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#### Abstract

Let $E$ be an elliptic curve defined over a number field $K$. We show that for each root of unity $\zeta$, the set $\Sigma_{\zeta}$ of $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K} / K)$ such that $\zeta$ is an eigenvalue of infinite multiplicity for $\sigma$ acting on $E(\bar{K}) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ has non-empty interior.

For the eigenvalue -1 , we can show more: for any $\sigma$ in $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K} / K)$, the multiplicity of the eigenvalue -1 is either 0 or $\infty$. It follows that $\Sigma_{-1}$ is open. © 2006 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.


## 1. Introduction

Let $K$ be a number field, $\bar{K}$ an algebraic closure of $K$, and $G_{K}:=\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K} / K)$ the absolute Galois group of $\bar{K}$ over $K$. Let $E$ be an elliptic curve defined over $K$. There is a natural continuous action of $G_{K}$ on the countably infinite-dimensional complex vector space $V_{E}:=E(\bar{K}) \otimes \mathbb{C}$. The resulting representation decomposes as a direct sum of finite-dimensional irreducible representations in each of which $G_{K}$ acts through a finite quotient group.

In particular, the action of every $\sigma \in G_{K}$ on $V_{E}$ is diagonalizable, with all eigenvalues roots of unity. In [3], the first-named author showed that for generic $\sigma$, every root of unity appears as an eigenvalue of countably infinite multiplicity. This is true both in terms of measure and of Baire category. However, there exist $\sigma$ for which the spectrum is quite different: trivially, the

[^0]identity and complex conjugation elements; less trivially, examples which can be constructed for an arbitrary set $S$ of primes, such that $\zeta$ is an eigenvalue if and only if every prime factor of its order lies in $S$.

Throughout this paper, we will write $\Sigma_{\zeta}$ for the subset of $G_{K}$ consisting of elements $\sigma$ acting as $\zeta$ on an infinite-dimensional subspace of $V_{E}$ ( $E$ and $K$ being fixed). For $\zeta=1$, a good deal is known. In [2], it is proved that whenever 1 appears as an eigenvalue of $\sigma$ at all, we have $\sigma \in \Sigma_{1}$. It follows that $\Sigma_{1}$ is open. By [4], when $K=\mathbb{Q}, \Sigma_{1}$ is all of $G_{K}$, and quite possibly this may be true without restriction on $K$. We have already observed that $\Sigma_{\zeta} \neq G_{K}$ for $\zeta \neq 1$. We can still hope for positive answers to the following progression of increasingly optimistic questions:

Question 1.1. Does $\Sigma_{\zeta}$ have non-empty interior for all $\zeta$ ?
Question 1.2. Is $\Sigma_{\zeta}$ open for all $\zeta$ ?
Question 1.3. Do all eigenvalues of $\sigma$ acting on $V_{E}$ appear with infinite multiplicity?
In this paper, we give an affirmative answer to Question 1.1 for all $\zeta$ and an affirmative answer to all three questions for $\zeta=-1$.

The difficulty in proving such theorems is that placing $\sigma$ in a basic open subset $U$ of $G_{K}$ amounts to specifying the action of $\sigma$ on a finite Galois extension $L$ of $K$. By the MordellWeil theorem, $E(L) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is finite-dimensional. The surprising thing is that knowing the action of $\sigma$ on this finite-dimensional subspace of $V_{E}$ can be enough to guarantee the existence of an infinite-dimensional $\zeta$-eigenspace for $\sigma$.

## 2. Multiplicity of the eigenvalue - 1

In this section, we answer Questions 1.2 and 1.3 for $\zeta=-1$.

Proposition 2.1. Let $E / K$ be an elliptic curve over $K$. Suppose -1 is an eigenvalue of the action of $\sigma \in G_{K}$ on $V_{E}$. Then the -1-eigenspace of $\sigma$ is infinite-dimensional.

