JACC: CARDIOVASCULAR INTERVENTIONS © 2015 BY THE AMERICAN COLLEGE OF CARDIOLOGY FOUNDATION PUBLISHED BY ELSEVIER INC.

Acute Stent Thrombosis After Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention



Insights From the EUROMAX Trial (European Ambulance Acute Coronary Syndrome Angiography)

Peter Clemmensen, MD, DMSc,* Sebastian Wiberg, MD,† Arnoud van't Hof, MD, PHD,‡ Efthymios N. Deliargyris, MD,§ Pierre Coste, MD,|| Jurrien ten Berg, MD,¶ Claudio Cavallini, MD,# Martial Hamon, MD,** Dariusz Dudek, MD,†† Uwe Zeymer, MD,‡‡ Xavier Tabone, MD,§§ Steen D. Kristensen, MD, DMSc,||| Debra Bernstein, PHD,§ Prodromos Anthopoulos, MD,§ Jayne Prats, PHD,§ Philippe Gabriel Steg, MD¶¶##***†††

ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVES This study sought to determine clinical, procedural, and treatment factors associated with acute stent thrombosis (AST) in the EUROMAX (European Ambulance Acute Coronary Syndrome Angiography) trial.

BACKGROUND Bivalirudin started during transport for primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) for ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction significantly reduced major bleeding compared with heparin with or without glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors (GPI), but it was associated with an increase in AST.

METHODS We compared patients with (n = 12) or without AST (n = 2,184) regarding baseline, clinical, and procedural characteristics and antithrombotic treatment strategies (choice of P2Y₁₂ inhibitor, post-primary PCI bivalirudin infusion dose [0.25 mg/kg/h, or BIV-LOW] vs. [1.75 mg/kg/h, or BIV-PCI] vs. heparin \pm GPI). Logistic regression was performed to identify independent correlates of AST.

RESULTS The overall AST rate was 0.6% and was higher with bivalirudin than with heparin \pm GPI (1.1% vs. 0.2%; p = 0.007). Median time to AST was 2.3 h (interquartile range: 1.9 to 2.8 h). Patients with AST had less hypertension (2 of 14 [14.0%] vs. 961 of 2,182 [44.0%]; p = 0.03), and more frequently received GPI (11 of 14 [78.6%] vs. 880 of 2,183 [40.3%]; p = 0.004). Multivariate analysis using Firth penalized maximum likelihood estimation found hypertension (odds ratio [OR]: 0.24, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.07 to 0.92; p = 0.037) and BIV-LOW (OR: 5.8, 95% CI: 1.5 to 22.2; p = 0.010) predictive of AST. Choice of P2Y₁₂ inhibitor had no impact on AST. Compared with heparin \pm GPI, AST rates were higher for BIV-LOW (11 of 670 [1.6%] vs. 2 of 947 [0.2%]; p = 0.008), but not different for BIV-PCI (1 of 244 [0.4%]; p = 0.588).

CONCLUSIONS In this post-hoc analysis from EUROMAX, AST occurred very early and was not mitigated by the novel $P2Y_{12}$ inhibitors. Prolonging the bivalirudin infusion at the PCI dose (but not at a lower dose) appeared to mitigate the risk of AST. (J Am Coll Cardiol Intv 2015;8:214-20) © 2015 by the American College of Cardiology Foundation.

From the *Department of Medicine, Division of Cardiology, Nykoebing F Hospital Nykoebing, Denmark; †Department of Cardiology, Rigshospitalet, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark; ‡Department of Cardiology, Isala Klinieken, Zwolle, the Netherlands; §The Medicines Company, Parsippany, New Jersey; ||Hôpital Cardiologique-Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Bordeaux, Université de Bordeaux, Pessac, France; ¶St. Antonius Hospital, Nieuwegein, the Netherlands; #Ospedale Santa Maria della Misericordia di Perugia, Perugia, Italy; **Clinical Research Department, University of Caen, Caen, France; ††Department of Cardiology and Cardio Vascular Interventions, University Hospital, Jagiellonian University, Krakow, Poland; ‡‡Klinikum Ludwigshafen, Germany; §§Hôpital de Bourges, Bourges, France; |||Department of Cardiology, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark; ¶Université Paris-Diderot, Sorbonne Paris-Cité, Paris, France; ##Cardiology, Hôpital Bichat, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Paris, France; **TInstitut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale U1148, Paris, France; and the †††National Heart and Lung Institute, Imperial College, Imperial College School of Medicine, Royal Brompton Hospital, London, United Kingdom. The EUROMAX study was sponsored by The Medicines Company. Dr. Clemmensen has been involved in research contracts with Abbott, AstraZeneca, Aventis, Bayer, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Daiichi Sankyo, Eli Lilly, Pfizer, The Medicines Company, Nycomed, Janssen, Medtronic, Organon, Merck, Myogen, Pharmacia, Regado, Sanofi, Searle, and Servier; has consulted for Evolva, Fibrex, AstraZeneca, Bayer, Boehringer Ingelheim, and Mitsubishi Pharma; and has received speakers fees from Aventis, Bayer, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Daiichi Sankyo, Eli Lilly, Merck, Medtronic, Philips,

