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#### Abstract

In $E^{3}$ a polytope which possesses two facets which can be interchanged always possesses a second pair. However, this is not so in $E^{n}, n \geqslant 4$.


## INTRODUCTION

This paper is the outcome of an empirical observation of one of us (A.H.) that whenever a 3-polytope possesses a pair of facets which could be interchanged then it always seemed to possess a second pair. Our first theorem proves that this must always be the case. However, we shall also show that in $E^{d}, d \geqslant 4$, there exists a $d$-polytope which has exactly two facets which can be interchanged. It would be interesting to characterize all such polytopes which seems to belong, as the proof of Theorem 1 shows, to a somewhat limited class.

If we dualize our observations in $E^{3}$ we are led to consider 3-polytopes with pairs of vertices which can be interchanged. Using Steinitz's theorem, Theorem 1 also holds for 3-connected planar graphs. However, we shall show that the result does not hold for all 3-connected graphs with at least 7 vertices.

## Theorem 1

Let $P$ be a polytope in $E^{3}$ which possesses a pair of facets which can be interchanged by an isomorphism of the face lattice. Then $P$ possesses at least two such pairs.

## Example 1

There exists in $E^{d}, d \geqslant 4$, a $d$-polytope $P$ which possesses only one pair of facets which can be interchanged by an isomorphism of the face lattice of $P$.

The first part of Theorem 2 is the dual version of Theorem 1 (using Steinitz's theorem).

## Theorem 2

Let $G$ be a 3-connected planar graph which possesses a pair of vertices which can be interchanged by an isomorphism of the graph. Then there are at least two such pairs. Further, the result holds for all 3-connected graphs with at most 6 vertices, but there exists a 3-connected graph with 7 vertices with only one pair of interchangeable vertices.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $P^{*}$ be a 3-polytope and let $\phi^{*}$ be an isomorphism which interchanges two facets $A, B$ of $P^{*}$. Let $P$ be the dual of $P^{*}$ and let $\phi$ be the isomorphism of the face lattice which interchanges the corresponding two vertices $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$ of $P$. We shall suppose, for the moment, that $P$ is a $d$-polytope, $d \geqslant 3$, and specialize to $d=3$ only when necessary.

We claim that we can assume that any vertex $\mathbf{c}$ of $P$ which is joined to a by an edge is also joined to $\mathbf{b}$ by an edge. If not, then $\phi(\mathbf{c})$ is joined to $\mathbf{b}$ by an edge but not to $\mathbf{a}$. In particular $\phi(\mathbf{c}) \neq \mathbf{c}$. Indeed, repeating this process, we have that $\phi^{2 m}(\mathbf{c})$ is joined to $\mathbf{a}$ by an edge but not to $\mathbf{b}$, and that $\phi^{2 m+1}(\mathbf{c})$ is joined to $\mathbf{b}$ by an edge but not to $\mathbf{a}$. Eventually there exists $m, n ; n>m$ such that $\phi^{2 n}(\mathbf{c})=\phi^{2 m}(\mathbf{c})$. If we suppose that $n-m$ is minimal then $\phi^{n-m}(\mathbf{c}) \neq \mathbf{c}$. For, if $\phi^{n-m}(\mathbf{c})=\mathbf{c}$ then $n-m$ must be odd (by the minimality of $n-m$ ) but then $\phi^{n-m}(\mathbf{c})$ is joined to $\mathbf{b}$ by an edge but $\mathbf{c}$ is not, i.e. $\phi^{n-m}(\mathbf{c}) \neq \mathbf{c}$. So $\phi^{n-m}$ is an isomorphism of $P$ which interchanges $\mathbf{c}$ and $\phi^{n-m}(\mathbf{c})$ as required. Hence we may assume that any vertex $\mathbf{c}$ of $P$ which is joined to a by an edge is also joined to $\mathbf{b}$ by an edge.