Proof. As -1 is an eigenvalue of $\sigma$ acting on $V_{E}$, it is an eigenvalue of $\sigma$ acting on $E(\bar{K}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Clearing denominators, there exists a non-torsion $P \in E(\bar{K})$ such that $\sigma(P)+P \in E(\bar{K})_{\text {tor }}$. Replacing $P$ by a suitable positive integral multiple, $\sigma(P)=-P$.

Let $y^{2}=f(x)$ be a fixed Weierstrass equation of $E / K$. Let $P=(\alpha, \sqrt{f(\alpha)})$. As $\sigma(P)=$ $-P$, we have $\alpha \in \bar{K}^{\sigma}$ but $\sigma(\sqrt{f(\alpha)})=-\sqrt{f(\alpha)}$ so $\sqrt{f(\alpha)} \notin \bar{K}^{\sigma}$. Then, $\sqrt{f(\alpha)} \notin K(\alpha)$, since $K(\alpha) \subseteq \bar{K}^{\sigma}$.

Let $c=f(\alpha) \in K(\alpha)$. We still have $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K} / K(\alpha))$ and $\sigma(\sqrt{c})=-\sqrt{c}$.
Let $E^{\prime} / K(\alpha)$ denote the twist $y^{2}=c f(x)$. Then, $E^{\prime}$ has a rational point $P^{\prime}=(\alpha, f(\alpha))$ over $K(\alpha)$. The $\bar{K}$-isomorphism $\phi: E \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ mapping $(x, y) \mapsto(x, \sqrt{f(\alpha) y})$ sends $P$ to $P^{\prime}$, so $P^{\prime}$ is of infinite order on $E^{\prime}$. By [2, Theorem 5.3], $E^{\prime}\left(\bar{K}^{\sigma}\right)$ has infinite rank. Let $\left\{P_{i}^{\prime}=\right.$ $\left.\left(x_{i}, \sqrt{c f\left(x_{i}\right)}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be an infinite sequence of linearly independent points of $E^{\prime}$ generating the infinite-dimensional eigenspace of 1 of $\sigma$ in $E^{\prime}(\bar{K}) \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Then, $\sigma\left(x_{i}\right)=x_{i}$ and $\sigma\left(\sqrt{f\left(x_{i}\right)}\right)=$ $-\sqrt{f\left(x_{i}\right)}$ for all $i$, since $\sigma(\sqrt{c})=-\sqrt{c}$.

Let $P_{i}=\phi^{-1}\left(P_{i}^{\prime}\right)=\left(x_{i}, \sqrt{f\left(x_{i}\right)}\right)$. These are points of the given elliptic curve $E$ such that $\sigma\left(P_{i}\right)=-P_{i}$ for all $i$, since $\sigma\left(x_{i}\right)=x_{i}$ and $\sigma\left(\sqrt{f\left(x_{i}\right)}\right)=-\sqrt{f\left(x_{i}\right)}$.

The points $P_{i}$ are linearly independent because the $P_{i}^{\prime}$ are so. Therefore, $\left\{P_{i} \otimes 1\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ generates an infinite-dimensional subspace of the -1 -eigenspace of $\sigma$ on $V_{E}$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 2.2. Let $E / K$ be an elliptic curve over $K$. Then, $\Sigma_{-1}$ is open.
Proof. We have already seen that if $\sigma \in \Sigma_{-1}$, we can choose a point $P \in E(\bar{K})$ of infinite order such that $\sigma(P)=-P$. By Proposition 2.1, $\tau(P)=-P$ implies $\tau \in \Sigma_{-1}$. It follows that $\Sigma_{-1}$ contains the open neighborhood $\left\{\tau \in G_{K} \mid \tau(P)=\sigma(P)\right\}$ of $\sigma$.

Remark 2.3. The same argument shows that Questions 1.2 and 1.3 have an affirmative answer for $\zeta=\omega$ (respectively $\zeta=i$ ) when $E$ has complex multiplication by $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ (respectively $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ ).

## 3. Interior points

In this section, we show that for every root of unity $\zeta$, the set $\Sigma_{\zeta}$ contains a non-empty open subset. We assume that the order of $\zeta$ is $n \geqslant 3$, the case $n=1$ having been treated in [2], and the case $n=2$ in Theorem 2.2.

