

Available online at [www.sciencedirect.com](http://www.sciencedirect.com)

Discrete Mathematics 308 (2008) 3565–3573

DISCRETE  
MATHEMATICS[www.elsevier.com/locate/disc](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/disc)

# Multicoloring and Mycielski construction

Wensong Lin

Department of Mathematics, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, PR China

Received 28 October 2005; received in revised form 21 June 2007; accepted 5 July 2007

Available online 13 August 2007

## Abstract

The generalized Mycielskians of graphs (also known as cones over graphs) are the natural generalization of the Mycielskians of graphs (which were first introduced by Mycielski in 1955). Given a graph  $G$  and any integer  $p \geq 0$ , one can transform  $G$  into a new graph  $\mu_p(G)$ , the  $p$ -Mycielskian of  $G$ . In this paper, we study the  $k$ th chromatic numbers  $\chi_k$  of Mycielskians and generalized Mycielskians of graphs. We show that  $\chi_k(G) + 1 \leq \chi_k(\mu(G)) \leq \chi_k(G) + k$ , where both upper and lower bounds are attainable. We then investigate the  $k$ th chromatic number of Mycielskians of cycles and determine the  $k$ th chromatic number of  $p$ -Mycielskian of a complete graph  $K_n$  for any integers  $k \geq 1$ ,  $p \geq 0$  and  $n \geq 2$ . Finally, we prove that if a graph  $G$  is  $a/b$ -colorable then the  $p$ -Mycielskian of  $G$ ,  $\mu_p(G)$ , is  $(at + b^{p+1})/bt$ -colorable, where  $t = \sum_{i=0}^p (a-b)^i b^{p-i}$ . And thus obtain graphs  $G$  with  $m(G)$  grows exponentially with the order of  $G$ , where  $m(G)$  is the minimal denominator of a  $a/b$ -coloring of  $G$  with  $\chi_f(G) = a/b$ .

© 2007 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

**Keywords:**  $k$ -Tuple coloring;  $a/b$ -Coloring; Fractional chromatic number; Homomorphism; Mycielskian;  $p$ -Mycielskian; Kneser graph

## 1. Introduction

A  $k$ -tuple coloring of a graph  $G$  is an assignment of  $k$  distinct colors to each vertex of  $G$  so that adjacent vertices receive no colors in common. The  $k$ th chromatic number of  $G$ , denoted by  $\chi_k(G)$ , is the smallest number of colors needed to give  $G$  a  $k$ -tuple coloring. Clearly  $\chi_1(G)$  is the ordinary chromatic number of  $G$ .

Given two positive integers  $a$  and  $b$  with  $b \leq a$ , a  $a/b$ -coloring is a mapping which assigns each vertex of  $G$  a  $b$ -element subsets of  $\{1, 2, \dots, a\}$  in such a way that adjacent vertices are assigned disjoint subsets. A graph  $G$  is  $a/b$ -colorable if  $G$  has a  $a/b$ -coloring. The fractional chromatic number of  $G$ , denoted by  $\chi_f(G)$ , is the infimum of all fractions  $a/b$  such that  $G$  is  $a/b$ -colorable. The infimum is always achieved so that  $\chi_f(G)$  is always rational. Following is a different but equivalent definition of the fractional chromatic number of a graph  $G$ .

A mapping  $c$  from the collection  $\Gamma$  of independent sets of a graph  $G$  to the interval  $[0, 1]$  is a fractional coloring if for every vertex  $x$  of  $G$  we have  $\sum_{S \in \Gamma, x \in S} c(S) \geq 1$ . The value of a fractional coloring  $c$  is  $\sum_{S \in \Gamma} c(S)$ . The fractional chromatic number  $\chi_f(G)$  of  $G$  is the infimum of the values of fractional colorings of  $G$ . There are several equivalent definitions of the fractional chromatic number of a graph, see [12,13].

A fractional clique of a graph  $G$  is a mapping  $g : V(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that for any independent set  $S$  of vertices in  $V(G)$ ,  $\sum_{v \in S} g(v) \leq 1$ . The sum  $\sum_{v \in V(G)} g(v)$  is called the value of the fractional clique  $g$  of  $G$ , denoted by  $v_g(G)$ .

☆ Project 10671033 supported by NSFC, Project XJ0607230 supported by Southeast University Science Foundation.  
E-mail address: [wslin@seu.edu.cn](mailto:wslin@seu.edu.cn).

The *fractional clique number*  $\omega_f(G)$  of  $G$  is equal to  $\sup\{v_g(G)\}$ , where the supremum is taken over all fractional cliques  $g$  of  $G$ . Also, the supremum in the definition is attainable.

It is not difficult to see that the linear programming computing  $\omega_f(G)$  is actually the dual of that computing  $\chi_f(G)$ . Thus, by the duality theorem of linear programming,  $\omega_f(G) = \chi_f(G)$ .

Let  $G$  be a graph with vertex set  $V^0 = \{v_1^0, v_2^0, \dots, v_n^0\}$  and edge set  $E^0$ . Given an integer  $p \geq 1$  the  $p$ -Mycielskian of  $G$ , denoted by  $\mu_p(G)$ , has vertex set

$$V^0 \cup V^1 \cup V^2 \cup \dots \cup V^p \cup \{u\},$$

where  $V^i = \{v_j^i : v_j^0 \in V^0\}$  is the  $i$ th distinct copy of  $V^0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ , and edge set

$$E^0 \cup \left( \bigcup_{i=0}^{p-1} \{v_j^i v_{j'}^{i+1} : v_j^0 v_{j'}^0 \in E^0\} \right) \cup \{v_j^p u : v_j^p \in V^p\}.$$

We define  $\mu_0(G)$  to be the graph obtained from  $G$  by adding a universal vertex  $u$ . When  $p = 1$ ,  $\mu_1(G)$  of a graph  $G$  is exactly the Mycielskian of  $G$  which was first introduced by Mycielski [19] in 1955.

The Mycielskians of graphs were studied in many papers [1,2,4–7,10,11,15,18,19]. The generalized Mycielski's graphs were also studied in [14,16,17], and in [22,23] under the name cones over graphs.

Larsen et al. [15] showed that  $\chi_f(\mu(G)) = \chi_f(G) + (1/\chi_f(G))$  for any graph  $G$ . Tardif [23] then generalized this result, he proved that, for any graph  $G$  and any nonnegative integer  $p$ ,  $\chi_f(\mu_p(G)) = \chi_f(G) + (1/(\sum_{k=0}^p (\chi_f(G) - 1)^k))$ . Tardif's proof is based on the second definition of the fractional chromatic number and the definition of the fractional clique.