rimary percutaneous coronary intervention (pPCI) with stent implantation is recognized as the treatment of choice for most patients with evolving ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI). In these patients, an increased risk of early stent thrombosis (ST) has been noted compared with patients with stable disease and a large number of patient-related, lesion-related, procedural, and post-procedural factors have been associated with ST, including type of stent, lesion characteristics, and thrombus burden (1-5). The choice of antithrombotic therapy in pPCI is an additional important determinant of clinical outcomes, including rates of ST. In the HORIZONS-AMI (Harmonizing Outcomes with Revascularization and Stents in Acute Myocardial Infarction) trial, the largest pPCI trial to date, compared with unfractionated heparin and glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors (GPI), bivalirudin reduced rates of net adverse clinical events, major bleeding, and death at 30 days with a survival benefit that extended to 3 years (6,7). However, an absolute

SEE PAGE 221

1% excess of acute stent thrombosis (AST) was observed in the bivalirudin arm with the difference in ST rates between treatments no longer present at 30 days (1). Since the HORIZONS-AMI trial was conducted, pPCI practice has evolved with increasing rates of prehospital diagnosis and initiation of treatment (8,9), use of radial access for catheterization (10-12), and use of the novel oral P2Y₁₂ inhibitors ticagrelor and prasugrel, whereas routine use of GPI has been on the decline (13-17). The EUROMAX (European Ambulance Acute Coronary Syndrome Angiography) trial was designed to test whether bivalirudin, initiated during transport for pPCI in STEMI patients, was superior to the use of heparin-based anticoagulation in the setting of contemporary practice (18). Consistent with the outcomes in HORIZONS-AMI, EUROMAX demonstrated a significant decrease in the primary endpoint of death and major bleeding with bivalirudin, but also showed the same 1% absolute increase in AST when compared with use of heparin with optional GPI, despite the use of an extended, albeit primarily low dose, bivalirudin infusion for up to 4 h after pPCI (8).

The aims of the present analysis were to determine whether clinical, procedural, or antithrombotic treatment-related factors, such as the choice of dose for the prolonged bivalirudin infusion, were associated with AST in the EUROMAX trial.

METHODS

EUROMAX randomized 2,198 patients transported for pPCI following a presumed diagnosis of STEMI (8). The details of the EUROMAX study design have been previ-

ously published (18). In brief, all patients had to be scheduled for angiography with the intention of performing pPCI within 2 h after first medical contact. The main exclusion criteria were treatment with any anticoagulant before randomization, recent surgery, and a history of bleeding. Patients were identified, initial consent was obtained, randomization was performed, and study drug administration was initiated in the ambulance or in a non-PCI-capable hospital. Patients were transported urgently to the pPCI hospital, where treatment was continued and outcomes data collected. All patients initially provided full or abridged written or oral informed consent in the ambulance or non-PCI hospital according to local ethical rules. This consent was subsequently confirmed by a more formal final written informed

