma_i$  have order  $(s, t_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . The number of points of  $\Gamma_j$ ,  $j = 2, 3$ , collinear with  $z$  is  $1 + st_j$  (since this set forms an ovoid in  $\Gamma_j$ ). Every line through  $z$  not in  $\Gamma_1$  meets  $\Gamma_j$ ,  $j = 2, 3$ , because every such line is contained in no member of  $\mathcal{S}$  and therefore cannot contain two points of the same member. Hence there are precisely  $1 + st_j - (t - t_1)$  lines of  $\Gamma_1$  through  $z$  meeting  $\Gamma_j$ , or in other words, there are precisely  $1 + st_j - t + t_1$  elements of  $\mathcal{R}$  collinear with  $z$ . Since this number should be independent of  $j$ , we conclude  $t_2 = t_3$ . It is now easy to see that all members of  $\mathcal{S}$  have the same order  $(s, t')$ .

There remains to show that  $\mathcal{R}$  is empty. Suppose it is not empty. Then by Lemma 5 we have  $(s + 1)(t' + 1) = t + 1$ . Let  $z$ ,  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  be as above. Remember that there are precisely  $1 + (s + 1)t' - t$  elements of  $\mathcal{R}$  collinear with  $z$ . In view of the equality  $(s + 1)(t' + 1) = t + 1$ , this number becomes  $1 - s$ , a contradiction.

The lemma is proved.  $\square$

All we still have to consider are the small cases, i.e., the cases  $st < 9$  and  $(t', s, t) = (2, 4, 8)$ .

**Lemma 11.** *If  $(s, t) = (4, 8)$ , then every member of  $\mathcal{S}$  has order  $(4, 2)$ , every two members of  $\mathcal{S}$  meet in the nine points of an ovoid in both members, there are exactly 30 points of  $\Gamma$  which lie in at least two members of  $\mathcal{S}$  and every such point lies in exactly 3 members, every member contains exactly 18 points which lie in three members of  $\mathcal{S}$  and no line is contained in at least two members of  $\mathcal{S}$ .*

**Proof.** Note that by counting the points, there are at least 2 members of  $\mathcal{S}$  of order  $(4, 2)$ . Since every possible subquadrangle of  $\Gamma$  has either order  $(4, 2)$  or order  $(4, 1)$ , and since  $45 + 45 + 25 + 25 + 25 = 165$ , we see that, if  $\mathcal{S}$  contains exactly two members of order  $(4, 2)$ , then the point set of  $\Gamma$  is the disjoint union of the point sets of the elements of  $\mathcal{S}$ . But similarly as before, we count in two ways the number of lines not belonging to any member of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Starting with the points of a member of  $\mathcal{S}$  of order  $(4, 2)$ , we obtain  $45 \times 6 = 270$ ; starting with the points of a member of  $\mathcal{S}$  of order  $(4, 1)$ , we obtain  $25 \times 7 = 175$ , a contradiction. Hence  $\mathcal{S}$  contains at least three members of order  $(4, 2)$  and there exists at least one point of  $\Gamma$  lying in at least two members of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the set of all such points.

(i) First suppose that no line of  $\Gamma$  is contained in at least two members of  $\mathcal{S}$ . We showed that  $\mathcal{D}$  is non-empty. By Lemma 5, we can now write 9 as the sum of a number of 3's and at most two 2's. So clearly only 3's are possible, hence no element of  $\mathcal{D}$  belongs to a member of  $\mathcal{S}$  of order  $(4, 1)$ . Hence, again, the number of lines of  $\Gamma$  which do not belong to any member of  $\mathcal{S}$  is equal to  $25 \times 7 = 175$ , provided  $\mathcal{S}$  contains an element of order  $(4, 1)$ . So  $175 = d \times 6$ , where  $d$  is the number of points of a member of  $\mathcal{S}$  of order  $(4, 2)$  not belonging to any other member of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Since 6 does not divide 175, this leads to a contradiction. Therefore, all members of  $\mathcal{S}$  have order  $(4, 2)$ . Also, the number of points of such a member of  $\mathcal{S}$  not belonging to any other member of  $\mathcal{S}$  must be a constant  $d$ . And so there are exactly  $6d$  lines of  $\Gamma$  not contained in any member of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Counting all lines of  $\Gamma$ , we obtain

