

PHP110

REVIEW AND COMPARISON OF DIFFERENT NATIONAL GUIDELINES ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF NETWORK META-ANALYSIS

Hawkins N¹, Laws AJ², Kendall RM²¹Oxford Outcomes, Oxford, UK, ²Oxford Outcomes, Vancouver, BC, Canada

OBJECTIVES: For an evaluation of a treatment to be truly useful, it should be compared to the other treatments that may be used in the patient group under consideration. Randomised controlled trials are a key source of evidence for these comparisons. The techniques of indirect comparisons and network meta-analysis allow the complete network of trial evidence to be evaluated in order to obtain estimates of comparative efficacy. These techniques may be the only source of estimates of comparative effectiveness if trials directly comparing the treatments of interest have not been conducted and may provide useful additional evidence if both direct and indirect comparisons exist. **METHODS:** We examined both published and draft guidelines from reimbursement and health technology appraisal bodies, and considered their recommendations using appropriate methodology for the conduct of indirect comparisons and the assessments of their validity. **RESULTS:** The following countries were studied; Australia, Canada, France, Germany, Korea, Sweden, and USA, with particular emphasis on the differences and similarities in these guidelines with each other, and with the guidelines established by the Cochrane collaboration. **CONCLUSIONS:** Finally, we present an example analysis demonstrating how the various requirements could be met in practice.

PHP111

GLOBAL EVIDENCE GENERATION - CHALLENGES FOR THE PHARMA INDUSTRY

Mukku S

Double Helix Consulting Group, London, UK

Health technology assessments around the world are changing at a pace that it is becoming extremely difficult for the pharmaceutical companies to keep up to the demands of health authorities around the world. Market access, commercial and more specifically clinical development teams are facing a mammoth task of developing and generating clinical data in a form that will satisfy the increasing expectations of health care systems around the world. **OBJECTIVES:** This research paper analysis the current trends in data expectations from key markets around the world. This specific objective was to develop a matrix that will identify the differences and commonalities in clinical data expectations in selected key markets of US, France, Germany, UK, The Netherlands, Spain, Italy, Poland, China, Australia, Turkey, India, Brazil and Mexico. **METHODS:** A combination of in-depth secondary research followed by interviews with key stakeholders in each market was used to achieve the objectives of the project. Clinical experts who are involved in clinical trial design in key research centres, payers at the national and regional level and executives from pharmaceutical industry were interviewed. **RESULTS:** It was concluded that there is huge variance in data expectations in the selected markets and for this reason countries could be placed in different buckets. For instance, some countries focus on economic assessment (UK, Australia) while other focus on well designed RCTs against relevant comparator (Germany, France). The 2011 introduction of AMNOG has resulted in deadlock between the manufacturers and the GBA (Joint federal committee) in Germany on the choice of comparator. Similarly the new clinical guidelines that are being introduced in China require data with local/Chinese population. The French Transparency (TC) commission is not considering drugs as valuable (AMSR scoring) if they are not supported with a well designed comparator study. The paper elaborates on such global challenges.

PHP112

INVESTMENT AND DISINVESTMENT OF HEALTH TECHNOLOGIES: THE NEED FOR TWO COST-EFFECTIVENESS THRESHOLDS

Paulden M

University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada

OBJECTIVES: The concept of a cost-effectiveness "threshold" has been adopted either explicitly or implicitly by health care decision makers in numerous jurisdictions. This paper demonstrates that, under very weak assumptions – applicable to all realworld health systems – decision makers ought to instead adopt two cost-effectiveness thresholds. **METHODS:** A simple model of a hypothetical health care system is used to demonstrate the appropriate threshold(s) under various assumptions concerning: 1) the size of the health care budget; 2) the extent to which technology, productivity and/or input prices change over time; 3) whether the amount of information available to decision makers changes over time; and 4) the fixity of the set of adopted health care technologies in the short term. **RESULTS:** The assumptions which must hold for two thresholds to be appropriate are that: 1) there is some fixity in the set of adopted health care technologies in the short term, and 2) either 1) technology, productivity and/or input prices change over time, or 2) the information available to decision makers changes over time, or both. Where these assumptions hold, one threshold ought to be used when appraising technologies with positive incremental costs (investment decisions), while a different threshold should be used when appraising technologies with negative incremental costs (disinvestment decisions). This is true regardless of the marginality of the technologies under consideration. **CONCLUSIONS:** This finding has profound implications for the practice of cost-effectiveness analysis, for ongoing and future empirical research into the nature of the threshold, and for health care policy making. It gives a theoretical underpinning to observations that the ICERs of technologies disinvested at the margin differ from those of technologies adopted at the margin. It also has implications for the interpretation of ICERs, for the appropriate calculation of net benefit, and for the conduct of value of information analysis.

