

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Gynecology and Minimally Invasive Therapy

journal homepage: www.e-gmit.com

Review article

Salpingectomy and prevention of ovarian carcinoma



Shahul Hameed Mohamed Siraj*, Bernard Su Min Chern

Department of Minimally Invasive Surgery, KK Women's and Children's Hospital, Singapore

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 5 November 2013

Received in revised form

11 February 2014

Accepted 20 August 2015

Available online 1 September 2015

Keywords:

BRCA

ovarian cancer

ovarian dysplasia

salpingectomy

serous tubal intraepithelial carcinoma

ABSTRACT

Advanced cases of epithelial, primary peritoneal, and primary tubal malignancies have relative poor prognosis and collectively remain the most deadly of all gynecologic malignancies. Recently, many studies have demonstrated that the fallopian tubes might be the origin of most high grade ovarian and peritoneal serous carcinoma. In this review, we describe the tubal carcinogenic pathway with the precancerous tubal lesions and the impact of salpingectomy for prevention of ovarian carcinoma.

Copyright © 2015, The Asia-Pacific Association for Gynecologic Endoscopy and Minimally Invasive Therapy. Published by Elsevier Taiwan LLC. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Introduction

To prevent ovarian carcinoma, you have to remove the ovaries and not the adjacent fallopian tubes. This has been challenged in the literature recently. Now the focus of prevention of high grade serous ovarian carcinoma has shifted from the ovary to the fallopian tubes. In view of the recent description of precancerous tubal lesion, the majority of pelvic serous carcinoma (ovarian and peritoneal carcinoma) may arise from the fimbriated end of the fallopian tubes.^{1–13} First, this finding could have important implications for the surgical management of prophylactic oophorectomy in groups presenting genetic risk of ovarian cancer. Second, it may be essential for the decision to remove the fallopian tubes at the time of hysterectomy for other type of pelvic surgery for benign conditions and during female sterilization in the general population.

For many epithelial malignancies, the cell of origin is well defined with precursor lesions easily identified. For example, cervical cancer originates from human papilloma virus-infected cells in the cervical transformation zone¹⁴ and adenocarcinoma of the colon originates in dysplastic lesions within the colonic mucosa. In contrast to these tumor types, the origins of epithelial ovarian cancer are not clearly defined. Just as endometriosis has been

implicated in the development of some endometrioid ovarian carcinoma,¹⁵ emerging data suggest that the fallopian tube may play a critical role in the origin of what has traditionally been classified as serous ovarian cancer. In this review, we will discuss the proposed mechanism of ovarian carcinogenesis by the tubal epithelium and the emerging role of salpingectomy in the prevention of ovarian cancer.

Ovarian cancer classification and the tubal paradigm

Ovarian cancer is the most lethal gynecologic malignancy. In 2013, it was estimated that there would be >22,000 new diagnoses and >14,000 deaths from the diseases.¹⁶ Although many improvements have been made in surgical techniques and adjuvant treatment, the prognosis of ovarian cancer is poor, with a 5-year survival rate of only 45%.¹⁷ The majority of ovarian cancer is diagnosed in advanced stages, in part because no screening test exists to detect preinvasive or early stage disease.

Epithelial ovarian cancer is divided into its histologic subtypes: serous, mucinous, endometrioid, clear cell, transitional, or any combination of these (mixed). Serous histology is the most common, representing 70% of epithelial ovarian cancer.¹⁸ Serous tumors are aggressive and usually present at advanced stage. Although they respond to surgery and platinum-based chemotherapy, they usually recur. Although ovarian carcinoma is evidently a terrible disease: the life time risk of developing ovarian cancer is 1.8% and the risk for this disease by age 50 years is 1 in 335, rising to about 1 in 65 between the ages of 50 years and 70 years¹⁹ in the general

Conflicts of interest: All authors have no conflict of interest relevant to this article
* Corresponding author. Department of Minimally Invasive Surgery, KK Women's and Children's Hospital, 100 Bukit Timah Road, Singapore 229899, Singapore.