Let  $m(G)$  denote the minimal denominator of a  $a/b$ -coloring of  $G$  with  $\chi_f(G) = a/b$ . It was shown by Fisher [5] that  $m(G)$  may not be the denominator of the minimal fractional representation of  $\chi_f(G)$ , though it is always a multiple of this denominator. How large can  $m(G)$  be? Let  $n$  denote the vertex number of a graph  $G$ . Chvátal et al. [3] proved that  $m(G) \leq n^{n/2}$ . This seems vastly overestimate  $m(G)$ . However, they also gave examples where  $m(G)$  is asymptotically  $e^{\sqrt{nl \ln(n)}/2}$ . And Fisher [5] gave examples where  $m(G)$  is asymptotically  $\lambda^n$  ( $\lambda \approx 1.34619$ ) by using Larsen's result [15] mentioned above.

For two integers  $i$  and  $j$  with  $i \leq j$ , by  $[i, j]$  we denote the set of integers  $i, i + 1, \dots, j$ .

Chromatic number, fractional chromatic number and circular chromatic number of Mycielskians and generalized Mycielskians of graphs have been studied extensively. So it is natural to investigate the  $k$ th chromatic number of such kind of graphs. In Section 2, we show that  $\chi_k(G) + 1 \leq \chi_k(\mu(G)) \leq \chi_k(G) + k$  and give examples for which upper or lower bound is attained. We then investigate the  $k$ th chromatic number of Mycielskians of cycles in Section 3. Section 4 determines the  $k$ th chromatic number of  $p$ -Mycielskian of a complete graph  $K_n$  for any integers  $k \geq 1, p \geq 0$  and  $n \geq 2$ . Finally, in Section 5, we investigate the  $a/b$ -colorings of generalized Mycielskians of graphs. We prove that if  $G$  is  $a/b$ -colorable, then  $\mu_p(G)$  is  $(at + b^{p+1})/bt$ -colorable, where  $t = \sum_{i=0}^p (a - b)^i b^{p-i}$ . Using this result, we then easily get infinitely many graph sequences whose  $m(G)$  grows exponentially with the order of  $G$ . The exact growth rates are also given.

## 2. Bounds for $k$ th chromatic numbers of Mycielskians

Let  $I^m$  denote the set of all positive integers not greater than  $m$  and  $I_k^m$  the family of all subsets of  $I^m$  of cardinality  $k$ . The *Kneser graph*  $G_{m,k}$  has  $I_k^m$  as its vertex set and two vertices are adjacent if and only if the corresponding subsets are disjoint. Suppose  $G$  and  $H$  are graphs. A *homomorphism* from  $G$  to  $H$  is a mapping  $f$  from  $V(G)$  to  $V(H)$  such that  $f(x)f(y) \in E(H)$  whenever  $xy \in E(G)$ . If there is a homomorphism from  $G$  to  $H$ , we say  $G$  is *homomorphic* to  $H$ . If  $G$  is homomorphic to  $H$  and  $H$  is homomorphic to  $G$  then  $G$  and  $H$  are called *hom-equivalent*. A  $k$ -tuple coloring of  $G$  with  $m$  colors can be viewed as a homomorphism from  $G$  to  $G_{m,k}$  (see [21]). And  $\chi_k(G)$  is just the smallest integer  $m$  for which  $G$  is homomorphic to  $G_{m,k}$ . It is clear that if  $G$  is homomorphic to  $H$  then  $\chi_k(G) \leq \chi_k(H)$ , and if  $G$  is hom-equivalent to  $H$  then  $\chi_k(G) = \chi_k(H)$ .

It was proved in [12] that  $\chi_f(G_{m,k}) = m/k, (k \geq 1, m \geq 2k)$ . We now can reformulate the fractional chromatic number of a graph  $G$  as

$$\chi_f(G) = \inf\{m/k : G \text{ is homomorphic to } G_{m,k}\}.$$

For simplicity, we write  $\mu_1(G)$  as  $\mu(G)$ . Mycielski [19] proves that  $\chi(\mu(G)) = \chi(G) + 1$ . And it is obvious that  $\chi_k(\mu_0(G)) = \chi_k(G) + k$  for any  $k \geq 1$ . Then what is about  $\chi_k(\mu(G))$ ? The following theorem answers this question.

**Theorem 1.** For any graph  $G$  and any integer  $k \geq 1$ ,  $\chi_k(G) + 1 \leq \chi_k(\mu(G)) \leq \chi_k(G) + k$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $\chi_k(G) = m$ . Let  $c : V(G) \rightarrow I_k^m$  be a  $k$ -tuple coloring of  $G$ . We define a  $k$ -tuple coloring of  $\mu(G)$   $h : V(\mu(G)) \rightarrow I_k^{m+k}$  as follows: for each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $h(v_j^0) = h(v_j^1) = c(v_j^0)$  and  $h(u) = \{m + 1, m + 2, \dots, m + k\}$ . It is easy to see that  $h$  is a proper  $k$ -tuple coloring of  $\mu(G)$ . Hence  $\chi_k(\mu(G)) \leq \chi_k(G) + k$ .

On the other hand, if  $h$  is any proper  $k$ -tuple coloring of  $\mu(G)$ , let  $k_0$  be a color in  $h(u)$ , we define a  $k$ -tuple coloring  $c$  of  $G$  as follows: for each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , let

$$c(v_j^0) = \begin{cases} h(v_j^0) & \text{if } k_0 \notin h(v_j^0), \\ h(v_j^1) & \text{if } k_0 \in h(v_j^0). \end{cases}$$

It is straightforward to check that  $c$  is a proper  $k$ -tuple coloring of  $G$  that does not use the color  $k_0$ . So each  $k$ -tuple coloring of  $G$  uses fewer colors than that of  $\mu(G)$ . It follows that  $\chi_k(\mu(G)) \geq \chi_k(G) + 1$ .  $\square$

We would like to indicate that both lower and upper bounds are attainable. Theorem 7 in Section 4 shows that  $\chi_k(\mu_p(K_n)) = nk + \lceil (n - 2)k / ((n - 1)^{p+1} - 1) \rceil$  for any integers  $p \geq 0, n \geq 3$  and  $k \geq 1$ . When  $p = 1$ , we have  $\chi_k(\mu(K_n)) = kn + \lceil k/n \rceil$  for any  $k \geq 1$  and  $n \geq 3$ . If  $n \geq k$  then  $\chi_k(\mu(K_n)) = kn + 1$ , attaining the lower bound  $\chi_k(K_n) + 1$  in Theorem 1.

Next we show that, for  $m \geq 5$ ,  $\chi_2(\mu(G_{m,2}))$  attains the upper bound  $\chi_2(G_{m,2}) + 2$  in Theorem 1. We first deal with the graphs  $\mu(G_{5,2})$  and  $\mu(G_{6,2})$ .