$$297 = 6d + 5 \times 27,$$

hence  $d = 27$ . Counting the number of pairs  $(x, \Gamma')$ , where  $x$  is a point of  $\Gamma' \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $x$  lies in at least two (and hence exactly in three) elements of  $\mathcal{S}$ , we obtain that the number of points contained in three members of  $\mathcal{S}$  is equal to

$$\frac{5 \times (45 - d)}{3} = 30.$$

Note that, since  $t = st'$ , with  $(t', s, t) = (2, 4, 8)$ , every line of any member of  $\mathcal{S}$  meets every other member of  $\mathcal{S}$  in a point. Hence two members meet in an ovoid of both members. Since  $d = 27$ , there are  $45 - d = 18$  points of each member of  $\mathcal{S}$  belonging to three members of  $\mathcal{S}$ .

(ii) Now, suppose that there exists a line  $L$  of  $\Gamma$  belonging to at least two members  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  of  $\mathcal{S} = \{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3, \Gamma_4, \Gamma_5\}$ . Since every line through every point of  $L$

must belong to some member of  $\mathcal{S}$  (by Lemma 5), and these members have either order  $(4, 2)$  or  $(4, 1)$ , we deduce that every point of  $L$  is in at least two members of  $\{\Gamma_3, \Gamma_4, \Gamma_5\}$ . Since  $L$  is incident with 5 points, at least one pair must appear twice, so we have shown that  $L$  lies in at least 4 elements of  $\mathcal{S}$ , say,  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3$  and  $\Gamma_4$ .

(a) First, suppose that  $L$  does not lie in  $\Gamma_5$ . If no line of  $\Gamma_5$  meets  $L$ , then we consider any pair of collinear points  $x, y$  with  $xy$  concurrent with  $L$ , and such that  $L$  is incident with neither  $x$  nor  $y$ . If both  $x$  and  $y$  belong to  $\Gamma_5$ , then  $xy$  belongs to  $\Gamma_5$ , a contradiction. Hence we may assume that  $x$  does not belong to  $\Gamma_5$ . Consequently,  $x$  belongs to, say,  $\Gamma_1$ . But then also the line  $xy$  and the point  $y$  belong to  $\Gamma_1$ . We conclude that in this case  $\Gamma$  is the union of  $\mathcal{S} \setminus \{\Gamma_5\}$ , contradicting Lemma 4. So there is a unique point  $x$  on  $L$  incident with some lines of  $\Gamma_5$ . We claim that every line  $M$  meeting  $L$  not in  $x$  is contained in a unique element of  $\mathcal{S} \setminus \{\Gamma_5\}$ . Indeed,  $M$  is contained in at least one such element and the order  $(4, t')$  of  $\Gamma_i, i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , satisfies  $t' \leq 2$ . So  $2 \times 4 = 8$  implies that  $\Gamma_i$  has order  $(4, 2)$ , for all  $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , and our claim follows.

Now, consider a point  $z$  of  $\Gamma_5$  not collinear with  $x$ . Then  $z$  is incident with a line  $N$  meeting  $L$ , and  $N$  belongs to, say,  $\Gamma_1$ , but not to  $\Gamma_2, \Gamma_3$  or  $\Gamma_4$ . But  $z$  is incident with  $\Gamma_1$  and with  $\Gamma_5$ , hence it is incident with at least one other element of  $\mathcal{S}$  (indeed, if not, then by Lemma 5, the order  $(s, t'')$  of  $\Gamma_5$  satisfies  $3 + t'' + 1 \geq 9$ , since  $\Gamma_1$  has order  $(4, 2)$ , and this contradicts Theorem 1), say,  $\Gamma_2$ . But then  $N$  belongs to  $\Gamma_2$  as well, a contradiction.