AST = acute stent thrombosis

BIV-LOW = reduced dose of bivalirudin

BIV-PCI = full dose of bivalirudin

CABG = coronary artery bypass graft

GPI = glycoprotein inhibitor

IDR = ischemia-driven revascularization

OR = odds ratio

PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention

pPCI = primary percutaneous coronary intervention

ST = stent thrombosis

STEMI = ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction

TIMI = Thrombolysis In Myocardial Infarction

The Medicines Company, Nycomed, Pfizer, Sanofi, and Servier. Dr. van't Hof has received grant and nonfinancial support from The Medicines Company during the conduction of the study; and grant support from Correvio, AstraZeneca, Eli Lilly, and Medtronic outside the submitted work. Dr. Deliargyris is an employee of The Medicines Company. Dr. Coste has received lectures fees from AstraZeneca, Eli Lilly, Daiichi Sankyo, Servier, and The Medicines Company; and consulting fees from The Medicines Company. Dr. ten Berg has received personal fees from Eli Lilly/Daiichi Sankyo, Merck, and AstraZeneca during the conduction of the study; and speaking fees from The Medicines Company. Dr. Hamon has received personal fees from The Medicines Company and Biotronik. Dr. Dudek has received lecture fees and unrestricted grants for organization of institutional conferences from The Medicines Company. Dr. Zeymer has received research funding from Sanofi, Eli Lilly, Daiichi Sankyo, and Novartis; and is on the advisory boards of AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bayer, Daiichi Sankyo, Lilly, Iroko, and The Medicines Company. Dr. Kristensen has received lecture fees from AstraZeneca, Bristol Meyers Squibb, Eli Lilly, Pfizer, Sanofi, and The Medicines Company. Drs. Bernstein, Anthopoulos, and Prats are employees of The Medicines Company. Dr. Steg has received honoraria from Amarin, AstraZeneca, Bayer, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Daiichi Sankyo, GlaxoSmithKline, Lilly, Medtronic, Merck-Sharpe-Dohme, Novartis, Otsuka, Pfizer, Roche, Sanofi, The Medicines Company, and Vivus; has received research grants from Servier and Sanofi; and is a stockholder in Aterovax. All other authors have reported that they have no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.

Manuscript received September 15, 2014; revised manuscript received October 21, 2014, accepted November 6, 2014.

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

consent during hospitalization. The study was approved by both local ethics committees and national health authorities (18).

TREATMENT. The patients were randomized to treatment with either bivalirudin or heparin (unfractionated or low-molecular-weight heparin) with or without a GPI. Patients who were assigned to the bivalirudin group received a bolus of 0.75 mg/kg of body weight, followed by an infusion of bivalirudin of 1.75 mg/kg/h. The protocol specified that the bivalirudin infusion should be continued for 4 h after pPCI at the reduced dose of 0.25 mg/kg/h (BIV-LOW); the option to prolong the infusion at the full PCI dose of 1.75 mg/kg/h (BIV-PCI) for up to 4 h was also allowed. Bailout use of GPI in the bivalirudin arm was allowed in the event of massive thrombus burden or microvascular obstruction (no reflow). Patients who were assigned to the heparin arm (control group) received either unfractionated heparin (100 IU/kg without a GPI or 60 IU/kg with a GPI) or an intravenous bolus of enoxaparin (0.5 mg/kg). The use of GPI was left to the physician's preference and was categorized as either "routine" when started before the index procedure (emergent angiography \pm PCI) or "bailout" when started during or after the procedure for the management of large thrombus burden or no reflow. Any GPI could be used at the approved doses and regimens. All patients received aspirin and a loading dose of an approved P2Y₁₂ inhibitor as early as possible after first medical contact. Decisions regarding access site, performance of thrombus aspiration, and stent type were left to the physician's discretion (8).

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS. Baseline, clinical, and procedural characteristics and treatment strategies were compared between patients with or without AST using the chi-square test and the Wilcoxon signed rank nonparametric test. Comparison of outcomes between the treatment arms was done using the chisquare test and the log-rank test. Logistic regression using Firth penalized maximum likelihood estimation was performed to determine independent predictors of AST. The initial list of candidate variables included the following: age >65 years, sex, anemia, renal function, diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, smoker, previous PCI, myocardial infarction, or coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) surgery; choice of P2Y₁₂ inhibition (clopidogrel vs. newer agents); occurrence of procedural complications; pre-PCI TIMI (Thrombolysis In Myocardial Infarction) flow grade (0/1 vs. 2/3); single- or multivessel disease; Killip class II to IV versus class I; access site (femoral vs. radial); drug-eluting stents; and anticoagulant strategy: BIV-LOW versus heparin plus optional GPI or BIV-PCI versus heparin plus optional GPI. From the initial list of 19 variables, only 3 (age >65 years, hypertension, and BIV-LOW) were found to be significant and only hypertension and BIV-LOW were significant in the final model.