E-mail address: drshmsiraj@yahoo.com (S.H.M. Siraj).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gmit.2015.08.005>

2213–3070/Copyright © 2015, The Asia-Pacific Association for Gynecologic Endoscopy and Minimally Invasive Therapy. Published by Elsevier Taiwan LLC. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

population; the lifetime risk in BRCA1 carriers for ovarian cancer is about 40% and in BRCA2 carriers, the lifetime risk is about 20%.²⁰ Removing the ovaries cuts those risks by about 80% and annual risk falls from 1% to 0.2% after an oophorectomy.²¹

The tubal theory^{1–10} is based on the following findings: with meticulous and thorough histopathologic analysis of specimens from prophylactic adectomy for BRCA genetic mutation, between 4% and 17% occult cancers were revealed, 57–100% of which were located in the distal portion of the tubes.^{3–8} These occult intraepithelial cancerous lesions are termed serous tubal intraepithelial carcinomas (STICs). They are characterized by epithelial stratification, nuclear atypia with an increase in the nuclear cytoplasmic ratio, loss of nuclear polarity, nuclear pleomorphism, and loss of ciliated cells.²¹

Earlier benign lesions are called serous tubal intraepithelial (STILs) or tubal intraepithelial lesions in transition. STICs and STILs are most frequently located at the fimbriated end of the fallopian tubes.^{11–13} As we will discuss below, the question arises about whether fimbriectomy should be proposed instead of salpingectomy in prophylactic strategies.

Studies at the molecular level indicate that STICs and high grade serous ovarian or peritoneal carcinoma are clonally related and STICs are not metastases from ovarian carcinoma.²²

Recently, another precursor has been described and it is termed secretory cell out growth (SCOUT), which is distributed throughout the fallopian tubes,^{22–25} and finally would provide argument in favor of salpingectomy instead of fimbriectomy.

All these histopathologic terms (STICs, STILs, and SCOUT) should now be familiar to clinicians and surgeons because they are, and will continue to be, increasingly present in pathologic reports. All the fallopian tubes removed during permanent contraception should be sent for histological studies, which will help later in patients' management.

Last but not least, Kim et al²⁶ recently provided experimental evidence of the tubal origin using a mouse model; they showed that a high grade serous ovarian cancer could also arise from the fallopian tubes. Moreover, removal of the fallopian tube prevented cancer initiation, whereas bilateral ovariectomy had no effect.²⁶

Several series of sporadic serous ovarian cancer and primary serous peritoneal cancers have been analyzed, and STICs were only present in about 30–60% of cases.^{27–29} In cases where STICs were absent, ovarian cancer can arise from the ovary itself and a precancerous lesion named ovarian epithelial dysplasia has been described.^{30–33} Ovarian dysplasia is defined by cytologic and architectural abnormalities: surface papillomatosis, epithelial pseudo stratification, inclusion cysts, nuclear pleomorphism, and epithelial invagination.³⁴

Some other theories have been discussed such as the secondary müllerian system theory proposed by Lauchlan³⁵ and the unifying hypothesis proposed by Ausperg³⁶ in which ovarian cancer may arise from the transitional epithelium between the ovarian surface epithelium and the fimbrial epithelium of the oviduct. It is possible that the tubal pathway would be preponderant, particularly in cases of associated genetic risk, whereas the ovarian and tubal pathways could coexist in sporadic ovarian cancer.^{37,38}

Salpingectomy and implications for prevention

Effective cancer screening programs typically require identification of either a precursor lesion or an early stage malignancy. This is demonstrated most notably in colon, cervix, and breast cancer screening. Unfortunately, without a clear precursor lesion or biomarker, ovarian cancer screening has thus far been unsuccessful in identifying preinvasive or early stage disease. A large trial studying ultrasonography and serum cancer antigen (CA)125 for

ovarian cancer screening in asymptomatic women was unable to demonstrate efficacy in detecting early stage disease.³⁹ Modification to this approach may demonstrate efficacy either following CA125 overtime rather than at a single point⁴⁰ or by triaging patients to ultrasound only if the CA125 is consistently elevated.⁴¹ Models have predicted that tubal intraepithelial carcinoma and early stage disease are likely to be present for at least 4 years before becoming widely metastatic.⁴²

Due to the role of the fallopian tube in epithelial ovarian cancer, approaches to gynecologic surgery have already begun to shift. With the understanding that ovarian carcinogenesis probably begins in the fallopian tube, prevention strategies such as salpingectomy with ovarian conservation are increasingly being studied to determine whether they will effectively reduce the burden of ovarian cancer while allowing women to preserve ovarian function.