(b) So we may suppose that  $L$  belongs to all members of  $\mathcal{S}$ . In fact, by the foregoing, we may assume that every line of  $\Gamma$  which belongs to at least two members of  $\mathcal{S}$ , belongs to all members of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Suppose now that a line  $M$  meeting  $L$  belongs to at least two members of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Each line through the meeting point  $x$  of  $L$  and  $M$  must belong to a member of  $\mathcal{S}$ . But every member of  $\mathcal{S}$  has at most 3 lines through  $x$ , two of which are  $L$  and  $M$ . This leads to a contradiction. So every line of  $\Gamma$  meeting  $L$  belongs to a unique element of  $\mathcal{S}$ . It follows that three elements of  $\mathcal{S}$ , say  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3$ , have order  $(4, 2)$ , and two of them, say  $\Gamma_4, \Gamma_5$ , have order  $(4, 1)$ . Since  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$  and  $\Gamma_3$  each have 40 points off  $L$ , and  $\Gamma_4$  and  $\Gamma_5$  each have 20 points off  $L$ , and since  $165 = 3 \cdot 40 + 2 \cdot 20 + 5$ , no point off  $L$  belongs to at least two members of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Counting in two ways (as above) the number of lines not belonging to any member of  $\mathcal{S}$ , we obtain  $40 \cdot 6 = 20 \cdot 7$ , a contradiction.

The lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Example.** Let  $\Gamma$  be the unitary quadrangle  $H(4, q^2)$  embedded in a standard way in  $PG(4, q^2)$ . Let  $\pi$  be a plane of  $PG(4, q^2)$  meeting  $H(4, q^2)$  in a non-degenerate hermitian curve  $\mathcal{C}$ . Let  $L$  be the polar line of  $\pi$ . Then  $L$  meets  $H(4, q^2)$  in  $q + 1$  points  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_q$ . Let  $x_{q+1}, \dots, x_{q^2}$  be the remaining points on  $L$ . The hyperplane determined by  $\pi$  and  $x_i, i \in \{q + 1, q + 2, \dots, q^2\}$ , meets  $H(4, q^2)$  in a non-degenerate hermitian variety  $H(3, q^2)$ , which is a subquadrangle of order  $(q^2, q)$ . These  $q^2 - q$  subquadrangles cover already all points of  $\Gamma$ , except for the points off  $\mathcal{C}$  and collinear with one of the  $x_i, i \in \{0, 1, \dots, q\}$ . Let  $\pi'$  be a plane containing  $q + 1$  lines of  $\Gamma$  through  $x_0$ . Then the

hyperplane generated by  $\pi'$  and  $L$  meets  $H(4, q^2)$  in a subquadrangle of order  $(q^2, q)$ . The lines of  $\Gamma$  through  $x_0$  form a hermitian curve in the residue of  $x$  and the tangent hyperplane of  $H(4, q^2)$  in  $x$ . The point set of a hermitian curve  $\mathcal{U}$  can be partitioned into  $q^2 - q + 1$  intersections with  $(q + 1)$ -secants. Indeed, it suffices to consider a point off  $\mathcal{U}$  in a projective plane where  $\mathcal{U}$  lives, and the  $(q + 1)$ -secants through  $x$  together with the polar line of  $x$  with respect to  $\mathcal{U}$  do the job. Hence we can find  $q^2 - q + 1$  additional subquadrangles containing  $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_q\}$  and covering all points on all lines of  $\Gamma$  through  $x_i$ ,  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, q\}$ . So we have covered the point set of  $\Gamma$  by  $2q^2 - 2q + 1$  subquadrangles of order  $(q^2, q)$ . For  $q = 2$ , this number equals exactly  $5 = q^2 + 1$ . My conjecture is that  $2q^2 - 2q + 1$  is the least possible number to cover  $H(4, q^2)$  with subquadrangles of order  $(q^2, q)$ , and the proof probably will not be too difficult at all.

