CAL TRIALS

Clinical Trials and Regulatory Science in Cardiology 16 (2016) 1-5



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Clinical Trials and Regulatory Science in Cardiology

journal homepage: http://www.elsevier.com/locate/ctrsc

# Diagnosis of myocardial infarction and prognostic utility of high-sensitivity troponin T after isolated aortic valve replacement☆☆☆ ★

Tom K.M. Wang <sup>a,1</sup>, Ralph A.H. Stewart <sup>a,b,1</sup>, Tharumenthiran Ramanathan <sup>a,1</sup>, David Choi <sup>a,1</sup>, Greg Gamble <sup>b,1</sup>, Peter N. Ruygrok <sup>a,b,1</sup>, Harvey D. White <sup>a,b,\*,1</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Green Lane Cardiovascular Service, Auckland City Hospital, Auckland, New Zealand

<sup>b</sup> Department of Medicine, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 7 December 2015 Accepted 25 January 2016 Available online 16 February 2016

Keywords: Aortic valve replacement High-sensitivity troponin Myocardial infarction Coronary artery bypass grafting Mortality

# ABSTRACT

*Background:* The Universal Definition for type 5 myocardial infarction (MI) applies to coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG), while MIs for other cardiac surgery are not specifically defined. We assessed whether elevated high-sensitivity troponin (hs-TnT), with electrocardiogram (ECG) changes and/or new wall motion abnormalities on echocardiography as defined by the Universal Definition, predicted mortality and/or morbidity after aortic valve replacement (AVR) (n = 219).

*Methods*: Consecutive patients with isolated AVR performed during July 2010–December 2012 and followed-up for 2.3  $\pm$  0.8 years. Hs-TnT was measured 12–24 h post-operatively. ECG and/or echocardiographic changes with hs-TnT > 140 ng/L (10 times 99th percentile upper reference limit and > 500 ng/L (10 times the coefficient of variation of 10% for 4th generation troponin T applied to hs-TnT) were pre-specified as the criteria for MI diagnosis. *Results*: There were 9.1% (20) and 3.7% (8) patients with ECG and/or echocardiographic changes and hs-TnT > 140 ng/L and hs-TnT > 500 ng/L respectively. Neither criterion was independently associated with 30-day mortality (2.7%). Hs-TnT > 500 ng/L and ECG and/or echocardiographic changes was independently associated with mortality (5.5%) during follow-up, hazards ratio 5.23, 95% confidence interval 1.09–25.2, p = 0.039. Hs-TnT per 100 ng/L as a continuous parameter was independently associated with 30-day mortality, mortality during follow-up and composite morbidity.

*Conclusion:* The Universal Definition of MI, using 10 times the URL for the 4th generation troponin T and 35 times the URL for hs-TnT with a cutpoint of > 500 ng/L with ECG and/or echocardiographic changes, independently predicted median term mortality after AVR. Hs-TnT as a continuous parameter was independently associated with mortality at both time points and morbidity.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND licenses (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

# 1. Introduction

The 2012 Third Universal Definition defines type 5 myocardial infarction (MI) after coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) as requiring the dual criteria of biomarker rise, preferably troponin, >10 times 99th percentile upper reference limit (URL) from a normal pre-operative level, and new signs of infarction on the electrocardiogram (ECG),

E-mail address: HarveyW@adhb.govt.nz (H.D. White).

echocardiogram, cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and/or bypass graft occlusion on coronary angiography [1]. The Definition also suggests applying the above definition to other cardiac procedures, while acknowledging that biomarker rise is significantly higher for combined CABG and valve surgery than CABG alone, and with on-pump compared to off-pump CABG [1–3]. The definition is based on pathophysiological criteria and not a definition that correlates with prognosis.

Despite these recommendations, there is a paucity of literature around how to define MI for valve surgery. Studies assessing troponin levels after cardiac surgery are predominantly based on CABG, [4–7] and the few studies looking specifically at troponin levels after valve surgery have found different thresholds to those reported for CABG [8–10].

High-sensitivity troponins can detect lower troponin levels [11,12] but have not been investigated in this context. We aimed to assess the diagnostic and prognostic utility of high-sensitivity troponin T (hsTnT) after isolated aortic valve replacement (AVR) using the Universal Definition of MI.

2405-5875/© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

<sup>☆</sup> Acknowledgement of grant support and conflict of interest.

<sup>☆☆</sup> TW, RS, TR, DC, GG and PR have no conflicts to declare.

<sup>★</sup> HW has received research grants from Sanofi Aventis; Eli Lilly; NIH; Merck Sharpe & Dohme; Astra Zeneca; GSK; Daiichi Sankyo Pharma Development; has served on advisory boards for Astra Zeneca; and has received consultancy fees from Daiichi Sankyo Pharma Development.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Green Lane Cardiovascular Service, Auckland City Hospital, Private Bag 92024, Victoria St West, Auckland 1142, New Zealand.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The authors take responsibility for all aspects of the reliability and freedom from bias of the data presented and their discussed interpretation.

# 2. Methods

#### 2.1. Patient cohort, clinical characteristics and outcomes

All patients undergoing isolated AVR without concomitant valve or coronary surgery at Auckland City Hospital during July 2010 to December 2012 were retrospectively identified. Patients with elevated pre-operative hsTnT levels >14 ng/mL were excluded. Clinical characteristics and outcomes were prospectively collected in computerized databases for analyses. The Society of Thoracic Surgeon's (STS) definitions were used for pre-operative characteristics [13]. The Canadian Cardiovascular Society Classification (CCS) and the New York Heart Association Functional Classification (NYHA) were used to grade angina and heart failure respectively. Valve stenosis or regurgitation needed to be at least moderate in severity. The definition of active infective endocarditis required that at the time of surgery, a course of intravenous antibiotics had yet to be commenced or completed. Renal function was calculated as the estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) using the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) equation and the pre-operative serum creatinine measurement. EuroScore, [14] EuroScore II [15] and STS score's AVR Model [13] were calculated.

The pre-specified outcomes for analyses were mortality within 30 days, mortality during follow-up and composite morbidity, as defined by the STS score, [13] which includes permanent stroke (acute neurological deficit >24 h due to cerebral blood supply disturbance), renal failure (new dialysis requirement or increase of creatinine level to >4.0 mg/dL and >3 times last pre-operative level), prolonged ventilation >24 h, deep sternal wound infection and return to theatre for any reason. Mortality data were checked against New Zealand's National Registry up till 30 June 2014.

#### 2.2. Troponin assays and criteria for myocardial infarction

Hs-TnT assay (Roche Elecsys) was introduced to Auckland City Hospital in July 2010, and has a 99th percentile URL of 14 ng/L [11]. The cutpoint used for the previous 4th generation troponin T with a 10% coefficient of variation (0.03 ng/ml) when applied to the hs-TnT assay is 50 ng/l [12]. Hs-TnT was routinely measured 12–24 h after surgery, and the highest level was used if measured more than once during this time. Two cutpoints of 140 ng/L and 500 ng/L were pre-specified for analyses in accordance to the above thresholds and the universal definition's recommendation of 10 times 99th percentile URL for the diagnosis of type 5 MI [1]. Hs-TnT was not routinely measured preoperatively, and we excluded patients with a new MI diagnosis or any elevated hs-TnT > 14 ng/L measurements pre-operatively during the same admission as the AVR operation.

ECGs were routinely performed recorded multiple times pre- and post-operatively while echocardiography was performed routinely intra-operatively after aortic valve implantation as a baseline for future assessments, and repeated when clinically indicated. New Q-waves or new left bundle branch block (LBBB) on ECG, and/or new regional wall motion abnormalities on echocardiography were independently assessed by two authors (TKMW and HDW) blinded to outcomes, in accordance to the criteria of the Universal definition [1]. The prognostic utility of dual criteria of hs-TnT elevation and ECG and/or echocardiographic criteria, as well as these criteria individually, was compared.

# 2.3. Statistical analyses

Continuous and categorical variables are presented as mean (standard deviation) and percentage (frequency) respectively, Mann–Whitney U test and Fisher's exact test were used for univariate analyses. Survival analyses were performed with Kaplan–Meier curves and logrank (Mantel-Cox) test. The pre-specified hs-TnT cutpoints of 140 ng/L and 500 ng/L with or without ECG and/or echocardiographic changes were used for analyses. Variables with P < 0.10 in univariate

analyses were incorporated into multivariable analyses of outcomes, using logistic regression to calculate odds ratios (OR) or Cox proportional hazards regression used to calculate hazards ratios (HR). Each potential MI criteria was individually added to the models of post-operative outcomes to see whether they predicted these outcomes. Also receiver-operative characteristics (ROC) analysis was used to calculate c-statistic and 95% confidence interval (CI) as well as identifying the optimal cutpoint with maximum sensitivity × specificity. All tests were two-tailed and P < 0.05 deemed statistically significant. SPSS (Version 17.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and Prism (Version 5, GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA) were used for analyses. Ethics approval was obtained from, Auckland City Hospital's research office Ethics Committee.