DISEASE-SPECIFIC STUDIES

MUSCULAR-SKELETAL DISORDERS – Clinical Outcomes Studies

PMS1

DEMOGRAPHIC AND CLINICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF DULOXETINE PATIENTS WITH CHRONIC LOWER BACK PAIN OR OSTEOARTHRITIS IN 2011

Able SL¹, Preston H², Victor H²¹Eli Lilly and Company, Inc., Indianapolis, IN, USA, ²IMS Health Consulting Group, Plymouth Meeting, PA, USA

OBJECTIVES: Identify and compare demographic and clinical characteristics of patients diagnosed with osteoarthritis (OA) or chronic lower back pain (CLBP) who initiated treatment on duloxetine after FDA approval of its use for management of chronic musculoskeletal pain late in 2010. **METHODS:** Commercial patients 18-64 years of age who initiated duloxetine treatment between January 1, 2011 and July 30, 2011 were identified in the IMS Longitudinal Prescription and Medical Claims Database. The index event was defined as the first duloxetine prescription fill with no duloxetine pill-coverage for 90 days prior. Patients were assigned to disease-category cohorts on the basis of ICD-9 codes on medical claims dated within -180/+7 days of the index event. χ^2 -tests were used to compare differences across study cohorts. Additional cohorts based on other FDA approved duloxetine indications and for the same time period a year prior to the primary study period were constructed for comparison. **RESULTS:** A total of 422,911 duloxetine initiators with >1 of duloxetine's six approved indications were identified in the IMS database, of which 80,637 had either CLBP (42,280) or OA (38,357) as the only diagnosed condition from among the six. OA patients were older than CLBP patients (60.6 vs. 52.1 years; $p<0.001$). An almost equal proportion of OA and CLBP patients (47%) were treated by primary care physicians. CLBP patients were more likely prescribed an anticonvulsant (52.7% vs 37.3%; $p<0.001$) or an opioid (93.5% vs. 84.1%; $p<0.001$) than were OA patients. OA patients were more likely to have been previously diagnosed with a non-CLBP related musculoskeletal pain condition. OA patients were more likely to initiate duloxetine treatment at sub-therapeutic (<40 mg/day) dosing levels than CLBP patients (32.1% vs. 26.8%; $p<0.001$). Results for 2011 were little changed from 2010 results. **CONCLUSIONS:** Overall, patient profiles among duloxetine initiators with CLBP displayed modest differentiation relative to patients with OA in 2011.

PMS2

THE EFFICACY OF DULOXETINE, NON-STEROIDAL ANTI-INFLAMMATORY DRUGS, AND OPIOIDS IN OSTEOARTHRITIS: A META-ANALYSIS

Myers JA¹, Wielage RC¹, Han B², Price K², Gahn JC¹, Paget MA³, Happich M⁴¹Medical Decision Modeling Inc., Indianapolis, IN, USA, ²Eli Lilly and Company, Inc., Indianapolis, IN, USA, ³Lilly France S.A., Suresnes Cedex, France, ⁴Eli Lilly and Company, Inc., Bad Homburg, Hessa, Germany

OBJECTIVES: Comparative evidence evaluating the efficacy of oral osteoarthritis treatments has frequently included short-term trials. Meta-analyses of longer-term trials are needed. This meta-analysis of trials 12 weeks or longer was conducted to assess the efficacy of duloxetine vs. other oral treatments recommended after the use of acetaminophen for osteoarthritis, including non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and opioids. **METHODS:** Search strategy: A systematic literature review was performed in PUBMED, EMBASE, MedLine In-Process, Cochrane Library, and ClinicalTrials.gov up to September 2011. Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of duloxetine and all oral NSAIDs and opioids were included if the duration of treatment was twelve weeks or longer, the Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Index (WOMAC) total score was available, and they were published in English. Data collection and analysis: The WOMAC baseline and change from baseline total scores were collected and standardized. Twelve additional study characteristics were collected and study quality was assessed. A frequentist meta-analysis and indirect comparison were performed using the DerSimonian-Laird and Bucher methods. Bayesian analyses with and without study-level covariates were performed using noninformative priors. **RESULTS:** A search of the literature identified 24 studies which met inclusion criteria. The frequentist analysis and the Bayesian analysis without covariates found no statistically significant difference between the efficacy of duloxetine and the other treatments. Meta-regression suggested baseline scores explain much of the variance in change from baseline scores. The results, however, adjusted for study-level covariates led to the same conclusion. **CONCLUSIONS:** This analysis suggests that the efficacy of duloxetine in osteoarthritis, as measured by the WOMAC total score at 12 weeks or longer, is similar to competitor drugs.