Our strategy will be to find points $Q_{i} \in E(\bar{K})$ such that the $\sigma$-orbit of $Q_{i}$ has length $n$. For each such point $Q_{i}$, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i}:=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sigma^{j}\left(Q_{i}\right) \otimes \zeta^{-j} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and observe that $R_{i}$ is a $\zeta$-eigenvector of $\sigma$ provided that it is non-zero.
We therefore begin with the following proposition.
Proposition 3.1. Let $X$ be a Riemann surface of genus $g \geqslant 3$ with an automorphism $\sigma$ of order $n \geqslant 3$. Then $X$ contains a non-empty open set $U$ such that $x \in U$ implies that

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left[\sigma^{i} x\right] \otimes \zeta^{-i} \neq 0
$$

in $\operatorname{Pic} X \otimes \mathbb{C}$.
To prove the proposition, we need the following lemma, which is essentially due to Weil (see [7, VI, Proposition 7] for a formulation more general than ours, in the setting of $\ell$-adic homology).

Lemma 3.2. Let $R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ denote the ring of virtual complex representations of a finite group $G$, and for every subgroup $H \subset G$, let $I_{H}=\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G} 1$, where 1 is the trivial representation. For any compact Riemann surface $X$ on which $G$ acts faithfully, we have the following identity in $R_{\mathbb{C}}(G) \otimes \mathbb{Q}:$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[H^{1}(X, \mathbb{C})\right]=2+(2 h-2)\left[I_{\{1\}}\right]+\sum_{x \in X} \frac{\left[I_{\{1\}}\right]-\left[I_{\operatorname{Stab}_{G}(x)}\right]}{\left[G: \operatorname{Stab}_{G}(x)\right]} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h$ is the genus of $X / G$, and $[V]$ denotes the class in $R_{\mathbb{C}}(G) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ associated to the representation $V$. Note that the summand on the right-hand side of (2) is zero for every $x$ with $\operatorname{Stab}_{G}(x)=\{1\}$, and therefore the sum is finite.

Proof. Let $\pi: X \rightarrow X / G$ denote the quotient map. There is a natural injective trace map from $R_{\mathbb{C}}(G) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ to the space of complex-valued functions on $G$. To prove the lemma, it suffices to take traces of both sides and check equality for all elements of $g \in G$. When $g=1$, the equality of traces in (2) is just the Riemann-Hurwitz formula. For $g \neq 1$, the Lefschetz trace formula asserts

$$
2-\operatorname{tr}\left(g \mid H^{1}(X, \mathbb{C})\right)=\operatorname{Fix}(g)=\sum_{y \in X / G} \sum_{\left\{x \in \pi^{-1} y \mid g(x)=x\right\}} 1 .
$$

The contribution of the $G$-orbit of $x_{0} \in X$ to this sum is

$$
\frac{1}{\left[G: \operatorname{Stab}_{G}\left(x_{0}\right)\right]} \sum_{\left\{k \in G \mid g\left(k\left(x_{0}\right)\right)=k\left(x_{0}\right)\right\}} 1=\frac{\left|\left\{k \in G \mid g \in k \operatorname{Stab}_{G}\left(x_{0}\right) k^{-1}\right\}\right|}{\left[G: \operatorname{Stab}_{G}\left(x_{0}\right)\right]}
$$