# 3. Results

#### 3.1. Baseline characteristics

A total of 219 patients underwent isolated AVR at Auckland City Hospital, New Zealand over the 2.3 year study period (386 patient years). Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics. The mean age was 67.5  $\pm$  14.9 years and 33.3% (73) were female. Previous valve surgery and CABG had been performed in 7.3% (16) and 7.3% (16) of patients respectively. Underlying aortic valve dysfunction was isolated aortic stenosis in 67.0% (146), isolated aortic regurgitation 21.6% (47) and both in 11.5% (25). The mean EuroScore, EuroScore II and STS AVR Scores were 8.6%  $\pm$  8.1%, 3.1%  $\pm$  3.3% and 2.9%  $\pm$  2.9% respectively.

#### 3.2. Operative outcomes

Blood cardioplegia was used for myocardial protection. There were 14.7%, 63.3% and 22.0% patients who received anterograde, retrograde and dual cardioplegia delivery routes respectively. Warm induction was given at a temperature of 32 °C in 11.9% and the remaining patients were given cold induction at a temperature of 4 °C. Secondary analyses did not identify cardioplegia variables to independently influence hs-TnT levels or adverse outcomes.

Table 2 lists operative variables and post-operative outcomes. Mechanical valves were implanted in 31.1% (68) and bioprosthetic valves in 68.9% (151). Median and lower-upper quartiles of hs-TnT were 360 (258–536) ng/L measured at 16.7  $\pm$  5.9 h post-operatively. For the pre-specified hs-TnT cutpoints, 98.6% (216) had hs-TnT > 140 ng/L and 31.1% (68) had hs-TnT > 500 ng/L.

All 219 patients had post-operative ECGs performed with 8.7% (19) developing new Q-waves or new LBBB. Echocardiograms were performed in 31.5% (69) of patients with one showing a new regional wall motion abnormality. Therefore, 9.1% (20) patients fulfilled the ECG and/or echocardiographic criteria for diagnosis of MI. For the prespecified hs-TnT cutpoints, 9.1% (20) had hs-TnT > 140 ng/L and ECG and/or echocardiographic criteria, while 3.7% (8) had hs-TnT > 500 ng/L and ECG and/or echocardiographic criteria.

Thirty-day mortality was 2.7% (6). Composite morbidity occurred in 20.5% (45) including post-operative stroke in 1.4% (3), prolonged ventilation >24 h 13.7% (30) and return to theatre for any cause in 6.8% (Table 2). Mean hospital stay post-operatively was 8.9  $\pm$  5.1 days. Follow-up mortality was 5.5%.

# 3.3. Receiver-operating characteristic analyses

Results of ROC analyses are shown in Table 3. The dual criteria of hs-TnT as a continuous parameter and ECG and/or echocardiographic criteria detected 30-day mortality with c-statistic and 95% confidence intervals of 0.816 (0.521–1.00); superior to isolated hs-TnT or isolated ECG and/or echocardiographic criteria. The best cutpoint on the ROC curve for isolated hs-TnT rise predicting 30-day mortality was 630 ng/L with a c-statistic of 0.746 (0.523–0.969). This elevation occurred in 9.1% (20) of patients. Neither of the pre-specified cutpoints

Table 1

Baseline characteristics.