**Lemma 12.** *If  $(s, t) = (3, 3)$ , then there are exactly two non-isomorphic examples, one with no line of  $\Gamma$  in at least two members of  $\Gamma$ , and the other with a unique pair of concurrent lines contained in 3 members of  $\Gamma$ .*

**Proof.** We distinguish two cases.

(i) Suppose first that there is some line  $L$ , which is contained in at least two members of  $\mathcal{S} = \{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3, \Gamma_4\}$ , say,  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ . By Lemma 4,  $L$  is contained in at least 3 members of  $\mathcal{S}$ , say  $L$  is also contained in  $\Gamma_3$ . If  $L$  is, moreover, contained in  $\Gamma_4$ , then through every point  $x$  of  $L$ , there is a line  $L_x \neq L$  contained in at least 2, and hence in at least 3 members of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Taking  $x \neq y$ , both incident with  $L$ , we see that  $L_x$  and  $L_y$  are contained in at least two members of  $\mathcal{S}$ , a contradiction because two opposite lines determine a subquadrangle completely. Hence  $L$  is not contained in  $\Gamma_4$ . But  $L$  must be incident with a unique point  $z$  of  $\Gamma_4$  (by Theorem 1). Through  $z$ , there must be a line  $M \neq L$  contained in at least 2, and hence again 3 members of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Suppose these members are  $\Gamma_2, \Gamma_3, \Gamma_4$ . Let  $M'$  be the unique line of  $\Gamma_1$  through  $z$  distinct from  $L$ . No line concurrent with  $L$  and not incident with  $z$  can belong to  $\Gamma_4$ . On the other hand, whenever a point  $u$  not collinear with  $z$  belongs to  $\Gamma_i$ , for some  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , then the unique line through  $u$  concurrent with  $L$  belongs to  $\Gamma_i$ . Hence we deduce easily that every line concurrent with  $L$  and not incident with  $z$  must belong to some  $\Gamma_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Now, notice that  $L$  is a regular line (since  $\Gamma$  contains subquadrangles of order  $(3, 1)$ ,  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to  $Q(4, 3)$ ). It is now easily seen using the projective plane corresponding to  $L$  that  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  share a line  $N$  concurrent with  $L$  and not through  $z$ . But then  $\Gamma_3$  should contain three lines through the meeting point of  $L$  and  $N$ , a contradiction. So  $M$  belongs to  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$  and  $\Gamma_3$ . It follows that  $\Gamma_4$  contains the two lines through  $z$  which are distinct from  $L$  and  $M$ . There are actually three choices for  $\Gamma_4$  at this stage, but they are easily seen to be equivalent under the automorphism group of  $\Gamma$  (which is a classical quadrangle) fixing the line  $L$  pointwise, and fixing all lines meeting  $L$  (root elations).

(ii) Now, suppose that no line of  $\Gamma$  is contained in at least 2 members of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Notice that the lines of  $\Gamma$  can be viewed as the non-isotropic points of a unitary polarity in  $PG(3, 4)$ . Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be the corresponding hermitian variety. The points of  $\Gamma$  are then the

sets of ‘polar quadrangles’, i.e., sets of 4 pairwise conjugate (w.r.t. the unitary polarity) points of  $PG(3,4)$ . It is readily seen that the lines of a subquadrangle of order  $(3,1)$  of  $\Gamma$  correspond to the points off  $\mathcal{Q}$  but in a tangent plane of  $\mathcal{Q}$ . And two subquadrangles meet in 4 non-collinear points if and only if the corresponding points (the intersections of  $\mathcal{Q}$  with the tangent planes) on  $\mathcal{Q}$  are on a line contained in  $\mathcal{Q}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{S}$  defines a set of 4 points on a line  $T$  of  $\mathcal{Q}$ . Now, let  $\theta$  be an element of order 5 of the automorphism group of  $\mathcal{Q}$ , preserving  $T$ . Then the corresponding set  $\mathcal{S}'$  of 5 subquadrangles of  $\Gamma$  covers  $\Gamma$  and no line of  $\Gamma$  belongs to two members of  $\mathcal{S}'$ . Since every point of  $\Gamma$  is in at most two members of  $\mathcal{S}'$ , the number of pairs  $(x, \Gamma')$ , where  $x$  is a point in a member  $\Gamma'$  of  $\mathcal{S}'$ , is at most 80, if we first count the points  $x$ . But it is exactly 80 if we first count the members of  $\mathcal{S}'$ . Hence every point lies in exactly 2 members of  $\mathcal{S}'$ .