STUDY OUTCOMES. The primary endpoint of the EUROMAX trial was a composite of death and non-CABG-related protocol-defined major bleeding at 30 days. The key secondary endpoint was the composite of death, reinfarction, or non-CABG major bleeding. Additional pre-specified secondary endpoints included the following: major adverse cardiac events (death, reinfarction, ischemia-driven revas-cularization [IDR], or stroke); net adverse clinical events (death, reinfarction, IDR, stroke, or non-CABG major bleeding); each of the components of the primary and secondary composite endpoints; IDR; ST (as defined by the Academic Research Consortium (19)); and a composite of reinfarction, IDR, or ST.

The protocol definition of non-CABG major bleeding has been previously published (18). Bleeding events were also classified using TIMI and GUSTO (Global Utilization of Streptokinase and Tissue Plasminogen Activator for Occluded Coronary Arteries) criteria (20,21).

All deaths, bleeding episodes, reinfarction, IDR, ST, and strokes were adjudicated by a blinded clinical events committee.

The primary outcome for the present analysis was the occurrence of Academic Research Consortiumdefined AST (i.e., ST occurring within 24 h after pPCI).

RESULTS

Among 2,218 patients enrolled in the trial, 2,198 provided full informed consent and were included in the intention-to-treat population, which comprised the population for the present analysis. The 2,198 patients were randomized to treatment with either bivalirudin (n = 1,089) or heparin \pm GPI (n = 1,109). The overall rate of AST was 0.6% (n = 14) and the baseline characteristics of patients with and without AST is presented in Table 1. Patients with AST presented with numerically fewer risk factors despite older age. Patients with AST versus those without AST had less hypertension (14.0% vs. 44.0%; p = 0.03). Procedural characteristics and concomitant antiplatelet therapy from the pre-hospital phase through discharge are shown in Table 2. Patients with AST more often had procedural complications (21.4% vs. 6.6%; p = 0.03) and numerically more right coronary artery interventions (64.3% vs. 43.7%; p = 0.12). Patients with AST were also more likely to have received

TABLE 1 Baseline Characteristics								
	Acute ST (n = 14)	No Acute ST (n = 2,184)	p Value					
Age (yrs) median (IQR)	63 (54, 72)	61 (62, 71)	0.50					
Age >65 yrs	6 (42.9)	822 (37.6)	0.69					
Female	5 (35.7)	518 (23.7)	0.29					
Diabetes	1 (7.1)	295 (13.5)	0.49					
Hypertension	2 (14.3)	961 (44.0)	0.03					
Hyperlipidemia	3 (21.4)	812 (37.2)	0.22					
Current smoker	3 (21.4)	922 (42.3)	0.13					
Previous myocardial infarction	0 (0.0)	193 (8.8)	0.24					
Previous PCI	0 (0.0)	205 (9.4)	0.22					
Previous CABG	0 (0.0)	47 (2.2)	0.58					
Killip class II-IV	1 (7.7)	145 (7.3)	0.96					
Anemia	0 (0.0)	277 (14.1)	0.14					
Creatinine clearance								
≤60 ml/min	0 (0.0)	312 (15.7)	0.27*					
>60 ml/min	14 (100.0)	1,673 (84.3)						

Values are n (%). *This p value represents intracategory differences.

CABG = coronary artery bypass graft; IQR = interquartile range; PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention; ST = stent thrombosis.

GPI (78.6% vs. 40.3%; p = 0.004). Of note is that in patients with AST in the heparin group (n = 2) GPI administration was routine rather than bailout.