PMS3

COMPARATIVE EFFECTIVENESS ANALYSIS USING "REAL-WORLD" PATIENT DATABASE TO EVALUATE THE FRACTURES RATES COMPARING ANNUAL ZOLEDRONIC ACID INFUSION WITH ORAL BISPHOSPHONATES

Lian J¹, Song X², Varker H², Cao Z², Recknor C³¹Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, East Hanover, NJ, USA, ²Thomson Reuters, Cambridge, MA, USA, ³United Osteoporosis Centers, Gainesville, GA, USA

OBJECTIVES: This study evaluated clinical fracture rates before and after two years of zoledronic acid infusion (ZOL) or oral bisphosphonate (OBP) initiation using a large national claims data set. **METHODS:** Patients ≥ 45 years with at least one claim of ZOL or OBP were extracted from Thomson Reuters MarketScan® Databases January 1, 2006-October 31, 2010. Index date was the date of the first ZOL or OBP claim. Each patient had ≥ 1 diagnosis of osteoporosis prior to index date. All patients had at least two-year continuous enrollment prior to (pre-period) and two-year continuous enrollment post (follow-up period) index date. Patients with any ZOL use during the study period were excluded from the OBP cohort. Differ-

ence-in-difference method was used to estimate changes in fracture rates two years before and after ZOL or OBP initiation. Generalized estimating equation models were used to test the hypothesis of differential changes in fracture rates between ZOL and OBP users, controlling for age, gender, treatment (ZOL vs. OBP), and time (pre-period vs. follow-up period). **RESULTS:** A total of 3,102 ZOL and 36,961 OBP users met the study criteria. Over the two-year follow-up, ZOL users experienced a significant reduction in fracture rates compared to the two-year prior to ZOL (13.4% vs. 11.2%; $p=0.008$) while fracture rates significantly increased for OBP users (9.0% vs. 9.5%; $p=0.019$). Multivariate regression estimated that the probability of experiencing any fracture decreased by 1.97% between pre- and follow up period for ZOL users ($p=0.004$), increased by 0.46% for OBP users ($p=0.001$), and the difference-in-difference effect was 2.43%, suggesting that ZOL users experienced a significant decrease in fracture rates relative to OBP users ($p<0.001$). **CONCLUSIONS:** This is the first comparative analysis evaluating fracture rates two years before and two years after the initiation of ZOL and OBP. Use of ZOL significantly reduced fracture rates, compared with the use of OBP.

PMS4

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS BETWEEN COSTS AND CLINICAL RESPONSE OF BIOLOGIC DRUGS FOR THE TREATMENT OF RHEUMATOID ARTHRITIS (RA)

Cantanheda CRDO, Romão VA, Amino JG, Dias QCP, Simas HS, Bacchiaga AB, Azevedo V, Assis E, Teich VT
Unimed-Rio Cooperativa de Trabalho Médico, Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