Now, the members of  $\mathcal{S}$  correspond to 4 collinear points on  $\mathcal{Q}$ . Hence these points are contained in a line of  $\mathcal{Q}$  and so  $\mathcal{S}$  arises from  $\mathcal{S}'$  by deleting one member. Any member gives rise to an isomorphic set of 4 subquadrangles because of the transitive group of order 5 acting on it. Since every point is covered twice by the  $\mathcal{S}'$ , deleting a member does give rise to a set  $\mathcal{S}$  of 4 subquadrangles whose union is  $\Gamma$ .

This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

The case  $s = 2$  will not be treated here. It is an easy case. Indeed, if  $t = 4$ , then there are coverings with 3 subquadrangles of order  $(2,1)$ . Extending any number of them to a subquadrangle of order  $(2,2)$  gives an example of a covering with  $s + 1$  subquadrangles for which the order is not necessarily a constant pair. If  $t = 2$ , then all coverings with 3 subquadrangles of order  $(2,1)$  can be found as an easy exercise.

We now turn our attention to finite polar spaces, in order to show Theorem 3.

### 3. Proof of Theorem 3

Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite non-degenerate classical polar space of rank  $\ell \geq 2$ , viewed as a geometry over the diagram of type  $B_\ell$ . This just means that we consider quadrics and hermitian varieties together with their totally singular subspaces. We assume  $\ell > 2$ , since otherwise the result follows readily from Theorem 2. Indeed, this is clear if we show that Case (i) of Theorem 2 never occurs with classical quadrangles of order  $(s,t)$  with  $t \geq s > 2$ . The only possibilities are  $(s,t) \in \{(q,q), (q,q^2), (q^2,q^3)\}$ , for some prime power  $q$ . Then  $t' \in \{(q-1)/(q+1), q-1, (q^3-1)/(q^2+1)\}$  and this leads to a contradiction (every subquadrangle of a classical quadrangle must again be a classical quadrangle). Denote by  $PG(m,q)$ ,  $q$  a power of a prime, the ambient projective space (and in characteristic 2 we consider a symplectic polar space embedded as a quadric). We suppose that the point set of  $\Gamma$  is covered by the point sets of  $k \leq q + 1$  polar subspaces of rank  $\ell$  and each of these polar subspaces has also  $q + 1$  points on a line. Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the set of these polar subspaces. First, we want to show that  $k = q + 1$ . To that end, we prove a lemma, which is well-known (it is a special case of the main result of Bose and Burton [1]), but we include a proof for the sake of completeness.

Table 1

Polar space	Number of points	Number of maximal subspaces
$Q^+(2\ell - 1, q)$	$\frac{(q^\ell - 1)(q^{\ell - 1} + 1)}{q - 1}$	$2(q + 1)(q^2 + 1) \dots (q^{\ell - 1} + 1)$
$Q(2\ell, q)$	$\frac{q^{2\ell} - 1}{q - 1}$	$(q + 1)(q^2 + 1) \dots (q^\ell + 1)$
$Q^-(2\ell + 1, q)$	$\frac{(q^{\ell + 1} + 1)(q^\ell - 1)}{q - 1}$	$(q^2 + 1)(q^3 + 1) \dots (q^{\ell + 1} + 1)$
$H(2n - 1, q^2)$	$\frac{(q^{2n} - 1)(q^{2n - 1} + 1)}{q^2 - 1}$	$(q + 1)(q^3 + 1) \dots (q^{2n - 1} + 1)$
$H(2n, q^2)$	$\frac{(q^{2n + 1} + 1)(q^{2n} - 1)}{q^2 - 1}$	$(q^3 + 1)(q^5 + 1) \dots (q^{2n + 1} + 1)$