On the other hand, any non-zero $g$ has trace 2 on $2+(2 h-2)\left[I_{\{1\}}\right]$. To compute the trace of $g$ on the remaining terms on the right-hand side of (2), we note that for any subgroup $H$ of $G, g$ fixes a coset $k H$ if and only if $g \in k H k^{-1}$, so the trace of $g$ on $I_{H}$ equals

$$
\frac{\left|\left\{k \in G \mid g \in k H k^{-1}\right\}\right|}{|H|}
$$

Thus, the trace of $g$ on

$$
\sum_{x \in \pi^{-1}\left(\pi\left(x_{0}\right)\right)} \frac{\left[I_{\{1\}}\right]-\left[I_{\operatorname{Stab}_{G}(x)}\right]}{\left[G: \operatorname{Stab}_{G}(x)\right]}
$$

is

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(g \mid\left[I_{\{1\}}\right]-\left[I_{\operatorname{Stab}_{G}\left(x_{0}\right)}\right]\right)=-\frac{\left|\left\{k \in G \mid g \in k \operatorname{Stab}_{G}\left(x_{0}\right) k^{-1}\right\}\right|}{\left|\operatorname{Stab}_{G}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|} .
$$

The lemma follows.
We can now prove Proposition 3.1.
Proof. We can regard $X$ as the set of complex points of a non-singular projective curve whose Picard scheme has complex locus Pic $X$. Then Pic $X \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ is the group of complex points of a group scheme whose identity component $\operatorname{Pic}^{0} X \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ is isomorphic to the $\phi(n)$ th power of the Jacobian variety of this curve. The action of $\sigma$ on $X$ defines an action on Pic $X$, and the map $\psi: \operatorname{Pic} X \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic} X \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ given by

$$
\psi(y)=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sigma^{i} y \otimes \zeta^{-i}
$$

then comes from a morphism of group schemes. The image of $\psi$ actually lies in $\operatorname{Pic}^{0} X \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$, and its kernel $P_{\zeta}^{0}$ is Zariski-closed in Pic $X$.

The set $P_{\zeta}$ of $y$ such that $\psi(y)$ maps to 0 in $\operatorname{Pic} X \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is the union of all translates of $P_{\zeta}^{0}$ by torsion points of Pic $X$. Applying Raynaud's theorem [6] (i.e., the proof of the ManinMumford conjecture) to the image of $X$ in $\operatorname{Pic} X / P_{\zeta}^{0}$, the intersection $X \cap P_{\zeta}$ is finite whenever $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Pic} X / P_{\zeta}^{0} \geqslant 2$. It therefore suffices to prove that the Lie algebra of $P_{\zeta}^{0}$ is a subspace of the Lie algebra of Pic $X$ of codimension $\geqslant 2$ or, equivalently, that the rank of the map $\psi_{*}$ of Lie algebras is at least 2 . We identify the Lie algebra of $\operatorname{Pic} X$ in the usual way [1, Chapter 2, §6] with $H^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)=H^{0,1}(X)$. Likewise, the Lie algebra of Pic $X \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ is isomorphic to $H^{0,1}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$. For every $k$ prime to $n$, there exists a morphism

$$
\phi_{k}: H^{0,1}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta] \rightarrow H^{0,1}(X)
$$

obtained from the embedding of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ into $\mathbb{C}$ mapping $\zeta$ to $\zeta^{k}$ :

$$
\phi_{k}\left(v \otimes \zeta^{i}\right)=\zeta^{i k} v
$$

The composition of this map with $\psi_{*}$ is $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-i k} \sigma^{i}$.
Let $H_{\text {prim }}^{0,1}\left(\right.$ respectively $\left.H_{\text {prim }}^{1}(X, \mathbb{C})\right)$ denote the subspace of $H^{0,1}$ (respectively $H^{1}(X, \mathbb{C})$ ) spanned by eigenvectors of $\sigma$ whose eigenvalues are primitive $n$th roots of unity. If $v$ is an eigenvector of $\sigma$ in $H^{0,1}$ whose eigenvalue is a primitive $n$th root of unity $\zeta^{k}$, then $\phi_{k}\left(\psi_{*}(v)\right)=$ $n v \neq 0$, while $\phi_{j}\left(\phi_{*}(v)\right)=0$ for all $j \neq k$. It follows that ker $\psi_{*} \cap H_{\text {prim }}^{0,1}=\{0\}$, so the rank of $\psi_{*}$ is at least $\operatorname{dim} H_{\text {prim }}^{0,1}$. The Hodge decomposition

$$
H^{1}(X, \mathbb{C})=H^{0,1} \oplus \overline{H^{0,1}}
$$

implies

$$
\operatorname{dim} H_{\mathrm{prim}}^{1}(X, \mathbb{C})=2 \operatorname{dim} H_{\mathrm{prim}}^{0,1} .
$$