OBJECTIVES: To evaluate costs and clinical response of different treatments with biologic drugs for rheumatoid arthritis. **METHODS:** The study was designed as a prospective cohort. A sample of 15 patients was selected for analysis. Selection criteria: patients with RA with moderate to severe disease activity, failure to treatment with DMARDs and no previous use of anti-TNF drugs. The following parameters were considered in the calculation of direct costs: average doses, treatment duration, number of doses received and cost per milligram (mg) of each drug. The clinical outcome evaluated was DAS 28 at day zero and at 160 days. The criteria considered for low activity of disease was $DAS\ 28 \leq 3.2$ and for remission $DAS\ 28 \leq 2.6$. **RESULTS:** Among the 15 patients included, the average age was 45 years, 33% were men, with average BMI of 25.81kg/m², 73% with disease duration above 5 years, and average duration of treatment with DMARDs of 2.27 years. Among these, 3 patients were treated with Adalimumab (average dose: 40mg; R\$75.13/mg; 6 doses); 3 with Etanercept (average dose: 50mg; R\$28.28/mg; 15 doses) and 9 with Infliximab (average dose: 201mg; R\$30.77/mg; 4 infusions). The average follow-up time was 16 weeks. Adalimumab resulted in an average cost of R\$18031.41 with a 63% reduction in DAS 28 (2.03), presenting remission criteria. The average cost with Etanercept was R\$21,209.55 with a 3% reduction in DAS 28 (5.31). Infliximab resulted in an average cost of R\$ 24,766.11 with a reduction of 26% in DAS 28 (3.23) presenting criteria for low activity of disease. **CONCLUSIONS:** The first line treatment of patients with moderate to severe RA with Adalimumab presented the best clinical response in 160 days, achieving criteria for disease remission at the lowest treatment cost. The treatment with Etanercept resulted in the worst relation between cost and clinical response.

PMS5

DATA VISUALIZATION OF TREATMENT PATTERNS OF MEDICARE PSORIATIC ARTHRITIS PATIENTS WHO INITIATE TUMOR NECROSIS FACTOR THERAPY

Baser O¹, Wang L², Xie L¹, Yuce H³

¹STATinMED Research/The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA, ²STATinMED Research, Dallas, TX, USA, ³New York City College of Technology-CUNY/STATinMED Research, New York, NY, USA

OBJECTIVES: Outcomes research methodologies need to advance and allow access by various disciplines as clinicians, epidemiologists, economists, and statisticians interact frequently. A data visualization tool can help present complex patterns more effectively to a diverse audience. **METHODS:** Patients over 65, with at least one diagnosis for PsA were selected for this study, using the 100% national Medicare data with Part D information. We identified patients who initiated therapy with tumor necrosis factor (TNF) and non-TNF treatments. For 2 years after biologic initiation, the following treatment patterns were examined: switching to another TNF, switching to a non-TNF, and discontinuation. We created a data visualization tool using a processing language to show how patient treatment patterns change after the first and second drug switches. **RESULTS:** A total of 2921 patients initiated PsA therapy with a TNF agent. 6.44% of these patients switched to another TNF, 2.43% switched to a non-TNF, 51.11% discontinued therapy and 40.02% continued their initial therapy. Among patients who switched to another TNF, 50.53% remained on the switched therapy, 30.85% discontinued therapy, 18.09% switched to another TNF, and 0.53% switched to a non-TNF. In 2 years after TNF initiation, 11.76% of patients made a second switch from their initial TNF to a third TNF while 64.71% continued their second switched drug, 23.53% discontinued the second switched TNF and no patients switched to a non-TNF. **CONCLUSIONS:** It can be difficult to present treatment patterns, especially when analyzing subsequent years and switches. Data visualization tools can help present these complicated flows effectively to a diverse health outcomes research audience.

PMS6

TREATMENT PATTERN VISUALIZATION OF MEDICARE PATIENTS DIAGNOSED WITH RHEUMATOID ARTHRITIS AND INITIATING TUMOR NECROSIS FACTOR THERAPY

Baser O¹, Wang L², Xie L¹, Yuce H³

¹STATinMED Research/The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA, ²STATinMED Research, Dallas, TX, USA, ³New York City College of Technology-CUNY/STATinMED Research, New York, NY, USA

OBJECTIVES: In recent years, methodologies used in outcomes research have advanced. In a field where different disciplines interact frequently, a tool to communicate information clearly and effectively through graphical means has become a necessity. Using data visualization techniques, we present treatment patterns of patients diagnosed with rheumatoid arthritis (RA). **METHODS:** Using the 100% national Medicare data with Part D information, patients who are over age 65 and have had 2 diagnoses for RA at least 60 days apart were selected for the study. We identified patients who initiated therapy with tumor necrosis factor (TNF) agents. For 2 years after the initiation of TNF, we examined treatment patterns such as switching to another TNF, switching to a non-TNF, and drug discontinuation. Using a processing language, we created a data visualization tool to illustrate changes in treatment patterns after first, second and the third switches. **RESULTS:** A total of 50,455 RA patients initiated therapy with a TNF. 4.37% of these patients switched to another TNF, 5.81% switched to a non-TNF, 61.52% discontinued therapy and 28.31% continued their initial therapy. Among patients who switched to another TNF, 37.36% remained on the switched therapy, 46.71% discontinued, 8.40% switched to another TNF, and 7.54% switched to a non-TNF. In 2 years after TNF initiation, 7.03% of patients who switched twice after the initial TNF switched a third time to another TNF, while 51.35% continued their second switched drug, 35.68% discontinued their second switched TNF, and 5.95% switched to a non-TNF. **CONCLUSIONS:** Treatment patterns can be difficult to present, especially if they were analyzed for subsequent years and switches. Data visualization tools can assist researchers in the effective presentation of these complicated flows.

