

Predictors of advanced disease and late presentation in new HIV diagnoses reported to the surveillance system in Spain

Jesús Oliva^{a,b,*}, Mercedes Díez^{a,b}, Silvia Galindo^a, Carlos Cevallos^c, Ana Izquierdo^d, Javier Cereijo^e, Arantxa Arrillaga^f, Antonio Nicolau^g, Ana Fernández^h, Mara Álvarezⁱ, Jesús Castilla^{j,b}, Eva Martínez^k, Irene López^l, Nuria Vivés^{m,b}

^a Plan Nacional sobre el Sida/Centro Nacional de Epidemiología, Unidad de Epidemiología del VIH/SIDA y Conductas de Riesgo, Centro Nacional de Epidemiología, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain

^b CIBER de Epidemiología y Salud Pública (CIBERESP), Spain

^c Servicio de Epidemiología, Subdirección de Promoción de la Salud y Prevención, Consejería de Sanidad, Comunidad de Madrid, Spain

^d Servicio de Epidemiología y Prevención, Dirección General de Salud Pública, Servicio Canario de la Salud, Sta. Cruz de Tenerife, Spain

^e Servizo de Control de Enfermidades Transmisibles, Dirección Xeral de Innovación e Xestión da Saude Pública, Xunta de Galicia, Spain

^f Plan de Prevención y Control del Sida del País Vasco, San Sebastián, Spain

^g Servicio de Epidemiología, Dirección General de Salud Pública, Consejería de Salud y Consumo del Gobierno Balear, Palma de Mallorca, Spain

^h Servicio de Vigilancia y Alertas Epidemiológicas, Dirección General Salud Pública y Participación, Consejería de Salud y Servicios Sanitarios del Principado de Asturias, Oviedo, Spain

ⁱ Subdirección de Epidemiología, Servicio Extremeño de Salud, Junta de Extremadura, Mérida, Spain

^j Instituto de Salud Pública de Navarra, Pamplona, Spain

^k Servicio de Epidemiología y Prevención Sanitaria, Consejería de Salud del Gobierno de La Rioja, Logroño, Spain

^l Servicio de Epidemiología, Consejería de Sanidad y Consumo de la Ciudad Autónoma de Ceuta, Spain

^m Centre d'Estudis Epidemiològics sobre les Infeccions de Transmissió Sexual i Sida de Catalunya (CEEISCAT), Institut Català d'Oncologia (ICO), Direcció General de Salut Pública, Generalitat de Catalunya, Badalona, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 30 April 2013

Accepted 27 June 2013

Available online 21 December 2013

Keywords:

HIV
Advanced disease
Late presentation
Spain
Surveillance

ABSTRACT

Objective: To present surveillance data on advanced disease (AD) and late presentation (LP) of HIV in Spain and their determinants.

Methods: We included all new HIV diagnoses notified by the autonomous regions that consistently reported such cases throughout the period 2007–2011. Coverage was 54% of the total Spanish population. Data sources consisted of clinicians, laboratories and medical records. AD was defined as the presence of a CD4 cell count <200 cells/ μ L in the first test after HIV diagnosis, while LP was defined as the presence of a CD4 cell count <350 cells/ μ L after HIV diagnosis. Odds ratios and their 95% confidence intervals (OR, 95% CI) were used as the measure of association. Logistic regressions were fit to identify predictors of AD and LP.

Results: A total of 13,021 new HIV diagnoses were included. Among these, data on the outcome variable were available in 87.7%. The median CD4 count at presentation was 363 (interquartile range, 161–565). Overall, 3356 (29.4%) patients met the definition of AD and 5494 (48.1%) were classified as LP. Both AD and LP increased with age and were associated with male sex and infection through drug use or heterosexual contact. All immigrants except western Europeans were more prone to AD and LP. Multivariate models disaggregated by sex showed that the effect of age and region of origin was weaker in women than in men.

Conclusions: Despite universal health care coverage in Spain, men, immigrants and people infected through drug use or heterosexual contact seem to be experiencing difficulties in gaining timely access to HIV care.

© 2013 SESPAS. Published by Elsevier España, S.L. All rights reserved.

Determinantes de enfermedad avanzada y presentación tardía en los nuevos diagnósticos de VIH notificados al sistema de vigilancia epidemiológica en España

RESUMEN

Objetivo: Se presentan los datos de vigilancia sobre enfermedad avanzada y presentación tardía de los nuevos diagnósticos de VIH en España, y sus determinantes.