**Lemma 2.**  $\chi_2(\mu(G_{5,2})) = 7$ .

**Proof.** By Theorem 1,  $6 = \chi_2(G_{5,2}) + 1 \leq \chi_2(\mu(G_{5,2})) \leq \chi_2(G_{5,2}) + 2 = 7$ . Suppose to the contrary that the lemma is not true, then  $\chi_2(\mu(G_{5,2})) = 6$ . Let  $h$  be a 2-tuple coloring of  $\mu(G_{5,2})$  using colors 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6. Denote by  $v_{ij}^0$  ( $\{i, j\} \in I_2^5$  and  $i < j$ ) the vertex of  $G_{5,2}$ . Then the vertices of  $\mu(G_{5,2})$  are  $v_{ij}^0, v_{ij}^1$  ( $\{i, j\} \in I_2^5$  and  $i < j$ ), and  $u$ . We first make two observations.

**Observation 1.** For any odd cycle of  $\mu(G_{5,2})$  contained in  $V^0$ , there exists a vertex on this cycle such that the color set it receives is disjoint from  $h(u)$ .

Without loss of generality, assume  $h(u) = \{1, 2\}$ . Let  $C$  be an odd cycle in  $V^0$ . If, for all vertices  $v$  in  $C$ ,  $h(v)$  intersects  $h(u)$ , then it is easy to see that  $C$  is bipartite, a contradiction. Thus, Observation 1 holds.

**Observation 2.** Let  $v$  be any vertex in the graph  $G_{5,2}$ . We notice that any vertex that is not in  $N(v)$  is adjacent to some vertex of  $N(v)$ .

By Observation 1, there exists some vertex in  $V^0$ , say  $v_{12}^0$ , such that  $h(v_{12}^0) \cap h(u) = \emptyset$ . Without loss of generality, assume  $h(v_{12}^0) = \{5, 6\}$ . Then it is clear that  $h(v_{34}^1) = h(v_{35}^1) = h(v_{45}^1) = \{3, 4\}$ , and  $h(v_{34}^0), h(v_{35}^0), h(v_{45}^0)$  are contained in  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . By Observation 2 and the structure of  $\mu(G_{5,2})$ , if  $ij \neq 12, 34, 35, 45$ , then  $v_{ij}^0$  is adjacent to some vertex of  $\{v_{34}^1, v_{35}^1, v_{45}^1\}$ , and so  $h(v_{ij}^0) \subseteq \{1, 2, 5, 6\}$ . Since  $u$  is adjacent to each vertex of  $V^1$ , we have  $h(v_{ij}^1) \subseteq \{3, 4, 5, 6\}$ .

Notice that  $C_6 = v_{13}^0 v_{24}^0 v_{15}^0 v_{23}^0 v_{14}^0 v_{25}^0 v_{13}^0$  is a 6-cycle of  $\mu(G_{5,2})$ , and  $v_{15}^0 v_{34}^0, v_{14}^0 v_{35}^0, v_{13}^0 v_{45}^0, v_{25}^0 v_{34}^0, v_{24}^0 v_{35}^0, v_{23}^0 v_{45}^0$  are edges of  $\mu(G_{5,2})$ . If  $h(v_{13}^0) = \{5, 6\}$  (or  $\{1, 2\}$ ), then it is easy to see that  $h(v_{13}^0) = h(v_{14}^0) = h(v_{15}^0) = \{5, 6\}$  (or  $\{1, 2\}$ ) and  $h(v_{23}^0) = h(v_{24}^0) = h(v_{25}^0) = \{1, 2\}$  (or  $\{5, 6\}$ ). It follows that  $h(v_{34}^0) = h(v_{35}^0) = h(v_{45}^0) = \{3, 4\}$ . From Observation 2 and the structure of  $\mu(G_{5,2})$ , we have  $h(v_{ij}^1) = \{5, 6\}$  ( $ij \neq 34, 35, 45$ ). However, this is a contradiction since  $v_{13}^0 v_{24}^1$  and  $v_{23}^0 v_{15}^1$  are edges of  $\mu(G_{5,2})$ . Thus,  $h(v_{13}^0) \neq \{5, 6\}$  or  $\{1, 2\}$ . This implies that  $|h(v_{13}^0) \cap \{1, 2\}| = |h(v_{13}^0) \cap \{5, 6\}| = 1$ . Then it follows that, for each vertex  $v_{ij}^0 \in C_6$ ,  $|h(v_{ij}^0) \cap \{1, 2\}| = |h(v_{ij}^0) \cap \{5, 6\}| = 1$ .

If  $h(v_{34}^0) = h(v_{35}^0) = h(v_{45}^0) = \{3, 4\}$ , then similar to the above argument,  $h(v_{ij}^1) = \{5, 6\}$  for  $ij \neq 34, 35, 45$ . This is a contradiction since  $v_{13}^0$  is adjacent to  $v_{24}^1$  and  $|h(v_{13}^0) \cap \{5, 6\}| = 1$ . So, there exists some vertex  $v_{pq}^0 \in \{v_{34}^0, v_{35}^0, v_{45}^0\}$  such that  $|h(v_{pq}^0) \cap h(u)| \neq 0$ . Note that there is a 5-cycle among the vertices of  $\{v_{ij}^0 | \{i, j\} \in I_2^5, ij \neq 12, 34, 35, 45\} \cup \{v_{pq}^0\}$ . This contradicts Observation 1. And the lemma follows.  $\square$

It is well known that  $\chi(G_{m,k}) = m - 2k + 2$ , see [9]. We shall use this fact in the proof of the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.**  $\chi_2(\mu(G_{6,2})) = 8$ .

**Proof.** By Theorem 1,  $7 = \chi_2(G_{6,2}) + 1 \leq \chi_2(\mu(G_{6,2})) \leq \chi_2(G_{6,2}) + 2 = 8$ . Suppose to the contrary that the lemma is not true, then  $\chi_2(\mu(G_{6,2})) = 7$ . Let  $h$  be a 2-tuple coloring of  $\mu(G_{6,2})$  using colors 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7. Without loss of generality, assume  $h(u) = \{1, 2\}$ .

Observe that every two adjacent vertices  $v^0$  and  $w^0$  in  $V^0$  have a common neighbor in  $V^0$ , say  $x^0$ . It follows that  $h(v^0)$  or  $h(w^0)$  intersects  $h(u)$ , since otherwise the vertex  $x^1$  cannot be colored properly. Thus, we can conclude that the vertices  $v^0$  in  $V^0$  with  $h(v^0) \cap h(u) = \emptyset$  form an independent set. Therefore,  $V^0$  can be partitioned into three independent sets:  $\{v^0 : h(v^0) \cap h(u) = \emptyset\}$ ,  $\{v^0 : 1 \in h(v^0) \cap h(u)\}$ , and  $\{v^0 : h(v^0) \cap h(u) = \{2\}\}$ . This is a contradiction since  $\chi(G_{6,2}) = 4$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** *Suppose  $m$  is an integer greater than or equal to 5. Then  $\chi_2(\mu(G_{m,2})) = m + 2$ .*

**Proof.** By induction on  $m$ . According to Lemmas 2 and 3, the theorem is true for  $m = 5, 6$ . Assume  $\chi_2(\mu(G_{m-2,2})) = m$  for  $m \geq 7$ . We shall prove by contradiction that  $\chi_2(\mu(G_{m,2})) = m + 2$ . Suppose to the contrary it is  $m + 1$ . Let  $c$  be a 2-tuple coloring of  $\mu(G_{m,2})$  using  $m + 1$  colors with  $c(u) = \{1, 2\}$ . It can be shown that there is a vertex  $v$  in  $V^0$  such that  $c(v)$  is disjoint from  $\{1, 2\}$ , for otherwise  $G_{m,2}$  is bipartite.

Without loss of generality, assume  $c(v) = \{m, m + 1\}$ . Note, the neighborhood of  $v$  in  $G_{m,2}$ , induces a subgraph isomorphic to  $G_{m-2,2}$ . The restriction of  $c$  on the subgraph induced by the neighborhood of  $v$  in  $\mu(G_{m,2})$  and  $u$  is isomorphic to  $\mu(G_{m-2,2})$  using colors  $\{1, 2, \dots, m - 1\}$ , contradicting the inductive hypothesis.  $\square$

Since  $G_{4,2}$  is the disjoint union of three  $K_2$ , it is clear that  $\chi_2(\mu(G_{4,2})) = 5 < 6 = \chi_2(G_{4,2}) + 2$ . Thus, the condition  $m \geq 5$  in Theorem 4 is necessary.

It will be very interesting to get results similar to Theorem 4 for  $\chi_k(\mu(G_{m,k}))$  with  $k > 2$ .

### 3. The $k$ th chromatic number of $\mu(C_{2q+1})$

This section studies the  $k$ th chromatic number of Mycielskians of cycles. The  $k$ th chromatic number of odd cycles were determined by Stahl [21].

**Lemma 5 (Stahl [21]).** *Let  $k$  and  $q$  be positive integers. Then  $\chi_k(C_{2q+1}) = 2k + 1 + \lfloor (k - 1)/q \rfloor$ .*

It is quite easy to determine the  $k$ th chromatic number of  $\mu(G)$  for the Mycielskian of even cycle  $C_{2q}$  since  $\mu(C_{2q})$  is hom-equivalent to  $C_5$  and  $\chi_k(C_5)$  is determined in Lemma 5.

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $k$  and  $q$  be positive integers. Then  $\chi_k(\mu(C_{2q+1})) = 2k + 1 + \lceil k/2 \rceil$  if  $k$  is even and  $q \geq k$  or  $k$  is odd and  $k \leq q \leq (3k - 1)/2$ .*

**Proof.** We first show that if  $q \geq k$  then  $\chi_k(\mu(C_{2q+1})) \leq 2k + 1 + \lceil k/2 \rceil$ . Since  $\mu(C_{2q+1})$  is homomorphic to  $\mu(C_{2k+1})$  when  $q \geq k$ , we only need to show that  $\chi_k(\mu(C_{2k+1})) \leq 2k + 1 + \lceil k/2 \rceil$ . We do this by giving a  $k$ -tuple coloring  $f$  of  $\mu(C_{2k+1})$  using colors  $0, 1, \dots, 2k + \lceil k/2 \rceil$ .

Let  $C_{2k+1} = v_0 v_1 \dots v_{2k} v_0$ . For  $j = 0, 1, \dots, 2k$ , let

$$f(v_j^0) = \{jk, jk + 1, \dots, jk + k - 1\}.$$

The colors which are in the above set are reduced modulo  $2k + 1$  and lie in the set  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, 2k\}$ . Let  $f(v_j^1)$  be any  $k$ -subset of the following set  $F_j$ ,

$$F_j = (f(v_j^0) \setminus \{0, 1, \dots, \lceil k/2 \rceil - 1, k, k + 1, \dots, k + \lceil k/2 \rceil - 1\}) \cup \{2k + 1, 2k + 2, \dots, 2k + \lceil k/2 \rceil\},$$

and let  $f(u)$  be any  $k$ -subset of  $\{0, 1, \dots, \lceil k/2 \rceil - 1, k, k + 1, \dots, k + \lceil k/2 \rceil - 1\}$ .

We now show that  $f$  is a proper  $k$ -tuple coloring of  $\mu(C_{2k+1})$ . It is easy to see that the restriction of  $f$  on  $V^0$  is a  $k$ -tuple coloring of  $C_{2k+1}$ . From the definition of  $f(v_j^0)$ , it is not difficult to check that  $|F_j| \geq k$  for each  $j \in [0, 2k]$ . On the other hand, we clearly have  $F_j \cap f(v_{j-1}^0) = F_j \cap f(v_{j+1}^0) = \emptyset$ . Hence all vertices  $v_j^1$  are properly colored. Since each  $F_j$  is disjoint from  $\{0, 1, \dots, \lceil k/2 \rceil - 1, k, k + 1, \dots, k + \lceil k/2 \rceil - 1\}$ , the vertex  $u$  is also colored properly.

Next we show that  $\chi_k(\mu(C_{2q+1})) \geq 2k + 1 + \lceil k/2 \rceil$  if  $k$  is even and  $q \geq k$  or  $k$  is odd and  $k \leq q \leq (3k - 1)/2$ . Let  $C_{2q+1} = v_0 v_1 \dots v_{2q} v_0$ . Suppose the contrary that  $\chi_k(\mu(C_{2q+1})) \leq 2k + \lceil k/2 \rceil$ . Let  $h$  be a  $k$ -tuple coloring of  $\mu(C_{2q+1})$  using less than  $2k + 1 + \lceil k/2 \rceil$  colors. Denote by  $C$  the set of colors used by  $h$ . We shall deduce contradictions.

Since  $v_j^0 v_{j+1}^1$  and  $v_{j+1}^1 u$  are edges (where “+” in subscription is taken modulo  $2q + 1$ ) and since we have only  $2k + \lceil k/2 \rceil$  colors,  $|h(v_j^0) \cap h(u)| \geq \lceil k/2 \rceil$ , for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, 2q$ . If there exists some  $j$  such that  $|h(v_j^0) \cap h(u)| > \lceil k/2 \rceil$ , then as  $v_j^0$  is adjacent to  $v_{j+1}^0$  we have  $|h(v_{j+1}^0) \cap h(u)| < \lceil k/2 \rceil$ , a contradiction. Thus,

$$\lceil k/2 \rceil \leq |h(v_j^0) \cap h(u)| \leq \lceil k/2 \rceil, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, 2q. \tag{1}$$

If  $k$  is even then  $|h(v_j^0) \cap h(u)| = k/2$  for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, 2q$ . It follows that  $h(v_{j+2}^0) \cap h(u) = h(v_j^0) \cap h(u)$  for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, 2q$ . This is a contradiction since  $v_0^0$  is adjacent to  $v_{2q}^0$ . Thus,  $\chi_k(\mu(C_{2q+1})) \geq 2k + 1 + \lceil k/2 \rceil$  if  $k$  is even and  $q \geq k$ .

Next we deal with the case  $k$  is odd and  $k \leq q \leq (3k - 1)/2$ . By Theorem 1 and Lemma 5, the theorem is true for  $k = 1$ . So we assume  $k \geq 3$ .

It is well known that  $\chi_f(C_{2q+1}) = 2 + 1/q$ . Larsen et al. [15] showed that  $\chi_f(\mu(G)) = \chi_f(G) + (1/\chi_f(G))$  for any graph  $G$ . So  $\chi_f(\mu(C_{2q+1})) = 2 + (1/q) + (1/(2 + 1/q)) = 2 + ((q^2 + 2q + 1)/(2q^2 + q))$ . According to the definition of the fractional chromatic number of a graph, we know that  $\chi_k(G)/k \geq \chi_f(G)$  for any positive integer  $k$ . Thus,  $\chi_k(\mu(C_{2q+1})) \geq k\chi_f(\mu(C_{2q+1})) = 2k + ((q^2 + 2q + 1)k/(2q^2 + q))$ . If  $k \leq q \leq (3k - 1)/2$  then it is easy to check that  $2k + ((q^2 + 2q + 1)k/(2q^2 + q)) > 2k + ((k + 1)/2)$ . It follows that  $\chi_k(\mu(C_{2q+1})) > 2k + ((k + 1)/2)$ . Since  $\chi_k(G)$  is an integer, we conclude that  $\chi_k(\mu(C_{2q+1})) \geq 2k + 1 + ((k + 1)/2)$ .  $\square$

Since  $\mu(C_{2q+1})$  contains a five cycle  $C_5$ , we have  $\chi_k(\mu(C_{2q+1})) \geq \chi_k(C_5) = 2k + 1 + \lfloor (k - 1)/2 \rfloor$  (by Lemma 5). If  $k$  is odd then  $\chi_k(\mu(C_{2q+1})) \geq 2k + ((k + 1)/2)$ . Note that if  $q \geq k$  then  $\chi_k(\mu(C_{2q+1})) \leq \chi_k(\mu(C_{2k+1})) = 2k + 1 + ((k + 1)/2)$ . We now conclude that if  $k$  is odd and  $q \geq ((3k + 1)/2)$  then  $\chi_k(\mu(C_{2q+1})) \in \{2k + ((k + 1)/2), 2k + 1 + ((k + 1)/2)\}$ . However, it seems difficult to decide if  $\chi_k(\mu(C_{2q+1})) = 2k + ((k + 1)/2)$ .

By Theorem 7 in the next section, we see that  $\chi_k(\mu(C_3)) = 3k + \lceil k/3 \rceil$ . Therefore, if  $1 \leq q \leq k - 1$  then  $2k + 1 + \lceil k/2 \rceil \leq \chi_k(\mu(C_{2q+1})) \leq 3k + \lceil k/3 \rceil$ . Again it is difficult to determine the exact value for  $\chi_k(\mu(C_{2q+1}))$  when  $1 \leq q \leq k - 1$ .

#### 4. The $k$ th chromatic number of the generalized Mycielskians of complete graphs

In this section, we determine the  $k$ th chromatic number of the generalized Mycielskians of complete graphs. Let  $K_n$  denote the complete graph on  $n$  vertices.

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $p \geq 0, n \geq 2$  and  $k \geq 1$  be integers. Then*

$$\chi_k(\mu_p(K_n)) = \begin{cases} nk + \left\lceil \frac{k}{p+1} \right\rceil & \text{if } n = 2, \\ nk + \left\lceil \frac{(n-2)k}{(n-1)^{p+1} - 1} \right\rceil & \text{if } n \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** If  $p = 0$  then the conclusion is obviously right. So we assume  $p \geq 1$ . Suppose  $c$  is a proper  $k$ -tuple coloring of  $\mu_p(K_n)$ . Let  $C$  denote the set of all colors used by  $c$ . For a vertex  $v$  of  $\mu_p(K_n)$ ,  $c(v)$  will denote the set of colors

assigned to  $v$  by  $c$ . For  $i = 0, 1, \dots, p$ , denote by  $c(V^i)$  the set of all colors that are assigned to vertices of  $V^i$  by  $c$ . Let  $A_i = C \setminus c(V^i)$  and  $a_i$  the cardinality of  $A_i$ .

Let  $m = nk + \lceil (n-2)k / ((n-1)^{p+1} - 1) \rceil$  if  $n \geq 3$  and let  $m = nk + \lceil k / (p+1) \rceil$  if  $n = 2$ . We first show that  $m$  colors are enough to give a  $k$ -tuple coloring of  $\mu_p(K_n)$ . We construct such a  $k$ -tuple coloring  $c$  of  $\mu_p(K_n)$  using the given  $m$  colors as follows. First assign  $k$  distinct colors  $c_{j,1}, c_{j,2}, \dots, c_{j,k}$  to each vertex  $v_j^0, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , such that no two vertices of  $V^0$  share a common color. Clearly  $a_0 = m - nk$ . We then assign the color set  $A_0 \cup \{c_{j,a_0+1}, c_{j,a_0+2}, \dots, c_{j,k}\}$  to each vertex  $v_j^1, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Clearly  $A_1 = \bigcup_{j=1}^n \{c_{j,1}, c_{j,2}, \dots, c_{j,a_0}\}$  and  $a_1 = na_0 = (n-1)a_0 + a_0$ . The vertices of  $V^2$  will be colored in the same pattern, say  $v_j^2 (j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$  is assigned the color set  $A_1 \cup \{c_{j,a_1+1}, c_{j,a_1+2}, \dots, c_{j,k}\}$ . Then  $A_2 = A_0 \cup (\bigcup_{j=1}^n \{c_{j,a_0+1}, c_{j,a_0+2}, \dots, c_{j,a_1}\})$ . Thus,  $a_2 = na_1 - a_1 + a_0 = (n-1)a_1 + a_0$ . In general, suppose  $V^{i-1}$  has been colored and there are  $a_{i-1}$  colors in  $A_{i-1}$  not used in  $V^{i-1}$ . If  $a_{i-1} < k$  then we color each vertex  $v_j^i (j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$  of  $V^i$  with the color set  $A_{i-1} \cup \{c_{j,a_{i-1}+1}, c_{j,a_{i-1}+2}, \dots, c_{j,k}\}$ . From the pattern we color the vertices, we know that, for  $1 \leq i \leq p$ , if  $a_{i-1} < k$  then  $m = nk + a_0 = a_{i-1} + n(k - a_{i-1}) + a_i$  and so  $a_i = (n-1)a_{i-1} + a_0$ . Thus, for  $1 \leq i \leq p$ , if  $a_{i-1} < k$  then

$$\begin{aligned} a_i &= (n-1)a_{i-1} + a_0 \\ &= (n-1)[(n-1)a_{i-2} + a_0] + a_0 \\ &\dots\dots \\ &= (n-1)^{i-1}a_1 + (n-1)^{i-2}a_0 + \dots + (n-1)a_0 + a_0 \\ &= (n-1)^i a_0 + (n-1)^{i-1}a_0 + \dots + (n-1)a_0 + a_0 \\ &= \begin{cases} (i+1)a_0 = (i+1) \left\lceil \frac{k}{p+1} \right\rceil & \text{if } n = 2, \\ \frac{((n-1)^{i+1} - 1)a_0}{(n-2)} = \frac{((n-1)^{i+1} - 1)}{(n-2)} \left\lceil \frac{(n-2)k}{(n-1)^{p+1} - 1} \right\rceil & \text{if } n \geq 3. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, if  $a_{p-1} < k$  then

$$a_p = \begin{cases} (p+1) \left\lceil \frac{k}{p+1} \right\rceil \geq k & \text{if } n = 2, \\ \frac{((n-1)^{p+1} - 1)}{(n-2)} \left\lceil \frac{(n-2)k}{(n-1)^{p+1} - 1} \right\rceil \geq k & \text{if } n \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Let  $i$  be the integer such that  $a_{i-1} < k$  and  $a_i \geq k$ . Clearly  $1 \leq i \leq p$ . Let  $K$  be a  $k$ -subset of  $A_i$  and let  $R$  be a  $k$ -subset of  $C \setminus K$ . By alternatively assigning the color sets  $K$  and  $R$  to vertices in  $V^{i+1}, V^{i+2}, \dots, V^p, \{u\}$ , we get a proper  $k$ -tuple coloring of  $\mu_p(K_n)$ . Thus,  $\chi_k(\mu_p(K_n)) \leq m$ .

Next we shall show that  $\chi_k(\mu_p(K_n)) \geq m$ . Suppose  $c$  is a proper  $k$ -tuple coloring of  $\mu_p(K_n)$ . Let  $c(V^i), A_i$  and  $a_i$  be the same as we defined in the beginning of the proof. For  $i = 0, 1, \dots, p$ , let  $B_i$  and  $D_i$  denote the set of colors each of which is assigned to exactly one vertex of  $V^i$  and the set of colors each of which is assigned to at least two vertices of  $V^i$ , respectively. Clearly  $c(V^i) = B_i \cup D_i$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, p$ .

Note that, in the graph  $\mu_p(K_n)$ , each vertex of  $V^i$  is adjacent to exactly  $n-1$  vertices of  $V^{i-1} (1 \leq i \leq p)$ . It is easy to see that if  $j \in B_{i-1}$  then at most one vertex of  $V^i$  is assigned the color  $j$ , and if  $j \in D_{i-1}$  then  $j \notin c(V^i)$ . However, if  $j \in A_{i-1}$  then each vertex of  $V^i$  may receive the color  $j$ . It follows that  $c(V^i) \subseteq A_{i-1} \cup B_{i-1}$ . Let  $x_1 = |c(V^i) \cap A_{i-1}|$  and  $x_2 = |c(V^i) \cap B_{i-1}|$ . Then  $|c(V^i)| = x_1 + x_2$  and  $0 \leq x_1 \leq a_{i-1}$ . Since each vertex in  $V^i$  receives at least  $k - x_1$  colors from  $B_{i-1}$ , we have  $x_2 \geq n(k - x_1)$ . It follows that  $|c(V^i)| = x_1 + x_2 \geq x_1 + n(k - x_1) \geq a_{i-1} + n(k - a_{i-1})$ . Therefore,  $a_i = |A_i| \leq nk + a_0 - (a_{i-1} + n(k - a_{i-1})) = (n-1)a_{i-1} + a_0$ . By a similar calculation as above, we have  $a_p \leq (p+1)a_0$  if  $n = 2$  and  $a_p \leq (((n-1)^{p+1} - 1)a_0 / (n-2))$  if  $n \geq 3$ . Since  $c$  is a proper  $k$ -tuple coloring of  $\mu_p(K_n)$ , the  $k$  colors of  $c(u)$  cannot be used in  $V^p$ . So  $a_p \geq k$ . Then we must have  $a_0 \geq \lceil k / (p+1) \rceil$  if  $n = 2$  and  $a_0 \geq \lceil (n-2)k / ((n-1)^{p+1} - 1) \rceil$  if  $n \geq 3$ . Thus,  $c$  uses at least  $m$  colors. This proves that  $\chi_k(\mu_p(K_n)) = m$ .  $\square$

Note that  $\mu_p(K_2)$  is exactly the odd cycle  $C_{2p+1}$  ( $p \geq 0$ ), the above theorem implies Lemma 5 (a result in [21]). It will be interesting to determine the  $k$ th chromatic number of  $\mu_p(G_{m,l})$  for  $l > 1$ .

**Remark.** Recently, Pan and Zhu [20] showed that, for any graph  $G$ , if  $p \geq 2\lceil k/s \rceil$  then  $\chi_k(\mu_p(G_{m,k})) \leq m + s$ . This implies that, for any graph  $G$ , if  $p \geq 2k$  then  $\chi_k(\mu_p(G)) \leq \chi_k(G) + 1$ . Thus, if  $p \geq 2k$ , then  $\chi_k(\mu_p(G))$  equals  $\chi_k(G)$  or  $\chi_k(G) + 1$ . They indicated that both values are possible for  $\chi_k(\mu_p(G))$  and gave a sufficient condition under which a graph  $G$  has  $\chi_k(\mu_p(G)) = \chi_k(G)$  for sufficiently large  $p$ .

**5.  $a/b$ -Colorings of generalized Mycielskians**

Tardif [23] proved that, for any graph  $G$  and any nonnegative integer  $p$ ,  $\chi_f(\mu_p(G)) = \chi_f(G) + (1/\sum_{k=0}^p (\chi_f(G) - 1)^k)$ . So if  $\chi_f(G) = a/b$  then  $\chi_f(\mu_p(G)) = ((at + b^{p+1})/bt)$ , where  $t = \sum_{i=0}^p (a - b)^i b^{p-i}$ . However, this does not imply if  $G$  is  $a/b$ -colorable then  $\mu_p(G)$  is  $(at + b^{p+1})/bt$ -colorable, since, as indicated by Fisher [5], we only know that  $m(G)$  is a multiple of the denominator of the minimal fractional representation of  $\chi_f(G)$  but do not know which multiple  $m(G)$  is. The following theorem deals with this question.

**Lemma 8.** *Suppose  $G$  is a graph and  $p$  a nonnegative integer. If  $G$  is  $a/b$ -colorable, then  $\mu_p(G)$  is  $(at + b^{p+1})/bt$ -colorable, where  $t = \sum_{i=0}^p (a - b)^i b^{p-i}$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose  $V(G) = \{v_1^0, v_2^0, \dots, v_n^0\}$  and we have a proper  $a/b$ -coloring of  $G$ . Let the  $a$  colors of the  $a/b$ -coloring of  $G$  are  $1, 2, \dots, a$ , and each vertex  $v_i^0$  of  $G$  has color set  $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_b\}$ . Imagine that each color  $i \in [1, a]$  has  $t$  offspring, namely,  $c_{i1}, c_{i2}, \dots, c_{it}$ . Together with  $b^{p+1}$  new colors  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{b^{p+1}}$ , we have  $at + b^{p+1}$  colors. Following we shall produce a  $(at + b^{p+1})/bt$ -coloring of  $\mu_p(G)$  using these  $at + b^{p+1}$  colors.

We color the vertices of  $\mu_p(G)$  in the order  $V^0, V^1, \dots, V^p, \{u\}$ , and only one of the  $V^i$ 's or  $u$  is colored at each step. Denote by  $A_l$  the set of all colors which are not used in  $V^l$ , and  $a_l$  the cardinality of  $A_l$ ,  $l = 0, 1, \dots, p$ . First assign the color set  $\bigcup_{j=1}^b \{c_{i_j1}, c_{i_j2}, \dots, c_{i_jt}\}$  to each vertex  $v_i^0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Clearly each vertex of  $V^0$  receives  $bt$  colors and  $V^0$  is properly colored. Also it is clear that  $A_0 = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{b^{p+1}}\}$  and  $a_0 = b^{p+1}$ . Now color each vertex  $v_i^1$  of  $V^1$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) with the color set  $A_0 \cup (\bigcup_{j=1}^b \{c_{i_j(b^p+1)}, c_{i_j(b^p+2)}, \dots, c_{i_jt}\})$ . Since  $v_i^1$  and  $v_i^0$  have the same neighborhood in  $V^0$  and that  $V^0$  has been properly colored, we conclude that this coloring of  $V^0 \cup V^1$  is proper. It is obvious that  $A_1 = \bigcup_{i=1}^a \{c_{i1}, c_{i2}, \dots, c_{ib^p}\}$  and  $a_1 = (a_0/b)a = b^p a$ . To each vertex  $v_i^2$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) of  $V^2$ , we assign the color set  $A_1 \cup (\bigcup_{j=1}^b \{c_{i_j(a_1/b)+1}, c_{i_j(a_1/b)+2}, \dots, c_{i_jt}\})$ . Again, this coloring of  $V^0 \cup V^1 \cup V^2$  is proper. Since all colors of  $A_0$  are not used in  $V^2$  and all colors of  $A_1$  are used in  $V^2$ , we have  $a_2 = (a_1/b)a - a_1 + a_0 = (a/b - 1)a_1 + a_0$ . Generally, suppose  $V^{l-1}$  ( $1 \leq l - 1 \leq p - 1$ ) has been colored and there are  $a_{l-1}$  colors of  $A_{l-1}$  not used in  $V^{l-1}$ , where  $a_{l-1} = (a/b - 1)a_{l-2} + a_0$  and  $a_{l-1} < bt$ . We shall color each vertex  $v_i^l$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) of  $V^l$  with the color set  $A_{l-1} \cup (\bigcup_{j=1}^b \{c_{i_j(a_{l-1}/b)+1}, c_{i_j(a_{l-1}/b)+2}, \dots, c_{i_jt}\})$ . At this time  $\bigcup_{j=0}^l V^j$  is properly colored. From the way we color the vertices, we know that, for  $1 \leq l \leq p$ ,  $at + a_0 = a_{l-1} + a(t - a_{l-1}/b) + a_l$  and so  $a_l = (a/b - 1)a_{l-1} + a_0$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} a_l &= \left(\frac{a}{b} - 1\right) a_{l-1} + a_0 \\ &= \left(\frac{a}{b} - 1\right) \left[\left(\frac{a}{b} - 1\right) a_{l-2} + a_0\right] + a_0 \\ &\dots\dots \\ &= \left(\frac{a}{b} - 1\right)^{l-1} a_1 + \left(\frac{a}{b} - 1\right)^{l-2} a_0 + \dots + \left(\frac{a}{b} - 1\right) a_0 + a_0 \\ &= \left(\frac{a}{b} - 1\right)^{l-1} \frac{a}{b} a_0 + \left(\frac{a}{b} - 1\right)^{l-2} a_0 + \dots + \left(\frac{a}{b} - 1\right) a_0 + a_0 \\ &= a_0 \sum_{i=0}^l \left(\frac{a}{b} - 1\right)^i = b \sum_{i=0}^l (a - b)^i b^{p-i} \leq bt. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that if  $l < p$  then  $a_l < bt$  and  $a_p = bt$ . So the coloring process can continue until all vertices in  $V^p$  have been colored. Finally, we can color the vertex  $u$  of  $\mu_p(G)$  with the  $a_p = bt$  colors of  $A_p$ . This gives a  $(at + b^{p+1})/bt$ -coloring of  $\mu_p(G)$ . And the lemma follows.  $\square$

Tardif’s result and Lemma 8 imply the following.

**Theorem 9.** *Suppose  $G$  is a graph and  $p$  a nonnegative integer. If  $\chi_f(G) = a/b$  and  $G$  is  $a/b$ -colorable, then  $\chi_{bt}(\mu_p(G)) = at + b^{p+1}$ , where  $t = \sum_{i=0}^p (a - b)^i b^{p-i}$ .*

**Lemma 10.** *Let  $p$  be any nonnegative integer. If  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ , then  $\gcd(at + b^{p+1}, bt) = 1$ , where  $t = \sum_{i=0}^p (a - b)^i b^{p-i}$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose the lemma is not true. Then for some prime  $k$ , we have  $k|(bt)$  and  $k|(at + b^{p+1})$ . Since  $k$  is prime, either  $k|b$  or  $k|t$ . If  $k|t$ , then  $k|b$  since  $k|(at + b^{p+1})$  and  $k$  is prime. If  $k|b$ , then  $k|(at)$  since  $k|(at + b^{p+1})$ . As  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ , we conclude that  $k|t$ . Thus, in both cases we have  $k|b$  and  $k|t$ . As  $t = b^{p+1} + (a - b)b^p + (a - b)^2 b^{p-1} + \dots + (a - b)^p b + (a - b)^{p+1}$ ,  $k|b$  and  $k|t$  imply that  $k|(a - b)$  and  $k|a$ . This is a contradiction since  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ .  $\square$

Lemma 10 and Tardif’s result imply the following.

**Corollary 11.** *Let  $G$  be a graph and  $p$  a nonnegative integer. Suppose  $\chi_f(G) = a/b$  and  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ . If  $m(G) = b$  then  $m(\mu_p(G)) = bt$ , where  $t = \sum_{i=0}^p (a - b)^i b^{p-i}$ .*

We would like to point out that if  $m(G)$  is not the denominator of the minimal representation of  $\chi_f(G)$  then we can say nothing about  $m(\mu_p(G))$ .

Given two positive integers  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $a > 2b$  and  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ . The graph  $G_a^b$  has vertex set  $\{0, 1, \dots, a - 1\}$  and two vertices  $i$  and  $j$  are adjacent if and only if  $b \leq |i - j| \leq a - b$ . It is well known that  $\chi_f(G_a^b) = a/b$  (see [8]). By assigning each color  $i \in [1, a]$  to each vertex in  $\{(i - 1)b, (i - 1)b + 1, \dots, ib\}$  (The colors which are in this set are reduced modulo  $a$ ), we get a  $a/b$ -coloring of  $G_a^b$ . Thus,  $\chi_b(G_a^b) = a$ . Now for  $p = 0, 1, \dots$ , consider the graph  $\mu_p(G_a^b)$ . Let  $n$  denote its vertex number. Then  $n = (p + 1)a + 1$  and  $p = (n - 1)/a - 1$ . According to Corollary 11, we have

$$m(\mu_p(G_a^b)) = b \sum_{i=0}^p (a - b)^i b^{p-i} = \frac{b^{p+2}}{a - 2b} [(a/b - 1)^{p+1} - 1].$$

Thus, if  $p$  is properly large then

$$\frac{b^{p+2}}{a - 2b} (a/b - 1)^p \leq m(\mu_p(G_a^b)) \leq \frac{b^{p+2}}{a - 2b} (a/b - 1)^{p+1},$$

and so

$$\frac{b^2}{(a - 2b)(a - b)} [(a - b)^{1/a}]^{(n-1)} \leq m(\mu_p(G_a^b)) \leq \frac{b}{(a - 2b)} [(a - b)^{1/a}]^{(n-1)}.$$

**Corollary 12.** *Suppose  $a$  and  $b$  are two positive integers with  $a > 2b$ . Let  $\lambda = (a - b)^{1/a}$ ,  $C_1 = (b^2 / ((a - 2b)(a - b)))$  and  $C_2 = (b / (a - 2b))$ . If  $p$  is properly large then*

$$C_1 \lambda^{n-1} \leq m(\mu_p(G_a^b)) \leq C_2 \lambda^{n-1}.$$

Since  $a > 2b$ ,  $\lambda$  is greater than 1. Thus, for any two positive integers  $a$  and  $b$  with  $a > 2b$ ,  $m(\mu_p(G_a^b))$  is exponential in  $n$  with growth rate  $\lambda = (a - b)^{1/a} > 1$ .

For  $b = 1$ , the graph  $G_a^b$  is just the complete graph on  $a$  vertices. Simple calculations show that  $\lambda$  is approximately equal to 1.2599, 1.3161, 1.3195, 1.3077, 1.2917, 1.2754, 1.2599 for  $b = 1$  and  $a = 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9$ . The growth rate appears to be the largest when  $b = 1$  and  $a = 5$  and the growth rate is approximately equal to 1.3195. It is a little smaller than the growth rate 1.346193 obtained by Fisher [5]. However, the proof here is simple and we easily get the exact growth rates.



$m(\mu_p(K_n))$  and its growth rate  $\lambda$  can also be derived directly from Tardif's result and Theorem 7. For graphs  $G$  other than  $K_n$ , since we do not know the value of  $\chi_k(\mu_p(G))$  for any  $k$  and  $p$ , we cannot get  $m(\mu_p(G))$  from Tardif's result. Corollaries 11 and 12 provide a way to compute  $m(\mu_p(G))$  and its growth rate for many graphs  $G$  other than  $K_n$ .

## References

- [1] M. Caramia, P. Dell'Olmo, A lower bound on the chromatic number of Mycielski graphs, *Discrete Math.* 235 (2001) 79–86.
- [2] G.J. Chang, L. Huang, X. Zhu, Circular chromatic numbers of Mycielski's graphs, *Discrete Math.* 205 (1999) 23–37.
- [3] V. Chvátal, M.R. Garey, D.S. Johnson, Two results concerning multicoloring, *Ann. Discrete Math.* 2 (1978) 151–154.
- [4] G. Fan, Circular chromatic number and Mycielski graphs, *Combinatorica* 24 (1) (2004) 127–135.
- [5] D.C. Fisher, Fractional colorings with large denominators, *J. Graph Theory* 20 (1995) 403–409.
- [6] D.C. Fisher, P.A. McKenna, E.D. Boyer, Biclique parameters of Mycielskians, *Congr. Numer.* 111 (1995) 136–142.
- [7] D.C. Fisher, P.A. McKenna, E.D. Boyer, Hamiltonicity, diameter, domination, packing, and biclique partitions of Mycielski's graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 84 (1998) 93–105.
- [8] G. Gao, X. Zhu, Star extremal graphs and the lexicographic product, *Discrete Math.* 152 (1996) 147–156.
- [9] C. Godsil, G. Royle, *Algebraic Graph Theory*, Springer, New York, 2001.
- [10] L. Huang, G.J. Chang, The circular chromatic number of the Mycielskian of  $G_k^d$ , *J. Graph Theory* 32 (1999) 63–71.
- [11] H. Hajiabolhassan, X. Zhu, Circular chromatic number and Mycielski construction, *J. Graph Theory* 44 (2003) 95–105.
- [12] A. Johnson, F.C. Holroyd, S. Stahl, Multichromatic numbers, star chromatic numbers and Kneser graphs, *J. Graph Theory* 26 (1997) 137–145.
- [13] S. Klavžar, On the fractional chromatic number and lexicographic product of graphs, *Discrete Math.* 185 (1998) 259–263.
- [14] P.C.B. Lam, W. Lin, G. Gu, Z. Song, Circular chromatic number and a generalization of construction of Mycielski, *J. Combin. Theory. Ser. B* 89 (2003) 195–205.
- [15] M. Larsen, J. Propp, D. Ullman, The fractional chromatic number of Mycielski's graphs, *J. Graph Theory* 19 (1995) 411–416.
- [16] W. Lin, P.C.B. Lam, W.C. Shiu, Circular chromatic numbers of the generalized Mycielskians of cycles, *J. Nanjing Univ. Math. Biquarterly* 23 (2) (2006) 232–241.
- [17] W. Lin, J. Wu, P.C.B. Lam, G. Gu, Several parameters of generalized Mycielski's graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 154 (8) (2006) 1173–1182.
- [18] D.D.-F. Liu, Circular chromatic number for iterated Mycielski graphs, *Discrete Math.* 285 (2004) 335–340.
- [19] J. Mycielski, Sur le coloriage des graphes, *Colloq. Math.* 3 (1955) 161–162.
- [20] Z. Pan, X. Zhu, Multiple colouring of cone graphs, *Manuscript*, 2006.
- [21] S. Stahl,  $n$ -Tuple colorings and associated graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 20 (1976) 185–203.
- [22] M. Stiebitz, Beiträge zur Theorie der färbungskritischen Graphen, *Abilitation Thesis*, Technical University Ilmenau, 1985.
- [23] C. Tardif, Fractional chromatic numbers of cones over graphs, *J. Graph Theory* 38 (2001) 87–94.