Risk-reducing surgery for patients with BRCA mutations currently includes complete excision of the ovaries and fallopian tubes with serial sectioning. With careful excision and close evaluation, rates of occult preinvasive or invasive tubal malignancies in this population may be as high as 10%.³

Surgical implications may extend beyond prophylactic surgery for high-risk patients. In the USA, >600,000 hysterectomies are performed each year and about 55% of hysterectomies are accompanied by bilateral salpingo-oophorectomies (BSO) and about one-third of all 60-year-old women have had a hysterectomy.⁴³ There has been considerable debate about the risks and benefits of performing a BSO at the time of hysterectomy. The risk of epithelial ovarian cancer is reduced, but this comes at the expense of the potential risks of cardiovascular disease, osteoporosis, and even cognitive impairment seen with early surgical menopause.⁴⁴ In a large analysis of >20,000 patients from the Nurses' Health Study, all-cause mortality as well as cancer mortality increased in women who received a BSO.⁴⁵ The authors concluded that with an expected life span of 35 years after surgery, for every nine BSOs performed there was one additional early death.⁴⁵ It has been demonstrated that if salpingectomy is performed with great care by preserving blood vessel integrity in the proximity of the ovarian hilum and in the context of the mesosalpinx, patients will not have negative effects on their ovarian function.⁴⁶ There were no perioperative complications associated with the procedure attributable to salpingectomy alone.⁴⁶

With the risk associated with BSO at the time of hysterectomy for benign disease, it is becoming more apparent that it may be clinically prudent to leave the ovaries in place for prolonged hormone exposure. However, because the postreproductive fallopian tube serves little biologic purpose, it may be sensible to perform only a salpingectomy at the time of surgery. Although no prospective data support this practice, it follows rationally that this has the potential to reduce the risk of serous carcinoma with little or no increased morbidity.⁴⁷ Given that an estimated 80–90% of BRCA-related ovarian cancers originate in the fallopian tube, consideration might also be given to performing risk reduction salpingectomy, especially in young people, to conserve ovarian function.⁴⁸ The patient then may have more time to complete childbearing with the help of *in vitro* fertilization and does not have to suffer the consequences of surgical menopause. This approach has no impact on breast cancer but could be combined with intensive breast surveillance and chemoprevention.

It has long been noted that bilateral tubal ligation confers some protection against developing ovarian cancer. Specifically, in a meta-analysis of 13 studies, there was a 34% risk reduction in the development of endometrioid and serous epithelial ovarian cancers.⁴⁹ It is unlikely that tubal ligation surgically removes areas of STICs found at the fimbriated end of the tube; however, this has not yet been evaluated.

The surgical procedure

The preferred approach for salpingectomy remains minimally invasive surgery (laparoscopy or robotic surgery).^{50–52} The surgical procedure should be preceded by meticulous and complete inspection of the whole abdominopelvic cavity. Pelvic washings should take place systematically, especially in the case of patients with BRCA mutations⁵³ and there is no advantage to fimbriectomy alone because SCOUT lesions can occur anywhere in the tube. During laparoscopy, it is important to use bipolar electrocoagulation carefully, because diathermy-induced injury in the fallopian tubes affects the detection of STICs.^{54–56}

Future perspectives

In the near future, there may be opportunities to sample the preinvasive lesions in the fallopian tubes by use of *in situ* and real time optical imaging technologies. Confocal microlaparoscopy or robotics in real time will help the surgeon in the near future to decide whether salpingectomy is needed or not.³⁴ McAlpine et al.⁵⁷ managed to identify STICs in a preliminary report with autofluorescence imaging.

Conclusions

Epithelial ovarian, primary peritoneal and primary tubal carcinomas are complex and heterogeneous groups of malignancies that remain the most deadly of all gynecologic malignancies. Ongoing research has confirmed there is no one single site or cell type from which these cancers arise. A majority of serous ovarian carcinomas appear to have preinvasive lesions in the distal fallopian tubes and this recent finding has shifted the paradigm of ovarian carcinoma carcinogenesis. Complete bilateral salpingectomy as a risk-reducing strategy in patients with BRCA mutations is an approach worthy of further investigation and it may be reasonable to consider salpingectomy for all patients undergoing hysterectomy for benign reasons, and for general populations who seek permanent contraception. For instance, the Gynecologic Oncology of Canada⁵⁸ already recommends bilateral salpingectomy for patients undergoing hysterectomy or requesting permanent contraception. As we move forward, new research is still needed to provide insight into the carcinogenesis and interaction between the tubes and ovaries and molecular studies may someday find more effective screening strategies.^{59,60}

References

- Folkins AK, Jarboe EA, Saleemuddin A, et al. A candidate precursor to pelvic serous cancer (p53 signature) and its prevalence in ovaries and fallopian tubes from women with BRCA mutations. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2008;109:168–173.
- Kindelberger D, Lee Y, Hirsch MS, et al. Intraepithelial carcinoma of the fimbria and pelvic serous carcinoma: evidence for causal relationship. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 2007;31:161–169.
- Powell CB, Keley E, Chen LM, et al. Risk-reducing salpingo-oophorectomy in BRCA mutation carriers: role of serial sectioning in the detection of occult malignancy. *J Clin Oncol.* 2005;23:127–132.
- Finch A, Shaw P, Rosen B, Murphy J, Narod SA, Colgan TJ. Clinical and pathologic findings of prophylactic salpingo-oophorectomies in 159 BRCA1 and BRCA2 carriers. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2006;100:58–64.
- Callahan MJ, Crum CP, Medeiros F, et al. Primary fallopian tube malignancies in BRCA-positive women undergoing surgery for ovarian cancer risk reduction. *J Clin Oncol.* 2007;25:3985–3990.
- Leeper K, Garcia R, Swisher E, Goff B, Greer B, Paley P. Pathologic finding in prophylactic oophorectomy specimens in high-risk women. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2002;87:52–56.
- Medeiros F, Muto MG, Lee Y, et al. The tubal fimbria is a preferred site for early adenocarcinoma in women with familial ovarian cancer syndrome. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 2006;30:230–236.

- Hirst JE, Gard GB, McIlroy K, Nevell D, Field M. High rates of occult fallopian tube cancer diagnosed at prophylactic bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy. *Int J Gynecol Cancer.* 2009;19:826–829.
- Crum CP. Intercepting pelvic cancer in the distal fallopian tube: theories and realities. *Mol Oncol.* 2009;3:165–170.
- Mehrad M, Ning G, Chen EY, Mehra KK, Crum CP. A pathologist's road map to benign, precancerous, and malignant intraepithelial proliferations in the fallopian tube. *Adv Anat Pathol.* 2010;17:293–302.
- Gross AL, Kurman RJ, Vang R, Shih IeM, Visvanathan K. Precursor lesions of high-grade serous carcinoma: morphological and molecular characteristics. *J Oncol.* 2010;2010:126295.
- Piek JM, van Diest PJ, Zweemer RP. Dysplastic changes in prophylactic removed fallopian tubes of women predisposed to developing ovarian cancer. *J Pathol.* 2001;195:451–456.
- Carcangiu ML, Radice P, Manoukian S, et al. Atypical epithelial proliferation in fallopian tubes in prophylactic salpingo-oophorectomy specimens from BRCA1 and BRCA2 germline mutation carriers. *Int J Gynecol Pathol.* 2004;23:35–40.
- Pudney J, Quayle AJ, Anderson DJ. Immunological microenvironments in the human vagina and cervix: mediators of cellular immunity are concentrated in the cervical transformation zone. *Biol Reprod.* 2005;73:1253–1263.
- Jiang X, Morland SJ, Hitchcock A, Thomas EJ, Campbell IG. Allelotyping of endometriosis with adjacent ovarian carcinoma reveals evidence of common lineage. *Cancer Res.* 1998;58:1707–1712.
- Siegel R, Naishadam D, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2013. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2013;63:11–30.
- Siegel R, Ward E, Brawley O, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2011: the impact of eliminating socioeconomic and racial disparities on premature cancer deaths. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2011;61:212–236.
- McCluggage WG. Morphological subtypes of ovarian carcinoma: a review with emphasis on new developments and pathogenesis. *Pathology.* 2011;43:420–432.
- Foulkes WD. Preventing ovarian cancer by salpingectomy. *Curr Oncol.* 2013;20:139–142.
- Antonioni A, Pharoah PD, Narod S, et al. Average risks of breast and ovarian cancer associated with BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutations detected in case series unselected for family history: a combined analysis of 22 studies. *Am J Hum Genet.* 2003;72:1117–1130.
- Finch A, Beiner M, Lubinski J, et al. Salpingo-oophorectomy and the risk of ovarian, fallopian tube, and peritoneal cancers in women with a BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutation. *JAMA.* 2006;296:185–192.
- Chene G, Rahimi K, Mes-Masson AM, Provencher D. Surgical implications of the potential new tubal pathway for ovarian carcinogenesis. *J Minim Invasive Gynecol.* 2013;20:153–159.
- Chen EY, Mehra K, Mehrad M, et al. Secretory cell outgrowth, PAX2 and serous carcinogenesis in the fallopian tubes. *J Pathol.* 2010;222:110–116.
- Roh MH, Yassin Y, Miron A, et al. High grade fimbrial ovarian carcinomas are unified by altered p53, PTEN and PAX2 expression. *Mod Pathol.* 2010;23:1316–1324.
- Chivukula M, Dabbs DJ, O'Connor S, et al. PAX2: a novel Mullerian marker for serous papillary carcinomas to differentiate from micropapillary breast carcinoma. *Int J Gynecol Pathol.* 2009;28:570–578.
- Kim J, Coffey DM, Creighton CJ, et al. High-grade serous ovarian cancer arises from fallopian tube in a mouse model. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2012;109:3921–3926.
- Przybycin CG, Kurman RJ, Ronnett BM, Shih IeM, Vang R. Are all pelvic (non-uterine) serous carcinomas of tubal origin? *Am J Surg Pathol.* 2010;34:1407–1416.
- Carlson JW, Miron A, Jarobe EA, et al. Serous tubal intraepithelial carcinoma: its potential role in primary peritoneal serous carcinoma and serous cancer prevention. *J Clin Oncol.* 2008;26:4160–4165.
- Seidman JD, Zhao P, Yemelyanova A. Primary peritoneal high-grade serous carcinoma is very likely metastatic from serous tubal intraepithelial carcinoma: assessing the new paradigm of ovarian and pelvic serous carcinogenesis and its implications for screening for ovarian cancer. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2011;120:470–473.
- Deligdisch L. Ovarian dysplasia: a review. *Int J Gynecol Cancer.* 1997;7:89–94.
- Salazar H, Godwin AK, Daly MB, et al. Microscopic benign and invasive malignant neoplasm and a cancer-prone phenotype in prophylactic oophorectomies. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 1996;88:1810–1820.
- Werness BA, Afify AM, Bielak KL, Eltabakh GH, Piver MS, Paterson JM. Altered surface and cyst epithelium of ovaries removed prophylactically from women with a family history of ovarian cancer. *Hum Pathol.* 1999;30:151–157.
- Stratton JF, Buckley CH, Lowe D, Ponder BA. Comparison of prophylactic oophorectomy specimens from carriers and noncarriers of a BRCA1 or BRCA2 gene mutation. United Kingdom Coordinating Committee on Cancer Research (UKCCCR) Familial Ovarian Cancer Study Group. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 1999;91:626–628.
- Chène G, Penault-Llorca F, Le Bouëdec G, et al. Ovarian epithelial dysplasia and prophylactic oophorectomy for genetic risk. *Int J Gynecol Cancer.* 2009;19:65–72.
- Lauchlan SC. The secondary Mullerian system. *Obstet Gynecol Surv.* 1972;27:133–146.
- Auersperg N. The origin of ovarian carcinomas: a unifying hypothesis. *Int J Gynecol Pathol.* 2010;30:12–21.