Métodos: Se incluyeron todos los nuevos diagnósticos de VIH de 2007–2011 en el ámbito de las comunidades autónomas que notificaron de forma constante durante todo el periodo (54% de la población española). La fuente de información fueron clínicos y laboratorios. Se definió como enfermedad avanzada un recuento < 200 linfocitos CD4/ μ L en la primera determinación tras el diagnóstico, y como presentación tardía < 350 linfocitos CD4/ μ L. Se usaron la odds ratio y su intervalo de confianza del 95% como medida

Palabras clave:

VIH
Enfermedad avanzada
Presentación tardía
España
Vigilancia epidemiológica

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: jaoliva@isciii.es (J. Oliva).

de asociación. Para el análisis multivariado de los factores asociados a enfermedad avanzada y presentación tardía se ajustó un modelo de regresión logística.

Resultados: Se incluyeron 13.021 nuevos diagnósticos, de los cuales el 87,7% tenía información de la variable de estudio. La mediana de CD4 fue de 363 (rango intercuartílico: 161–565). Durante el periodo, 3.356 pacientes (29,4%) cumplían la definición de enfermedad avanzada y 5.494 (48,1%) se clasificaron como presentación tardía. Tanto la enfermedad avanzada como la presentación tardía aumentaban con la edad, se asociaban al sexo masculino y a la transmisión a través del uso de drogas inyectadas o heterosexual. Ser inmigrante de cualquier origen, excepto de Europa Occidental, se asociaba a enfermedad avanzada y presentación tardía. Desagregando por sexo, el efecto de la edad y de la región de origen fue más débil en las mujeres que en los hombres.

Conclusión: A pesar de la cobertura universal en España, los hombres, los inmigrantes, los usuarios de drogas inyectadas y las personas infectadas por relaciones heterosexuales parecen tener más dificultad para acceder al seguimiento clínico.

© 2013 SESPAS. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L. Todos los derechos reservados.

Introduction

Early HIV diagnosis and treatment are essential in the control of the HIV epidemic worldwide. HIV-infected patients presenting late in the course of their infection have higher rates of morbidity and mortality and require more healthcare resources than those presenting earlier.^{1,2} Furthermore, HIV diagnosis motivates infected people to adopt measures to reduce the risk of infecting others, and treatment with highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) has been shown to greatly reduce HIV transmission.^{3–5}

Different criteria have been used in the literature to define diagnostic delay and late presentation among HIV-infected patients, which generally include the level of CD4 cells and the presence/absence of AIDS-defining diseases.⁶ Recently, European groups have proposed that “late presentation” be defined as the presence of an AIDS condition or CD4 cell count <350 cells/ μ L at presentation for care, leaving the term “advanced disease” to describe the presence of either an AIDS condition or a CD4 cell count <200 cells/ μ L at presentation.^{7,8}

Late presentation remains a major challenge for the control of HIV in Europe, and reducing the time between infection and entry to care is a priority for the region.^{9–11} Measuring CD4 cells at presentation for care is routine practice in most countries – in particular in the Western part of the region. But, in 2011, only 56% of new HIV diagnoses reported to the European Centre for Disease Control (ECDC) from the EU countries had CD4 data.¹²

In Spain, epidemiological surveillance on new HIV diagnosis is performed through the Sistema de Información sobre Nuevos Diagnósticos de VIH (SINIVIH in its Spanish acronym) which collects epidemiological and clinical data on people newly diagnosed with HIV. This system, expected to be implemented countrywide in the near future, currently includes data from 17 out of 19 of Spain's autonomous regions (AR). CD4 cell count at presentation is collected, and the completion of the variable is good.

Previously published SINIVIH data showed that almost 40% of new HIV diagnoses during the period 2003–2007 had <200 CD4, and that this situation was more likely to occur in men, people over 30 years, intravenous drug users (IDU) and heterosexuals; factors associated with having <200 CD4 were the same in Spaniards and migrants, but the association was stronger in the latter group.¹³

The objectives of this paper are to present data on the immunological situation of the new HIV diagnoses reported to the SINIVIH in the period 2007–2011, and to determine whether predictors for having <200 CD4 at entry to care are different from predictors of having <350 CD4.

Methods

All people newly diagnosed with HIV – according to the European case definition¹⁴ – and reported during the period 2007–2011

to the SINIVIH in the AR of Asturias, Balearic Islands, Canary Islands, Catalonia, Ceuta, Extremadura, Galicia, Madrid, Navarre, Basque Country and La Rioja, were included in the study. These regions comprise 54% of the total Spanish population and were selected for the analysis because they reported to the SINIVIH during the whole study period.

Information was collected, using the standard surveillance forms, on the following variables: date of HIV diagnosis, date of notification, age, gender, reporting autonomous region, transmission mode, first CD4 cell count performed after HIV diagnosis and country or subcontinent of origin. Data sources were the attending clinicians and the laboratories, and information was completed by reviewing clinical records where necessary.

The study was performed according to the Spanish legislation on data protection which does not require informed consent for the collection of surveillance data, as is the case in this study (Ley 33/2011, de 4 de octubre, General de Salud Pública, artículo 41.2).

Elimination of duplicate reports was made in each AR by public health staff, but inter-regional duplicates were not eliminated since data were sent without personal identifiers to the National Center of Epidemiology, where the analysis was performed.