The rate of AST was higher with bivalirudin than with heparin \pm GPI (1.1% vs. 0.2%; p = 0.007). Cases of AST were clustered in the first few hours after pPCI with a median time of occurrence of just 2.3 h (interquartile range: 1.9 to 2.8 h) from the start of angiography (Figure 1). None of the AST cases were fatal. Of the 12 patients with AST who received bivalirudin, 11 received BIV-LOW and 1 received BIV-PCI. In the multivariate analysis using Firth penalized maximum likelihood estimation hypertension (odds ratio [OR]: 0.24, p = 0.037) and receipt of BIV-LOW (OR: 5.8, p = 0.010) were predictors of AST. The rate of AST in BIV-LOW was significantly higher than with heparin \pm GPI (11 of 670 [1.6%] vs. 2 of 947 [0.2%]; p = 0.008), whereas the AST rate in patients who received BIV-PCI was comparable to that for patients treated with heparin \pm GPI. Table 3 provides outcomes according to anticoagulant strategy and post-PCI bivalirudin infusion dose. Of the patients randomized to bivalirudin who underwent pPCI, 73.3% (670 of 914) received the BIV-LOW infusion after the PCI at 0.25 mg/kg/h (median duration 240 min), and 26.7% (244 of 914) continued the infusion at the PCI dose (total median duration of 240 min from the start of PCI). The bleeding risk was not increased among the patients in the BIV-PCI group compared with those in the BIV-LOW group (2.9% vs. 2.4%) and bleeding rates were lower than that

	Acute ST (n = 14)	No Acute ST (n = 2,184)	p Value
Single-vessel disease	6 (42.9)	1,141/2,138 (53.4)	0.51
PCI done	14 (100.0)	1,875/2,184 (85.9)	0.13
Left anterior descending artery	5 (35.7)	843/1,875 (45.0)	0.49
Left circumflex coronary artery	0 (0.0)	247/1,875 (13.2)	0.15
Right coronary artery	9 (64.3)	820/1,875 (43.7)	0.12
Balloon angioplasty only	0 (0.0)	90/1,875 (4.8)	0.40
Stent implanted	14 (100.0)	1,719/1,875 (91.7)	0.26
Drug-eluting stent	7 (50.0)	1,060/1,875 (56.5)	0.62
Thrombectomy	5 (35.7)	597/1,875 (31.8)	0.76
Procedural complication	3 (21.4)	123/1,875 (6.6)	0.03
Pre-PCI TIMI flow grade			
0/1	7/13 (53.8)	1,149/1,850 (62.1)	0.72*
2	3/13 (23.1)	298/1,850 (16.1)	
3	3/13 (23.1)	403/1,850 (21.8)	
Post-PCI TIMI flow grade			
0/1	0/13 (0.0)	34/1,849 (1.8)	0.87*
2	0/13 (0.0)	60/1,849 (3.2)	
3	13/13 (100.0)	1,755/1,849 (94.9)	
Aspirin use	14 (100.0)	2,181/2,183 (99.9)	0.91
P2Y ₁₂ inhibitor loading dose (overall)	13 (100.0)	2,093/2,136 (98.0)	0.61
Clopidogrel	5/13 (38.5)	1,064/2,091 (50.9)	0.05*
Prasugrel	2/13 (15.4)	627/2,091 (30.0)	
Ticagrelor	6/13 (46.2)	400/2,091 (19.1)	
Glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor use	11/14 (78.6)	880/2,183 (40.3)	0.004
Routine	3/11 (27.3)	688/880 (78.2)	< 0.001
Bailout	8/11 (72.7)	192/880 (21.8)	

observed in the heparin \pm GPI treated group (6%). Reasons for continuing with the BIV-PCI dose were not collected. There were differences in clinical characteristics between patients who received BIV-LOW and BIV-PCI for the extended infusion. Among the latter, there were more smokers, more hyperlipidemia, hypertension, and left main disease, fewer patients treated with P2Y12 inhibitors before angiography, more bailout GPI use, less thrombectomy, fewer patients with post-PCI TIMI flow, and higher incidence of failed procedures. Overall patient and procedural risk appeared to be higher in the BIV-PCI group, which may in turn have been the determinant for the choice of the higher dose for the prolonged infusion. Accordingly, clinical outcomes in the BIV-PCI group may also have been a reflection of their clinical and procedural profile.

The cumulative incidence of ST events in the first 24 h and in the first 30 days are shown in Figures 1A and 1B, respectively.



The choice of oral $P2Y_{12}$ inhibitor (clopidogrel vs. prasugrel or ticagrelor) did not appear to affect the rates of 30-day ST or AST. Specifically, AST rates among patients receiving prasugrel or ticagrelor was 0.8% (8 of 1,035) versus 0.5% (5 of 1,069) in patients receiving clopidogrel. The overall 30-day rate of definite ST was 1.1% (13 of 1,135) among patients receiving the new oral $P2Y_{12}$ inhibitors and 1.0% (8 of 784) in patients receiving clopidogrel.