Let $\mathbf{c}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{c}_{k}, k \geqslant 2$ be a list of the vertices joined to both $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ by an edge. Let $F$ be a facet of $P$ containing a and let $\left[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}_{t}\right]$ (possibly together with $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ ) be a list of the edges
containing a and contained in $F$. We claim that we may suppose that $\left[\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}_{t}\right]$ (possibly together with $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ ) form the list of edges containing $\mathbf{b}$ and contained in some facet of $G$ of $P$.

If not, then $F$ cannot contain $b$ for, if it did, then $\left[b, c_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[b, c_{t}\right]$ are the edges of $F$ emanating from b. Hence $\phi(F) \neq F$. Eventually, there exists $m, n, n>m, n-m$ minimal such that $\phi^{2(n-m)} F=F$. So

$$
\left\{\mathbf{c}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{c}_{t}\right\}=\left\{\phi^{2(n-m)}\left(\mathbf{c}_{1}\right), \ldots, \phi^{(n-m)}\left(\mathbf{c}_{t}\right)\right\}
$$

So for each $j, j=1, \ldots, t$ there will be a least positive integer $k_{j}$ such that $\phi^{2 k_{j}}\left(\mathbf{c}_{j}\right)=\mathbf{c}_{j}$. If $k_{j}$ were even, then $\phi^{k_{j}}\left(\mathbf{c}_{j}\right) \neq \mathbf{c}_{j}$ and so $\phi^{k_{j}}$ would be the required isomorphism. So each $k_{j}$ can be supposed odd.

If there exists $j, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant t$, with $\phi^{k_{j}}\left(\mathbf{c}_{j}\right) \neq \mathbf{c}_{j}$ then $\phi^{k_{j}}$ is the required isomorphism interchanging $\mathbf{c}_{j}$ and $\phi^{k_{j}}\left(\mathbf{c}_{j}\right)$. So we may suppose that $\phi^{k_{j}}\left(\mathbf{c}_{j}\right)=\mathbf{c}_{j}, j=1, \ldots, t$. So $\phi^{S}(F)$ is a facet of $P$ containing $\mathbf{b}$, as required. Hence, we may suppose that $\left[\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}_{t}\right]$ (possibly together with $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ ) form the list of edges containing $b$ and containing in some facet $G$ of $P$. If $F$ and $G$ are distinct then $F$ is the convex hull of $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{c}_{t}$ and $G$ is the convex hull of $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{c}_{t}$. If $F=G$ then $F$ is the convex hull of $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{c}_{1}$. From above, it also follows that $P$ is the convex hull of $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{c}_{t}$ and the facets of $P$ take one of the three forms $\operatorname{conv}\left\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{c}_{t}\right\}$, $\operatorname{conv}\left\{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{c}_{t}\right\}$ and $\operatorname{conv}\left\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{c}_{t}\right\}$.

If we now dualize the situation there are two possible cases arising.

## (i) $[a b]$ is not an edge of $P$

In this case $P^{*}$ is a cylinder with bottom facet $A$ and top facet $B$, where $A$ and $B$ are the duals of $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$. For $P^{*}$ in $E^{3}$ any two consecutive side facets are interchangeable under an isomorphism of the face lattice of $P^{*}$. An isomorphism will be the (combinatorial) reflection in their common edge.

## (ii) $[a b]$ is an edge of $P$

In this case $P^{*}$ is the convex hull of $A$ and $B$ (where $A$ and $B$ are similar facets) with $A \cap B$ a $d-2$ face of $P^{*}$, i.e. $P^{*}$ is almost a cylinder with bottom facet $A$ and top facet $B$ except that $A$ meets $B$ in a $d-2$ face $A \cap B$.

For $P^{*}$ in $E^{3}$ the two side facets adjacent to $A \cap B$ are interchangeable under an isomorphism of the face lattice of $P^{*}$. An isomorphism will be the (combinatorial) reflection in $A \cap B$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

## Construction of Example I

In order to construct the example let us continue the analysis of Theorem 1. An obvious candidate would be a cylinder $P^{*}$ in $E^{d}$ whose bottom facet $A$ (and hence top face $B$ ) have no isomorphisms of their face lattice which interchanges $d-2$ faces. Then we could interchange $A$ and $B$ but there remains the possibility that there is some other isomorphism $\lambda$ which interchanges some other pair of facets (and necessarily $\lambda A \neq A$ or $B$, or $\lambda B \neq B$ or $A$ ). To prevent this occurring we ensure that the number of $d-2$ faces of $A$ (and $B$ ) exceed those of the side facets.