For the purpose of this study, “advanced HIV disease” (AD) was defined as the presence of a CD4 count below 200 cells/ μ L in the first analysis performed after HIV diagnosis. “Late presentation” (LP) was defined as the presence of a CD4 count below 350 cells/ μ L in the first analysis performed after HIV diagnosis.

Data distributions by variables related to person, place and time were performed. The χ^2 test was used to evaluate the association between categorical variables. The odds ratio (OR) and its 95% confidence interval (95%CI) were used as the measure of association. To identify variables associated with AD or LP, multivariable logistic regression models were fit in the overall population and disaggregated by sex; for these models only cases with information on CD4 were used. Additionally, in order to assess the potential bias introduced by excluding sicker patients without a CD4 count, a sensitivity analysis was performed, assuming that all patients without a CD4 count were late presenters. All statistical analyses were performed using Stata Statistical Software: Release 11.1, College Station, TX: Stata Corporation (2009).

Results

A total of 13,021 new HIV cases were reported during the study period, of which 11,426 (87.7%) had information on CD4 cell count at presentation. The majority (81%) of the new HIV diagnoses were male, and 83.4% had been infected through sexual contact, especially through sex between men; the median age at diagnosis was 35 years (inter-quartile range: 29–43). Cases with information on CD4 count differed from those without this information with regard to transmission mode, region of origin and year of diagnosis:

Table 1Characteristics of new HIV diagnoses. Spain,^a 2007–2011.

Characteristics	Total cases n (%)	Cases with CD4 cell count n (%)	Cases without CD4 cell count n (%)
Sex			
Female	2471 (19.0)	2148 (18.8)	322 (20.2)
Male	10,550 (81.0)	9278 (81.2)	1273 (79.8)
Age at HIV diagnosis (years)^b			
All	35 (29–43)	35 (29–43)	34 (28–42)
Female	34 (28–42)	34 (28–42)	34 (28–41)
Male	35 (29–43)	35 (29–43)	34 (28–42)
Transmission mode^c			
MSM	6435 (49.4)	5846 (51.2)	589 (36.9)
IDU	981 (7.5)	837 (7.3)	145 (9.1)
Hetero	4423 (34.0)	4021 (35.2)	401 (25.1)
Other	61 (0.5)	49 (0.4)	12 (0.8)
Unknown/NA	1121 (8.6)	673 (5.9)	448 (28.1)
Region of origin^c			
Spain	7862 (60.4)	6943 (60.8)	920 (57.7)
Western Europe	586 (4.5)	509 (4.5)	77 (4.8)
Eastern Europe	380 (2.9)	311 (2.7)	69 (4.3)
Latin America	2811 (21.6)	2501 (21.9)	309 (19.4)
Sub-Saharan Africa	1120 (8.6)	936 (8.2)	184 (11.5)
North Africa	137 (1.1)	118 (1.0)	19 (1.2)
Other/NA	125 (1.0)	108 (0.9)	17 (1.1)
Year of diagnosis^c			
2007	2513 (19.3)	2204 (19.3)	309 (19.4)
2008	2847 (21.9)	2553 (22.3)	294 (18.4)
2009	2625 (20.2)	2347 (20.5)	278 (17.4)
2010	2746 (21.1)	2341 (20.5)	405 (25.4)
2011	2290 (17.6)	1981 (17.3)	309 (19.4)
Total	13,021 (100)	11,426 (100)	1595 (100)

HIV: human immunodeficiency virus; MSM: men who have sex with men; IDU: injecting drug use; hetero: heterosexual contact; NA: not available.

^a Asturias, Balearic Islands, Canary Islands, Catalonia, Ceuta, Extremadura, Galicia, La Rioja, Madrid, Navarre, and Basque Country.^b Median (inter quartile range).^c p-Value <0.05. Differences between cases with and without CD4 cell count.

Sub-Saharan migrants and injecting drug users (IDU) were overrepresented among people lacking this information; furthermore, the proportion of cases with unknown information on transmission mode was higher among those without data on CD4 count (**Table 1**).

Median CD4 at presentation was 363 (inter-quartile range: 161–565). Overall, 3356 (29.4%) patients met the definition of AD. The proportion of patients with AD was higher in women, increased with age, and was highest among cases whose transmission mode was IDU or heterosexual as compared with men who have sex with men (MSM). By region of origin, AD prevalence was generally greater in migrants than in Spaniards (**Table 2**). In the multivariate analysis of the global data the probability of having AD was higher in men (OR: 1.3; 95%CI: 1.1–1.5), in the age groups 30–39 years (OR: 1.7; 95%CI: 1.5–1.9), 40–49 years (OR: 2.8; 95%CI: 2.5–3.2) and ≥50 years (OR: 4.4; 95%CI: 3.8–5.1), as compared to the age group 20–29 years; in people originating from East Europe (OR: 1.7; 95%CI: 1.3–2.1), Latin America (OR: 1.4; 95%CI: 1.3–1.6), Sub-Saharan Africa (OR: 1.4; 95%CI: 1.2–1.7), and North Africa (OR: 1.6; 95%CI: 1.1–2.4); and among IDU (OR: 2.0; 95%CI: 1.7–2.4) and those infected by heterosexual contact (OR: 2.4; 95%CI: 2.1–2.7) (**Table 3**).