DISCUSSION

In the EUROMAX trial, the overall incidence of AST was low (0.6%), but the risk was higher among patients randomized to bivalirudin. This is in keeping with similar observations from HORIZONS-AMI. Although the absolute number of AST cases was small, thereby precluding the definitive assessment of associated factors, EUROMAX provided additional information on AST in the setting of contemporary

TABLE 3 Comparison of Outcomes by Randomized Treatment Group and Bivalirudin Post-PCI Infusion Dose*										
	Henarin + GPI	Bivalirudin Prolonged at Low Dose			Bivalirudin Prolonged at PCI Dose					
	(n = 947)	(n = 670)	RR (95% CI)†	p Value†	(n = 244)	RR (95% CI)‡	p Value‡			
Primary outcome—death or non-CABG major bleeding	76 (8.0)	22 (3.3)	0.41 (0.26-0.65)	0.0002	18 (7.4)	0.92 (0.56-1.51)	0.738			
Key secondary outcome—death, reinfarction, or non-CABG major bleed	84 (8.9)	36 (5.4)	0.61 (0.42-0.88)	0.009	20 (8.2)	0.92 (0.58-1.47)	0.740			
All-cause death	25 (2.6)	9 (1.3)	0.51 (0.24-1.08)	0.080	11 (4.5)	1.71 (0.85-3.42)	0.131			
Ischemia-driven revascularization	17 (1.8)	18 (2.7)	1.50 (0.78-2.88)	0.228	3 (1.2)	0.68 (0.20-2.32)	0.543			
Reinfarction—MI	9 (1.0)	16 (2.4)	2.51 (1.12-5.65)	0.026	2 (0.8)	0.86 (0.19-3.97)	0.849			
Definite ST	6 (0.6)	15 (2.2)	3.53 (1.38-9.06)	0.009	1 (0.4)	0.65 (0.08-5.35)	0.686			
Acute, ≤24 h	2 (0.2)	11 (1.6)	7.77 (1.73-34.96)	0.008	1 (0.4)	1.94 (0.18-21.31)	0.588			
Subacute, >24 h to 30 days	4 (0.4)	4 (0.6)	1.41 (0.35-5.63)	0.620	0 (0.0)	N/A	N/A			
Protocol major bleeding	57 (6.0)	16 (2.4)	0.40 (0.23-0.68)	0.0009	7 (2.9)	0.48 (0.22-1.03)	0.060			
Protocol minor bleeding	74 (7.8)	36 (5.4)	0.69 (0.47-1.01)	0.057	11 (4.5)	0.58 (0.31-1.07)	0.081			
MACE-death, MI, IDR, or stroke	48 (5.1)	32 (4.8)	0.94 (0.61-1.46)	0.789	17 (7.0)	1.37 (0.81-2.35)	0.244			
NACE—death, MI, IDR, stroke, or non-CABG major bleeding	97 (10.2)	43 (6.4)	0.63 (0.44-0.88)	0.008	23 (9.4)	0.92 (0.60-1.42)	0.706			

Values are n (%) unless otherwise specified. *Data are not available regarding a post-PCI infusion for 35 patients. †The RR and p values are for the comparison of heparin with optional GPI versus bivalirudin with prolonged low-dose infusion. ‡The RR and p values are for comparison of heparin with optional GPI versus bivalirudin with prolonged PCI dose infusion.

CI = confidence interval; GPI = glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor; IDR = ischemia-driven revascularization; MACE = major adverse cardiac events; MI = myocardial infarction; N/A = not applicable; NACE = net adverse clinical events; RR = relative risk; other abbreviations as in Table 1.

pPCI. Importantly, EUROMAX confirmed that the risk period for AST appears to be limited to the first few hours after pPCI despite the fact that the Academic Research Consortium definition encompasses the first 24 h.

