Original Article

Development and validation of an HPLC–UV method for the quantification of carbamazepine in rabbit plasma
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Abstract
An isocratic simple rapid assay has been developed and validated for the determination of carbamazepine (CBZ) in both solution form and rabbit plasma using propylparaben as an internal standard. The assay was performed using a l-Bondapak C18 (150 mm × 4.6 mm i.d) with a mobile phase consisting of methanol and water (50:50), the flow rate was 1 ml/min and UV detection at 285 nm. The method was found to be specific for CBZ, no interfering peaks were observed with an overall analytical run time of 15 min. Accuracy reported as % recovery were found to be 98.37–100.45% and 97.53–103.58% for inter-day and intra-day accuracies, respectively. Inter-day precision (reproducibility) was found to be 0.53–2.75% RSD, while intra-day precision (repeatability) was found to be 1.06–3.7% RSD for the samples studied. The calibration curve was found to be linear with the equation \( y = 0.2847x + 0.0138 \), with a correlation coefficient of 0.9999 \((R^2)\) over a concentration range of 0.5–40 \(\mu g/ml\). The limit of quantitation was the lowest concentration. The method is simple and rapid and does not require any preliminary treatment of the sample. The method was fully validated.

© 2011 King Saud University. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Carbamazepine (CBZ), 5-H-dibenzen[b,fl]azepine-5-carboxamide (Fig. 1), is a tricyclic lipophilic compound that is a first-choice antiepileptic drug for the treatment of simple and complex partial seizures (Duche and Loiseau, 1995). It is almost completely metabolized in the body and only small traces are excreted unchanged in urine (Goodman et al., 2001). Therapeutic concentrations have been reported to be 6–12 \(\mu g/ml\), although considerable variations may arise (Goodman et al., 2001). The use of an established therapeutic range for CBZ concentration...
is limited by the presence of carbamazepine-10,11-epoxide (CBZ-E), its active metabolite that significantly contributes to the efficacy and toxicity and also possesses pharmacological activity as an anticonvulsant (He et al., 1992), though it reaches lower concentrations than CBZ.

Various methods have been reported in the literature for the determination of CBZ, in particular those using chromatography (Bhatti et al., 1998; Dasgupta et al., 1999; Ashy et al., 1986; Raggi et al., 2000; Franceschi and Furlanut, 2005; Chelberg et al., 1988; Hartley et al., 1986; Oh et al., 2006; Yoshida et al., 2006; Elizabeth et al., 2007; Budakova et al., 2008; Hemenway et al., 2010). High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) and fluorescence polarization immunoassay (FPIA) are usually employed as routine techniques for the determination of this and other anticonvulsants (Sanchez et al., 1999). Other techniques such as micellar electrokinetic capillary chromatography (MECC) (Lancas et al., 2003) and chemiluminescence (Lee et al., 2003) were used. Very recently, two approaches were presented in the literature. One of them uses spectrophotometry and multivariate calibration (Rezaei et al., 2005) for the simultaneous determination of CBZ and phenytoin. The other method exploits the unusual fluorescence activity as an anticonvulsant (He et al., 1992), though it reaches lower concentrations than CBZ.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

Carbamazepine was kindly supplied by Tabouk Pharmaceutical Company, Tabouk, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Methanol (HPLC grade) was purchased from BDH, Pool, England. Water for HPLC was purified from ELGA® PURELAB UHQ-II-MK3, HP143JH, UK. All other chemicals and solvents were of analytical reagent grade.

2.2. Equipment and chromatographic conditions

The study employed a high pressure liquid chromatography (Waters™ 1515 isocratic controller, Waters, USA) equipped with a Waters 2487 Dual λ Absorbance detector and an automatic sampling system (Waters™ 717). The mobile phase consisted of methanol and water (50:50), and the flow rate was 1 ml/min. Separation was achieved using a 150 mm × 4.6 mm (i.d.) C18, µ-Bondapak™, Waters, reversed phase column with an average particle size of 10 µm, and the column was kept at ambient temperature. The column effluent was monitored at 285 nm and the chromatographic data analysis was performed with the Empower™ Program (Waters, USA).

2.3. Stocks solutions and standards

Stock solutions of CBZ were prepared in triplicate by dissolving 50.0 mg CBZ in 50 ml methanol, resulting in a solution containing 1 mg/ml. This solution was diluted 20-fold by methanol to give working solution (50 µg/ml). A stock solution of the internal standard at a concentration of 1 mg/ml was prepared by dissolving 50.0 mg of propylparaben (Fig. 1) in 50 ml methanol. Working solutions of CBZ (50 µg/ml), and 250 µg/ml of propylparaben were prepared by dilution of the stock solutions in methanol.

Calibration curves were constructed in methanol by preparing a series of concentrations of the drug (0.5, 1, 2, 5, 10, 20 and 40 µg/ml) with the internal standard included at a fixed concentration of 10 µg/ml. Calibration curves were also constructed in rabbit plasma. These involved replicate analysis of plasma samples spiked with varying concentrations of CBZ (0.5, 1, 2, 5, 10, 20 and
40 μg/ml) and a fixed concentration of the internal standard (10 μg/ml).

2.4. Treatment of plasma samples

The working solutions (100 μl) were separately transferred to clean dry centrifugation tubes. Rabbit plasma (500 μl) was added to each tube. These were vortex mixed for 2 min before adding 400 μl of methanol. These were vortex mixed before centrifugation at 5000 rpm for 5 min. The supernatant was separated and loaded into HPLC vials before injecting 50 μl into the HPLC system.