permagraphics     67.5 [14.9]       Age, years     67.5 [14.9]       Fernale     33.3 (73)       Ethnicity     75.8 % [166]       Maori or Pacific     16.9% (37)       Other     7.3 % [16]       Body surface area, m <sup>2</sup> 1.94 (0.26)       Presentation     1       New York Heart Association Class     1       1     2.3.7 % (52)       3     4.8.7 % (41)       4     8.7 % (19)       Canadian Cardiovascular Society Class IV     2.3 % (5)       Syncope     2.7 % (6)       Critical pre-operative state     3.7 % (8)       Inpatient urgent operation     46.6 % (32)       Valve surgery     7.3 % (16)       Coronary artery bypass grafting     7.3 % (16)       Coronary artery bypass grafting     7.3 % (16)       Coronary artery bypass grafting     5.0 % (11)       Hypercholesterolaemia     6.7.1 % (147)       Diabetes     2.10 % (46)       Diabetes     9.1 % (20)       Smoking history     5.4 % (120)       Active infective endocarditis     4.6 % (10) <tr< th=""><th></th><th></th></tr<>		
Age, years     67.5 (14.9)       Female     33.3% (73)       Ethnicity     33.3% (73)       New Zealand European     75.8% (166)       Maori or Pacific     16.9% (37)       Other     7.3% (16)       Body surface area, m <sup>2</sup> 29.7 (6.2)       Body surface area, m <sup>2</sup> 1.94 (0.26)       Presentation     1.94 (0.26)       New York Heart Association Class     1       1     2.3.7% (52)       2     48.9% (107)       3     1.8.7% (41)       4     8.7% (19)       Canadian Cardiovascular Society Class IV     2.3% (5)       Syncope     2.7% (6)       Critical pre-operative state     3.7% (8)       Inpatient urgent operation     4.6% (32)       Valve surgery     7.3% (16)       Coronary artery bypass grafting     7.3% (16)       Coronary artery bypass grafting     7.3% (16)       Congestive heart failure     1.6% (35)       Myocardial infarction     8.7% (19)       Atrial fibrillation     21.5% (47)       Diabetes on insulin     5.0% (11)	Demographics	
Fenale     33.3 (73)       Ethnicity	Age, years	67.5 (14.9)
Ethnicity     New Zealand European     75.8% (166)       Maori or Pacific     16.9% (37)       Other     7.3% (16)       Body mass index, kg/m²     2.7 (6.2)       Body surface area, m²     1.94 (0.26)       Presentation     1       New York Heart Association Class     1       1     2.3.7% (52)       2     48.9% (107)       3     1.8.7% (41)       4     8.7% (19)       Canadian Cardiovascular Society Class IV     2.3% (5)       Syncope     2.7% (6)       Critical pre-operative state     3.7% (8)       Inpatient urgent operation     4.6% (32)       Valve surgery     7.3% (16)       Coronary artery bypass grafting     7.3% (16)       Coronary artery bypass grafting     7.3% (16)       Coronary artery bypass grafting     5.0% (11)       Hypercholesterolaemia     67.1% (147)       Diabetes     21.0% (46)       Diabetes on insulin     5.0% (11)       Hypercholesterolaemia     67.1% (147)       Current smoker     9.1% (20)       Smoking history     5.48% (	Female	33.3% (73)
New Zealand European75.8% (166)Maori or Pacific16.9% (37)Other7.3% (16)Body mass index, kg/m²29.7 (6.2)Body surface area, m²194 (0.26) <b>Presentation</b> New York Heart Association Class123.7% (52)248.9% (107)318.7% (41)48.7% (19)Canadian Cardiovascular Society Class IV2.3% (5)Syncope2.7% (6)Critical pre-operative state3.7% (8)Inpatient urgent operation46.1% (101) <b>Past medical history</b> Pervious cardiac surgeryPrevious cardiac surgery7.3% (16)Congestive heart failure16.0% (35)Myocardial infarction8.7% (19)Atrial fibrialitation2.15% (47)Diabetes2.10% (46)Diabetes on insulin5.0% (11)Hypercholesterolaemia67.1% (147)Current smoker9.1% (20)Smoking history54.8% (100)Stroke5.0% (11)Extracardiac arteriopathy6.8% (10)Attive infective endocarditis4.6% (10)Stroke5.0% (11)Extracardiac arteriopathy6.2% (15)Chronic pulmonary disease7.8.1% (39)Pulmonary hypertension, $\gtrsim 31$ mm Hg10.5% (23)Dialysis2.3% (5)Investigation7.3% (16)Artif thera impairment, 30–50%3.3.3% (73)Severe impairment, <30%	Ethnicity	
Maori or Pacific     16.3% (37)       Other     7.3% (16)       Body mass index, kg/m <sup>2</sup> 29.7 (6.2)       Body surface area, m <sup>2</sup> 1.94 (0.26)       Presentation     23.7% (52)       New York Heart Association Class     1       1     23.7% (52)       2     48.9% (107)       3     18.7% (41)       4     8.7% (19)       Canadian Cardiovascular Society Class IV     2.3% (5)       Syncope     2.7% (6)       Critical pre-operative state     3.7% (8)       Inpatient urgent operation     46.1% (101)       Past medical history     1       Yalve surgery     1.46% (32)       Valve surgery     7.3% (16)       Coronary artery bypass grafting     7.3% (16)       Coronary artery bypass grafting     7.3% (17)       Diabetes on insulin     5.0% (11)       Hypertension     5.4% (120)       Atrial fibrillation     21.5% (47)       Diabetes on insulin     5.0% (11)       Hypertension     5.4% (120)       Active infective endocarditis     4.6% (10)	New Zealand European	75.8% (166)
Other7.3% (16)Body surface area, m²2.9.7 (6.2)Body surface area, m²1.94 (0.26)Presentation	Maori or Pacific	16.9% (37)
Body mass index, kg/m²29.7 (6.2)Body surface area, m²1.94 (0.26)Presentation1New York Heart Association Class2.37% (52)248.9% (107)318.7% (41)48.7% (19)Canadian Cardiovascular Society Class IV2.3% (5)Syncope2.7% (6)Critical pre-operative state3.7% (8)Inpatient urgent operation46.1% (101)Pat medical history7.3% (16)Previous cardiac surgery7.3% (16)Coronary artery bypass grafting7.3% (16)Coronary artery bypass grafting7.3% (16)Coronary artery bypass grafting5.0% (11)Hypertension5.4% (120)Hypertension5.4% (120)Hypertension5.4% (120)Hypertension5.4% (120)Stroke5.0% (11)Eyection fraction8.7% (19)Active infective endocarditis4.6% (10)Stroke5.0% (11)Extracardia arteriopathy6.8% (15)Chronic pulmonary disease17.8% (39)Pulmonary hypertension, ≥31 mm Hg10.5% (23)Diabitsi2.3% (5)Investigations7.3% (16)Ejection fraction7.3% (17)Aortic regurgitation3.3% (73)Severe impairment, <30%	Other	7.3% (16)
Body surface area, m²1.94 (0.26)PresentationNew York Heart Association Class123.7% (52)248.9% (107)318.7% (41)48.7% (19)Canadian Cardiovascular Society Class IV2.3% (5)Syncope2.7% (6)Critical pre-operative state3.7% (8)Inpatient urgent operation46.1% (101)Past medical history1Past medical history7.3% (16)Congestive heart failure16.0% (32)Valve surgery7.3% (16)Congestive heart failure16.0% (35)Myocardial infarction8.7% (19)Atrial fibrillation21.5% (47)Diabetes21.0% (46)Diabetes on insulin5.0% (11)Hypercholesterolaemia67.1% (147)Current smoker9.1% (20)Active infective endocarditis4.6% (10)Stroke5.0% (11)Extracardica arteriopathy6.8% (15)Chronic pulmonary disease7.8% (139)Pulmonary hypertension, ≥31 mm Hg10.5% (23)Dialysis2.3% (5)Investigations9.3% (136)Mital renosis0.9% (22)Mital renosis9.3% (136)Tricuspid regurgitation7.3% (16)Tricuspid regurgitation7.3% (16)Normal, >50% stenosis0.3% (23)Mital renosis0.9% (21)Mital renosis0.9% (21)Mital stenosis0.9% (21)Mital regurgitation7.3% (13)Creatinine cl	Body mass index, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	29.7 (6.2)
PresentationNew York Heart Association Class123.7% (52)248.9% (107)318.7% (41)48.7% (19)Canadian Cardiovascular Society Class IV2.3% (5)Syncope2.7% (6)Critical pre-operative state3.7% (8)Inpatient urgent operation46.1% (101)Pat medical history14.6% (32)Valve surgery7.3% (16)Coronary artery bypass grafting7.3% (16)Coronary artery typass grafting7.3% (16)Coronary artery typass grafting2.1.5% (47)Diabetes2.1.0% (46)Diabetes on insulin5.0% (11)Hypercholesterolaemia67.1% (147)Current smoker9.1% (20)Smoking history54.8% (120)Active infective endocarditis4.6% (32)Vulves typertension, $\geq$ 31 mm Hg10.5% (23)Diabetes5.0% (11)Extracardiac arteriopathy6.8% (15)Chronic pulmonary disease7.7.8% (39)Pulmonary hypertension, $\geq$ 31 mm Hg10.5% (23)Dialysis2.3% (5)Investigations2.3% (73)Severe impairment, 30–50%62.1% (136)Mitld/moderate impairment, 30–50%3.3% (73)Severe impairment, <30% stenosis*	Body surface area, m <sup>2</sup>	1.94 (0.26)
