



## Addendum

## Addendum to: “Dislocations in second strain gradient elasticity” [Int. J. Solids Struct. 43 (2006) 1787–1817]

M. Lazar<sup>a,\*</sup>, G.A. Maugin<sup>b</sup>, E.C. Aifantis<sup>c,d</sup><sup>a</sup>Emmy Noether Research Group, Department of Physics, Darmstadt University of Technology, Hochschulstr. 6, D-64289 Darmstadt, Germany<sup>b</sup>Institut Jean Le Rond d'Alembert, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, 4 Place Jussieu, Case 162, F-75252 Paris Cedex 05, France<sup>c</sup>Laboratory of Mechanics and Materials, Polytechnic School, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, P.O. Box 468, 54124 Thessaloniki, Greece<sup>d</sup>Center for the Mechanics of Material Instabilities and Manufacturing Processes, Michigan Technological University, Houghton, MI 49931, USA

In the present note we want to give some remarks on the general case of anisotropic second strain gradient elasticity given by Lazar et al. (2006) and especially on the symmetries of the material tensors entering in the generalized stress–strain relationships. In Lazar et al. (2006), the strain energy density  $W$  has the following form

$$W = \frac{1}{2} C_{ijkl} E_{ij} E_{kl} + \frac{1}{2} C_{ijklmn} E_{ij,k} E_{lm,n} + \frac{1}{2} C_{ijklmnpq} E_{ij,kl} E_{mn,pq} + D_{ijklm} E_{ij} E_{kl,m} + D_{ijklmn} E_{ij} E_{kl,mn} + D_{ijklmnp} E_{ij,k} E_{lm,np}, \quad (1)$$

where the last three contributions are cross terms. From Eq. (1) we obtain the following constitutive equations for the second (Cauchy-like), third (double), fourth (triple) order stress tensors

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{ij} &= C_{ijkl} E_{kl} + D_{ijklm} E_{kl,m} + D_{ijklmn} E_{kl,mn}, \\ \tau_{ijk} &= D_{lmijk} E_{lm} + C_{ijklmn} E_{lm,n} + D_{ijklmnp} E_{lm,np}, \\ \tau_{ijkl} &= D_{mnijkl} E_{mn} + D_{mnpijkl} E_{mn,p} + C_{ijklmnpq} E_{mn,pq}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where  $\sigma_{ij} = \partial W / \partial E_{ij}$ ,  $\tau_{ijk} = \partial W / \partial E_{ij,k}$  and  $\tau_{ijkl} = \partial W / \partial E_{ij,kl}$ . Here  $C_{ijkl}$ ,  $C_{ijklmn}$ ,  $C_{ijklmnpq}$ ,  $D_{ijklm}$ ,  $D_{ijklmn}$  and  $D_{ijklmnp}$  are the material tensors with the constitutive coefficients, which Lazar et al. (2006) assumed, for simplicity, to possess additional symmetry properties than those implied by their definitions (see also Agiasofitou and Lazar (2009)). In general, the material tensors in anisotropic second strain gradient elasticity possess only the following symmetries:

$$\begin{aligned} C_{(ij)(kl)} &\equiv C_{(kl)(ij)} \equiv C_{(ij)(kl)}, \\ C_{(ij)k(lm)n} &= C_{(lm)n(ij)k} \equiv C_{(ij)k(lm)n}, \\ C_{(ij)(kl)(mn)(pq)} &= C_{(mn)(pq)(ij)(kl)} \equiv C_{(ij)(kl)(mn)(pq)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The material tensors  $D_{(ij)(kl)m}$ ,  $D_{(ij)(kl)(mn)}$  and  $D_{(ij)k(lm)(np)}$  do not possess additional symmetries. The additional symmetries for  $C_{(ij)k(lm)n}$  and  $C_{(ij)(kl)(mn)(pq)}$  given in (2.27) by Lazar et al. (2006) were obtained by substituting Eq. (2) into the stress equilibrium condition

$$\overset{\circ}{\sigma}_{ij,j} = 0, \quad \overset{\circ}{\sigma}_{ij} = \sigma_{ij} - \tau_{ijk,k} + \tau_{ijkl,kl}. \quad (4)$$

