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#### Abstract

Let $G$ be a triangle-free graph on $n$ points with average degree $d$ Let $\alpha$ be the independence number of $G$. In this note we give a simple proof that $\alpha \geq n(d \ln d-d+1) /(d-1)^{2}$ We also consider what happens when $G$ contans a limited number of triangles


Let $G$ be a triangle-free graph on $n$ vertices with average degree $d$. Let $\alpha$ be the independence number of G. In [1] A jtai et al. prove that $\alpha>n \ln d /(100 d)$ for $d \geqslant d_{0}$. Here we give a simpler proof of a slightly stronger result.

Theorem 1. Let $G$ be a triangle-free graph on $n$ vertices with average degree d. Let $\alpha$ be the independence number of G. Let $f(d)=\left(d \ln c^{\prime}-d+1\right) /(d-1)^{2}, f(0)=1$, $f(1)=\frac{1}{2}$. Then $\alpha \geqslant n f(d)$.

Proof. Note $f$ is continuous for $0 \leqslant d<\alpha$ and for $0<d<\infty, 1>f(d)>0, f^{\prime}(d)<0$, $f^{\prime \prime}(d) \geqslant 0$ Furthermore $f$ satisfies the difrerential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(d+1) f(d)=1+\left(d-d^{2}\right) f^{\prime}(d) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will prove the theorem by induction on $n$. Note it is true for $n \leqslant d / f(d)$ as $G$ triangle-free implies the neighbors of ary point in $G$ iorm an independent set so $\alpha \geqslant d \geqslant n f(d)$. Let $P$ be a point in $G$. Let $d_{1}$ be the degree of $P$. Let $d_{2}$ be the average degree of the neighbors of $P$. We claim we may choose $P$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(d_{1}+1\right) f(d) \leqslant 1+\left(d d_{1}+d-2 d_{1} d_{2}\right) f^{\prime}(d) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For as $P$ ranges over the points of $G$ the average value of $d_{1} d_{2}$ is equal to the average value of $d_{1}^{2}$ which is $\geqslant d^{2}$. Hence the average value of the left hand side of (2) equals the left hand side of (1) while the average value of the right hand side of $(2)$ is $\geqslant$ the right hand side of (1). Hence (2) holds on the average which suffices to prove the claim.

Let $G^{\prime}$ be the graph formed from $G$ by deleting $P$ and all its neighbors. Note $G^{\prime}$ is also triangle-free and contains $n-d_{1}-1$ points and $\frac{1}{2} n d-d_{1} d_{2}$ edges. Iet $d^{\prime}$ be the average degree of points in $G^{\prime}$. Then $a^{\prime}=\left(n d-2 d_{1} d_{2}\right) /\left(n-d_{1}-1\right)$. By the induction hypothesis $G^{\prime}$ contains an indepenri nt set of size ( $\left.n-d_{1}-1\right) f\left(d^{\prime}\right)$. 0012-365X/83/\$3.00 © 1983, Flsevier Science Publishers B.V. (North-Holland)

Hence by adding $P$ we obtain an independent set in $G$ of size $1+\left(n-d_{1}-1\right) f\left(d^{\prime}\right)$. Now since $f^{\prime \prime}(d) \geqslant 0$ for $0<d^{\prime}<\infty$ we have $f\left(d^{\prime}\right) \geqslant f(d)+\left(d^{\prime}-d\right) f^{\prime}(d)$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
1+\left(n-d_{1}-1\right) f\left(d^{\prime}\right) & \geqslant 1+\left(n-d_{1}-1\right) f(d)+\left(n-d_{1}-1\right)\left(d^{\prime}-d\right) f^{\prime}(d) \\
& =1+\left(n-d_{1}-1\right) f(d)+\left(d d_{1}+d-2 d_{1} d_{2}\right) f^{\prime}(d) \\
& \geqslant\left(n-d_{1}-1\right) f(d)+\left(d_{1}+1\right) f(d)=n f(d)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we have found an independent set in $G$ of the desired size which completes the proof by induction.

Remark 1. Consider the following algorithm for generating an independent set of points of $G$. Pick a point $P$ at random and place it in the independent set. Delete $P$ and its neighbors from $G$ and iterate. Since (2) holds on the average, the average size of an independent set generated by this algorithm will be $\geqslant n f(d)$.