PMS7

DEPRESSION TREATMENT PATTERNS AMONG INDIVIDUALS WITH ARTHRITIS

Agarwal P, Pan XL, Sambamoorthy U
West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV, USA

OBJECTIVES: To examine the patterns of depression treatment among individuals with arthritis and depression by demographic, socioeconomic, access to care, health status and lifestyle risk factors. **METHODS:** We used a cross-sectional design. Our data source was the 2008 annual release of Medical Expenditures Panel Survey (MEPS). Individuals with self-reported arthritis were identified from medical conditions and household files. Presence of depression was captured from medical conditions file. The study sample consisted of 947 adults with arthritis and depression. Unadjusted group differences in depression treatment patterns among individuals with arthritis and depression were analyzed using Chi-square tests. Multinomial logistic regressions were used to examine the relationship between depression treatment and demographic, socioeconomic, access to care, health status and lifestyle risk factors. All analysis accounted for complex survey design of MEPS. **RESULTS:** Overall, 20% of individuals with arthritis and depression had no depression treatment, 56% used antidepressants only and 24% used psychotherapy with or without antidepressants. After controlling for all other independent variables, we found that compared to whites, African Americans (AOR=0.37) and Latinos (AOR=0.28) were significantly less likely to use antidepressants only. Individuals with middle income (AOR=0.32) were significantly less likely to receive psychotherapy with or without antidepressants, than individuals with high income. **CONCLUSIONS:** One in every five individuals with both arthritis and depression did not have any treatment for depression. Racial and socio-economic disparities in depression treatment were found. Further research is required to explore that whether lack of depression treatment results in poor health outcomes related to arthritis among individuals with arthritis and depression.

PMS8

ESTIMATING INCIDENCE AND PREVALENCE OF OSTEOARTHRITIS (OA) IN ALBERTA USING ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIMS DATA

Marshall D¹, Enns E², Vanderby S³, Frank C², Maxwell C¹, Wasylak T⁴, Mosher DP¹, Barnabe C¹, Noseworthy T¹

¹University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada, ²Alberta Bone & Joint Health Institute, Calgary, AB, Canada, ³University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, SK, Canada, ⁴Alberta Health Services, Calgary, AB, Canada

OBJECTIVES: OA is a highly prevalent disease with significant economic implications. With increased aging and obesity, the incidence and prevalence of OA is expected to continually rise, resulting in higher utilization of health resources. As part of a systems dynamic modelling research programme to inform OA care planning in Alberta, we used provincial administrative data to estimate OA prevalence and incidence and examined the sensitivity of estimates to different OA case definitions. **METHODS:** We obtained Alberta Health and Wellness (AHW) Discharge Abstract (DAD), Physician Claims (Claims) and Ambulatory Care Classification System (ACCS) databases from 1994 to 2010 with ICD-9 and ICD-10 OA diagnosis codes (715 and M15-19 codes) identified in any field. In the base case, OA incidence and prevalence was captured for patients documented with this diagnosis who had at least two physician OA visits within two years. **RESULTS:** The incidence and prevalence of OA in 2008 were estimated at 7.5 cases/1000 population and 87 cases/1000 population, respectively. OA prevalence was most affected by run-in time (number of years of data), followed by the number of physician visits used to define OA, the number of years between cases, and the databases applied in the analysis. Over 15 years, prevalence approaches steady-state. Physician Claims data captured most (98%) of the OA cases. **CONCLUSIONS:** Administrative data have limitations but are the only routinely collected population level source for these estimates. The key factors that impact incidence and prevalence estimates for chronic diseases, like OA, is the number of years of historical data and number of visits used in the case definition. These estimates most likely underestimate OA prevalence, but likely capture clinically relevant disease for which patients seek care. The value of these data for health authorities is to allow for better prediction of demand for planning future health services.