A total of 5494 (48.1%) subjects presented late. The groups most affected by LP were the same as those affected by AD and the associations were in the same direction; nevertheless in the multivariate analysis there were slight differences in the strength of the associations (**Tables 2 and 3**).

When disaggregating by sex, the multivariate analyses show different effect of age and region of origin in men than in women. In men, AD and LP clearly increase for cases older than 29 while among women the effect is weaker and the increase in the age group 30–39 is on the edge of statistical significance. The effect of region of origin is also weaker in women than in men (**Tables 4 and 5**).

When the sensitivity analysis assuming that cases without information on CD4 were late presenters was performed factors associated with AD and LP did not change.

Discussion

This study presents surveillance data on CD4 count at presentation for care in new HIV diagnoses in a setting covering 54% of the total Spanish population. The results show that subjects who had information on CD4 cell count are different from those who did not, that almost half of new HIV diagnoses presented late to medical care and 30% had AD, and that predictors of LP and AD are the same.

Surveillance data on CD4 count at entry into care are scarce in the EU.¹² In this study information was available in almost 90% of registries; however, the results show that the lack of information is not randomly distributed, suggesting that traditionally disadvantaged subjects, such as IDU and migrants, might have problems in accessing care after being diagnosed, even if they are entitled to free health care, as is the case in Spain.

The prevalence of AD and LP is similar to that found in France or the UK, and higher than the figures reported in The Netherlands or the Czech Republic.¹² Outside the EU, studies carried out – using the same definition – in the United States and Hong Kong report a greater proportion of AD.^{15,16}

In Spain, HIV testing is part of routine prenatal care, and this probably explains, at least to some extent, why women are less likely to present late. The fact that (as shown in the multivariate analyses disaggregated by sex) the effect of age and region of origin on AD and LP are weaker in women than in men also support this explanation. This has also been observed in other developed

Table 2Advanced HIV disease and late presentation in new HIV diagnoses. Spain.^a 2007–2011.

Characteristics	Number of cases with CD4 cell count n (% completeness in each category)	Advanced HIV disease <200 cell/µL)		Late presentation <350 cell/µL)	
		n	(%)	n	(%)
<i>Sex</i>					
Female	2148 (86.9)	727	(33.8)	1131	(52.6)
Male	9278 (87.9)	2629	(28.4)	4363	(47.0)
<i>Age (years)</i>					
0–19	206 (85.1)	22	(10.7)	47	(22.8)
20–29	3040 (87.1)	532	(17.5)	1132	(37.2)
30–39	4197 (87.5)	1130	(26.9)	1912	(45.6)
40–49	2604 (88.2)	982	(37.7)	1479	(56.8)
≥50	1379 (89.7)	690	(50.0)	924	(67.0)
<i>Transmission mode</i>					
MSM	5846 (90.8)	1193	(20.4)	2285	(39.1)
IDU	837 (85.3)	285	(34.5)	445	(53.2)
Hetero	4021 (90.9)	1587	(39.5)	2365	(58.8)
Other	49 (80.3)	16	(32.7)	20	(40.8)
Unknown/NA	673 (60.0)	275	(40.8)	379	(56.3)
<i>Region of origin</i>					
Spain	6943 (88.3)	1916	(27.6)	3121	(44.9)
Western Europe	509 (86.9)	138	(27.1)	232	(45.6)
Eastern Europe	311 (81.8)	108	(34.7)	154	(49.5)
Latin America	2501 (89.0)	736	(29.4)	1278	(51.1)
Sub-Saharan Africa	936 (83.6)	369	(39.4)	581	(62.1)
North Africa	118 (86.1)	49	(41.5)	68	(57.6)
Other/NA	108 (86.4)	40	(37.0)	60	(55.6)
<i>Year of diagnosis</i>					
2007	2204 (87.7)	688	(31.2)	1110	(50.4)
2008	2553 (89.7)	753	(29.5)	1235	(48.4)
2009	2347 (89.4)	723	(30.8)	1187	(50.6)
2010	2341 (85.3)	641	(27.4)	1063	(45.4)
2011	1981 (86.5)	551	(27.8)	899	(45.4)
Total	11,426 (87.8)	3356	(29.4)	5494	(48.1)

HIV: Human immunodeficiency virus; MSM: men who have sex with men; IDU: injecting drug use; hetero: heterosexual contact; NA: not available.