37. Crum CP, McKeon FD, Xian W. BRCA, the oviduct and the space and time continuum of pelvic serous carcinogenesis. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. 2012;22: S29–S34.
38. Kuhn E, Kurman RJ, Shih IM. Ovarian cancer is an imported disease: fact or fiction? *Current Obstet Gynecol Rep*. 2012;1:1–19.
39. Buys SS, Partridge E, Black A, Dahm P. Effect of screening on ovarian cancer mortality: the prostate, lung, colorectal and ovarian (PLCO) cancer screening randomised controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2011;305:2295–2303.
40. Drescher CW, Shah C, Thorpe J, et al. Longitudinal screening algorithm that incorporates change over time in CA125 levels identifies ovarian cancer earlier than a single-threshold rule. *J Clin Oncol*. 2013;31:387–392.
41. Menon U, Gentry-Maharaj A, Hallett R, et al. Sensitivity and specificity of multimodal and ultrasound screening for ovarian cancer, and stage distribution of detected cancers: results of the prevalence screen of the UK collaborative trial of ovarian cancer screening (UKCTOCS). *Lancet Oncol*. 2009;10:327–340.
42. Brown PO, Palmer C. The preclinical natural history of serous ovarian cancer: defining the target for early detection. *PLoS Med*. 2009;6:1000114.
43. Whiteman MK, Hills SD, Jamieson DJ, et al. In patients hysterectomy surveillance in the United States, 2000–2004. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2008;198: 34e1–34e7.
44. Shuster LT, Gostout BS, Grossardt BR, Rocca WA. Prophylactic oophorectomy in premenopausal women and long-term health. *Menopause Int*. 2008;14: 111–116.
45. Parker WH, Broder MS, Chang E, et al. Ovarian conservation at the time of hysterectomy and long-term health outcomes in the Nurses' Health Study. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2009;113:1027–1037.
46. Michele M, Roberta V, Rita M, et al. Prophylactic salpingectomy in premenopausal low-risk women for ovarian cancer: primum non nocere. *Gynecol Oncol*. 2013;129:448–451.
47. Dietl J, Wischhusen J, Hausler SF. The post reproductive fallopian tube: better removed? *Hum Reprod*. 2011;26:2918–2924.
48. Kwon JS, Tinker A, Pansegrau G, et al. Prophylactic salpingectomy and delayed oophorectomy as an alternative for BRCA mutation carriers. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2013;121:14–24.
49. Cibula D, Widschwendter M, Májek O, Dusek L. Tubal ligation and the risk of ovarian cancer; review and metaanalysis. *Hum Reprod Update*. 2011;17:56–57.
50. Tsai HW, Chen CY, Wang PH, et al. Single-port laparoscopic ovarian cystectomy of teratoma during pregnancy. *Gynecol Minim Invasive Ther*. 2013;2:137–139.
51. Herrmann A, DeWilde RL. Laparoscopic myomectomy—the gold standard. *Gynecol Minim Invasive Ther*. 2014;3:31–38.
52. Jung JJ, Thain S, He S, Yam KL, Lim TYK. Minimally invasive surgery for gynecological cancers: experience of one institution. *Gynecol Minim Invasive Ther*. 2014;3:73–77.
53. Landon G, Stewart J, Deavers M, Lu K, Sneige N. Peritoneal washing cytology in patients with BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutations undergoing risk-reducing salpingo-oophorectomies: a 10 year experience and reappraisal of its clinical utility. *Gynecol Oncol*. 2010;125:683–686.
54. Manchanda R, Silvanto A, Abdelraheim A, et al. Diathermy-induced injury may affect detection of occult tubal lesions at risk-reducing salpingo-oophorectomy. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. 2012;22:881–888.
55. Pandey D, Yen CF, Lee CL, Wu MP. Electrosurgical technology: quintessence of the laparoscopic armamentarium. *Gynecol Minim Invasive Ther*. 2014;3:63–66.
56. Huang HY, Yen CF, Wu MP. Complications of electrosurgery in laparoscopy. *Gynecol Minim Invasive Ther*. 2014;3:39–42.
57. McAlpine JN, El Hallani S, Lam SF, et al. Autofluorescence imaging can identify preinvasive or clinically occult lesions in fallopian tube epithelium: a promising step towards screening and early detection. *Gynecol Oncol*. 2011;120: 385–392.
58. GOC STATEMENT REGARDING Salpingectomy and Ovarian Cancer Prevention, 2011 September 15.
59. Collins IM, Domchek SM, Huntsman DG, Mitchell G. The tubal hypothesis of ovarian cancer: caution needed. *Lancet Oncol*. 2011;12:1089–1091.
60. Chene G, Penault-Llorca F, Robin N, Cayre A, Provencher DM, Dauplat J. Early detection of ovarian cancer: tomorrow? A review. *J Gynecol Obstet Biol Reprod*. 2013;42:5–11 [In French, English abstract].