**Lemma 13.** *Let the point set of  $\text{PG}(d, q)$ ,  $d \geq 2$ , be the union of  $q + 1$  hyperplanes. Then all these hyperplanes have a  $(d - 2)$ -dimensional subspace in common and hence every point of  $\text{PG}(d, q)$  either belongs to all these hyperplanes, or to exactly one. Also, the point set of  $\text{PG}(d, q)$  cannot be the union of  $q$  hyperplanes.*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the set of these  $q + 1$  hyperplanes. Let  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  be two of them, and suppose they meet in the  $(d - 2)$ -dimensional subspace  $U$ . Suppose that  $H_3$  is a member of  $\mathcal{S}$  not containing  $U$ . Then there is some hyperplane  $H$  of  $\text{PG}(d, q)$  containing  $U$ , but not belonging to  $\mathcal{S}$ . If we intersect every member of  $\mathcal{S}$  with  $H$ , then we obtain a set of at most  $q$  different  $(d - 2)$ -dimensional subspaces of  $H$  covering all points of  $H$ . Hence

$$q^{d-1} + q^{d-2} + \dots + q + 1 \leq q(q^{d-2} + \dots + q + 1),$$

a contradiction. The result follows, noting that a similar counting argument proves that  $q$  hyperplanes cannot cover all points of  $\text{PG}(d, q)$ .  $\square$

Now, we list the number of points and the number of maximal singular subspaces of the various finite polar spaces of rank  $\ell$ . We use the following notation:  $Q^-(2\ell + 1, q)$  for the elliptic quadric,  $Q(2\ell, q)$  for the parabolic quadric,  $Q^+(2\ell - 1, q)$  for the hyperbolic quadric,  $H(n, q)$  for the hermitian variety in  $\text{PG}(n, q)$  (see Table 1). Note that we do not have to consider symplectic polar spaces since they are either isomorphic to a quadric (in characteristic 2), or they do not have proper large polar subspaces of the same rank (odd characteristic).

Note that, if  $\Gamma \cong Q^-(2\ell + 1, q)$ , then every member of  $\mathcal{P}$  is isomorphic to  $Q(2\ell, q)$  or  $Q^+(2\ell - 1, q)$ ; if  $\Gamma \cong Q(2\ell, q)$ , then every member of  $\mathcal{P}$  is isomorphic to  $Q^+(2\ell - 1, q)$ ; if  $\Gamma \cong H(2\ell, q)$ , then every member of  $\mathcal{P}$  is isomorphic to  $H(2\ell - 1, q)$ ; finally,  $\Gamma$  cannot be isomorphic to either  $Q^+(2\ell - 1, q)$  or  $H(2\ell - 1, q)$ .

**Lemma 14.** *With the above notation, we must have  $k = q + 1$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $k \leq q$ . Consider a maximal singular subspace  $U$  of  $\Gamma$  and suppose that  $U$  does not belong to any member of  $\mathcal{P}$ . Note that  $U$  has dimension  $\ell - 1$ . Then every member of  $\mathcal{P}$  can have at most an  $(\ell - 2)$ -dimensional subspace in common with  $U$ . That implies that  $U$  must be the union of at most  $q$  subspaces of dimension at most  $\ell - 2$ , contradicting Lemma 13. Hence every maximal singular subspace  $U$  belongs to a member of  $\mathcal{P}$ . So the number of maximal singular subspaces of  $\Gamma$  must be at most  $q$  times the number of maximal singular subspaces of any element of  $\mathcal{P}$  having a maximum number of maximal singular subspaces, contradicting the number of maximal singular subspaces given above.  $\square$

**Lemma 15.** *Each point of  $\Gamma$  belongs to a maximal singular subspace which does not belong to any member of  $\mathcal{P}$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose by way of contradiction that a point  $x$  exists such that every maximal singular subspace of  $\Gamma$  through  $x$  belongs to some member of  $\mathcal{P}$ . Then every line  $xy$  on  $\Gamma$  is contained in some member of  $\mathcal{P}$ . Projecting the whole situation on a hyperplane of  $\text{PG}(m, q)$  (the space of  $\Gamma$ ) not containing  $x$ , we obtain a covering of a polar space  $\Gamma'$  of rank  $\ell - 1$  by at most  $q + 1$  proper polar subspaces of the same rank such that every maximal singular subspace of  $\Gamma'$  is contained in one of the polar subspaces. The same counting argument as in the previous proof leads to a contradiction (now considering  $q + 1$  polar subspaces instead of  $q$ , but the contradiction remains).  $\square$