New York Heart Association Class123248.9% (107)318.7% (41)48.7% (19)Canadian Cardiovascular Society Class IV2.3% (5)Syncope2.7% (6)Critical pre-operative state3.7% (8)Inpatient urgent operation46.1% (101)Past medical history14.6% (32)Valve surgery7.3% (16)Coronary artery bypass grafting7.3% (16)Coronary artery bypass grafting7.3% (16)Congestive heart failure16.0% (35)Myocardial infarction8.7% (19)Atrial fibrillation21.5% (47)Diabetes on insulin5.0% (11)Hypercholesterolaemia67.1% (147)Current smoker9.1% (20)Active infective endocarditis4.6% (120)Active infective endocarditis4.6% (120)Attrive infective endocarditis5.0% (11)Extracardica carteriopathy6.8% (15)Chronic pulmonary disease17.8% (39)Pulmonary hypertension, ≥31 mm Hg10.5% (23)Dialysis2.3% (5)Investigations9.8% (100)Actic tensois7.3% (16)Mild/moderate impairment, 30–50%33.3% (73)Severe impairment, <30% stenosis	Presentation	
123,7% (52)248,9% (107)318,7% (41)48,7% (19)Canadian Cardiovascular Society Class IV2,3% (5)Syncope2,7% (6)Critical pre-operative state3,7% (8)Inpatient urgent operation46,1% (101)Past medical historyPrevious cardiac surgery7,3% (16)7,3% (16)Concary artery bypass grafting7,3% (16)Concary artery bypass grafting7,3% (16)Concari artification8,7% (19)Atrial fibrillation21,5% (47)Diabetes21,0% (46)Diabetes21,0% (46)Diabetes on insulin5,0% (11)Hypercholsterolaemia67,1% (147)Current smoker9,1% (20)Smoking history5,48% (120)Active infective endocarditis4,6% (10)Stroke5,0% (11)Extracardiac arteriopathy6,8% (15)Chronic pulmonary disease17,8% (39)Pulmonary hypertension, 231 mm Hg10,5% (23)Dialysis2,3% (5)Investigations2,1% (136)Ejection fraction2,9% (23)Nitral regurgitation3,3% (73)Severe impairment, <30%	New York Heart Association Class	
2 48.9% (107) 3 18.7% (41) 4 8.7% (19) Canadian Cardiovascular Society Class IV 2.3% (5) Syncope 2.7% (6) Critical pre-operative state 3.7% (8) Inpatient urgent operation 46.1% (101) Past medical history 14.6% (32) Valve surgery 7.3% (16) Coronary artery bypass grafting 7.3% (16) Coronary artery bypass grafting 7.3% (16) Congestive heart failure 16.0% (35) Myocardial infarction 8.7% (19) Atrial fibrillation 21.5% (47) Diabetes 21.0% (46) Diabetes 21.0% (46) Diabetes 21.0% (46) Diabetes 0.10% (11) Hypercholesterolaemia 67.1% (147) Current smoker 9.1% (20) Smoking history 54.8% (120) Active infective endocarditis 46% (10) Stroke 5.0% (11) Extracardiac arteriopathy 68% (15) Chronic pulmonary disease 17.8% (39) Pulmonary hypertension, ≥31 mm Hg 10.5% (23) Dialysis 2.3% (5) Investigations 150% 62.1% (136) Mild/moderate impairment, 30–50% 33.3% (73) Severe impairment, <30% 46% (10) Aotric stenosis 0.9.9% (2) Mitral regurgitation 7.3% (16) Tricuspid regurgitation 7.3% (16) Rik score EuroScore 866% (8.1%) EuroScore 11 3.1% (3.3%) Society of Thoracic Surgeon's AVR Score 2.9% (29w) All numbers are mean (standard deviation) or percentage (freeuencu)	1	23.7% (52)
318.7% (41)48.7% (19)Canadian Cardiovascular Society Class IV2.3% (5)Syncope2.7% (6)Critical pre-operative state3.7% (8)Inpatient urgent operation46.1% (101)Past medical history7.3% (16)Coronary artery bypass grafting7.3% (16)Coronary artery bypass grafting7.3% (16)Coronary artery bypass grafting2.1% (40)Atrial fibrillation8.7% (19)Atrial fibrillation2.15% (47)Diabetes21.0% (46)Diabetes on insulin5.0% (11)Hypercholesterolaemia67.1% (147)Current smoker9.1% (20)Smoking history54.8% (120)Active infective endocarditis4.6% (10)Stroke5.0% (11)Extracardica arteriopathy6.8% (15)Chronic pulmonary disease7.8% (39)Pulmonary hypertension, ≥31 mm Hg10.5% (23)Dialysis2.3% (5)Investigations2.9% (72)Ejection fraction7.3% (16)Normal, >50% stenosis7.8,1% (171)Aortic regurgitation7.3% (16)Tricuspid regurgitation7.3% (16)Tricuspid regurgitation7.3% (16)Mitral regurgitation7.3% (16)Tricuspid regurgitation7.3% (16)Tricuspid regurgitation7.3% (16)Tricuspid regurgitation7.3% (16)Tricuspid regurgitation7.3% (16)Tricuspid regurgitation7.3% (16)Tricuspid regurgitation7.3% (16) <td>2</td> <td>48.9% (107)</td>	2	48.9% (107)
48.7% (19)Canadian Cardiovascular Society Class IV2.3% (5)Syncope2.7% (6)Critical pre-operative state3.7% (8)Inpatient urgent operation46.1% (101)Past medical history14.6% (32)Valve surgery7.3% (16)Coronary artery bypass grafting7.3% (16)Coronary artery bypass grafting7.3% (16)Coronary artery bypass grafting7.3% (16)Coronary artery bypass grafting7.3% (16)Corogetive heart failure16.0% (35)Myocardial infarction8.7% (19)Atrial fibrillation21.5% (47)Diabetes21.0% (46)Diabetes on insulin5.0% (11)Hypercholesterolaemia67.1% (147)Current smoker9.1% (20)Smoking history54.8% (120)Active infective endocarditis4.6% (10)Stroke5.0% (11)Extracardica arteriopathy6.8% (15)Chronic pulmonary disease7.8% (39)Pulmonary hypertension, ≥31 mm Hg10.5% (23)Dialysis2.3% (5)Investigations2.9% (72)Ejection fraction3.3% (73)Severe impairment, 30-50%3.3% (73)Severe impairment, 30-50%3.3% (73)Severe impairment, <30%	3	18.7% (41)
Canadian Cardiovascular Society Class IV2.3% (5)Syncope2.7% (6)Critical pre-operative state3.7% (8)Inpatient urgent operation46.1% (101)Past medical history14.6% (32)Valve surgery7.3% (16)Coronary artery bypass grafting7.3% (16)Coronary artery bypass grafting7.3% (16)Corongestive heart failure16.0% (35)Myocardial infarction8.7% (19)Atrial fibrillation21.5% (47)Diabetes21.0% (46)Diabetes on insulin5.0% (11)Hypertholesterolaemia67.1% (147)Current smoker9.1% (20)Smoking history54.8% (120)Active infective endocarditis4.6% (10)Stroke5.0% (11)Extracardiac arteriopathy6.8% (15)Chronic pulmonary disease17.8% (39)Pulmonary hypertension, ≥31 mm Hg10.5% (23)Dialysis2.3% (5)Investigations2.1% (136)Mild/moderate impairment, 30–50%33.3% (73)Severe impairment, <30%	4	8.7% (19)
Syncope2.7% (6)Critical pre-operative state3.7% (8)Inpatient urgent operation46.1% (101)Past medical historyPrevious cardiac surgery14.6% (32)Valve surgery7.3% (16)Coronary artery bypass grafting7.3% (16)Congestive heart failure16.0% (35)Myocardial infarction8.7% (19)Atrial fibrillation21.5% (47)Diabetes21.0% (46)Diabetes on insulin5.0% (11)Hypertension54.8% (120)Active infective endocarditis4.6% (10)Stroke5.0% (11)Experience9.1% (20)Smoking history54.8% (120)Active infective endocarditis4.6% (10)Stroke5.0% (11)Extracardiac arteriopathy6.8% (15)Chronic pulmonary disease17.8% (39)Pulmonary hypertension, ≥31 mm Hg10.5% (23)Dialysis2.3% (5)Investigations78.1% (171)Aortic stenosis78.1% (171)Aortic regurgitation3.7% (8)Left main artery ≥ 50% stenosis*2.3% (5)084.5% (185)18.2% (18)21.4% (3)35.9% (13)Creatinine clearance, mL/min85 (40)Risk score8.6% (8.1%)EuroScore II3.1% (Society of Thoracic Surgeon's AVR ScoreAll numbers are mean (standard deviation) or percentare (freeuence)All numbers are mean (standard deviation) or percentare (freeuence)	Canadian Cardiovascular Society Class IV	2.3% (5)
Critical pre-operative state Inpatient urgent operation $3.7\%$ (8) $461\%$ (101)Past medical historyPrevious cardiac surgery $14.6\%$ (32) Valve surgery $7.3\%$ (16) Corgestive heart failureCoronary artery bypass grafting $7.3\%$ (16) Congestive heart failure $16.0\%$ (35) Myocardial infarction $8.7\%$ (19) Atrial fibrillationAtrial fibrillation $21.5\%$ (47) Diabetes $5.0\%$ (11) Hypercholesterolaemia $5.0\%$ (11) HypercholesterolaemiaMyocardial infarction $5.4.8\%$ (120) Hypercholesterolaemia $67.1\%$ (147) Current smoker $9.1\%$ (20) Smoking history $54.8\%$ (120) Active infective endocarditis $4.6\%$ (10) Stroke $5.0\%$ (11) Extracardiac arteriopathy $68.\%$ (15) Chronic pulmonary disease $17.8\%$ (39) Pulmonary hypertension, ≥31 mm Hg $10.5\%$ (23) Dialysis $2.3\%$ (5)InvestigationsEjection fraction $62.1\%$ (136) Mild/moderate impairment, 30–50\% $33.3\%$ (73) Severe impairment, <30% $4.6\%$ (10) Artic stenosis $7.8\%$ (15) C $3.7\%$ (8)Left main artery ≥ 50% stenosis* $2.3\%$ (5)IMin coronary vessels ≥ 50% stenosis* $2.3\%$ (5)I $2$ $1.4\%$ (3) $3$ $5.9\%$ (13) Creatinine clearance, mL/min $8.6\%$ (8.1%) Sciety of Thoracic Surgeon's AVR Score $2.3\%$ (2.9%) Call All numbers are mean (standard deviation) or percentare (frequency)	Syncope	2.7% (6)