^a Asturias, Balearic Islands, Canary Islands, Catalonia, Ceuta, Extremadura, Galicia, La Rioja, Madrid, Navarre, and Basque Country.

countries where antenatal HIV screening is the rule.¹⁷ Data from the United Kingdom show that median CD4 at HIV diagnosis is significantly higher in pregnant women than in women diagnosed in other units and in heterosexual men.¹⁸

The association of AD with age is already known.^{13,15,16,19–25} Age is also associated with LP. The most widely accepted explanation is that risk perception and frequency of testing decrease with increasing age.^{20,25} Another possible explanation relates to the natural history of the disease, which clinically and immunologically evolves more rapidly in older patients.²⁵

In comparison with other transmission modes, MSM are the least likely to present late, while people infected through heterosexual contact are more likely, findings also common to other countries.^{16,21,23,24} These results probably reflect risk perceptions on the part of both patients and providers, and point to the need to scale up HIV testing among heterosexuals, in particular among men.

Spain experienced overlapping epidemics of HIV and heroin injection from the 1980s to the mid-1990s.²⁶ The high likelihood of AD/LP in IDU is surprising, since risk perception in this group is very high and HIV testing is routinely offered to patients in drug treatment centers, prisons and at all contacts with the health care system. A possible explanation could be that rather than being the consequence of late diagnosis this finding is the result of worse linkage to care among IDU due to barriers in accessing care specific to this group. The fact that in our data, the proportion of IDU with a CD4 count performed within the first 3 months after HIV diagnosis is lower than for other transmission modes (60% vs. 77%, $p < 0.05$) supports this explanation.

Previously published studies, both in Spain and abroad, identify being a migrant as a risk factor for late HIV diagnosis and presentation for care.^{13,19,27,28} Worries about discrimination, language barriers, the perception of HIV as a deadly disease, and lack of confidence about the confidentiality of results have been noted as barriers for HIV testing among migrants,^{29,30} and migrants, in particular illegal migrants, have restricted access to care in most countries.³¹ Some of these factors might be operating in Spain, even though all migrants are entitled to HIV care on the same basis as Spaniards. On the other hand, immigration is a very recent phenomenon in Spain and it is possible that, to some extent, figures for AD and LP in immigrants reflect factors operating in their country of origin rather than in Spain. Unfortunately, date of entry into Spain is not yet collected in the SINIVIH; thus this hypothesis cannot be explored further.

This study has some limitations. The results cannot be extrapolated to the whole country because data are not countrywide. Nevertheless, study coverage is high, under-reporting is estimated to be moderate, and participating AR do not differ from the rest in terms of factors influencing AD/LP, since HIV care is provided free of charge, in the context of a universal health care system, in the whole country. Furthermore, due to the lack of information on the concomitant diagnosis of AIDS and HIV, it could be argued that our data underestimate AD and LP, since some authors include presence of AIDS as an additional defining criteria for the two events.⁷ While it is true that this information is not currently available in all participating AR, some do collect it; analysis of available data show that if this criterion were included in the definition of AD and LP, the proportion of AD in our data would increase from 29.4% to

Table 3

Factors associated with advanced disease and late presentation in newly diagnosed HIV infections. Spain,^a 2007–2011. N=11,426.

Characteristics	Advanced HIV disease (<200 cell/µL) ^b		Late presentation (<350 cell/µL) ^b	
	Adjusted OR	95%CI	Adjusted OR	95%CI
Sex				
Female	1		1	
Male	1.3	(1.1–1.5)	1.4	(1.2–1.5)
Age (years)				
0–19	0.4	(0.3–0.7)	0.5	(0.3–0.7)
20–29	1		1	
30–39	1.7	(1.5–1.9)	1.4	(1.2–1.5)
40–49	2.8	(2.5–3.2)	2.2	(2.0–2.5)
≥50	4.4	(3.8–5.1)	3.3	(2.9–3.8)
Transmission mode				
MSM	1		1	
IDU	2.0	(1.7–2.4)	1.9	(1.6–2.2)
Hetero	2.4	(2.1–2.7)	2.1	(1.9–2.4)
Unknown/NA	2.3	(1.9–2.8)	1.8	(1.5–2.1)
Region of origin				
Spain	1		1	
Western Europe	1.1	(0.9–1.3)	1.1	(0.9–1.3)
Eastern Europe	1.7	(1.3–2.1)	1.3	(1.1–1.7)
Latin America	1.4	(1.3–1.6)	1.6	(1.5–1.8)
Sub-Saharan Africa	1.4	(1.2–1.7)	1.7	(1.5–2.0)
North Africa	1.6	(1.1–2.4)	1.5	(1.0–2.1)
Year of diagnosis				
2011	1	(0.9–1.2)	1	(1.0–1.3)
2007	1.1	(0.8–1.1)	1.1	(0.9–1.2)
2008	1.0	(0.9–1.2)	1.0	(1.0–1.3)
2009	1.1	(0.8–1.1)	1.2	(0.8–1.1)
2010	0.9		0.9	

VIH: Human immunodeficiency virus; OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; MSM: men who have sex with men; IDU: injecting drug use; hetero: heterosexual contact; NA: not available.

