

Gabe B. Bleeker, MD
Martin J. Schalij, MD, PhD
***Jeroen J. Bax, MD, PhD**

*Leiden University Medical Center
 Cardiology
 Albinusdreef 2
 2333 ZA
 Leiden
 Zuid-Holland 2333 ZA
 the Netherlands
 E-mail: jjbaxacc@lumc.nl

doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2007.02.033

REFERENCES

1. Bleeker GB, Holman ER, Steendijk P, et al. Cardiac resynchronization therapy in patients with a narrow QRS complex. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2006;48:2243–50.
2. Yu CM, Chan YS, Zhang Q, et al. Benefits of cardiac resynchronization therapy for heart failure patients with narrow QRS complexes and coexisting systolic asynchrony by echocardiography. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2006;48:2251–7.
3. Turner MS, Bleasdale RA, Mumford CE, et al. Left ventricular pacing improves haemodynamic variables in patients with heart failure with a normal QRS duration. *Heart* 2004;90:502–5.
4. Achilli A, Sassara M, Ficili S, et al. Long-term effectiveness of cardiac resynchronization therapy in patients with refractory heart failure and “narrow” QRS. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2003;42:2117–24.

Reply

We thank Dr. Breithardt for his comment on our study on cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) in patients with narrow QRS complexes and coexisting systolic asynchrony by echocardiography (1). Our report suggested the potential beneficial role of CRT for heart failure patients with narrow QRS complexes if they exhibited systolic asynchrony by tissue Doppler imaging. This included the improvement of exercise capacity, symptoms as well as echocardiographic findings of left ventricular (LV) reverse remodeling, and gain in systolic function. The findings are corroborated by the study of Bleeker et al. (2) in the same issue of the *Journal* and in 2 previous reports (2–4). Of note, the lack of control group was pointed out by Dr. Breithardt. We agree this is a potential limitation, although the data from the aforementioned studies support the design of a multicenter, randomized, controlled clinical trial, as stated in our study. In fact, we also provided additional information to illustrate the independent benefit of CRT in the narrow QRS group.

First, the study was designed with a pacing “off” period. During such a period, the benefits of pacing on cardiac function and LV reverse remodeling disappeared gradually. Second, those patients in the narrow QRS group who had significant systolic asynchrony responded more than did those with minimal asynchrony. Third, our study also included a group of wide QRS patients, and the magnitude of response was similar in both the narrow and wide QRS groups. Intriguingly, we have shown that for a similar level of systolic dyssynchrony, the magnitude of reverse remodeling response is nearly identical in both groups.

As similar to the wide QRS group, we optimized atrioventricular interval by the Ritter method for patients in the narrow QRS group. As previously mentioned, we did not find any difference in the optimized atrioventricular interval between the 2 groups.

However, we ensured patients had successful biventricular capture by examination of a 12-lead electrocardiogram. Arguably, some patients might have fusion beats, though pacing by both ventricular leads remains present even in fusion beats; hence, pacing efficacy should not be affected.

In conclusion, our study does not suggest abandoning the electrocardiogram as a selection criterion for CRT, but recommended the need for multicenter trials for heart failure patients with a narrow QRS complex by using echocardiography for screening of systolic asynchrony. It is hoped that this may extend the benefit of CRT to more heart failure patients beyond the scope of using wide QRS complex as a surrogate marker for the presence of systolic asynchrony.

***Cheuk-Man Yu, MD, FRCP**
Qing Zhang, PhD
Jeffrey Wing-Hong Fung, FRCP

*Division of Cardiology
 Department of Medicine and Therapeutics
 Prince of Wales Hospital
 The Chinese University of Hong Kong
 Hong Kong
 People's Republic of China
 E-mail: cmyu@cuhk.edu.hk

doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2007.02.032

REFERENCES

1. Yu CM, Chan YS, Zhang Q, et al. Benefits of cardiac resynchronization therapy for heart failure patients with narrow QRS complexes and coexisting systolic asynchrony by echocardiography. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2006;48:2251–7.
2. Bleeker GB, Schalij MJ, Molhoek SG, et al. Frequency of left ventricular dyssynchrony in patients with heart failure and a narrow QRS complex. *Am J Cardiol* 2005;95:140–2.
3. Achilli A, Sassara M, Ficili S, et al. Long-term effectiveness of cardiac resynchronization therapy in patients with refractory heart failure and “narrow” QRS. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2003;42:2117–24.
4. Turner MS, Bleasdale RA, Vinereanu D, et al. Electrical and mechanical components of dyssynchrony in heart failure patients with normal QRS duration and left bundle-branch block: impact of left and biventricular pacing. *Circulation* 2004;109:2544–9.

Cardiac Imaging in Patients With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease and Chronic Heart Failure

We read with interest the recent study by Le Jemtel et al. (1) on the diagnostic and therapeutic challenges in patients with coexistent chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and chronic heart failure (CHF). In the proposed diagnostic algorithm, the investigators suggested radionuclide ventriculography (RNV) in patients with technically inadequate echocardiographic study.

Although RNV provides an accurate and reproducible method of assessing ventricular function (2,3) it involves the use of radiation and the need for peripheral venous access. In addition, the myocardium itself is not seen, and the spatial resolution is low.