The terminology adopted by Lazar et al. (2006) for  $\overset{\circ}{\sigma}_{ij}$  was ‘total stress’ whereas the terminology ‘Cauchy stress’ was adopted for

the stress  $\sigma_{ij}$  with the dimension of force/(length)<sup>2</sup>, while the terminology ‘double stress’ and ‘triple stress’ was adopted for the hyperstress  $\tau_{ijk}$  which has the dimension of force/length and  $\tau_{ijkl}$  which has the dimension of force. Alternatively, the self-equilibrating stress  $\overset{\circ}{\sigma}_{ij}$  could be identified with the Cauchy stress (e.g. Aifantis (2010) and references quoted therein) and then, Eq. (4.b) would play the role of a ‘constitutive equation’ for  $\overset{\circ}{\sigma}_{ij}$ .

In order to obtain the additional symmetries for  $D_{(ij)(kl)m}$  and  $D_{(ij)k(lm)(np)}$  given in (2.27) by Lazar et al. (2006), we assumed that the crossing terms of the tensors of odd rank cancel each other out in Eq. (4). Moreover, the symmetry for the material tensor  $D_{(ij)(kl)(mn)}$  which is of even rank was assumed for simplicity. Of course, from the group theoretical point of view, the material tensors possess only the symmetries given above, i.e. those listed in Eq. (3).

If we substitute the constitutive relations given by Eq. (2) into the equilibrium condition given by Eq. (4), we find for the general anisotropic case the result:

$$\begin{aligned} C_{(ij)(kl)} E_{kl,j} - C_{(ij)k(lm)n} E_{lm,jkn} + C_{(ij)(kl)(mn)(pq)} E_{mn,jklpq} \\ + (D_{(ij)(kl)m} - D_{(kl)(ij)m}) E_{kl,jm} + (D_{(ij)(kl)(mn)} + D_{(kl)(ij)(mn)}) E_{kl,jmn} \\ - (D_{(ij)k(lm)(np)} - D_{(lm)k(ij)(np)}) E_{lm,jknp} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

In addition, the stress tensor  $\overset{\circ}{\sigma}_{ij}$ , for the general case of anisotropic second strain gradient elasticity, reads

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{\circ}{\sigma}_{ij} = C_{(ij)(kl)} E_{kl} - C_{(ij)k(lm)n} E_{lm,kn} + C_{(ij)(kl)(mn)(pq)} E_{mn,klpq} \\ + (D_{(ij)(kl)m} - D_{(kl)(ij)m}) E_{kl,m} + (D_{(ij)(kl)(mn)} + D_{(kl)(ij)(mn)}) E_{kl,mn} \\ - (D_{(ij)k(lm)(np)} - D_{(lm)k(ij)(np)}) E_{lm,knp}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Lazar et al. (2006) considered, in Eqs. (2.23)–(2.27), the case of anisotropic second strain gradient elasticity. Afterwards they specialized to the isotropic case. All the solutions derived by Lazar et al. (2006) are valid for the isotropic case.

## References

- Lazar, M., Maugin, G.A., Aifantis, E.C., 2006. Dislocations in second strain gradient elasticity. Int. J. Solids Struct. 43, 1787–1817.  
 Agiasofitou, E., Lazar, M., 2009. Anisotropic elasticity of grade three: conservation and balance laws. In: Steinmann, P. (Ed.), IUTAM Symposium on Progress in the

DOI of original article: [10.1016/j.ijsolstr.2005.07.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsolstr.2005.07.005).

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [lazar@mechanik.tu-darmstadt.de](mailto:lazar@mechanik.tu-darmstadt.de) (M. Lazar).

- Theory and Numerics of Configurational Mechanics, IUTAM Bookseries, vol. 17. Springer, pp. 179–191.
- Aifantis, E.C., 2010. A personal view on current generalized theories of elasticity and plastic flow. In: Maugin, G.A., Metrikine, A.V. (Eds.), Advances in Mechanics and Mathematics (Proc. of the Euromech 510 “Mechanics of generalized continua: A hundred years after the Cosserats”, Paris, May 13–16, 2009). Springer, NY, pp. 181–192. Chapter 20.