2.5. Method validation

The calibration curve of CBZ is a plot of the peak area ratio (PAR) of the drug to the internal standard as a function of the drug concentration (C). This gives the following equation: \[ \text{PAR} = \text{Slope} \times C + \text{Intercept} \]. The slope and the intercept are determined from the determined PAR and the nominal concentration of the drug. The unknown CBZ concentrations are determined from this equation.

The precision of the method based on intraday variability was determined by replicate analysis of the calibration standards in the same day. The reproducibility was taken as the interday variability and was determined by replicate analysis of the calibration standards in different days with one replicate being analyzed each day. The relative standard deviation values (RSD) were calculated from the ratios of the standard deviation (SD) to the mean and expressed as percentage.

The accuracy of the method was determined by comparing practical amounts recovered from the control samples with actual values present in the samples (theoretical values). The selectivity of the method was determined by examining the interference from the endogenous materials in rabbit plasma or from the degradation products of the drug.

The limit of quantification LOQ was taken as the lowest concentration that can be accurately (relative error <20% for biological samples and <2% for the in vitro samples) and precisely determined (RSD <20% for biological samples and <2% for the in vitro samples). The LOD for CBZ was found to be 0.25 μg/ml and this result is in agreement with data reported in Elizabeth et al. (2007). The drug is stable in liquid preparation and the assay was not validated as stability indicated (Srinivasa and Belorkar, 2010).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. HPLC assay of CBZ

HPLC with UV detection was chosen as a simple, fast, and effective separation method for the determination of CBZ (Bhatti et al., 1998; Dasgupta et al., 1999; Ashy et al., 1986; Raggi et al., 2000; Franceschi and Furlanut, 2005; Chelberg et al., 1988; Hartley et al., 1986; Oh et al., 2006; Yoshida et al., 2006; Elizabeth et al., 2007; Budakova et al., 2008; Hemenway et al., 2010). In extensive preliminary experiments, a series of aqueous mobile phases with different pH values in combination with different organic modifiers were tested. Best results were obtained when using methanol and water (50:50) and adjusting the pH of the solution to 5, allowing adequate separation of the drug and the internal standard using a C18 μ-Bondapak™ column at a flow-rate of 1.0 ml/min. In addition, depending on the weak plasma protein binding of both drug and IS, methanol was used for protein precipitation (1:1) in order to obtain satisfactory values for recovery of CBZ and IS. The selected chromatographic conditions provided optimum resolution of CBZ and IS.

Figure 2  Representative chromatograms of carbamazepine showing 0.5 μg/ml as lower concentration (left) and 40 μg/ml as higher concentration (right). CBZ, carbamazepine; IS, internal standard.
Fig. 2 shows representative chromatograms of low and high concentrations of CBZ in methanol. The CBZ and the IS were eluted after 7.75 and 11.36 min, respectively. Using the chromatographic conditions described above, the selectivity was further evidenced by the ability of the assay to separate the drug and the internal standard from plasma samples without interference from any endogenous material with a good separation and resolution of their peaks. This is clearly indicated from Fig. 3.

3.2. Method validation

The linearity of the assay method was evaluated by seven-point standard curves; with concentration range selection of (0.5–40 µg/ml) based on drug concentration. These standards were analyzed in replicates of the range mentioned above. Standard curves were constructed over a 6-week period to determine the variability of the slopes and intercepts. The results show little day-to-day variability in the slopes and intercepts. The mean (± standard deviation; SD) regression equation for replicated calibration curves constructed on different days was determined using the least-squares linear regression analysis method. Standard calibration curves reflected good linearity of the assay in the concentration range of 0.5–40 µg/ml. The linear regression of the calibration curve produced an equation of \((y = 0.2847x + 0.0138)\), with a correlation coefficient of 0.9999 \((R^2)\).

The interday and intraday accuracies were expressed as the closeness to the true value and are calculated as the percent recovery related to the nominal values. Tables 1 and 2 present the percentage of drug recovered relative to the nominal values. The recovered values were close to the true value suggesting the accuracy of the assay. The % recoveries of CBZ was ranged from 98.37% to 100.45% and 97.53% to 103.58% for interday and intraday, respectively. These high values of the % drug recovered reflect the accuracy of the assay method.

The interday and intraday precisions were measured as the relative standard deviation (RSD) expressed as percentage over the concentration range of CBZ during the course of validation. This is presented in Tables 1 and 2 for the interday and intraday precisions. The results indicated an acceptable precision for all concentrations assayed for both interday and intraday samples. The RSD\% of CBZ ranged from 0.53% to 2.75% and 1.06% to 3.7% for both interday and intraday precisions, respectively. The low values of RSD\% reflect the precision of the assay method.

The LOQ for this method was found to be 0.5 µg/ml. This is indicated from the precision and accuracy of such
concentration. Both accuracy and precision values throughout the concentration range (0.5–40 μg/ml) were acceptable (ICH Guideline Q2 (R1), 2005). The specificity of the method with regard to CBZ was ascertained by the absence of any co-eluted or chromatographic interference peaks (Figs. 2 and 3).

4. Conclusion

The HPLC method developed in this article is rapid, sensitive, and specific. The accuracy and precision of the method are within the acceptable range (ICH Guideline Q2 (R1), 2005). The simplicity of technique and the high sensitivity make this technique particularly attractive for the quantification of CBZ in both solution and rabbit plasma. The method can also be readily adapted to routine quality control analysis.
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