There were 2 distinct treatment strategies incorporated in the EUROMAX trial that had the potential to mitigate the risk of excess AST, namely, the extended infusion of bivalirudin and the use of the newer P2Y₁₂ inhibitors. According to the results of this analysis, neither the use of prasugrel or ticagrelor, nor a prolonged low-dose bivalirudin infusion were able to reduce the AST risk. However, this posthoc analysis suggests that the selection of the full PCI dose for the prolonged post-procedural infusion may be associated with an AST risk that is comparable to that observed with heparin \pm GPI. The higher infusion dose did not seem to increase the risk for bleeding, because major bleeding rates did not appear to be substantially different between the 2 infusion doses. As stated previously, both the choice for the use of GPI and the selection of the dose for the prolonged bivalirudin infusion were not randomized, but rather left to the investigator's discretion and almost certainly influenced by the patient's clinical and procedural risk profile. In that regard, the results of this post-hoc analysis need to be interpreted with caution especially as it relates to the overall 30-day clinical outcomes that may be more directly related to patient and procedural factors rather than being indicative of treatment strategy performance.

Consistent with increasing adoption of the new P2Y₁₂ inhibitors in contemporary practice, approximately 50% of patients in EUROMAX were treated upstream with a loading dose of prasugrel or ticagrelor. However, our analysis found no influence of the choice of P2Y12 inhibitor on the rates of AST. This observation is consistent with the pivotal trials for both agents that did not demonstrate significant reductions in the rates of AST compared with clopidogrel, but did indeed reduce the risk for subacute (>24 h and up to 30 days) ST (16,17). A plausible explanation for the inability of even the newer agents to prevent AST was provided by a recent study by Alexopoulos et al. (22), which demonstrated that onset of the expected platelet inhibition with prasugrel and ticagrelor can be delayed for up to 4 to 6 h in STEMI patients. With the vulnerable window for AST limited to the first few hours after pPCI, this delayed bioavailability of all the oral agents becomes important if bivalirudin is stopped immediately at the end of the procedure. The present analysis from EUROMAX suggests that this vulnerable period may be covered with a prolonged bivalirudin infusion at the PCI dose. This post-hoc observation needs prospective confirmation. STUDY LIMITATIONS. The modeling used to determine the independent predictors of ST in this post-hoc analysis has several limitations. The rate of AST was extremely low at 0.6% (n = 14), therefore the ability to reliably discern predictors is limited. The model using Firth penalized maximum likelihood estimation may be a better-suited option when modeling rare events;

however, the model is still not ideal. The list of variables in the regression model was selected post-hoc, and it is not certain that all variables that could be associated with AST were identified. Only 27% (n = 244) of bivalirudin patients received the BIV-PCI infusion dose post-procedure. Given this post-randomization analysis, the findings should be considered with caution and as hypothesis generating.

CONCLUSIONS

This analysis from EUROMAX confirms that the risk for AST is limited to the first few hours after pPCI and that neither the new oral $P2Y_{12}$ inhibitors (prasugrel or ticagrelor) nor a low-dose (0.25 mg/kg/h) bivalirudin infusion are protective. However, prolonging the bivalirudin infusion at the full PCI dose for the first few hours after pPCI was not associated with a higher risk of AST while maintaining the lower bleeding rates, suggesting that this strategy could potentially further optimize outcomes in pPCI.

REPRINT REQUESTS AND CORRESPONDENCE: Dr. Peter Clemmensen, Division of Cardiology/Department of Medicine, Nykoebing F Hospital, Fjordvej 15, DK-4800 Nykoebing, Denmark. E-mail: pc@docclemme.dk.

REFERENCES

1. Dangas GD, Caixeta A, Mehran R, et al. Frequency and predictors of stent thrombosis after percutaneous coronary intervention in acute myocardial infarction. Circulation 2011;123: 1745–56.

2. Kukreja N, Onuma Y, Garcia-Garcia HM, et al., for the Interventional Cardiologists of the Thoraxcenter (2000 to 2005). The risk of stent thrombosis in patients with acute coronary syndromes treated with bare-metal and drug-eluting stents. J Am Coll Cardiol Intv 2009;2:534-41.

3. Luscher TF, Steffel J, Eberli FR, et al. Drugeluting stent and coronary thrombosis: biological mechanisms and clinical implications. Circulation 2007;115:1051-8.

4. Nakano M, Yahagi K, Otsuka F, et al. Causes of early stent thrombosis in patients presenting with acute coronary syndrome: an ex vivo human autopsy study. J Am Coll Cardiol 2014;63: 2510–20.

5. Dangas GD, Claessen BE, Mehran R, et al. Development and validation of a stent thrombosis risk score in patients with acute coronary syndromes. J Am Coll Cardiol Intv 2012;5: 1097-105.