Remark 2. By considering random graphs on $n$ points with average degree $d$ for $n \gg d \gg 1$ we can show the existence of triangle-free graphs on $n$ points with average degree $d$ and independence number

$$
\leqslant n\left[\frac{2 \ln d}{d}-\frac{2 \ln \ln d}{d}+O\left(\frac{1}{d}\right)\right] .
$$

We now consider what happens when we do not require $G$ to be triangle-free. Given a graph $G$ let $n(G)$ be the number of vertices $G$ contains, $I(G)$ be the independence number of $G, d(G)$ be the average degree of a vertex in $G$ and $T(G)$ be the average number of triangles a vertex of $G$ is contained in. Then define $F(d, T)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(d, T)=\lim _{\substack{n(G) \rightarrow \infty \\ d(G) \rightarrow d \\ r(G) \rightarrow T}} I(G) / n(G) \quad \text { for any } d, T \geqslant 0 . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note Theorem 1 and Remark 2 are equivalent to inequalities

$$
f(d) \leqslant F(d,(0) \leqslant 2 \ln d / d+O(\ln \ln d / d)
$$

Also if $G$ consists of the disjoint union of $K_{1}$ copies of $G_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ copies of $G_{2}$, then

$$
n(G)=K_{1} n\left(G_{1}\right)+K_{2} n\left(G_{2}\right), \quad I(G)=K_{1} I\left(G_{1}\right)+K_{2} I\left(G_{2}\right)
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I(G) / n(G)= {\left[K_{1} n\left(G_{1}\right) / n(G)\right]\left[I\left(G_{1}\right) / n\left(G_{1}\right)\right] } \\
&+\left[K_{2} n\left(G_{2}\right) / n(G)\right]\left[I\left(G_{2}\right) / n\left(G_{2}\right)\right], \\
& d(G)=\left(\frac{K_{1} n\left(G_{1}\right)}{n(G)}\right) d\left(G_{1}\right)+\left(\frac{K_{2} n\left(G_{2}\right)}{n(G)}\right) d\left(G_{2}\right) \text { and } \\
& T(G)=\left(\frac{K_{1} n\left(G_{1}\right)}{n(G)}\right) T\left(G_{1}\right)+\left(\frac{K_{2} n\left(G_{2}\right)}{n(G)}\right) T\left(G_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence letting $\rho=K_{1} \boldsymbol{n}\left(G_{1}\right) / \boldsymbol{n}(G)$ it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
F\left(\rho d_{1}+(1-\rho)\right. & \left.d_{2}, \rho T_{1}+(1-\rho) T_{2}\right)  \tag{4}\\
& \leqslant \mu F\left(d_{1}, T_{1}\right)+(1-\rho) F\left(d_{2}, T_{2}\right), \quad 0 \leqslant \rho \leqslant 1 .
\end{align*}
$$

Using (4) it is easy to show that $F$ is continuous and as a function of $d$ or $T$ alone nonincreasing.

As in [1] we can bound $F(d, T)$ from below in terms of $F(d, 0)$. Let $G$ be a graph on $n$ points with $T(G) \leqslant 3$. Then $G$ contains $\frac{1}{3} n T(G) \leqslant n$ triangles. Hence by deleting 1 point from each triangle we obtain a triangle-free induced subgraph $G^{\prime}$ of $G$ containing at least $\left(1-\frac{1}{3} T(G)\right) n$ points. Furthermere $d\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leqslant$ $d(G) /\left(1-\frac{1}{3} T(G)\right)$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(d, T) \geqslant\left(1-\frac{1}{3} T \backslash F\left(d /\left(1-\frac{1}{3} T\right), 0\right) .\right. \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $G$ be a graph on $n$ points and let $G^{\prime}$ be a random induced subgraph on $K$ points. Let $\overline{d\left(G^{\prime}\right)}, \overline{T\left(G^{\prime}\right)}$ be the expected values of $d\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ and $T\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ respectively. Let $\rho=K / n$. Then

$$
\overline{d\left(G^{\prime}\right)}=d(G) \frac{K-1}{n-1} \leqslant \rho d(G) \quad \text { and } \quad \overline{T\left(G^{\prime}\right)}=T(G) \frac{(K-1)(K-2)}{(n-1)(n-2)} \leqslant \rho^{2} \Gamma(G) .
$$

It follows from (4) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(d, T) \geqslant \rho F\left(\rho \dot{d}, \rho^{2} T\right), \quad 0 \leqslant \rho \leqslant 1 . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (5) and (6) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(d, T) \geqslant \max _{0 \leqslant \rho \leqslant 1} \rho\left(1-\frac{1}{3} \rho^{2} T\right) F\left(\frac{\rho d}{1-\frac{1}{3} \rho^{2} T}, 0\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\varepsilon=\rho^{2} T$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(d, T) & \geqslant \rho\left(1-\frac{1}{3} \varepsilon\right) F\left(\frac{\rho d}{1-\frac{1}{3} \varepsilon}, 0\right) \geqslant \rho\left(1-\frac{1}{3} \varepsilon\right) f\left(\rho d /\left(1-\frac{1}{3} \varepsilon\right)\right) \\
& \geqslant \rho\left(1-\frac{1}{3} \varepsilon\right) \frac{\ln \left[\rho d /\left(1-\frac{1}{3} \varepsilon\right)\right]-1}{\rho d /\left(1-\frac{1}{3} \varepsilon\right)} \quad\left(\text { using } f(x) \geqslant \frac{\ln x-1}{x}\right) \\
& \geqslant\left(1-\frac{2}{3} \varepsilon\right) \frac{\ln \rho+\ln d-1}{d}=\left(1-\frac{2}{3} \varepsilon\right) \frac{\ln d-\frac{1}{2} \ln T+\frac{1}{2} \ln \varepsilon-1}{d} \\
& \geqslant \frac{\ln d-\frac{1}{2} \ln T-1}{d}-\frac{2}{3} \varepsilon \frac{\ln d-\frac{1}{2} \ln T-1}{d}+\frac{\ln \varepsilon}{2 d}
\end{aligned}
$$