So dualizing this argument we need to construct in $E^{d-1}, d \geqslant 4$, a $d-1$ polytope $Q^{d-1}$ with no interchangeable vertices and whose total number of vertices exceed the maximal valence of any one vertex by at least 3 . This we do by induction. For $E^{3}, Q^{3}$ is as in Fig. 1.

We show that no pair of vertices can be interchanged (by exhaustion).
$b$ is fixed. $\mathbf{b}$ is the only six valent vertex.
$\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{d}$ are fixed. The only two five valent vertices are a and d. However, $\mathbf{d}$ lies on a facet with 7 vertices and a does not. Hence a and d cannot be interchanged.
$g$ is fixed. $\mathbf{g}$ is the only vertex with edges to $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{d}$ and $\mathbf{b}$.
$c$ is fixed. $\mathbf{g}$ is the only other vertex with edges to a and d.
$j$ is fixed. $\mathbf{d}$ is the only other vertex with edges to a and $\mathbf{c}$.
$\boldsymbol{i}$ is fixed. $\mathbf{i}$ is the only vertex with edges to $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{j}$.
$\boldsymbol{h}$ is fixed. $\mathbf{h}$ is the only vertex amongst $\mathbf{h}$, $\mathbf{e}$, $\mathbf{f}$ with an edge to $\mathbf{i}$.
$f$ is fixed. $\mathbf{f}$ is the only vertex amongst $\mathbf{e}$, $\mathbf{f}$ with an edge to $\mathbf{h}$.
$e$ is fixed. All the other vertices of $Q^{3}$ have now been shown to be fixed.


Fig. 1


Fig. 2

So no pair of vertices of $Q^{3}$, which is a 3-polytope with 10 vertices and the maximum valence of its vertices is 6 , can be interchanged by an isomorphism of the face lattice of $Q^{3}$.

Suppose now that a $d-1$ polytope $Q^{d-1}$ has been constructed, $d \geqslant 4$, with no interchangeable vertices. Suppose further that $Q^{d-1}$ has $X_{d-1}$ vertices with maximum valence $Y_{d-1}$, where $Y_{d-1}+3 \leqslant X_{d-1}$. As $X_{3}=10$ and $Y_{3}=6$, this is true for $d=4$.
For the construction of $Q^{d}$ we suppose that $Q^{d-1}$ has centroid $\mathbf{O}$ and lies in the coordinate hyperplane $x_{d}=0$ of $E^{d}$. Now, if $\mathbf{e}_{d}$ is the $d$ th unit vector let

$$
Q^{d}=\operatorname{conv}\left\{\mathbf{O}, \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{e}_{d}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} Q^{d-1}, \mathbf{e}_{d}+Q^{d-1}\right\} .
$$

The vertices of $Q^{d}$ are $\mathbf{O}$ and the vertices of the two copies of $Q^{d-1}$, i.e. $Q^{d}$ has $2 X_{d-1}+1$ vertices. The valence of $\mathbf{O}$ is $X_{d-1}$ and the valences of any other vertex is at most two more than its valence in (the copy of) $Q^{d-1}$. So $Y_{d}=X_{d-1}, d \geqslant 4$ and hence $Y_{d}+3 \leqslant X_{d}$. Thus, $Q^{d}$ has been constructed inductively. We claim that there is no isomorphism of the face lattice which interchanges two vertices. Firstly the vertex $\mathbf{O}$ has uniquely the maximum valence and hence is fixed. There are no edges joining $\mathbf{O}$ to any vertex within $\mathbf{e}_{d}+Q^{d-1}$. Consequently any such isomorphisms would have to permute the vertices of

$$
\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{e}_{d}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} Q^{d-1}
$$

and $\mathbf{e}_{d}+Q^{d-1}$ separately. By the inductive assumption on $Q^{d-1}$ this is only possible if all the vertices remain fixed.

Finally taking the dual of $Q^{d-1}$, say $\left(Q^{d-1}\right)^{*}$ and taking the cylinder in $E^{d}$ over $\left(Q^{d-1}\right)^{*}$ we obtain an example of a $d$-polytope, $d \geqslant 4$ in which exactly two facets can be interchanged by an isomorphism of the face lattice.

Proof of Theorem 2. The first part of Theorem 2 follows from Theorem 1 using Steinitz's theorem. So if a 3 -connected graph $G$ has just one interchangeable pair of vertices then it must be non-planar. Consequently $G$ must contain a refinement of at least one of the two Kuratowski graphs.

If $G$ has 5 vertices it is the complete 5 -graph which has all pairs of vertices interchangeable. If $G$ has 6 vertices then it is either the complete ( 3,3 ) bipartite graph, in which case all pairs of vertices are interchangeable, or $G$ contains a refinement of the completed 5 -graph $C_{5}$. In this latter case we consider $G$ as a vertex $\mathbf{v}$ being added (with edges) to $C_{5}$. There are two possibilities.
(i) $\mathbf{v}$ does not lie on the edges of $C_{5}$ and hence $v$ is joined by edges to at least 3 of the 5 vertices of $C_{5}$. Then all the vertices of $C_{5}$ joined by an edge to $\mathbf{v}$ are interchangeable as are all the vertices of $C_{5}$ which are not joined by an edge to $\mathbf{v}$. This yields at least two interchangeable pairs.
(ii) $\mathbf{v}$ lies on one of the edges, $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ say and so $\mathbf{v}$ is joined to at least one other vertex of $C_{5}$. In this case $a$ and $b$ are interchangeable. Also amongst the other three vertices $\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}$, e there will be a pair which are either both joined to $v$ or both are not joined to $v$. Such a pair is also interchangeable. So again there are two interchangeable pairs.

To complete the proof of Theorem 2, we construct a 3-connected graph $G$ with 7 vertices which possesses exactly one pair of interchangeable vertices. This graph is illustrated in Fig. 2.

Clearly $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$ are interchangeable and we claim that there are no other pairs of interchangeable vertices.

Firstly any isomorphism $\phi$ of the graph must fix $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ since they are respectively the only 4 and 5 valent vertices.

Since of the five remaining vertices $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{c}^{\prime}$ only $\mathbf{c}^{\prime}$ is not joined to $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}, \phi$ must also fix $\mathbf{c}^{\prime}$.
We next show that $\phi$ fixes $\mathbf{d}$. If not then $\phi \mathbf{d}$ must be one of $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$. If $\phi \mathbf{d}=\mathbf{a}$ (or $\mathbf{b}$ ) then $\phi \mathbf{c}$, which also has to be one of $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}$ is not joined by an edge to $\phi \mathbf{d}$, whereas [ $\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}]$ is an edge; which is impossible. If $\phi \mathbf{d}=\mathbf{c}$ then again since [ $\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}]$ is an edge, $\phi \mathbf{c}=\mathbf{d}$. However, $\left[\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{a}^{\prime}\right]$ is an edge but $\left[\phi \mathbf{c}, \phi \mathbf{a}^{\prime}\right]=\left[\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{a}^{\prime}\right]$ is not. So $\phi$ fixes $\mathbf{d}$.

It remains to show that $\phi \mathbf{c}=\mathbf{c}$. If $\phi \mathbf{c}=\mathbf{a}$ then [ $\mathbf{c}, \mathrm{d}]$ is an edge but $[\phi \mathbf{c}, \phi \mathrm{d}]=[\mathbf{a}, \mathrm{d}]$ is not, which is impossible. So $\phi \mathbf{c}=\mathbf{c}$ which completes the proof of Theorem 2.
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