**Lemma 16.** *Every maximal singular subspace  $U$  of  $\Gamma$  which does not belong to any member of  $\mathcal{P}$  contains a unique  $(\ell - 3)$ -dimensional subspace  $V$  such that every point of  $V$  belongs to every member of  $\mathcal{P}$ , and every other point of  $U$  belongs to exactly one member of  $\mathcal{P}$ .*

**Proof.** It is easily seen that  $\mathcal{P}$  induces a covering of the point set of  $U$  consisting of at most  $q + 1$  proper projective subspaces of  $U$ . Counting the points, one immediately finds that there must be exactly  $q + 1$  proper subspaces of dimension  $\ell - 2$  and hence the result follows directly from Lemma 13.  $\square$

The last two lemmata imply:

**Lemma 17.** *Every point of  $\Gamma$  is contained in either every member of  $\mathcal{P}$ , or in exactly one. Also, if two points  $x$  and  $y$  belong to all members of  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $x$  and  $y$  are collinear in  $\Gamma$ , then all points of the line  $xy$  belong to all members of  $\mathcal{P}$ .  $\square$*

So the geometry  $\Gamma'$  having as point set the set of all points of  $\Gamma$  which belong to all members of  $\mathcal{P}$  (with lines and other subspaces induced by  $\Gamma$ ) satisfies the one-or-all axiom of polar spaces; hence it is a polar space of rank  $\ell$  provided we prove that it contains at least one singular subspace of dimension  $\ell - 1$ , and that no point of it is collinear in  $\Gamma$  with all other points of  $\Gamma'$ .

We know by Lemma 16 that there is at least one singular subspace  $V$  of dimension  $\ell - 3$  contained in all members of  $\mathcal{P}$ . We project from  $V$  onto a subspace of dimension  $m - \ell + 2$ , skew to  $V$ . The projection of  $\Gamma$  is a generalized quadrangle  $\Gamma^*$ , and the projections of the members of  $\mathcal{P}$  induce a covering  $\mathcal{P}^*$  of  $\Gamma^*$  of  $q + 1$  large subquadrangles such that each point of  $\Gamma^*$  is in either a unique member of  $\mathcal{P}^*$ , or in all members of  $\mathcal{P}^*$ . From Theorem 2, it readily follows that either  $\Gamma^*$  is isomorphic to the elliptic quadric  $Q^-(5, q)$ , all members of  $\mathcal{P}^*$  are isomorphic to  $Q(4, q)$ , and the intersection of all members is isomorphic to  $Q^+(3, q)$ , or  $q = 2$ . In the first case, it follows that there are plenty of maximal singular subspaces in  $\Gamma'$ . Now, suppose  $q = 2$ . We may assume that  $\Gamma'$  does not contain a singular subspace of dimension  $\ell - 1$ , hence that no line of  $\Gamma^*$  belongs to all members of  $\mathcal{P}^*$ . If  $\Gamma^*$  is isomorphic to  $Q(4, 2)$ , then it is readily seen that exactly 6 points of  $\Gamma^*$  are contained in each member of  $\mathcal{P}^*$  (which has order  $(2, 1)$ ), contradicting the fact that no two such points can be collinear in  $\Gamma^*$ . Now, suppose that  $\Gamma^*$  is isomorphic to  $Q^-(5, 2)$ . Let the three members of  $\mathcal{P}^*$  have respective orders  $(2, t_1)$ ,  $(2, t_2)$  and  $(2, t_3)$ . If there is a point of  $\Gamma^*$  in all members of  $\mathcal{P}^*$ , then by Lemma 5,  $3 + t_1 + t_2 + t_3 = 5$ , a contradiction. Hence the point set of  $\Gamma^*$  is the disjoint union of the point sets of the members of  $\mathcal{P}^*$ . This implies that all members of  $\mathcal{P}^*$  are isomorphic to  $Q^-(3, 2)$ . Consequently, every element of  $\mathcal{P}$  is isomorphic to  $Q^+(2\ell - 1, 2)$  and  $\Gamma$  itself is isomorphic to  $Q^-(2\ell + 1, 2)$ ,  $n \geq 3$ . Counting the number of points, we must have  $3(2^{\ell-1} + 1) \geq 2^{\ell+1} + 1$ , implying  $\ell \leq 2$ , a contradiction.