^a Asturias, Balearic Islands, Canary Islands, Catalonia, Ceuta, Extremadura, Galicia, La Rioja, Madrid, Navarre, and Basque Country.

^b Multivariable logistic regression, OR and 95% CIs adjusted for all listed variables and reporting Autonomous Region.

Table 4

Factors associated with advanced disease and late presentation in newly diagnosed HIV infections in men. Spain,^a 2007–2011. N=9278.

Characteristics	Advanced HIV disease (<200 cell/µL) ^b		Late presentation (<350 cell/µL) ^b	
	Adjusted OR	95%CI	Adjusted OR	95%CI
Age (years)				
0–19	0.5	(0.3–1.0)	0.6	(0.4–0.9)
20–29	1		1	
30–39	1.9	(1.6–2.2)	1.4	(1.3–1.6)
40–49	3.1	(2.7–3.6)	2.2	(2.0–2.5)
≥50	5.3	(4.5–6.3)	3.7	(3.2–4.4)
Transmission mode				
Hetero	1		1	
IDU	0.9	(0.7–1.1)	0.9	(0.8–1.1)
MSM	0.4	(0.3–0.5)	0.5	(0.4–0.6)
Unknown/NA	1.0	(0.8–1.2)	0.8	(0.7–1.0)
Region of origin				
Spain	1		1	
Western Europe	1.1	(0.9–1.3)	1.1	(0.9–1.3)
Eastern Europe	1.7	(1.2–2.3)	1.3	(1.0–1.8)
Latin America	1.5	(1.3–1.7)	1.6	(1.5–1.8)
Sub-Saharan Africa	1.7	(1.4–2.1)	2.1	(1.7–2.7)
North Africa	1.6	(1.1–2.5)	1.3	(0.8–2.0)
Year of diagnosis				
2011	1	(1.0–1.3)	1	(1.0–1.3)
2007	1.1	(0.9–1.2)	1.2	(0.9–1.2)
2008	1.0	(1.0–1.3)	1.1	(1.1–1.4)
2009	1.1	(0.8–1.1)	1.3	(0.9–1.2)
2010	1.0		1.0	

VIH: Human immunodeficiency virus; OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; MSM: men who have sex with men; IDU: injecting drug use; hetero: heterosexual contact; NA: not available.

^a Asturias, Balearic Islands, Canary Islands, Catalonia, Ceuta, Extremadura, Galicia, La Rioja, Madrid, Navarre, and Basque Country.

^b Multivariable logistic regression, OR and 95% CIs adjusted for all listed variables and reporting Autonomous Region.

Table 5

Factors associated with advanced disease and late presentation in newly diagnosed HIV infections in women. Spain,^a 2007–2011. N=2148.

Characteristics	Advanced HIV disease (<200 cell/ μ L) ^b		Late presentation (<350 cell/ μ L) ^b	
	Adjusted OR	95%CI	Adjusted OR	95%CI
<i>Age (years)</i>				
0–19	0.4	(0.2–0.8)	0.3	(0.1–0.5)
20–29	1		1	
30–39	1.2	(1.0–1.6)	1.2	(1.0–1.5)
40–49	2.0	(1.6–2.7)	1.9	(1.5–2.5)
≥50	2.2	(1.6–3.0)	2.0	(1.5–2.8)
<i>Transmission mode</i>				
Hetero	1		1	
IDU	0.8	(0.5–1.1)	0.8	(0.6–1.1)
Unknown/NA	1.3	(0.8–2.1)	1.2	(0.8–1.9)
<i>Region of origin</i>				
Spain	1		1	
Western Europe	1.2	(0.7–2.3)	1.3	(0.7–2.4)
Eastern Europe	1.5	(0.9–2.3)	1.3	(0.9–2.0)
Latin America	1.3	(1.1–1.7)	1.5	(1.2–1.9)
Sub-Saharan Africa	1.1	(0.8–1.4)	1.3	(1.0–1.7)
North Africa	1.6	(0.7–3.5)	2.0	(0.9–4.4)
<i>Year of diagnosis</i>				
2011	1	(0.6–1.1)	1	(0.7–1.2)
2007	0.8	(0.6–1.0)	0.9	(0.6–1.1)
2008	0.8	(0.6–1.1)	0.8	(0.6–1.1)
2009	0.8	(0.5–1.0)	0.8	(0.5–1.0)
2010	0.7		0.7	

VIH: Human immunodeficiency virus; OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; IDU: injecting drug use; hetero: heterosexual contact; NA: not available.

^a Asturias, Balearic Islands, Canary Islands, Catalonia, Ceuta, Extremadura, Galicia, La Rioja, Madrid, Navarre, and Basque Country.

^b Multivariable logistic regression, OR and 95% CIs adjusted for all listed variables and reporting Autonomous Region.

32.7%, and the proportion of LP from 48.1% to 50.0%. On the other hand, since the SINIVIH has been implemented only recently in some of the participating AR, it is possible that some cases reported as new HIV diagnoses in the study period were actually previously diagnosed patients falsely classified as new due to the lack of an established HIV case registry; if this were the case, both AD and LP may be overestimated in this study. Finally, duplicates within each autonomous region have been eliminated but this is not the case with those within autonomous regions. We think that between 5–10% of registries could be duplicated, in which case the incidence of new HIV diagnoses would be overestimated; however, it is not clear how this would affect late presentation and factors associated with it. Nevertheless we expect to be able to eliminate duplicates within autonomous regions in the near future.

In summary, this study shows that one out of two new HIV diagnoses in Spain presents late for care, and that some sub-populations are more vulnerable than others to this problem. Recently, guidelines for scaling-up HIV testing have been published by some European countries and the ECDC.³² In Spain, a working group aiming to accomplish a similar task, including appropriate linkage to HIV care, has been appointed. Hopefully, implementation of guidelines in the near future will help to decrease late access to HIV diagnosis and care. Finally, enhanced surveillance, coupled with implementation of SINIVIH in the whole country, is essential to monitor guidelines implementation and provide better information on LP and its determinants at both national and international levels.

Editor in charge of the article

Pere Godoy, M.D.

Authorship contributions

The number of authors is justified by the existence of a person responsible for the new HIV diagnoses system in each autonomous

What is known on this topic?

Advanced disease and late presentation remains a major challenge for the control of HIV. Reducing time between infection and entry to care is a priority. In Spain, epidemiological surveillance on new HIV diagnosis is performed through the Sistema de Información sobre Nuevos Diagnósticos de VIH which collects epidemiological and clinical data on people newly diagnosed.

What does this study add to the literature?

In Spain, data on CD4 count at entry into care were available in almost 90% of new HIV diagnoses notified to the surveillance system in the period 2007–2011. Some socially disadvantaged groups, such as IDU and migrants, were more likely to have missing data on CD4 count, suggesting that they might have problems in accessing care after being diagnosed. In the study one out of two new HIV diagnoses in Spain presented late for care. Male patients, migrants and people infected through heterosexual contact or sharing of injection equipment were more likely to present late for care.

region. All authors participated in data collection and aggregation and quality control of the databases in their corresponding autonomous regions and also performed in-depth reviews of all the drafts of the manuscript. J. Oliva performed data aggregation, quality control, planning and analysis of the overall database, prepared the tables, wrote the first draft of the manuscript and participated in successive drafts up to the final version. M. Diez conceived the study and participated in all drafts up to the final version. S. Galindo contributed to data management and analysis of the overall database and performed in-depth revisions of all the drafts of the manuscript. C. Cevallos, A. Izquierdo, J. Cereijo,

A. Arrillaga, A. Nicolau, A. Fernández, M. Álvarez, J. Castilla, E. Martínez, I. López and N. Vives carried out data collection and aggregation and quality control in the databases of their autonomous regions and performed in-depth revisions of all the drafts of the manuscript. All authors have reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

None.

Conflicts of interest

None.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank all the health professionals that reported cases and contributed in one way or another to improving the quality of the data. The authors also thank Kathy Fitch for her review of the English translation.

References

1. Sabin CA, Smith CJ, Gumley H, et al. Late presenters in the era of highly active antiretroviral therapy: uptake of and responses to antiretroviral therapy. *AIDS*. 2004;18:2145–51.
2. Krentz HB, Auld MC, Gill MJ. The high cost of medical care for patients who present late (CD4 <200 cells/microL) with HIV infection. *HIV Med*. 2004;5:93–8.
3. Treating HIV-infected people with antiretrovirals protects partners from infection. Findings result from NIH-funded international study HPTN 052. National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID). National Institutes of Health. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2011. Last updated May 12, 2011. Available at: <http://www.niaid.nih.gov/news/newsreleases/2011/Pages/HPTN052.aspx> [accessed June 2011].
4. Del Romero J, Castilla J, Hernando V, et al. Combined antiretroviral treatment and heterosexual transmission of HIV-1: cross sectional and prospective cohort study. *BMJ*. 2010;340:c2205.
5. Marks G, Crepaz N, Janssen RS. Estimating sexual transmission of HIV from persons aware and unaware that they are infected with the virus in the USA. *AIDS*. 2006;20:1447–50.
6. Girardi E, Sabin CA, Monforte AD. Late diagnosis of HIV infection: epidemiological features, consequences and strategies to encourage earlier testing. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2007;46 Suppl 1:S3–8.
7. Antinori A, Coenens T, Costagliola D, et al. Late presentation of HIV infection: a consensus definition. *HIV Med*. 2011;12:61–4.
8. Sabin CA, Schwenk A, Johnson MA, et al. Late diagnosis in the HAART era: proposed common definitions and associations with mortality. *AIDS*. 2010;24:723–7.
9. British HIV Association, British Association of Sexual Health, HIV British Infection Society. UK national guidelines for HIV testing 2008. London: British HIV Association; 2008. Available at: <http://www.bhiva.org/files/file1031097.pdf>
10. Branson BM, Handsfield HH, Lampe MA, et al. Revised recommendations for HIV testing of adults, adolescents, and pregnant women in health-care settings. *MMWR Recomm Rep*. 2006;55:1–17.
11. Secretaría del Plan Nacional sobre el Sida. Plan multisectorial frente al VIH y el sida. España 2008–2012. Madrid: Ministerio de Sanidad y Consumo; 2008.
12. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control/WHO, Region Office for Europe. HIV/AIDS surveillance in Europe 2011. Stockholm: European Centre for Diseases Prevention and Control; 2012.
13. Oliva J, Galindo S, Vives N, et al. Delayed diagnosis of HIV infection in Spain. *Enferm Infect Microbiol Clin*. 2010;28:583–9.
14. Commission decision of 28 April 2008 amending decision 2002/253/EC laying down case definitions for reporting communicable diseases to the Community network under Decision No 2119/98/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council. Official Journal of the European Union; 2008 6-18-2008.
15. Mugavero MJ, Castellano C, Edelman D, et al. Late diagnosis of HIV infection: the role of age and sex. *Am J Med*. 2007;120:370–3.
16. Wong KH, Lee SS, Low KH, et al. Temporal trend and factors associated with late HIV diagnosis in Hong Kong, a low HIV prevalence locality. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2003;17:461–9.
17. Deblonde J, Claeys P, Temmerman M. Antenatal HIV screening in Europe: a review of policies. *Eur J Public Health*. 2007;17:414–8.
18. Health Protection Agency. CD4 surveillance scheme 2008; 2009. Available at: http://www.hpa.org.uk/web/HPAwebFile/HPAweb_C/1203084352418
19. Borghi V, Girardi E, Belletti S, et al. Late presenters in an HIV surveillance system in Italy during the period 1992–2006. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2008;49:282–6.
20. Castilla J, Lorenzo JM, Izquierdo A, et al. Characteristics and trends of newly diagnosed HIV-infections, 2000–2004. *Gac Sanit*. 2006;20:442–8.
21. Delpierre C, Cuzin L, Lauwers-Cances V, et al. High-Risk groups for late diagnosis of HIV infection: a need for rethinking testing policy in the general population. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2006;20:838–47.
22. Girardi E, Aloisi MS, Arici C, et al. Delayed presentation and late testing for HIV: demographic and behavioral risk factors in a multicenter study in Italy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2004;36:951–9.
23. Sobrino-Vegas P, García-San ML, Caro-Murillo AM, et al. Delayed diagnosis of HIV infection in a multicenter cohort: prevalence, risk factors, response to HAART and impact on mortality. *Curr HIV Res*. 2009;7:224–30.
24. Teira R, Suárez I, Santamaría Jáuregui JM, et al. Delayed diagnosis of HIV infection in the Spanish VACH cohort [1997–2002]. *Gac Sanit*. 2007;21:66–9.
25. Thanawuth N, Chongsuvivatwong V. Late HIV diagnosis and delay in CD4 count measurement among HIV-infected patients in Southern Thailand. *AIDS Care*. 2008;20:43–50.
26. De la Fuente L, Bravo MJ, Barrio G, et al. Lessons from the history of the human immunodeficiency virus/acquired immunodeficiency syndrome epidemic among Spanish drug injectors. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2003;37 Suppl 5:S410–5.
27. Castilla J, Sobrino P, De la Fuente L, et al. Late diagnosis of HIV infection in the era of highly active antiretroviral therapy: consequences for AIDS incidence. *AIDS*. 2002;16:1945–51.
28. Delpierre C, Dray-Spira R, Cuzin L, et al. Correlates of late HIV diagnosis: implications for testing policy. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2007;18:312–7.
29. Burns FM, Imrie JY, Nazroo J, et al. Why the(y) wait? Key informant understandings of factors contributing to late presentation and poor utilization of HIV health and social care services by African migrants in Britain. *AIDS Care*. 2007;19:102–8.
30. Yazdanpanah Y, Lange J, Gerstoft J, et al. Earlier testing for HIV – how do we prevent late presentation? *Antivir Ther*. 2010;15 Suppl 1:17–24.
31. Cuadra CB. Right of access to health care for undocumented migrants in EU: a comparative study of national policies. *Eur J Public Health*. 2012;22:267–71.
32. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. HIV testing: increasing uptake and effectiveness in the European Union. Stockholm: ECDC; 2010.