Inpatient urgent operation46.1% (101)Past medical history14.6% (32)Previous cardiac surgery7.3% (16)Coronary artery bypass grafting7.3% (16)Congestive heart failure16.0% (35)Myocardial infarction8.7% (19)Atrial fibrillation21.5% (47)Diabetes21.0% (46)Diabetes on insulin5.0% (11)Hypertension54.8% (120)Active infective endocarditis4.6% (10)Active infective endocarditis4.6% (10)Stroke5.0% (11)Extracardiac arteriopathy6.8% (15)Chronic pulmonary disease17.8% (39)Pulmonary hypertension, ≥31 mm Hg10.5% (23)Dialyis is2.3% (5)Investigations2.1% (136)Ejection fraction3.3% (73)Severe impairment, 30–50%33.3% (73)Severe impairment, <30%	Critical pre-operative state	3.7% (8)
Past medical historyPrevious cardiac surgery14.6% (32)Valve surgery7.3% (16)Coronary artery bypass grafting7.3% (16)Congestive heart failure16.0% (35)Myocardial infarction8.7% (19)Atrial fibrillation21.5% (47)Diabetes21.0% (46)Diabetes on insulin5.0% (11)Hypercholesterolaemia67.1% (147)Current smoker9.1% (20)Smoking history54.8% (120)Active infective endocarditis4.6% (10)Stroke5.0% (11)Extracardiac arteriopathy6.8% (15)Chronic pulmonary disease17.8% (39)Pulmonary hypertension, ≥31 mm Hg10.5% (23)Dialysis2.3% (5)Investigations2.1% (136)Kild/moderate impairment, 30–50%33.3% (73)Severe impairment, <30%	Inpatient urgent operation	46.1% (101)
Previous cardiac surgery14.6% (32)Valve surgery7.3% (16)Coronary artery bypass grafting7.3% (16)Coronary artery bypass grafting7.3% (16)Congestive heart failure16.0% (35)Myocardial infarction8.7% (19)Atrial fibrillation21.5% (47)Diabetes21.0% (46)Diabetes on insulin5.0% (11)Hypercholesterolaemia67.1% (147)Current smoker9.1% (20)Smoking history54.8% (120)Active infective endocarditis4.6% (10)Stroke5.0% (11)Extracardiac arteriopathy6.8% (15)Chronic pulmonary disease17.8% (23)Dialysis2.3% (5)Investigations2.3% (5)Ejection fraction33.3% (73)Severe impairment, 30–50%33.3% (73)Severe impairment, <30%	Past medical history	
Valve surgery7.3% (16)Coronary artery bypass grafting7.3% (16)Congestive heart failure16.0% (35)Myocardial infarction8.7% (19)Atrial fibrillation21.5% (47)Diabetes21.0% (46)Diabetes on insulin5.0% (11)Hypertcholesterolaemia67.1% (147)Current smoker9.1% (20)Smoking history54.8% (120)Active infective endocarditis4.6% (10)Stroke5.0% (11)Extracardiac arteriopathy6.8% (15)Chronic pulmonary disease17.8% (39)Pulmonary hypertension, $\geq 31 \text{ nm Hg}$ 10.5% (23)Dialysis2.3% (5)Investigations11.0%Ejection fraction62.1% (136)Mild/moderate impairment, 30–50%33.3% (73)Severe impairment, <30%	Previous cardiac surgery	14.6% (32)
Coronary artery bypass grafting7.3% (16)Congestive heart failure16.0% (35)Myocardial infarction8.7% (19)Atrial fibrillation21.5% (47)Diabetes21.0% (46)Diabetes on insulin5.0% (11)Hypercholesterolaemia67.1% (147)Current smoker9.1% (20)Smoking history54.8% (120)Active infective endocarditis4.6% (10)Stroke5.0% (11)Extracardiac arteriopathy6.8% (15)Chronic pulmonary disease17.8% (39)Pulmonary hypertension, ≥31 mm Hg10.5% (23)Dialysis2.3% (5)Investigations2.3% (73)Ejection fraction7.3% (16)Normal, >50%62.1% (136)Mild/moderate impairment, 30–50%33.3% (73)Severe impairment, <30%	Valve surgery	7.3% (16)
Congestive heart failure16.0% (35)Myocardial infarction8.7% (19)Atrial fibrillation21.5% (47)Diabetes21.0% (46)Diabetes on insulin5.0% (11)Hypercholesterolaemia67.1% (147)Current smoker9.1% (20)Smoking history54.8% (120)Active infective endocarditis4.6% (10)Stroke5.0% (11)Extracardiac arteriopathy6.8% (15)Chronic pulmonary disease17.8% (39)Pulmonary hypertension, ≥31 mm Hg10.5% (23)Dialysis2.3% (5)Investigations21.5%Ejection fraction78.1% (171)Aortic stenosis78.1% (171)Aortic stenosis78.1% (171)Aortic regurgitation3.3% (73)Severe impairment, <30%	Coronary artery bypass grafting	7.3% (16)
Myocardial infarction8.7% (19)Atrial fibrillation21.5% (47)Diabetes21.0% (46)Diabetes on insulin5.0% (11)Hypercholesterolaemia67.1% (147)Current smoker9.1% (20)Smoking history54.8% (120)Active infective endocarditis4.6% (10)Stroke5.0% (11)Extracardiac arteriopathy6.8% (15)Chronic pulmonary disease17.8% (39)Pulmonary hypertension, ≥31 mm Hg10.5% (23)Dialysis2.3% (5)Investigations2.3% (5)Ejection fraction62.1% (136)Normal, >50%62.1% (136)Mild/moderate impairment, 30–50%33.3% (73)Severe impairment, <30%	Congestive heart failure	16.0% (35)
Arrial fibrillation21.5% (47)Diabetes21.0% (46)Diabetes on insulin5.0% (11)Hypercholesterolaemia67.1% (147)Current smoker9.1% (20)Smoking history54.8% (120)Active infective endocarditis4.6% (10)Stroke5.0% (11)Extracardiac arteriopathy6.8% (15)Chronic pulmonary disease17.8% (39)Pulmonary hypertension, ≥31 mm Hg10.5% (23)Dialysis2.3% (5)Investigations2.3% (5)Ejection fraction62.1% (136)Normal, >50%62.1% (136)Mild/moderate impairment, 30–50%33.3% (73)Severe impairment, <30%	Myocardial infarction	8.7% (19)
Diabetes21.0% (46)Diabetes on insulin5.0% (11)Hypertension54.8% (120)Hypercholesterolaemia67.1% (147)Current smoker9.1% (20)Smoking history54.8% (120)Active infective endocarditis4.6% (10)Stroke5.0% (11)Extracardiac arteriopathy6.8% (15)Chronic pulmonary disease17.8% (39)Pulmonary hypertension, ≥31 mm Hg10.5% (23)Dialysis2.3% (5)Investigations2.3% (5)Ejection fraction33.3% (73)Severe impairment, <30%	Atrial fibrillation	21.5% (47)
Diabetes on insulin5.0% (11)Hypercholesterolaemia67.1% (147)Current smoker9.1% (20)Smoking history54.8% (120)Active infective endocarditis4.6% (10)Stroke5.0% (11)Extracardiac arteriopathy6.8% (15)Chronic pulmonary disease17.8% (39)Pulmonary hypertension, ≥31 mm Hg10.5% (23)Dialysis2.3% (5)Investigations2.3% (5)Ejection fraction82.1% (136)Normal, >50%62.1% (136)Mild/moderate impairment, 30–50%33.3% (73)Severe impairment, <30%	Diabetes	21.0% (46)
Hypertension54.8% (120)Hypercholesterolaemia67.1% (147)Current smoker9.1% (20)Smoking history54.8% (120)Active infective endocarditis4.6% (10)Stroke5.0% (11)Extracardiac arteriopathy6.8% (15)Chronic pulmonary disease17.8% (39)Pulmonary hypertension, ≥31 mm Hg10.5% (23)Dialysis2.3% (5)Investigations2.3% (5)Ejection fraction33.3% (73)Severe impairment, <30-50%	Diabetes on insulin	5.0% (11)
Hypercholesterolaemia $67.1\% (147)$ Current smoker $9.1\% (20)$ Smoking history $54.8\% (120)$ Active infective endocarditis $4.6\% (10)$ Stroke $5.0\% (11)$ Extracardiac arteriopathy $6.8\% (15)$ Chronic pulmonary disease $17.8\% (39)$ Pulmonary hypertension, ≥31 mm Hg $10.5\% (23)$ Dialysis $2.3\% (5)$ InvestigationsEjection fractionNormal, >50% $62.1\% (136)$ Mild/moderate impairment, 30–50% $33.3\% (73)$ Severe impairment, <30%	Hypertension	54.8% (120)
Current smoker $9.1\%$ (20)Smoking history $54.8\%$ (120)Active infective endocarditis $4.6\%$ (10)Stroke $5.0\%$ (11)Extracardiac arteriopathy $6.8\%$ (15)Chronic pulmonary disease $17.8\%$ (39)Pulmonary hypertension, ≥31 mm Hg $10.5\%$ (23)Dialysis $2.3\%$ (5)Investigations $2.3\%$ (5)Ejection fraction $33.3\%$ (73)Severe impairment, $30-50\%$ $33.3\%$ (73)Severe impairment, $<30\%$ $4.6\%$ (10)Aortic stenosis $78.1\%$ (171)Aortic regurgitation $32.9\%$ (72)Mitral regurgitation $7.3\%$ (16)Tricuspid regurgitation $3.7\%$ (8)Left main artery ≥ 50% stenosis* $2.3\%$ (5)1 $8.2\%$ (18)2 $1.4\%$ (3)3 $5.9\%$ (13)Creatinine clearance, mL/min $85$ (40)Risk score $8.6\%$ (8.1%)EuroScore II $3.1\%$ (3.3%)Society of Thoracic Surgeon's AVR Score $2.9\%$ (2.9%)	Hypercholesterolaemia	67.1% (147)
Smoking history54.8% (120)Active infective endocarditis4.6% (10)Stroke5.0% (11)Extracardiac arteriopathy6.8% (15)Chronic pulmonary disease17.8% (39)Pulmonary hypertension, ≥31 mm Hg10.5% (23)Dialysis2.3% (5)Investigations2.3% (5)Ejection fraction62.1% (136)Normal, >50%62.1% (136)Mild/moderate impairment, 30–50%33.3% (73)Severe impairment, <30%	Current smoker	9.1% (20)
Active infective endocarditis $4.6\%$ (10)Stroke $5.0\%$ (11)Extracardiac arteriopathy $6.8\%$ (15)Chronic pulmonary disease $17.8\%$ (39)Pulmonary hypertension, ≥ 31 mm Hg $10.5\%$ (23)Dialysis $2.3\%$ (5)Investigations $2.3\%$ (5)Ejection fraction $62.1\%$ (136)Normal, > 50% $62.1\%$ (136)Mild/moderate impairment, 30–50% $33.3\%$ (73)Severe impairment, < 30%	Smoking history	54.8% (120)
Stroke5.0% (11)Extracardiac arteriopathy $6.8\%$ (15)Chronic pulmonary disease $17.8\%$ (39)Pulmonary hypertension, ≥31 mm Hg $10.5\%$ (23)Dialysis $2.3\%$ (5)Investigations $2.3\%$ (5)Ejection fraction $33.3\%$ (73)Severe impairment, $30-50\%$ $33.3\%$ (73)Severe impairment, $<30\%$ $4.6\%$ (10)Aortic regurgitation $2.9\%$ (72)Mitral stenosis $78.1\%$ (171)Aortic regurgitation $2.9\%$ (72)Mitral regurgitation $7.3\%$ (16)Tricuspid regurgitation $3.7\%$ (8)Left main artery ≥ 50% stenosis $2.3\%$ (5)0 $84.5\%$ (185)1 $8.2\%$ (18)2 $1.4\%$ (3)3 $5.9\%$ (13)Creatinine clearance, mL/min $85$ (40)Risk score $8.6\%$ (8.1%)EuroScore II $3.1\%$ (3.3%)Society of Thoracic Surgeon's AVR Score $2.9\%$ (2.9%)	Active infective endocarditis	4.6% (10)
Extracardiac arteriopathy $6.8\% (15)$ Chronic pulmonary disease $17.8\% (39)$ Pulmonary hypertension, $\geq 31 \text{ mm Hg}$ $10.5\% (23)$ Dialysis $2.3\% (5)$ InvestigationsEjection fractionNormal, $\geq 50\%$ $62.1\% (136)$ Mild/moderate impairment, $30-50\%$ $33.3\% (73)$ Severe impairment, $<30\%$ $4.6\% (10)$ Aortic stenosis $78.1\% (171)$ Aortic regurgitation $2.9\% (72)$ Mitral stenosis $0.9\% (2)$ Mitral regurgitation $7.3\% (16)$ Tricuspid regurgitation $3.7\% (8)$ Left main artery $\geq 50\%$ stenosis $84.5\% (185)$ 1 $8.2\% (18)$ 2 $1.4\% (3)$ 3 $5.9\% (13)$ Creatinine clearance, mL/min $85 (40)$ Risk score $8.6\% (8.1\%)$ EuroScore II $3.1\% (3.3\%)$ Society of Thoracic Surgeon's AVR Score $2.9\% (2.9\%)$	Stroke	5.0% (11)
Chronic pulmonary disease17.8% (39)Pulmonary hypertension, ≥31 mm Hg10.5% (23)Dialysis2.3% (5)Investigations2Ejection fraction33.3% (73)Normal, >50%62.1% (136)Mild/moderate impairment, 30–50%33.3% (73)Severe impairment, <30%	Extracardiac arteriopathy	6.8% (15)
Pulmonary hypertension, $\geq 31 \text{ mm Hg}$ 10.5% (23)Dialysis2.3% (5)InvestigationsEjection fractionNormal, $\geq 50\%$ 62.1% (136)Mild/moderate impairment, 30–50%33.3% (73)Severe impairment, <30%	Chronic pulmonary disease	17.8% (39)
Dialysis2.3% (5)InvestigationsEjection fractionNormal, >50%62.1% (136)Mild/moderate impairment, 30-50%33.3% (73)Severe impairment, <30%	Pulmonary hypertension, ≥31 mm Hg	10.5% (23)
InvestigationsEjection fractionNormal, >50%Mild/moderate impairment, 30–50%Severe impairment, <30%	Dialysis	2.3% (5)
Ejection fraction Normal, >50% 62.1% (136) Mild/moderate impairment, 30–50% 33.3% (73) Severe impairment, <30% 4.6% (10) Aortic stenosis 78.1% (171) Aortic regurgitation 32.9% (72) Mitral stenosis 0.9% (2) Mitral regurgitation 7.3% (16) Tricuspid regurgitation 3.7% (8) Left main artery > 50% stenosis Main coronary vessels ≥ 50% stenosis* 2.3% (5) 0 84.5% (185) 1 82.2% (18) 2 1.4% (3) 3 5.9% (13) Creatinine clearance, mL/min 85 (40) Risk score EuroScore II 3.1% (8.1%) EuroScore II 3.1% (3.3%) Society of Thoracic Surgeon's AVR Score 2.9% (2.9%)	Investigations	
Normal, >50%62.1% (136)Mild/moderate impairment, 30–50%33.3% (73)Severe impairment, <30%	Ejection fraction	
Mild/moderate impairment, $30-50\%$ $33.3\%$ (73)Severe impairment, $<30\%$ $4.6\%$ (10)Aortic stenosis $78.1\%$ (171)Aortic regurgitation $22.9\%$ (72)Mitral stenosis $0.9\%$ (2)Mitral regurgitation $7.3\%$ (16)Tricuspid regurgitation $3.7\%$ (8)Left main artery $\geq 50\%$ stenosis $2.3\%$ (5)0 $84.5\%$ (185)1 $8.2\%$ (18)2 $1.4\%$ (3)3 $5.9\%$ (13)Creatinine clearance, mL/min $85$ (40)Risk score $8.6\%$ (8.1%)EuroScore II $3.1\%$ (3.3%)Society of Thoracic Surgeon's AVR Score $2.9\%$ (2.9%)	Normal, >50%	62.1% (136)
Severe impairment, <30%4.6% (10)Aortic stenosis78.1% (171)Aortic regurgitation32.9% (72)Mitral stenosis0.9% (2)Mitral regurgitation7.3% (16)Tricuspid regurgitation3.7% (8)Left main artery $\geq$ 50% stenosis*2.3% (5)084.5% (185)18.2% (18)21.4% (3)35.9% (13)Creatinine clearance, mL/min85 (40)Risk score8.6% (8.1%)EuroScore II3.1% (3.3%)Society of Thoracic Surgeon's AVR Score2.9% (2.9%)	Mild/moderate impairment, 30–50%	33.3% (73)
Aortic stenosis $78.1\%$ (171)Aortic regurgitation $32.9\%$ (72)Mitral stenosis $0.9\%$ (2)Mitral regurgitation $7.3\%$ (16)Tricuspid regurgitation $3.7\%$ (8)Left main artery $\geq$ 50% stenosis $84.5\%$ (185)Main coronary vessels $\geq$ 50% stenosis* $2.3\%$ (5)0 $84.5\%$ (185)1 $8.2\%$ (18)2 $1.4\%$ (3)3 $5.9\%$ (13)Creatinine clearance, mL/min $85$ (40)Risk score $8.6\%$ (8.1%)EuroScore $8.6\%$ (8.1%)EuroScore II $3.1\%$ (3.3%)Society of Thoracic Surgeon's AVR Score $2.9\%$ (2.9%)	Severe impairment, <30%	4.6% (10)
Aortic regurgitation $32.9\%$ (72)Mitral stenosis $0.9\%$ (2)Mitral regurgitation $7.3\%$ (16)Tricuspid regurgitation $3.7\%$ (8)Left main artery $\geq$ 50% stenosis $84.5\%$ (185)Main coronary vessels $\geq$ 50% stenosis* $2.3\%$ (5)0 $84.5\%$ (185)1 $8.2\%$ (18)2 $1.4\%$ (3)3 $5.9\%$ (13)Creatinine clearance, mL/min $85$ (40)Risk score $8.6\%$ (8.1%)EuroScore $8.6\%$ (8.1%)Society of Thoracic Surgeon's AVR Score $2.9\%$ (2.9%)	Aortic stenosis	78.1% (171)
Mitral stenosis $0.9\%$ (2)Mitral regurgitation $7.3\%$ (16)Tricuspid regurgitation $3.7\%$ (8)Left main artery $\geq$ 50% stenosisMain coronary vessels $\geq$ 50% stenosis* $2.3\%$ (5)0 $84.5\%$ (185)1 $8.2\%$ (18)2 $1.4\%$ (3)3 $5.9\%$ (13)Creatinine clearance, mL/min $85$ (40)Risk score $8.6\%$ (8.1%)EuroScore $8.6\%$ (8.1%)EuroScore II $3.1\%$ (3.3%)Society of Thoracic Surgeon's AVR Score $2.9\%$ (2.9%)	Aortic regurgitation	32.9% (72)
Mitral regurgitation7.3% (16)Tricuspid regurgitation $3.7\%$ (8)Left main artery > 50% stenosis $2.3\%$ (5)Main coronary vessels > 50% stenosis* $2.3\%$ (5)0 $84.5\%$ (185)1 $8.2\%$ (18)2 $1.4\%$ (3)3 $5.9\%$ (13)Creatinine clearance, mL/min $85$ (40)Risk score $8.6\%$ (8.1%)EuroScore II $3.1\%$ (3.3%)Society of Thoracic Surgeon's AVR Score $2.9\%$ (2.9%)	Mitral stenosis	0.9% (2)
Tricuspid regurgitation $3.7\%$ (8)Left main artery $\geq$ 50% stenosis $2.3\%$ (5)Main coronary vessels $\geq$ 50% stenosis* $2.3\%$ (5)0 $84.5\%$ (185)1 $8.2\%$ (18)2 $1.4\%$ (3)3 $5.9\%$ (13)Creatinine clearance, mL/min $85$ (40)Risk score $8.6\%$ (8.1%)EuroScore $8.6\%$ (8.1%)EuroScore II $3.1\%$ (3.3%)Society of Thoracic Surgeon's AVR Score $2.9\%$ (2.9%)	Mitral regurgitation	7.3% (16)
Left main artery ≥ 50% stenosis Main coronary vessels ≥ 50% stenosis* 0 84.5% (185) 1 8.2% (18) 2 1.4% (3) 3 5.9% (13) Creatinine clearance, mL/min 85 (40) Risk score EuroScore 8.6% (8.1%) EuroScore 9.3% (3.3%) Society of Thoracic Surgeon's AVR Score 2.9% (2.9%) All numbers are mean (standard deviation) or percentage (frequency)	Tricuspid regurgitation	3.7% (8)
Main coronary vessels $\geq$ 50% stenosis*2.3% (5)084.5% (185)18.2% (18)21.4% (3)35.9% (13)Creatinine clearance, mL/min85 (40)Risk scoreEuroScoreEuroScore8.6% (8.1%)EuroScore II3.1% (3.3%)Society of Thoracic Surgeon's AVR Score2.9% (2.9%)	Left main artery ≥ 50% stenosis	
0     84.5% (185)       1     8.2% (18)       2     1.4% (3)       3     5.9% (13)       Creatinine clearance, mL/min     85 (40)       Risk score     EuroScore       EuroScore     8.6% (8.1%)       EuroScore II     3.1% (3.3%)       Society of Thoracic Surgeon's AVR Score     2.9% (2.9%)	Main coronary vessels ≥ 50% stenosis*	2.3% (5)
1     8.2% (18)       2     1.4% (3)       3     5.9% (13)       Creatinine clearance, mL/min     85 (40)       Risk score     EuroScore       EuroScore     8.6% (8.1%)       EuroScore II     3.1% (3.3%)       Society of Thoracic Surgeon's AVR Score     2.9% (2.9%)	0	84.5% (185)
2   1.4% (3)     3   5.9% (13)     Creatinine clearance, mL/min   85 (40)     Risk score   85 (40)     EuroScore   8.6% (8.1%)     EuroScore II   3.1% (3.3%)     Society of Thoracic Surgeon's AVR Score   2.9% (2.9%)	1	8.2% (18)
3 5.9% (13)   Creatinine clearance, mL/min 85 (40)   Risk score 8   EuroScore 8.6% (8.1%)   EuroScore II 3.1% (3.3%)   Society of Thoracic Surgeon's AVR Score 2.9% (2.9%)	2	1.4% (3)
Creatinine clearance, mL/min 85 (40)   Risk score 8.6% (8.1%)   EuroScore 8.6% (8.1%)   EuroScore II 3.1% (3.3%)   Society of Thoracic Surgeon's AVR Score 2.9% (2.9%)	3	5.9% (13)
Risk score   8.6% (8.1%)     EuroScore   8.6% (8.1%)     EuroScore II   3.1% (3.3%)     Society of Thoracic Surgeon's AVR Score   2.9% (2.9%)     All numbers are mean (standard deviation) or percentage (frequency)	Creatinine clearance, mL/min	85 (40)
EuroScore 8.6% (8.1%)   EuroScore II 3.1% (3.3%)   Society of Thoracic Surgeon's AVR Score 2.9% (2.9%)	Risk score	
EuroScore II 3.1% (3.3%)   Society of Thoracic Surgeon's AVR Score 2.9% (2.9%)	EuroScore	8.6% (8.1%)
Society of Thoracic Surgeon's AVR Score 2.9% (2.9%)	EuroScore II	3.1% (3.3%)
All numbers are mean (standard deviation) or percentage (frequency)	Society of Thoracic Surgeon's AVR Score	2.9% (2.9%)
	All numbers are mean (standard deviation) or percentage (frequency)	

\* Stenoses had been previously grafted, stented or were not suitable for grafting.

for hs-TnT, with or without ECG and/or echocardiographic changes predicted 30-day mortality and mortality during follow-up.

Hs-TnT as a continuous parameter, or rise to >500 ng/were able to detect composite morbidity with c-statistics of 0.626 (0.528-0.724) and 0.612 (0.517-0.708), superior to dual criteria involving ECG and/ or echocardiographic changes. The optimal cutpoint for hs-TnT to detect composite morbidity was 500 ng/L

# 3.4. Survival

Fig. 1 shows the Kaplan–Meier survival curves over mean follow-up of 2.3  $\pm$  0.8 years by criteria of isolated hs-TnT rise or dual ECG or

Table 2

Operative variables and outcomes.

Operative variables			
31.1% (68)			
188 (60)			
98 (30)			
74 (20)			
360 (258-536)			
9.1% (20)			
20.5% (45)			
5.9% (13)			
1.4% (3)			
0.9% (2)			
13.7% (30)			
6.8% (15)			
27.9% (61)			
5.9% (13)			
8.9 (5.1)			
2.7% (6)			

All numbers are mean (standard deviation) or percentage (frequency).

echocardiographic criteria at various hs-TnT thresholds as previously defined. Log-rank test p-values and 1-year survival for each criterion are also shown. Hs-TnT > 140 ng/L and hs-TnT > 500 ng/L and other criteria were associated with higher mortality during follow-up (P < 0.05).

#### 3.5. Multivariable analyses

Multivariable analyses for 30-day mortality and mortality during follow-up are presented in Table 4. Neither of the pre-specified criteria predicted 30-day mortality. Hs-TnT >500 ng/L and ECG and/or echocardiographic criteria was independently associated with mortality during follow-up HR 5.23, 95% CI 1.09–25.2, P = 0.039 respectively. Hs-TnT alone as a continuous parameter was independently associated with 30-day and medium-term mortality (P = 0.034 and P = 0.036). Other predictors of 30-day mortality were Class IV angina (P = 0.036) and atrial fibrillation (P = 0.048). Class IV angina (P = 0.011) and atrial fibrillation (P = 0.001) also predicted mortality during follow-up.

Table 5 lists the independent predictors of composite morbidity. Isolated troponin rise to > 500 ng/L was independently associated with composite morbidity HR 2.56, 95% CI 1.09–5.11, P = 0.030 as was hs-TnT as a continuous parameter (P = 0.001). Dual ECG and/or echocardiographic criteria were not independently associated with composite morbidity. Other predictors of composite morbidity included NYHA Class IV (P = 0.036), active infective endocarditis (P = 0.003), extra cardiac arteriopathy (P = 0.035) and impaired ejection fraction <45% (P = 0.018).

# 4. Discussion

This study has several important novel findings. First, hs-TnT > 500 ng/L and ECG and/or echocardiographic changes was independently associated with mortality during follow-up but not 30-day mortality. Second, hs-TnT > 140 ng/L and ECG and/or echocardiographic criteria was not associated with mortality at either time point. Third, hs-TnT alone as a continuous parameter was an independent predictor of all three pre-specified outcomes of interest (30 day mortality, mortality during follow-up and composite morbidity).

#### 4.1. Isolated elevation of high-sensitivity troponin

Some, [8,10] but not all [9] studies have reported troponins to be independently associated with mortality and/or morbidity after AVR. Several studies have reported that post-operative troponin I or T as a single test and as a continuous parameter predicts short and longterm mortality in cardiac surgery cohorts, made up predominantly or

# Table 3

Receiver-operating characteristic analyses.

Criteria	30-day mortality	Mortality during follow-up	Composite morbidity
hsTnT	0.752 (0.486-1.00)	0.615 (0.422-0.808)	0.626 (0.528-0.724)
ECG/echo criteria	0.710 (0.490-0.930)	0.584 (0.455-0.713)	0.516 (0.473-0.559)
hsTnT + ECG/echo	0.816 (0.521-1.00)	0.632 (0.427-0.838)	0.610 (0.508-0.712)
hsTnT > 140 ng/L	0.507 (0.275-0.739)	0.507 (0.341-0.674)	0.509 (0.415-0.602)
hsTnT > 500 ng/L	0.682 (0.461-0.904)	0.555 (0.383-0.727)	0.612 (0.517-0.708)
hsTnT > 630 ng/L	0.746 (0.523-0.969)	0.621 (0.443-0.799)	0.606 (0.507-0.704)
hsTnT > 140 ng/L + ECG/echo	0.710 (0.458-0.962)	0.584 (0.402-0.766)	0.516 (0.422-0.609)
hsTnT > 500 ng/L + ECG/echo	0.653 (0.388-0.917)	0.569 (0.386-0.751)	0.509 (0.603-0.415)

ECG = electrocardiogram; hsTnT = high-sensitivity troponin T.

entirely of patients undergoing CABG, and superior to other tests such as creatine kinase-MB and the ECG alone [3–7,16].

left ventricular hypertrophy in aortic stenosis. However, the only independent association was hs-TnT > 500 ng/L alone predicting composite

morbidity, similar to what we found in patients undergoing CABG [3].

4.2. Dual high-sensitivity troponin T and ECG and/or echocardiographic criteria

Several studies have reported varying optimal cut-points for isolated troponin rise for detecting mortality after CABG between 7.8–170 times URL [4,17–19]. One study reported an optimal cut-point for a troponin I assay in aortic or mitral valve surgery at 15.5 times URL, slightly higher than the corresponding optimal cut-point of 13 times URL for CABG in the same study [8]. We found higher hs-TnT levels post-operatively with AVR compared with isolated CABG on average (median 360 ng/L vs 339 ng/L at our centre [3]. This may reflect the presence of more

Despite the above, none of the pre-specified hs-InI thresholds as with dual criteria predicted 30-day mortality. However hs-TnT > 500 ng/L + ECG and/or echocardiographic changes predicted mortality during follow-up. This is 10 times 99th percentile URL as



Fig. 1. Kaplan–Meier survival curves for myocardial infarction criteria a) hs-TnT > 140 ng/L b) hs-TnT > 500 ng/L c) hs-TnT > 140 ng/L and ECG and/or echocardiographic criteria, d) hs-TnT > 500 ng/L and ECG and/or echocardiographic criteria. Log-rank test p-values and 1-year survival are shown.

Table 4

Multivariable analyses of mortality.

Predictors	Odds/hazards ratio	95% confidence interval	P-value
30-day mortality			
Canadian Cardiovascular	4.80	1.11-20.8	0.036
Society Class IV			
Atrial fibrillation	4.48	1.04-19.3	0.048
No. of diseased coronary vessels	3.73	1.01-13.9	0.050
hsTnT per 100 ng/L	1.46	1.03-2.06	0.034
ECG/echo	7.76	0.68-88.7	0.099
hsTnT > 140 ng/L	-	-	0.999
hsTnT > 500 ng/L	2.94	0.329-26.28	0.335
hsTnT > 140 ng/L + ECG/echo	7.76	0.68-88.7	0.099
hsTnT > 500 ng/L + ECG/echo	6.83	0.332-140	0.043
Mortality during follow-up			
Canadian Cardiovascular	1.67	1.13-2.49	0.011
Society Class IV			
Atrial fibrillation	8.12	2.29-28.8	0.001
hsTnT per 100 ng/L	1.18	1.04-1.34	0.034
ECG/echo	3.43	0.892-13.2	0.073
hsTnT > 140 ng/L	-	-	0.989
hsTnT > 500 ng/L	1.08	0.307-3.82	0.902
hsTnT > 140 ng/L + ECG/echo	3.43	0.893-13.2	0.073
hsTnT > 500 ng/L + ECG/echo	5.23	1.09-25.2	0.039

ECG = electrocardiogram; hsTnT = high-sensitivity troponin T.

defined in the Universal Definition, [1] for the 4th generation troponin T and 35 times the URL for hs-TnT.

#### 4.3. Clinical implications

The results of the study suggest that in patients undergoing AVR, hs-TnT should be measured routinely pre-operatively and post-operatively at 12–24 h in patients without recent MI or elevated preoperative levels. ECGs should be routinely performed pre-operatively and postoperatively and echocardiography when clinically indicated. Future studies are required to assess the diagnoses of MI when pre-operative levels of hsTnT are elevated, but stable or falling [1] and to confirm optimal cutpoints of hs-TnT with or without ECG/echocardiographic criteria in larger prospective cohort. Interventions that may reduce the frequency and/or worse prognosis of patients with peri-operative MI will also need to be tested.

# 4.4. Limitations

This is a single-centre, retrospective observational study with 2.3 year follow-up. The moderate cohort size meant that the numbers of adverse events limits the power for analyses. Patients with a recent MI were excluded as elevated troponin levels would confound the interpretation of the post-operative levels. Also we excluded patients with pre-operative hsTnT levels > 14 ng/mL. Echocardiography was

#### Table 5

Multivariable analyses of composite morbidity.

Predictors	Odds ratio	95% confidence interval	P-value
NYHA IV	3.39	1.08-10.6	0.036
Active infectious endocarditis	8.80	2.05-37.8	0.003
Extracardiac arteriopathy	3.69	1.10-12.4	0.035
Ejection fraction <45%	2.98	1.21-7.35	0.018
hsTnT per 100 ng/L	1.15	1.06-1.26	0.001
ECG/echo	1.89	0.467-7.60	0.373
hsTnT > 140 ng/L	-	_	0.999
hsTnT > 500 ng/L	2.56	1.09-5.11	0.030
hsTnT 140 ng/L + ECG/echo	1.88	0.466-7.59	0.375
hsTnT 500 ng/L + ECG/echo	3.24	0.340-30.8	0.307

ECG = electrocardiogram; hsTnT = high-sensitivity troponin T. NYHA = New York Heart Association Functional Classification.

#### 5. Conclusion

This study shows that the use of hs-TnT with a cutpoint of > 500 ng/L (10 times coefficient of variation of 10% for 4th generation troponin T applied to hs-TnT and 35 times 99th percentile URL for hs-TnT) with ECG and/or echocardiographic changes predicts medium-term mortality after AVR. Hs-TnT as a continuous parameter was also independently associated with 30-day mortality, mortality during follow-up, and composite morbidity.

# Acknowledgements

We would like to thank all our colleagues affiliated with the Green Lane Cardiovascular Service for their contributions and support and Charlene Nell, Desktop Support Administrator, for excellent secretarial assistance.

# References

- Thygesen K, Alpert JS, Jaffe AS, et al. Third universal definition of myocardial infarction. Eur Heart J 2012;33:2551–67.
- [2] Selvanayagam JB, Petersen SE, Francis JM, et al. Effects of off-pump versus on-pump coronary surgery on reversible and irreversible myocardial injury: a randomized trial using cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging and biochemical markers. Circulation 2004;109:345–50.
- [3] Wang TK, Stewart RA, Ramanathan T, et al. Diagnosis of MI after CABG with highsensitivity troponin T and new ECG or echocardiogram changes: relationship with mortality and validation of the Universal definition of MI. Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care 2013;2:323–33.
- [4] Croal BL, Hillis GS, Gibson PH, et al. Relationship between postoperative cardiac troponin I levels and outcome of cardiac surgery. Circulation 2006;114:1468–75.
- [5] Domanski MJ, Mahaffey K, Hasselblad V, et al. Association of myocardial enzyme elevation and survival following coronary artery bypass graft surgery. J Am Med Assoc 2011;305:585–91.
- [6] Januzzi JL, Lewandrowski K, MacGillivray TE, et al. A comparison of cardiac troponin T and creatine kinase-MB for patient evaluation after cardiac surgery. J Am Coll Cardiol 2002;39:1518–23.
- [7] Lurati Buse GA, Koller MT, Grapow M, et al. The prognostic value of troponin release after adult cardiac surgery - a meta-analysis. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2010;37: 399–406.
- [8] Fellahi JL, Hedoire F, Le Manach Y, et al. Determination of the threshold of cardiac troponin I associated with an adverse postoperative outcome after cardiac surgery: a comparative study between coronary artery bypass graft, valve surgery, and combined cardiac surgery. Crit Care 2007;11:R106.
- [9] Piekarska ML, Szurlej B, Latusek T, Wdowik G, Deja MA. Postoperative serum troponin T concentration in patients undergoing aortic valve replacement does not predict early postoperative outcome. Kardiol Pol 2013;71:1237–44.
- [10] Ponce G, Romero JL, Hernandez G, et al. The non Q wave myocardial infarction in conventional valvular surgery. Diagnosis with cardiac troponin I. Rev Esp Cardiol 2001;54:1175–82.
- [11] Apple FS, Collinson PO. Analytical characteristics of high-sensitivity cardiac troponin assays. Clin Chem 2012;58:54–61.
- [12] Giannitsis E, Kurz K, Hallermayer K, et al. Analytical validation of a high-sensitivity cardiac troponin T assay. Clin Chem 2010;56:254–61.
- [13] Shahian DM, O'Brien SM, Filardo G, et al. The Society of Thoracic Surgeons 2008 cardiac surgery risk models: part 1-coronary artery bypass grafting surgery. Ann Thorac Surg 2009;88:S2–22.
- [14] Nashef SA, Roques F, Michel P, et al. European system for cardiac operative risk evaluation (EuroSCORE). Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 1999;16:9–13.
- [15] Nashef SA, Roques F, Sharples LD, et al. EuroSCORE II. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2012; 41:734–44 (discussion 744-735).
- [16] Soraas CL, Friis C, Engebretsen KV, et al. Troponin T is a better predictor than creatine kinase-MB of long-term mortality after coronary artery bypass graft surgery. Am Heart J 2012;164:779–85.
- [17] Carrier M, Pellerin M, Perrault L, Solymoss BC, Pelletier LC. Troponin levels in patients with myocardial infarction after coronary artery bypass grafting. Ann Thorac Surg 2000;69:435–40.
- [18] Lim CC, Cuculi F, van Gaal WJ, et al. Early diagnosis of perioperative myocardial infarction after coronary bypass grafting: a study using biomarkers and cardiac magnetic resonance imaging. Ann Thorac Surg 2011;92:2046–53.
- [19] Mohammed AA, Agnihotri AK, van Kimmenade RR, et al. Prospective, comprehensive assessment of cardiac troponin T testing after coronary artery bypass graft surgery. Circulation 2009;120:843–50.