It suffices, therefore, to prove $\operatorname{dim} H_{\text {prim }}^{1}(X, \mathbb{C}) \geqslant 4$.
We apply Lemma 3.2 in the case $G=\langle\sigma\rangle$. In this case, the primitive part of $I_{H}$ is trivial if $H \subset\langle\sigma\rangle$ is non-trivial, and it has dimension $\phi(n)$ for $H=\{1\}$. Thus, the dimension of $H_{\text {prim }}^{1}(X, \mathbb{C})$ is $(2 h-2+r) \phi(n)$, where $r$ is the number of ramification points of the cover $X \rightarrow X / G$. This is positive except in two cases: the cyclic cover $\mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ of degree $n$ (necessarily ramified over two points) and a degree $n$ isogeny of elliptic curves; these have genus 0 and 1 , respectively. Otherwise, it is at least 4 unless $2 h-2+r=1$ and $\phi(n)=2$. The triples ( $h, r, n$ ) for which this happens are $(0,3,3),(0,3,4),(1,1,3)$, and $(1,1,4)$. None of these is consistent with the condition $g \geqslant 3$.

Theorem 3.3. Let $E / K$ be an elliptic curve over a number field $K$. For each root of unity $\zeta$, there exists a non-empty open subset $\Sigma_{\zeta}$ of $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K} / K)$ such that the multiplicity of the eigenvalue $\zeta$ for $\sigma \in \Sigma_{\zeta}$ acting on $E(\bar{K}) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is infinite.

Proof. Let $\zeta$ be an $n$th root of unity. Let $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \infty$ be the ramification points of a double cover $E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$, and let $\lambda$ denote the cross-ratio of $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \infty\right)$. Choose $a, b \in \bar{K}$ such that the ordered quadruple ( $a, b, \zeta a, \zeta b$ ) satisfies

$$
\frac{(\zeta a-a)(\zeta b-b)}{(\zeta b-a)(\zeta a-b)}=\lambda
$$

This is always possible; for instance, setting $a=1$, we get a non-trivial quadratic equation for $b$, and since $\lambda$ is not 1 or $\infty$, we have $b, \zeta b \notin\{a, \zeta a\}$. Thus the elliptic curves

$$
X_{i}: y^{2}=\left(x-\zeta^{i-1} a\right)\left(x-\zeta^{i-1} b\right)\left(x-\zeta^{i} a\right)\left(x-\zeta^{i} b\right), \quad \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n
$$

all have the same $j$-invariant as $E$.
Let $L=K(a, b, \zeta)$. Fix $q \in K$ such that $L(\sqrt[n]{q})$ is a Galois $\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}$-extension of $L$. We claim that $\Sigma_{\zeta}$ contains the open set

$$
U_{\zeta}:=\{\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K} / L) \mid \sigma(\sqrt[n]{q})=\zeta \sqrt[n]{q}\}
$$

Let $M=L(\sqrt[n]{q})$. For $N$ any number field containing $M$, let $C_{N}$ denote the affine curve over $N$

$$
\operatorname{Spec} N\left[x, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right] /\left(P_{1}\left(x, y_{1}\right), \ldots, P_{n}\left(x, y_{n}\right), y_{1} \cdots y_{n}-\left(x^{n}-a^{n}\right)\left(x^{n}-b^{n}\right)\right)
$$

where

$$
P_{i}(x, y)=y^{2}-\left(x-\zeta^{i-1} a\right)\left(x-\zeta^{i} a\right)\left(x-\zeta^{i-1} b\right)\left(x-\zeta^{i} b\right)
$$

Note that the equation $y_{1} \cdots y_{n}-\left(x^{n}-a^{n}\right)\left(x^{n}-b^{n}\right)=0$ merely selects one of the two irreducible components of the 1-dimensional affine scheme cut out by the other equations.

Let $X$ denote the compact Riemann surface which is the compactification of $C_{N}(\mathbb{C})$. By the Hurwitz genus formula, the genus of $X$ is $(n-2) 2^{n-2}+1$, which is $\geqslant 3$ since $n \geqslant 3$. For any $n$-tuple $\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right) \in\{0,1\}^{n}$ with even sum, the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \mapsto\left(\zeta x,(-1)^{k_{1}} \zeta^{2} y_{n},(-1)^{k_{2}} \zeta^{2} y_{1},(-1)^{k_{3}} \zeta^{2} y_{2}, \ldots,(-1)^{k_{n}} \zeta^{2} y_{n-1}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

defines an automorphism $\sigma$ of $C_{N}$ and therefore of $X$. As the $k_{i}$ have even sum, $\sigma$ is of order $n$. If $x \in \sqrt[n]{q} L^{*}$ and $\sigma \in U_{\zeta}$, then $\sigma(x)=\zeta x$, so

$$
\sigma\left(y_{i}\right)^{2}=\zeta^{4} y_{i-1}^{2}
$$

and so there exists an $n$-tuple $\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right)$ with even coordinate sum such that $\sigma$ acts on $Q:=$ ( $x, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}$ ) by (3). By Proposition 3.1, for all but finitely many values of $x$,

$$
R:=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sigma^{i}(Q) \otimes \zeta^{-i}
$$

is a non-zero eigenvector of $\sigma$ with eigenvalue $\zeta$.

Assume now that $N$ is a finite Galois extension of $M$. Consider the morphism from $C_{N}$ to the affine line over $M$ given by $\left(x, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \mapsto x$. This is a branched Galois cover with Galois $\operatorname{group} \operatorname{Gal}(N / M) \times(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{n-1}$. There exists a Hilbert set of values $t \in M$ such that the geometric points lying over $x=\sqrt[n]{q} t$ in $C_{M}$ consists of a single $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K} / M)$-orbit or, equivalently, $\operatorname{Gal}\left(M\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) / M\right) \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{n-1}$ and $M\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ is linearly disjoint from $N$ over $M$. As a Hilbert set of a finite extension of $L$ always contains some Hilbert set of $L$ [5, Chapter 9, Proposition 3.3], it follows that there exists $t \in L$ such that setting $x=\sqrt[n]{q} t$, relative to $M$, the extension $M\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ is linearly disjoint from $N$ and has Galois group $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{n-1}$.

We can therefore iteratively construct a sequence $t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots \in L^{*}$ such that the extensions

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{i}:= & M\left(\sqrt{\left(\sqrt[n]{q} t_{i}-a\right)\left(\sqrt[n]{q} t_{i}-b\right)\left(\sqrt[n]{q} t_{i}-\zeta a\right)\left(\sqrt[n]{q} t_{i}-\zeta b\right)}, \ldots,\right. \\
& \left.\sqrt{\left(\sqrt[n]{q} t_{i}-\zeta^{n-1} a\right)\left(\sqrt[n]{q} t_{i}-\zeta^{n-1} b\right)\left(\sqrt[n]{q} t_{i}-a\right)\left(\sqrt[n]{q} t_{i}-b\right)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

are all linearly disjoint over $M$. Let $Q_{i}$ be a point with $x$-coordinate $\sqrt[n]{q} t_{i}$, and $R_{i}$ the corresponding $\zeta$-eigenvector of $\sigma$ given by (1). We claim that the $R_{i}$ span a space of infinite dimension. The $Q_{i}$ do so by [2, Lemma 3.12], and as the $\zeta^{-j}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$, it follows that the $R_{i}$ do so as well.

We conclude with a question that does not seem to be directly amenable to the methods of this paper.

Question 3.4. Does the set $\bigcap_{\zeta \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {tor }}^{*}} \Sigma_{\zeta}$ of elements of $G_{K}$ having generic spectrum on $V_{E}$ always have an interior point?
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