Hence we have shown that there is a maximal singular subspace contained in all members of  $\mathcal{P}$ . Moreover, our arguments show that  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to  $Q^-(2\ell + 1, q)$  and every member of  $\mathcal{P}$  is isomorphic to  $Q(2\ell, q)$ .

Now, suppose that there exists a point  $x$  of  $\Gamma'$  such that all points which belong to  $\Gamma'$  are collinear in  $\Gamma$  with  $x$ . The number of points of  $\Gamma$  not collinear with  $x$  is  $q^{2\ell}$ . The number of points in each member of  $\mathcal{P}$  not collinear with  $x$  is  $q^{2\ell-1}$ . Since each point must occur exactly once, this implies  $(q + 1)q^{2\ell-1} = q^{2\ell}$ , a contradiction.

Hence we have shown that the intersection of all members of  $\mathcal{P}$  is a polar subspace of rank  $\ell$ . And it is clear that it must be isomorphic to  $Q^+(2\ell - 1, q)$ . Theorem 3 is proved.

#### 4. Proof of the Corollary

For the notions below not defined in this paper, we refer to Payne and Thas [4] or Thas [6].

Let  $\Gamma$  be a flock quadrangle of order  $(t^2, t)$ ,  $t$  odd, covered (as set of lines!) by a set  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $t + 1$  subquadrangles of order  $(t, t)$ , all containing the point  $(\infty)$ . Then all these subquadrangles meet in a subquadrangle  $\Gamma'$  of order  $(1, t)$ , by Theorem 2. According to Theorem 7.2 of Thas and Van Maldeghem [7], we have to show that the net corresponding with the point  $(\infty)$  satisfies the axiom of Veblen. By Theorem 8.1 of *loc.cit.*, this is equivalent to showing that every two non-collinear points  $x, y$ , with



$x$  collinear with  $(\infty)$  and  $y$  not collinear with  $(\infty)$ , are contained in a subquadrangle of order  $(t, t)$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is an elation generalized quadrangle, we may assume that  $y$  belongs to  $\Gamma'$  (because there is an automorphism group acting regularly on the points of  $\Gamma$  not collinear with  $(\infty)$ ). It is now easy to see that exactly one member of  $\mathcal{S}$  contains  $x$ , namely the unique member containing all lines of  $\Gamma$  through  $x$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] R.C. Bose, R.C. Burton, a characterization of flat spaces in a finite geometry and the uniqueness of the Hamming and the MacDonal codes, *J. Combin. Theory* 1 (1966) 96–104.
- [2] W.M. Kantor, Some generalized quadrangles with parameters  $(q^2, q)$ , *Math. Z* 192 (1986) 45–50.
- [3] S.E. Payne,  $q$ -clans e quadrangoli generalizzati, *Sem. Geom. Combin.* 79 (1988) 1–16.
- [4] S.E. Payne, J.A. Thas, *Finite generalized quadrangles*, Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser. 110, London, Boston, Melbourne, 1984.
- [5] J.A. Thas, 4-gonal subconfigurations of a given 4-gonal configuration, *Rend. Accad. Naz. Lincei* 53 (1972) 520–530.
- [6] J.A. Thas, Generalized polygons, in: F. Buekenhout (Ed.), *Handbook of Incidence Geometry*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1995, pp. 383–431 (Chapter 9).
- [7] J.A. Thas, H. Van Maldeghem, Finite generalized quadrangles and the axiom of Veblen, *Geometry, Combinatorial Designs and Related Structures*, in: S.W.P. Huschfeld (Ed.), Cambridge University Press, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 245 (1997) 241–253.
- [8] J. Tits, Sur la trinité et certains groupes qui s'en déduisent, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 2 (1959) 13–60.