(assuming $\varepsilon \leqslant 1$ ). This is maximized when

$$
\varepsilon=\left[\frac{4}{3}\left(\ln d-\frac{1}{2} \ln T-1\right)\right]^{-1} .
$$

However, we must have $\rho \leqslant 1$ or $\varepsilon \leqslant T$ or

$$
\frac{4}{3} T\left(\ln d-\frac{1}{2} \ln T-1\right) \geqslant 1 \quad \text { or } \quad T \geqslant 7 / 4\left(\ln d-\frac{1}{2} \ln T-1\right) .
$$

Also $\varepsilon \leqslant 1 \Rightarrow T \leqslant e^{-7 / 2} d^{2}$. Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& F(d, T) \geqslant\left(1-\frac{2}{3} T\right) \frac{\ln d-1}{d} \text { for } 0 \leqslant T \leqslant \frac{7}{4\left(\ln d-\frac{1}{2} \ln T-1\right)}, \\
& F(d, T) \geqslant \frac{\ln d-\frac{1}{2} \ln T-1}{d}-\frac{\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{4}{3} \mathrm{e}\left(\left(\ln d-\frac{1}{2} \ln T-1\right)\right.}{d} \\
& \quad \text { for } \frac{7}{4\left(\ln d-\frac{1}{2} \ln T-1\right)} \leqslant T \leqslant \mathrm{e}^{-7 / 2} d^{2} . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Note we always have $F(d, T) \geqslant 1 /(d+1)$.
We also can bound $F(d, T)$ from above in terms of $F(d, 0)$ by mears of the following construction. Let $G$ be a triangle-free graph on $n$ ponts and let $r$ be a positive integer. Let $G^{\prime}$ be the graph obtained from $G$ be replacing each point in $G$ with the complete graph $K_{r}$ and each edge in $G$ with the complete bipartite graph $K_{r r}$ Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n\left(G^{\prime}\right)=r n(G), \quad I\left(G^{\prime}\right)=I(G) . \quad d\left(G^{\prime}\right)=r d(C,+r-1 . \\
& T\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\frac{3}{2}(r)(r-1) d(G)+\frac{1}{2}(r-1)(r-2) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(d r+r-1, \frac{3}{2} d r(r-1)+\frac{1}{2}(r-1)(r-2)\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{r} F(d, 0) . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sunce $F$ as a function of $d$ or $T$ alone is non-increasing (9) implie,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left((d+1) r, \quad 3(d+1) r^{2}\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{r} F(d, 0) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact it can be shown (10) holds for non-integral $r$ as well (if $r=S+\theta, 0<\theta<1$ replace ( $1-\theta$ ) $n$ points of $G$ with $K_{s}$, $\theta$ n points of $G$ with $K_{\mathcal{S}, 1}$ ). Changing variables in (10) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(d . T) \leqslant \frac{3 d}{2 T} F\left(\frac{3 d^{2}}{2 T}-1,0\right), \quad T \leqslant 3 / 2 d^{2} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combmang (11) with Remark 2 we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
F(d, T) & \leqslant \frac{2 \ln d}{d}+\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{d}\right), & & 0 \leqslant T \leqslant d \\
& \leqslant 4 \frac{\ln d-\frac{1}{2} \ln T}{d}+\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{d}\right), & d \leqslant T \leqslant d^{2} . \tag{12}
\end{array}
$$

Remark 3. It tollows from (12) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
F\left(d, A d^{2} /(\ln d)^{2}\right) & \leqslant 4 \frac{\ln d-\ln d+\frac{1}{2} \ln A+\ln \ln d}{d}+\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{d}\right) \\
& =\frac{4 \ln \ln d}{d}+\mathrm{O}(1 / d) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that Remark 3 in [1] which states in effect that there exist constants $A$, $B$ such that $F\left(d, A d^{2} /(\ln d)^{2}\right) \geqslant B(\ln d) / d$ for $d \geqslant d_{0}$ is incorrect.

Remark 4. Some questions remain. For example what is

$$
\lim _{d \rightarrow \infty} d F(d, 0) / \ln d \quad \text { or } \quad \lim _{d \rightarrow \infty} F(d, d) / F(d, 0) ?
$$

Also what if anything can be proven about the independence number of $\boldsymbol{K}_{\mathbf{4}}$-free graphs?

After writing this paper I discovered two other papers [2], [3] which overlap this paper to some extent. In [3] it is shown that the independence number $\alpha$ of $K_{\mathrm{p}}$-free graphs exceeds $c(n / d) \ln (\ln d / p)$ for $p \geqslant 4$ but the authors state they are unable to decide whether $\alpha>c_{p}(n / d)$ in $d$ even with $p=4$.
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