 Stone GW, Witzenbichler B, Guagliumi G, et al., for the HORIZONS-AMI Trial Investigators.
Bivalirudin during primary PCI in acute myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 2008;358:2218-30.

7. Stone GW, Witzenbichler B, Guagliumi G, et al. Heparin plus a glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor versus bivalirudin monotherapy and paclitaxel-eluting stents versus bare-metal stents in acute myocardial infarction (HORIZONS-AMI): final 3-year results from a multicentre, randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2011;377:2193-204.

8. Steg PG, van 't Hof A, Hamm CW, et al., for the EUROMAX Investigators. Bivalirudin started during emergency transport for primary PCI. N Engl J Med 2013;369:2207-17.

9. Hirschl MM, Mayr H, Erhart F, et al. Prehospital treatment of patients with acute myocardial

infarction with bivalirudin. Am J Emerg Med 2012; 30:12-7.

10. Mehta SR, Jolly SS, Cairns J, et al., for the RIVAL Investigators. Effects of radial versus femoral artery access in patients with acute coronary syndromes with or without ST-segment elevation. J Am Coll Cardiol 2012;60:2490-9.

11. Steg PG, Huber K, Andreotti F, et al. Bleeding in acute coronary syndromes and percutaneous coronary interventions: position paper by the Working Group on Thrombosis of the European Society of Cardiology. Eur Heart J 2011;32: 1854–64.

12. Hamon M, Pristipino C, Di Mario C, et al. Consensus document on the radial approach in percutaneous cardiovascular interventions: position paper by the European Association of Percutaneous Cardiovascular Interventions and Working Groups on Acute Cardiac Care and Thrombosis of the European Society of Cardiology. Euro-Intervention 2013;8:1242–51.

13. Steg PG, James SK, Atar D, et al., for the Task Force on the Management of ST-Segment Elevation Acute Myocardial Infarction of the European Society of Cardiology. ESC guidelines for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation. Eur Heart J 2012;33:2569–619.

14. O'Gara PT, Kushner FG, Ascheim DD, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of ST-elevation myocardial infarction: executive summary: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol 2013;61:485-510.

15. Shahzad A, Cooper RM, Stables RH. Antithrombotic therapy in PCI: why not heparin? Euro-Intervention 2013;9:423-6.

16. Steg PG, James S, Harrington RA, et al., for the PLATO Study Group. Ticagrelor versus clopidogrel in patients with ST-elevation acute coronary syndromes intended for reperfusion with primary percutaneous coronary intervention: a Platelet

Inhibition and Patient Outcomes (PLATO) trial subgroup analysis. Circulation 2010;122:2131-41.

17. Montalescot G, Wiviott SD, Braunwald E, et al., for the TRITON-TIMI 38 Investigators. Prasugrel compared with clopidogrel in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention for ST-elevation myocardial infarction (TRITON-TIMI 38): double-blind, randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2009;37:723-31.

18. Steg PG, van't Hof A, Clemmensen P, et al. Design and methods of European Ambulance Acute Coronary Syndrome Angiography Trial (EUROMAX): an international randomized openlabel ambulance trial of bivalirudin versus standard-of-care anticoagulation in patients with acute ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction transferred for primary percutaneous coronary intervention. Am Heart J 2013;166:960-7.

19. Cutlip DE, Windecker S, Mehran R, et al. Clinical end points in coronary stent trials: a case for standardized definitions. Circulation 2007;115: 2344–51.

20. The GUSTO Investigators. An international randomized trial comparing four thrombolytic strategies for acute myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 1993;329:673-82.

21. Bovill EG, Terrin ML, Stump DC, et al. Hemorrhagic events during therapy with recombinant tissue-type plasminogen activator, heparin, and aspirin for acute myocardial infarction: results of the Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction (TIMI), Phase II Trial. Ann Intern Med 1991;115:256-65.

22. Alexopoulos D, Xanthopoulou I, Gkizas V, et al. Randomized assessment of ticagrelor versus prasugrel antiplatelet effects in patients with ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction. Circ Cardiovasc Interv 2012;5:797-804.

KEY WORDS bivalirudin, percutaneous coronary intervention, stent thrombosis, ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction