

NORMALITY OF PRODUCT SPACES AND MORITA'S CONJECTURES

K. CHIBA

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Shizuoka University, Ohya, Shizuoka 422, Japan

T.C. PRZYMUSINSKI*

Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, Poland

M.E. RUDIN

Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706, USA

Received 22 June 1984

Revised 24 January 1985

It is well-known that Z is a perfectly normal space (normal P -space) if and only if $X \times Z$ is perfectly normal (normal) for every metric space X . Conversely, denote by \mathcal{Q} (resp. \mathcal{N}) the class of all spaces X whose products $X \times Z$ with all perfectly normal spaces (all normal P -spaces) Z are normal. It is natural to ask whether \mathcal{Q} and \mathcal{N} necessarily coincide with the class \mathcal{M} of metrizable spaces.

Clearly, $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{Q}$. We prove that first countable members of \mathcal{Q} are metrizable and that under $V=L$ the classes \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} coincide, thus giving a consistency proof of Morita's conjecture. On the other hand, even though \mathcal{Q} contains non-metrizable members, it is quite close to \mathcal{M} : the class \mathcal{Q} is countably productive and hereditary, and all members X of \mathcal{Q} are stratifiable and satisfy $c(X) = l(X) = w(X)$. In particular, locally Lindelöf or locally Souslin or locally p -spaces in \mathcal{Q} are metrizable.

The above results immediately lead to the consistency proof of another Morita's conjecture, stating that X is a metrizable σ -locally compact space if and only if $X \times Y$ is normal for every normal countably paracompact space Y . No additional set-theoretic assumptions are necessary if X is first countable.

In our investigation, an important role is played by the famous Bing examples of normal, non-collectionwise normal spaces. Answering Dennis Burke's question, we prove that products of two Bing-type examples are always non-normal.

AMS(MOS) Subj. Class.: 54B10, 54D15

product spaces	normality
metrizability	$V=L$

* The paper was completed while T.C. Przymusinski was visiting the University of Texas at El Paso.

1. Introduction

It is well-known that Z is a perfectly normal space (normal P-space) if and only if $X \times Z$ is perfectly normal (normal) for every metric space X . Conversely, denote by \mathbf{Q} (resp. \mathbf{N}) the class of all spaces X whose products $X \times Z$ with all perfectly normal spaces (all normal P-spaces) Z are normal. It is natural to ask whether \mathbf{Q} and \mathbf{N} necessarily coincide with the class \mathbf{M} of metrizable spaces.

Clearly, $\mathbf{M} \subset \mathbf{N} \subset \mathbf{Q}$. We prove that first countable members of \mathbf{Q} are metrizable and that under $V = L$ the classes \mathbf{M} and \mathbf{N} coincide, thus giving a consistency proof of Morita's conjecture. On the other hand, even though \mathbf{Q} contains non-metrizable members, it is quite close to \mathbf{M} : The class \mathbf{Q} is countably productive and hereditary, and all members X of \mathbf{Q} are stratifiable and satisfy $c(X) = l(X) = w(X)$. In particular, locally Lindelöf or locally Souslin or locally p-spaces in \mathbf{Q} are metrizable. Our results, therefore, essentially improve those obtained earlier by K. Chiba [2, 3, 4] and by T. and K. Chiba [5]. We also present a simple example of a non-metrizable space of cardinality ω_1 in \mathbf{Q} .

The above results immediately lead to the consistency proof of another Morita's conjecture, stating that X is a metrizable σ -locally compact space if and only if $X \times Y$ is normal for every normal countably paracompact space Y . No additional set-theoretic assumptions are necessary if X is first countable.

An important role in our investigation is played by the famous Bing examples of normal non-collectionwise normal spaces, and by their generalizations, which we call *Bing-type examples*.

Definition. By a *Bing-type example* we mean any normal space H with the following properties:

(B1) H contains an uncountable, closed and discrete subset D consisting of functions $f: T \rightarrow \omega$, for some T ;

(B2) basic neighborhoods of elements $f \in D$ have the form $B(f, F, \dots)$, where F is a finite subset of T and $B(f, F, \dots) \cap B(g, G, \dots) \neq \emptyset$ if $f|_F \cap G = g|_F \cap G$. (The dots in $B(f, F, \dots)$ indicate that basic neighborhoods in H may also depend on some other parameters, which are however, irrelevant for our study).

We will denote by $H(\kappa)$ any Bing-type example for which $|D| \geq \kappa > \omega$.

It is immediate that both examples G and H constructed by Bing [1], as well as many of their later modifications (see e.g. [7] and [6]) are Bing-type examples. In particular, for every $\kappa > \omega$ there exist σ -discrete, perfectly normal and metacompact Bing-type examples $H(\kappa)$ [7]. Applying the Δ -lemma, one easily sees that Bing-type examples are never ω_1 -collectionwise Hausdorff. We prove that normality of a product space $X \times H(\kappa)$ imposes strong restrictions on X ; in particular, it implies that X is κ -collectionwise Hausdorff. This shows that products of two Bing-type examples are always non-normal, thus answering Dennis Burke's question [13].

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we prove four theorems and a basic proposition showing how normality of $X \times H(\kappa)$ affects X . In Section 3 we derive from these theorems the properties of the class \mathbf{Q} of spaces whose products with all perfectly normal spaces are normal. A simple example of a non-metrizable space in \mathbf{Q} is given in Section 4. Section 5 is devoted to the class \mathbf{N} and to the consistency proof of Morita's conjectures.

All spaces are assumed to be T_3 . For all undefined symbols and notions the reader is referred to [6] and [15]. In particular, by $w(X)$, $d(X)$, $c(X)$, $l(X)$, $hc(X)$, $e(X)$, etc. we denote the weight, the density, the Souslin number, the Lindelöf number, the hereditary Souslin number (spread) and the extent of a space X , respectively. We denote by $T^{<\omega}$ the set of all finite subsets of T , by T^A the set of all functions from A into T and by $f|A$ the restriction of f to A .

2. Products with Bing-type examples

The following lemma shows that normality of $X \times H(\kappa)$ implies that X has a ' σ -cushioned expansion property' for compact families of cardinality $\leq \kappa$.

Lemma 1. *Suppose that $\{C_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ is a family of compact subsets of X and $G_\alpha \supset C_\alpha$ are open. If $X \times H(\kappa)$ is normal, then there exists a family $\{U_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ of open subsets of X and a family $\{S_n : n < \omega\}$ of subsets of κ such that $C_\alpha \subset U_\alpha$, $\bigcup_{n < \omega} S_n = \kappa$ and*

$$\overline{\bigcup \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}} \subset \bigcup \{G_\alpha : \alpha \in S\} \text{ for every } n < \omega \text{ and every } S \subset S_n. \tag{1}$$

Proof. Let $\{f_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ be a set of mutually distinct elements of $D \subset H(\kappa)$. Define

$$K_0 = \bigcup \{C_\alpha \times \{f_\alpha\} : \alpha < \kappa\} \quad \text{and} \quad K_1 = \bigcup \{(X \setminus G_\alpha) \times \{f_\alpha\} : \alpha < \kappa\}.$$

Clearly, the sets K_0 and K_1 are closed and disjoint in $X \times H(\kappa)$. There exist therefore open sets $U_\alpha \supset C_\alpha$ in X and basic neighborhoods $B_\alpha = B(f_\alpha, F_\alpha, \dots)$ of f_α in $H(\kappa)$ such that

$$\bigcup \{U_\alpha \times B_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\} \cap K_1 \neq \emptyset. \tag{2}$$

Let $S_n = \{\alpha \in \kappa : |F_\alpha| \leq n \text{ and } f_\alpha(F_\alpha) \subset n\}$. Obviously, $\bigcup_{n < \omega} S_n = \kappa$.

Suppose that condition (1) fails for some n and some $S \subset S_n$. There exists therefore an $x_0 \in X$ such that

$$x_0 \in \bigcup \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in S\} \setminus \overline{\bigcup \{G_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}}. \tag{3}$$

Clearly, there exists an $L \in T^{<\omega}$ such that $x_0 \in \overline{\bigcup \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in S, L \subset F_\alpha\}}$ and $x_0 \notin \bigcup \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in S, L^* \subset F_\alpha\}$, for any $L \subset L^*$, $L \neq L^*$. Since there are only finitely many functions $p: L \rightarrow n$ there exists an $\alpha_0 \in S$ such that $x_0 \in \bigcup \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in S, L \subset F_\alpha, f_\alpha|L = f_{\alpha_0}|L\}$. Take an arbitrary $K \in T^{<\omega}$. We claim that

$$x_0 \in \bigcup \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in S, f_\alpha|K \cap F_\alpha = f_{\alpha_0}|K \cap F_\alpha\}. \tag{4}$$

Indeed, for every $\alpha \in K \setminus L$ we have $x_0 \notin \overline{\bigcup \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in S, L \cup \{\alpha\} \subset F_\alpha\}}$, hence $x_0 \in \bigcup \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in S, L \subset F_\alpha, f_\alpha|L = f_{\alpha_0}|L, K \setminus F_\alpha \subset L\}$, which clearly implies (4).

Consider the point $z = \langle x_0, f_{\alpha_0} \rangle \in X \times H(\kappa)$. Since $\alpha_0 \in S, x_0 \notin G_{\alpha_0}$ and $z \in K_1$. Let $U \times B$ be an arbitrary neighborhood of z , where $B = B(f_{\alpha_0}, K, \dots)$ for some $K \in T^{<\omega}$. By (4) there exists an $\alpha \in \kappa$ such that $U \cap U_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ and $f_\alpha|K \cap F_\alpha = f_{\alpha_0}|K \cap F_\alpha$. By (B2), $B \cap B_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ and therefore $(U \times B) \cap (U_\alpha \times B_\alpha) \neq \emptyset$, which contradicts (2) and completes the proof. \square

From Lemma 1 we derive four theorems showing how normality of $X \times H(\kappa)$ affects X .

Theorem 1. *If $X \times H(\kappa)$ is normal, then X is κ -collectionwise normal with respect to compact sets.*

More generally, every discrete collection of $\leq \kappa$ subsets of X , each of which is a union of $\leq \kappa$ compact sets, can be separated by open sets.

Proof. Let $\{K_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa\}$ be a discrete collection of subsets of X and let $C_{\alpha,\beta}$ be compact sets such that $K_\alpha = \bigcup \{C_{\alpha,\beta} : \beta < \kappa\}$, for all $\alpha < \kappa$. Let $G_{\alpha,\beta} = X \setminus \bigcup \{K_\gamma : \gamma < \kappa \text{ and } \gamma \neq \alpha\}$. Clearly, $C_{\alpha,\beta} \subset K_\alpha \subset G_{\alpha,\beta}$. By Lemma 1, there exists a family $\{U_{\alpha,\beta} : \alpha, \beta < \kappa\}$ of open subsets of X and a family $\{S_n : n < \omega\}$ of subsets of $\kappa \times \kappa$ such that $C_{\alpha,\beta} \subset U_{\alpha,\beta}$, $\bigcup_{n < \omega} S_n = \kappa \times \kappa$ and $\bigcup \{U_{\alpha,\beta} : \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \in S\} \subset \bigcup \{G_{\alpha,\beta} : \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \in S\}$, for all $n < \omega$ and $S \subset S_n$. Define

$$V_\alpha = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \left(\bigcup \{U_{\alpha,\beta} : \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \in S_n\} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n \overline{\bigcup \{U_{\gamma,\delta} : \gamma \neq \alpha, \langle \gamma, \delta \rangle \in S_i\}} \right).$$

The sets V_α are clearly open and one easily checks that $K_\alpha \subset V_\alpha$ and $V_\alpha \cap V_\beta = \emptyset$, for $\alpha \neq \beta$. \square

Corollary 1. *Products of two Bing-type examples are always non-normal.*

It was essentially proved in [3] that normality of $X \times H(\kappa)$ with $\kappa \geq |X|$ implies perfect normality of X . Here, we strengthen this result.

Theorem 2. *If $X \times H(\kappa)$ is normal and $\kappa \geq |X|$, then X is perfectly paracompact. More generally, the condition $\kappa \geq |X|$ can be replaced by the assumption that X is a union of $\leq \kappa$ compact sets.*

Proof. We prove perfect normality of X first. Let F be a closed subset of X and suppose that $X = \bigcup \{C_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ and C_α are compact. Since $H(\kappa)$ is not ω_1 -collectionwise normal, the spaces C_α are metrizable [9] and therefore $C_\alpha \setminus F = \bigcup \{C_{\alpha,n} : n < \omega\}$, where $C_{\alpha,n}$ are compact. For every $\langle \alpha, n \rangle$ let $G_{\alpha,n} = X \setminus F$. By Lemma 1, there exist open sets $U_{\alpha,n} \supset C_{\alpha,n}$ and subsets S_n of $\kappa \times \omega$ such that $\bigcup \{S_n : n < \omega\} = \kappa \times \omega$ and $L_n = \bigcup \{U_{\alpha,m} : \langle \alpha, m \rangle \in S_n\} \subset X \setminus F$. Clearly, $\bigcup_{n < \omega} L_n = X \setminus F$.

Now, we prove paracompactness of X . Let $\mathbf{W} = \{W_t: t \in T\}$ be an open covering of X and let $X = \bigcup \{C_\alpha: \alpha < \kappa\}$, where C_α are compact. For every $\alpha < \kappa$ there exists a finite subset $T_0 \subset T$ such that $C_\alpha \subset \bigcup \{W_t: t \in T_0\}$, hence, subdividing the sets C_α if necessary, we can assume that for every $\alpha < \kappa$ there is a $t_\alpha \in T$ such that $C_\alpha \subset W_{t_\alpha}$. Define $G_\alpha = W_{t_\alpha}$ and apply Lemma 1. There exist open sets $U_\alpha \supset C_\alpha$ and subsets $S_n \subset \kappa$ such that $\bigcup_{n < \omega} S_n = \kappa$ and

$$\overline{\bigcup \{U_\alpha: \alpha \in S\}} \subset \bigcup \{W_{t_\alpha}: \alpha \in S\}$$

for every $n < \omega$ and $S \subset S_n$. This means that \mathbf{W} has a σ -cushioned open refinement and thus proves paracompactness of X [8]. \square

Theorem 2 can be greatly strengthened if we assume that $\kappa \geq \max(|X|, w(X))$.

Theorem 3. *If $X \times H(\kappa)$ is normal and $\kappa \geq \max(|X|, w(X))$, then X is stratifiable.*

Proof. Let U be a base of X of cardinality $\leq \kappa$ and let $\{\langle x_\alpha, U_\alpha \rangle: \alpha \in \kappa\}$ be the enumeration of all possible pairs $\langle x, U \rangle$, where $x \in U \in U$. By Lemma 1 there exist open sets G_α and subsets S_n of κ such that $x_\alpha \in G_\alpha$, $\bigcup_{n < \omega} S_n = \kappa$ and for every $n < \omega$ and every $S \subset S_n$ we have

$$\overline{\bigcup \{G_\alpha: \alpha \in S\}} \subset \bigcup \{U_\alpha: \alpha \in S\}. \tag{5}$$

For every open set W in X and every $n < \omega$ define $W(n) = \bigcup \{G_\alpha: U_\alpha \subset W, \alpha \in S_n\}$. Clearly, the sets $W(n)$ are open, $W \subset V$ implies $W(n) \subset V(n)$ and $W = \bigcup_{n < \omega} W(n)$. By (5), we also have $\overline{W(n)} \subset W$, which proves that X is stratifiable. \square

In [5] T. and K Chiba essentially proved that normality of $X \times H(\kappa)$ with $\kappa \geq w(X)$ implies $w(X) = d(X)$. Their proof can be modified to show that actually $w(X) = hc(X)$. Here, we give a much simpler proof, using Lemma 1, of a slightly weaker result.

Theorem 4. *If $X \times H(\kappa)$ is normal and $\kappa \geq \max(|X|, w(X))$, then $w(X) = hc(X)$.*

Proof. Suppose otherwise and let $\mu = hc(X) < w(X)$. Denote by U a base of X of cardinality $\leq \mu$, let $\lambda = \mu^+$ and suppose that

$$D = \{f_{\langle x, U \rangle}: x \in U \in U\} \subset H(\kappa)$$

consists of mutually distinct functions $f_{\langle x, U \rangle}: T \rightarrow \omega$. Define

$$K_0 = \{\langle x, f_{\langle x, U \rangle} \rangle: x \in U \in U\}$$

and

$$K_1 = \bigcup \{(X \setminus U) \times \{f_{\langle x, U \rangle}\}: x \in U \in U\}.$$

The sets K_0 and K_1 are disjoint and closed subsets of $X \times H(\kappa)$ and therefore there exist open sets $V_{\langle x,U \rangle}$ in X containing x and basic neighborhoods $B_{\langle x,U \rangle} = B(f_{\langle x,U \rangle}, F_{\langle x,U \rangle}, \dots)$ of $f_{\langle x,U \rangle}$ in $H(\kappa)$ such that

$$\overline{\bigcup \{V_{\langle x,U \rangle} \times B_{\langle x,U \rangle} : x \in U \in U\}} \cap K_1 = \emptyset.$$

Let $V = \bigcup \{V_{\langle x,U \rangle} \times B_{\langle x,U \rangle} : x \in U \in U\}$. By induction, for every $\alpha < \lambda$ find $x_\alpha \in U_\alpha \in U$ and define $V_\alpha = V_{\langle x_\alpha, U_\alpha \rangle}$. Let $x_0 \in U_0 \in U$ be arbitrary. If $\alpha < \lambda$ then the family $\{V_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$ is not a base of X so there exists a point $x_\alpha \in U_\alpha \in U$ such that $x_\alpha \in V_\beta$ and $\beta < \alpha$ imply $V_\beta \setminus U_\alpha \neq \emptyset$. Let $F_\alpha = F_{\langle x_\alpha, U_\alpha \rangle}$ and $f_\alpha = f_{\langle x_\alpha, U_\alpha \rangle}$.

Using a Δ -system argument on λ we can find a subset S of λ of cardinality λ and a finite subset F of T such that $F_\alpha \cap F_{\alpha'} = F$ and $f_\alpha|F = f_{\alpha'}|F$, for all $\alpha, \alpha' \in S$, $\alpha \neq \alpha'$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $D = \{f_\alpha : \alpha \in \lambda\}$ and $\lambda = S$.

Let $A = \{\beta \in \lambda : V_\beta \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \lambda} U_\alpha\}$. Suppose that $|A| = \lambda$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $A = \lambda$ and use Lemma 1 on $x_\alpha \in V_\alpha$ to choose for all $\alpha < \lambda$ an open W_α in X with $x_\alpha \in W_\alpha \subset V_\alpha$ so that $\lambda = \bigcup_{n < \omega} \lambda_n$ and $L < \lambda_n$ implies $\bigcup_{\alpha \in L} W_\alpha \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in L} V_\alpha$. There is an $n < \omega$ such that $|\lambda_n| = \lambda$. The set $\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in \lambda_n\}$ is discrete in X and consists of mutually distinct points. Indeed, if $\beta < \alpha$, then $V_\beta \subset U_\alpha$ and $x_\alpha \notin V_\beta$, thus $x_\alpha \notin \{x_\beta : \beta \in \lambda_n, \beta < \alpha\}$. If $\beta > \alpha$, then $x_\beta \notin V_\alpha$, thus $x_\alpha \notin \{x_\beta : \beta \in \lambda_n, \beta \neq \alpha\}$. This contradicts $hc(X) < \lambda$.

Let $P = \{\beta \in \lambda : \text{there exists a } \beta^* < \lambda \text{ with } V_\beta \setminus U_{\beta^*} \neq \emptyset\}$. We have $|P| = \lambda$. For each $\beta \in P$ choose $p_\beta \in V_\beta \setminus U_{\beta^*}$ and observe that $\langle p_\beta, f_{\beta^*} \rangle \in K_1$. There exist open sets $W_\beta \subset V_\beta$ with $p_\beta \in W_\beta$ and basic open neighborhoods $B'_{\beta^*} = B(f_{\beta^*}, F'_{\beta^*}, \dots)$ of f_{β^*} such that

$$V \cap (W_\beta \times B'_{\beta^*}) = \emptyset.$$

The family $\{p_\beta : \beta \in P\}$ is discrete and no infinite number of points p_β are the same, contradicting $hc(X) < \lambda$, again.

Indeed, otherwise there would be a p_α and infinitely many β 's say $\{\beta_i : i \in \omega\}$ such that $W_\alpha \cap V_{\beta_i} \neq \emptyset$ for all $i \in \omega$. Since $(W_\alpha \times B'_{\alpha^*}) \cap V = \emptyset$ and $V_{\beta_i} \times B_{\beta_i} \subset V$ we must have

$$B'_{\alpha^*} \cap B_{\beta_i} = \emptyset,$$

but $f_{\alpha^*}|F = f_{\beta_i}|F$, therefore for each $i < \omega$ there must exist a $t_i \in F'_{\alpha^*} \cap (F_{\beta_i} \setminus F)$ such that $f_{\alpha^*}(t_i) \neq f_{\beta_i}(t_i)$, but the family $\{F_{\beta_i} \setminus F\}_{i < \omega}$ is disjoint and infinite, and F'_{α^*} is finite, which is impossible. \square

Corollary 2. *If $X \times H(\kappa)$ is normal and $\kappa \geq \max(|X|, w(X))$, then X is stratifiable and $c(X) = l(X) = w(X)$.*

Proof. It follows from Theorems 3 and 4 that X is stratifiable and $hc(X) = w(X)$. Always, $e(X) \leq l(X) \leq w(X)$, $e(X) \leq hc(X)$ and $c(X) \leq hc(X)$ (see [6, Problem

3.12.7]). It is easy to see that in any perfectly paracompact space, $hc(X) = c(X) = e(X)$. \square

Remark. It follows, that under the above assumptions also cardinal functions $e(X)$, $d(X)$, $hd(X)$, $hc(X)$, $hl(X)$ and $nw(X)$ are all equal to $w(X)$ and $w(X) \leq |X|$.

Corollary 2 gives necessary conditions for a space X to have the property that $X \times H(\kappa)$ is normal if $\kappa \geq \max(|X|, w(X))$. These conditions are by no means sufficient as the following example shows.

Example 1 [2, Example 1]. There exists a first countable and stratifiable space X of cardinality c (= continuum) such that $w(Y) = hc(Y)$ for every subspace Y of X , but $X \times H(c)$ is not normal.

In fact, the following basic result holds, which plays a fundamental role in the consistency proof of Morita's conjectures.

Proposition 1 (basic). *Suppose that $\langle Y, U \rangle$ is a non-metrizable topological space and $\kappa = |U|$. There is a perfectly normal Bing-type example $H(\kappa)$ such that if $Y \times H(\kappa)$ is normal then there exists an increasing sequence $\{Y_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ of subsets of Y such that $\bigcup \{Y_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\} \setminus \bigcup \{\bar{Y}_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\} \neq \emptyset$. In particular, Y has uncountable tightness.*

Proof. Suppose otherwise and let $H(\kappa)$ be a modified 'Bing's G on κ '. Let $T = \{\text{subsets of } \kappa\}$ and, for $x \in U \in U$, let f_{xU} be the function $f: T \rightarrow 2$ defined by $f(A) = 1$ if and only if $\langle x, U \rangle \in A$. Let $F = \{f_{xU} \mid x \in U \in U\}$. Let $\Sigma = \{\sigma: D \rightarrow 2 \mid D \text{ is a finite subset of } T\}$ and, for $\sigma \in \Sigma$, $B_\sigma = \{f \in F \cup \Sigma \mid f \text{ extends } \sigma\}$. If $H(\kappa) = F \cup \Sigma$ is topologized by using $\{\{\sigma\} \mid \sigma \in \Sigma\} \cup \{B_\sigma \mid \sigma \in \Sigma\}$ as a basis, then $H(\kappa)$ is a perfectly normal Bing-type example.

Assume $Y \times H(\kappa)$ is normal and define $K_0 = \{(x, f_{xU}) \mid x \in U \in U\}$ and $K_1 = \bigcup \{(Y - U) \times \{f_{xU}\} \mid x \in U \in U\}$. As usual there is an open V containing K_0 whose closure misses K_1 . For all $y \in Y$, define $\Gamma_y = \{\gamma \in \Sigma \mid (\{y\} \times B_\gamma) \cap \bar{V} = \emptyset\}$ and, for $\sigma \in \Sigma$, let V_σ be the interior of $V'_\sigma = \{y \in Y \mid \text{for every } \gamma \in \Gamma_y, \text{ there exists an } A \in (\text{dom } \sigma \cap \text{dom } \gamma) \text{ with } \sigma(A) \neq \gamma(A)\}$.

Suppose $x \in U \in U$. Then $(x, f_{xU}) \in V$ and $(y, f_{xU}) \notin \bar{V}$ for any $y \in Y - U$. So there are σ and σ_y in Σ for all $y \in (Y - U)$, which are all extended by f_{xU} , and there are open W and W_y for each $y \in (Y - U)$ with $x \in W$ and $y \in W_y$ such that $(W \times B_\sigma) \subset V$ and $(W_y \times B_{\sigma_y}) \cap \bar{V} = \emptyset$. If $z \in W_y$, $\sigma_y \in \Gamma_z$ and σ and σ_y agree on their common domain; so $\bar{V}'_\sigma \subset U$. If $z \in W$, $(\{z\} \times B_\sigma) \subset V$ so there can be no $\gamma \in \Sigma$ with $(\{z\} \times B_\gamma) \cap \bar{V} = \emptyset$ for which γ and σ agree on their common domain. So $W \subset V'_\sigma$ and W is open and $x \in V_\sigma \subset V'_\sigma \subset U$. Let $\Sigma_x = \{\sigma \in \Sigma \mid x \in V_\sigma \text{ but } x \notin V_\tau \text{ for any } \tau \in \Sigma, \tau \neq \sigma\}$.

Since σ is finite and $\tau \subset \sigma$ implies $V_\tau \subset V_\sigma$, if $x \in U \in \mathcal{U}$ there is $\sigma \in \Sigma_x$ with $x \in V_\sigma \subset V'_\sigma \subset U$ and we let σ_{xU} denote one such σ .

We now forget all about $H(\kappa)$ and only use the following facts about Y obtained from the fact that $Y \times H(\kappa)$ is normal:

(1) (Theorem 2) Y is perfectly paracompact.

(2) Σ is a set of functions into 2 with finite domains, and, for each $y \in Y$, Γ_y is a subset of Σ .

(3) For $\sigma \in \Sigma$ define V_σ to be the interior of $V'_\sigma = \{y \in Y \mid \text{for every } \gamma \in \Gamma_y, \text{ there exists an } A \in (\text{dom } \sigma \cap \text{dom } \gamma) \text{ with } \sigma(A) \neq \gamma(A)\}$ and, for $x \in Y$, $\Sigma_x = \{\sigma \in \Sigma \mid x \in V_\sigma \text{ but } x \notin V_\tau \text{ for any } \tau \subset \sigma, \tau \neq \sigma, \text{ in } \Sigma\}$. Then, for $x \in U \in \mathcal{U}$ there is $\sigma = \sigma_{xU} \in \Sigma_x$ such that $x \in V_\sigma \subset V'_\sigma \subset U$.

(4) (See the proof of Lemma 1 and Theorem 3). If $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $y \in Y$, $Q \subset \{(x, U) \mid x \in U \in \mathcal{U}\}$, and, for all $(x, U) \in Q$, $|\text{dom } \sigma_{xU}| \leq n$ and $y \notin U$, then $y \notin \text{closure}(\bigcup \{V_{\sigma_{xU}} \mid (x, U) \in Q\})$.

Claim. For each $y \in Y$, Σ_y is countable.

Suppose that Σ_y is not countable. For each $\alpha < \omega_1$, we will define a set Y_α such that $Y_\beta \subset Y_\alpha$ for all $\beta < \alpha$, and $y \notin \overline{Y_\alpha}$, but $y \in \text{closure}(\bigcup \{Y_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega_1\})$.

By a Δ -system argument we choose a set D and, for each $\alpha < \omega_1$, a $\sigma_\alpha \in \Sigma_y$ such that, for all $\alpha \neq \beta$ in ω_1 ,

- (i) $\text{dom } \sigma_\alpha \neq D$ and $\sigma_\alpha \neq \sigma_\beta$,
- (ii) $(\text{dom } \sigma_\alpha) \cap (\text{dom } \sigma_\beta) = D$,
- (iii) $\sigma_\alpha(A) = \sigma_\beta(A)$ for all $A \in D$.

For $\alpha < \omega_1$, let $E_\alpha = \bigcup \{\text{dom } \sigma_\beta \mid \beta < \alpha\}$ and let $E = \bigcup \{E_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega_1\}$. Define $Y_\alpha = \{x \in Y \mid \text{there exists a } \gamma \in \Gamma_x \text{ with } \gamma(A) = \sigma_0(A) \text{ if } A \in (\text{dom } \gamma \cap D) \text{ and } ((\text{dom } \gamma) \cap E) \subset E_\alpha\}$.

Clearly $Y_\beta \subset Y_\alpha$ if $\beta < \alpha$ and $y \notin \overline{Y_\alpha}$ since $y \in V_{\sigma_\alpha}$ and $V_{\sigma_\alpha} \cap Y_\alpha = \emptyset$. But every neighborhood of y intersects some Y_α . For suppose $y \in U \in \mathcal{U}$. By (i) we can choose $A \in (\text{dom } \sigma_0 - D)$; let $\sigma = \sigma_0 \setminus (\text{dom } \sigma_0 - \{A\})$. Since $\sigma_0 \in \Sigma_y$, $y \in V_{\sigma_0} - V_\sigma$. So there must exist $x \in U \cap V_{\sigma_0}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma_x$ such that $A \in \text{dom } \gamma$ and $\gamma(A) \neq \sigma_0(A)$ but γ agrees with σ on their common domain. There is an $\alpha < \omega_1$ such that $((\text{dom } \gamma) \cap E) \subset E_\alpha$; thus $x \in U \cap Y_\alpha$; and the proof of the claim is complete.

Continuing the proof of Proposition 1 we begin our construction of a σ -locally finite basis for Y , thus proving that Y is metrizable.

By the Claim we can index $\Sigma_y = \{\sigma_{iy} \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

If $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $U \in \mathcal{U}$, define $U(j) = \bigcup \{V_\sigma \mid \sigma = \sigma_{xW} \text{ for some open } W \subset U \text{ and } |\text{dom } \sigma| \leq j\}$.

For all i and j in \mathbb{N} and $y \in Y$ we define $W_{ij}(y) = V_{\sigma_{iy}} - \text{closure}\{\bigcup \{V_{\sigma_{ix}}(j) \mid y \notin V_{\sigma_{ix}}\}\}$; then, by (4), $W_{ij}(y)$ is an open neighborhood of y .

By induction we construct a set S_n of sequences $S = \langle U_1, i_1, j_1, U_2, y_2, i_2, j_2, U_3, y_3, i_3, j_3, \dots, U_n, y_n, i_n, j_n \rangle$ where $U_1 = Y$, for all $m < n$, U_m is open in Y , $i_m \in \mathbb{N}$, $j_m \in \mathbb{N}$, and, for $1 < m \leq n$, $y_m \in Y$ and $U_m \subset W_{i_1 j_1}(y_m)$.

If $n = 1$, $S_1 = \{\langle Y, i, j \rangle \mid i, j \in N\}$.

If $S \in S_n$ is as above, define $X_S = U_n - \bigcup \{V_{\sigma_{i_m j_m}} \mid 1 < m \leq n\}$, and $W_S = U_n \cap (\bigcup \{W_{i_j j_1}(x) \mid x \in X_S\})$. Since Y is perfectly paracompact, for each $j \in N$ we can define a locally finite refinement L_{Sj} of $\{W_{i_j j_1}(x) \mid x \in X_S\}$ such that $\bigcup \{UL_{Sj} \mid j \in N\} = W_S$. For each $U \in L_{Sj}$ choose $y_U \in X_S$ with $U \subset W_{i_j j_1}(y_U)$. (Observe that y_U actually depends on S and j as well as on U .) For later use, if $i \in N$, define $L_{Sij} = \{U \cap V_{\sigma_{i y_U}} \mid U \in L_{Sj}\}$. Define $S_{n+1}(S) = \{\langle U_1, i_1, j_1, \dots, U_n, y_n, i_n, j_n, U, y_U, i, j \rangle \mid i, j \in N \text{ and } U \in L_{Sj}\}$.

Let $S_{n+1} = \bigcup \{S_{n+1}(S) \mid S \in S_n\}$.

For $n \in N$, let J_n be the set of all sequences $J = \langle i_1, j_1, i_2, j_2, \dots, i_m, j_m \rangle$ of terms of N ; if $m \leq n$ we use J_m to denote $\langle i_1, j_1, \dots, i_m, j_m \rangle$. If $J \in J_n$, let $L_J = \bigcup \{L_{S_{i_m+1 j_{m+1}}} \mid \text{for some } m < n, S \in S_m \text{ and extends } J_m\}$. We make two claims for $J = \bigcup \{J_n \mid n \in N\}$:

(I) For each $J \in J$, L_J is a locally finite family of open sets.

(II) $\bigcup \{L_J \mid J \in J\}$ is a basis for the topology of Y .

When we prove these claims we will have proved Proposition 1.

Proof of (I). Suppose $p \in Y$ and $J = \langle i_1, j_1, \dots, i_m, j_m \rangle$.

If $S_1 \in S_1$ and extends J_1 , then $S_1 = \langle Y, i_1, j_1 \rangle$ and $L_{S_1 j_2}$ is a locally finite open cover of Y . Thus there is an open neighborhood O_1 of p such that $U_1 = \{U \in L_{S_1 j_2} \mid U \cap O_1 \neq \emptyset\}$ is finite. Thus $L_{S_1 i_2 j_2}$ has only finitely many members which intersect O_1 .

If $S_2 \in S_2$ and S_2 extends J_2 , then $S_2 = \langle Y, i_1, j_1, U_2, y_2, i_2, j_2 \rangle$ where $U_2 \in L_{S_1 j_2}$. Since $O_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$ unless $U_2 \subset U_1$ and $L_{S_2 j_3}$ is a locally finite family of subsets of U_2 , we can assume that $U_2 \in U_1$ and we can find an open neighborhood O_2 of p with $U_2 = \{U \in L_{S_2 j_3} \mid S \in S_2, S \text{ extends } J_2, \text{ and } U \cap O_2 \neq \emptyset\}$ being finite.

Continuing in this way, for each $m < n$ we choose a neighborhood O_m of p such that $U_m = \{U \in L_{S_{j_{m+1}}} \mid S \in S_m, S \text{ extends } J_m, \text{ and } U \cap O_m \neq \emptyset\}$ is finite. Thus $\bigcap \{O_m \mid m < n\}$ is a neighborhood of p meeting only finitely many members of L_J .

Proof of (II). Since by (3) $\{V_{\sigma_{ip}} \mid i \in N\}$ is a local basis at $p \in Y$, it suffices to show that for every p and i there is $J \in J$ and $U \in L_J$ with $p \in U \subset V_{\sigma_{ip}}$.

Let $i_1 = i$ and choose $j_1 \in N$ sufficiently large that $p \in V_{\sigma_{ip}}(j_1)$; (if $W = V_{\sigma_{ip}}$, then $|\sigma_{pW}|$ would clearly be 'sufficiently large'.)

Let $S_1 = \langle Y, i, j_1 \rangle$; since $Y = W_{S_1}$, $\bigcup \{L_{S_1 j} \mid j \in N\}$ covers Y and there is $j_2 \in N$ and $U_2 \in L_{S_1 j_2}$ such that $p \in U_2$. Let $y_2 = y_{U_2}$. Then $U_2 \subset W_{i_1 j_1}(y_2) \subset (V_{iy_2} - \bigcup \{V_{\sigma_{ix}}(j_1) \mid y_2 \notin V_{\sigma_{ix}}\})$. Since $p \in U_2$, $y_2 \in V_{\sigma_{ip}}$. We have two cases:

Case a₁. $\sigma_{ip} = \sigma_{i_2 y_2}$ for some $i_2 \in N$. In this case $p \in (U_2 \cap V_{\sigma_{i_2 y_2}}) \subset V_{\sigma_{ip}}$ and $(U_2 \cap V_{\sigma_{i_2 y_2}}) \in L_{S_1 i_2 j_2} \subset L_{J_2}$ where $J_2 = \langle i, j_1, i_2, j_2 \rangle$. So we are done.

Case b₁. $\sigma_{ip} \neq \sigma_{i_2 y_2}$ for any $i_2 \in N$. Since $y_2 \in V_{\sigma_{ip}}$ there must be some $i_2 \in N$ such that $\sigma_{i_2 y_2} \subsetneq \sigma_{ip}$. Let $S_2 = \langle Y, i, j_1, U_2, y_2, i_2, j_2 \rangle$. By the definition of σ_{ip} , $p \notin V_\tau$ for any $\tau \subsetneq \sigma_{ip}$; so $p \notin V_{\sigma_{i_2 y_2}}$; but $p \in U_2$ so $p \in X_{S_2} \subset W_{S_2}$. Thus there is $j_3 \in N$ and $U_3 \in L_{S_2 j_3}$ such that $p \in U_3$. Let $y_3 = y_{U_3}$. Then $U_3 \subset W_{i_1 j_1}(y_3)$. As with y_2 , $y_3 \in V_{\sigma_{ip}}$. But observe that also $y_3 \notin V_{\sigma_{i_2 y_2}}$ since $X_{S_2} \cap V_{\sigma_{i_2 y_2}} = \emptyset$. Again we have two cases:

Case a₂. $\sigma_{ip} = \sigma_{i_3y_3}$ for some $i_3 \in N$. As in Case a₁, since $y_3 \in V_{\sigma_{ip}}$ and $(U_3 \cap V_{\sigma_{i_3y_3}}) \in L_{S_2i_3j_3} \subset L_{J_3}$ where $J_3 = \langle i, j_1, i_2, j_2, i_3, j_3 \rangle$, we are done and we can assume:

Case b₂. $\sigma_{ip} \neq \sigma_{i_3y_3}$ for any $i_3 \in N$. As in (b₁), there is $i_3 \in N$ with $\sigma_{i_3y_3} \subsetneq \sigma_{ip}$; but this time, since $y_3 \notin V_{\sigma_{i_2y_2}}$, also $\sigma_{i_3y_3} \neq \sigma_{i_2y_2}$. Defining $S_3 = \langle Y, i, j_1, U_2, y_2, i_2, j_2, U_3, y_3, i_3, j_3 \rangle$ we continue this process, building for each $n > 1$ an S_n with the properties that $\sigma_{i_ny_n} \subsetneq \sigma_{ip}$ and $\sigma_{i_ny_n} \neq \sigma_{i_my_m}$ for any $m < n$. Since σ_{ip} is finite and can have only finitely many distinct subsets, this contradiction proves Proposition 1. \square

3. Products with perfectly normal spaces

Results obtained in Section 2 enable us to investigate more closely the class \mathbf{Q} of spaces, whose products with all perfectly normal spaces are normal.

Corollary 3. *If $X \times Z$ is normal for every perfectly normal space Z , then X is stratifiable and $w(X) = c(X) = l(X)$.*

Proof. Immediate consequence of Corollary 2. \square

Lemma 2. *Suppose that spaces $X_i \times Z$ are normal for every $i < \omega$ and every perfectly normal space Z . Then, the product space $\prod_{i < \omega} X_i \times Z$ is perfectly normal for every perfectly normal space Z .*

Proof. Let Z be an arbitrary perfectly normal space. It suffices to show by induction that $\prod_{i \leq n} X_i \times Z$ is perfectly normal, for every n . This is certainly true for $n = 0$. If $\prod_{i \leq n} X_i \times Z$ is perfectly normal, then the space $P = X_{n+1} \times \prod_{i \leq n} X_i \times Z$ is normal, by assumption. By Corollary 3, the space X_{n+1} is stratifiable and therefore has a σ -discrete network, which easily implies that P is perfectly normal. \square

Corollary 4. *If $X \times Z$ is normal for every perfectly normal space Z , then $X^\omega \times Z$ is perfectly normal, for every perfectly normal Z .*

Corollary 5. *The class \mathbf{Q} of spaces, whose products with all perfectly normal spaces are normal, is countably productive and hereditary.*

There exist non-metrizable spaces, whose products with all perfectly normal spaces are normal (see [5] or Section 4). On the other hand, we have the following:

Corollary 6. *Every locally ccc or locally Lindelöf or locally p -space, whose products with all perfectly normal spaces are normal, is metrizable.*

Proof. Corollary 3 implies that every locally ccc or locally Lindelöf or locally p -space is locally metrizable and paracompact, and therefore metrizable. \square

Corollary 7. *Every space with countable tightness (e.g. first countable), whose products with all perfectly normal spaces are normal, is metrizable.*

Proof. Immediate consequence of Proposition 1. \square

Corollary 8. *Every k -space whose products with all perfectly normal spaces are normal, is metrizable.*

Proof. By Lemma 2 of [4], such a space has to have countable tightness. \square

The class \mathbf{Q} is countably productive, hereditary and appears to be quite close to the class of metrizable spaces.

Problem 1. Characterize the class \mathbf{Q} of spaces, whose products with all perfectly normal spaces are normal.

4. A non-metrizable space whose product with every perfectly normal space is normal

The first example of a non-metrizable space whose product with every perfectly normal space is normal was given in [5]. Here, we present a simple example of cardinality ω_1 . We call a cover $\{V_F: F \in \omega_1^{<\omega}\}$ *increasing* if $V_F \subset V_G$ for $F \subset G$ and by a *shrinking* of a cover $\{U_s: s \in S\}$ we mean any covering $\{A_s: s \in S\}$ such that $A_s \subset U_s$.

Example 2. A non-metrizable space Ω of cardinality ω_1 such that, for any space Z , $\Omega \times Z$ is normal if and only if Z is normal and every increasing open covering $\{V_F: F \in \omega_1^{<\omega}\}$ of Z has a closed shrinking.

In particular, $\Omega \times Z$ is normal for every perfectly normal space Z and for every normal space Z being the union of $\leq \omega_1$ compact sets.

Remark. In Section 5 we prove that under $V = L$ there are no non-metrizable spaces whose product with every normal P-space is normal (Morita's conjecture). This does not preclude the possibility that under suitable set-theoretic assumptions Example 2 could be a counter example to this conjecture.

It is easy to verify that for a countably paracompact Z the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) $\Omega \times Z$ is normal;

(ii) Z is normal and every increasing open covering $\{W_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$ of Z has a closed shrinking:

(iii) Z is normal and for every increasing open covering $\{W_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$ of Z there exist closed subsets $F_{\alpha\beta}$ of Z , $\alpha, \beta < \omega_1$, such that $F_{\alpha\beta} \subset W_\alpha$ and $\bigcup_{\alpha,\beta} F_{\alpha\beta} = Z$.

Construction of the Example. We define the space Ω to be as in Ohta [12]. Let $\Omega = \{\omega_1\} \cup \omega_1^{<\omega}$. Points different from ω_1 are isolated and the point ω_1 has a base

of neighborhoods consisting of all sets of the form

$$B_F = \{x \in \Omega : F \subset x\},$$

for $F \in \omega_1^{<\omega}$. One easily checks that Ω is a regular non-metrizable, σ -discrete space with one non-isolated point.

Suppose that $\Omega \times Z$ is normal and let $\{V_F : F \in \omega_1^{<\omega}\}$ be an increasing open covering of Z . Define $V = \bigcup \{B_F \times V_F : F \in \omega_1^{<\omega}\}$. Clearly, V is an open neighborhood of $S = \{\omega_1\} \times Z$, hence there exists an open set $G \supset S$ such that $\bar{G} \subset V$. Let

$$K_F = \overline{\bigcup \{W \subset Z : W \text{ is open and } B_F \times W \subset G\}}.$$

The family $\{K_F : F \in \omega_1^{<\omega}\}$ is a closed covering of Z , so it remains to show that $K_F \subset V_F$. Suppose that $z \in K_F$. Then, $B_F \times \{z\} \subset \bar{G} \subset V$, hence there exists an $F_0 \in \omega_1^{<\omega}$ such that $(F, z) \in B_{F_0} \times V_{F_0}$. This implies that $F_0 \subset F$ and therefore $z \in V_{F_0} \subset V_F$.

Suppose now that the condition is satisfied. We have to show that $\Omega \times Z$ is normal. Since ω_1 is the only non-isolated point of Ω and Z is normal it clearly suffices to prove that for every open neighborhood V of S there exists an open $G \supset S$ such that $\bar{G} \subset V$. Let $V_F = \bigcup \{W \subset Z : W \text{ is open and } B_F \times W \subset V\}$. The family $\{V_F : F \in \omega_1^{<\omega}\}$ is an increasing open covering of Z . There exists therefore an open covering $\{G_F : F \in \omega_1^{<\omega}\}$ of Z such that $\bar{G}_F \subset V_F$. Define $G = \bigcup \{B_F \times G_F : F \in \omega_1^{<\omega}\}$. Clearly, $G \supset S$ and $\overline{B_F \times G_F} \subset V$ for every F . This implies that $\bar{G} \subset V$, because the base $\{B_F : F \in \omega_1^{<\omega}\}$ is locally finite at every point $x \in \Omega$ different from ω_1 .

Every open covering $\{U_s : s \in S\}$ of a perfectly normal space Z has a closed shrinking. Indeed, there exist open sets $U_{s,n}$ such that $\bigcup_{n < \omega} U_{s,n} = U_s$ and $\overline{U_{s,n}} \subset U_{s,n+1}$. Since Z is countably paracompact, there exists an increasing locally finite open refinement $\{G_n : n < \omega\}$ of the open covering $\{V_n : n < \omega\}$, where $V_n = \bigcup \{U_{s,n} : s \in S\}$. It suffices to define $W_s = \bigcup \{U_{s,n} \cap G_n : n < \omega\}$. Clearly, $\bar{W}_s \subset U_s$ and $\bigcup \{W_s : s \in S\} = Z$.

Suppose now that Z is a union of $\leq \omega_1$ compact sets $\{C_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ and $V = \{V_F : F \in \omega_1^{<\omega}\}$ is an increasing open covering of Z . Since V is increasing, for every $\alpha < \omega_1$ there exists an $F_\alpha \in \omega_1^{<\omega}$ such that $C_\alpha \subset V_{F_\alpha}$. Since the union of countably many finite sets is countable, we can also assume that $F_\alpha \neq F_\beta$, for $\alpha \neq \beta$. Define

$$K_F = \begin{cases} C_\alpha & \text{if } F = F_\alpha, \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Clearly, $\{K_F : F \in \omega_1^{<\omega}\}$ is a closed shrinking of V .

5. Morita's conjectures

K. Morita proved that a space Z is a normal P-space if and only if $X \times Z$ is normal for every metrizable space X (see [15, Corollary 4.11]). He also conjectured that the converse of this result is true:

Morita's conjecture I. *A space X is metrizable if and only if $X \times Z$ is normal for every normal P -space Z .*

As we have seen in Corollary 7, the above characterization holds for all spaces X having countable tightness. Below we will show, using Proposition 1 and a recent consistency result due to Beslagic and Rudin [10], that Morita's conjecture I holds under the assumption of the Gödel's Axiom of Constructibility $V = L$.

Theorem 5. *($V = L$) Morita's conjecture I holds.*

A related result of K. Morita states that a *metrizable* space X is σ -locally compact if and only if $X \times Z$ is normal for every normal and countably paracompact space Z (see [15, Theorem 4.13]). K. Morita conjectured that the assumption of metrizable of X can be omitted:

Morita's conjecture II. *A space X is metrizable and σ -locally compact if and only if $X \times Z$ is normal for every normal and countably paracompact space Z .*

Since every normal P -space is countably paracompact, whenever the characterization in Conjecture I holds for a given space X , the corresponding characterization in Conjecture II holds for that space, too. In particular, we have the following two results:

Corollary 8. *A space X having countable tightness is metrizable and σ -locally compact if and only if $X \times Z$ is normal for every normal and countably paracompact space Z .*

Theorem 6. *($V = L$) Morita's conjecture II holds.*

The proof of Theorem 5 is an immediate consequence of Proposition 1 and Example 3 and Lemma 3 below. Notice, that $V = L$ is only used in Example 3.

Example 3 [10]. *($V = L$) For every cardinal λ there exists a collectionwise normal P -space X_λ having an increasing open cover $\{U_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ with the property that, if for each $\alpha < \omega_1$ and $\beta < \lambda$, $C_{\alpha\beta}$ is a closed in X_λ subset of U_α , then $\bigcup \{C_{\alpha\beta} : \alpha < \omega_1, \beta < \lambda\} \neq X_\lambda$.*

Lemma 3. *If Y is a space, $\kappa \geq |Y|$, $y \in Y$, and $\{Y_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ is an increasing sequence of subsets of Y , such that $y \in \bigcup \{Y_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\} \setminus \bigcup \{\bar{Y}_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$, then, $X_\kappa \times Y$ is not normal.*

Proof. Assume $X_\kappa \times Y$ is normal. For $\alpha < \omega_1$ let $L_\alpha = X_\kappa - U_\alpha$. Let $K_0 = X_\kappa \times \{y\}$ and $K_1 = \bigcup \{L_\alpha \times Y_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega_1\}$. Since K_0 and K_1 are closed and disjoint there is an open V containing K_0 whose closure misses K_1 . $\text{Index } \bigcup \{Y_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega_1\} = \{y_\beta \mid \beta < \kappa\}$ and define $C_{\alpha\beta} = \{x \in X_\kappa \mid (x, y_\beta) \in \bar{V} \text{ and } y_\beta \in Y_\alpha\}$; $C_{\alpha\beta}$ is a closed subset of U_α . By Example 3 there is an $x \in X_\kappa$ not in any $C_{\alpha\beta}$. Since $(x, y) \in V$, there is an open W in Y with $y \in W$ and $(\{x\} \times W) \subset V$. There is $y_\beta \in W$; so $(x, y_\beta) \in V$. But $y_\beta \in Y_\alpha$ for some α ; contradicting $x \notin C_{\alpha\beta}$. \square

Remark. To show that the Ω of Section 4 would do for a counter example to Morita's Conjecture I, and thus that Morita's Conjecture I is undecidable, it would suffice to show that in some model for set theory every increasing open covering $\{U_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ of a normal P-space has a closed shrinking.

Problem 2. Are Morita's conjectures independent of the axioms of set theory?

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to Professor H. Ohta for his numerous helpful comments.

References

- [1] R.H. Bing, Metrization of topological spaces, *Canad. J. Math.* 3 (1951) 175-186.
- [2] K. Chiba, On products of normal spaces, *Rep. Fac. Sci. Shizuoka Univ.* 9 (1974) 1-11.
- [3] K. Chiba, Two remarks on the normality of product spaces, *Rep. Fac. Sci. Shizuoka Univ.* 11 (1976) 17-22.
- [4] K. Chiba, On the normality of product spaces, *Math. Japonica* 25 (1980) 559-565.
- [5] T. Chiba and K. Chiba, A note on normality of product spaces, *Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku* 12 (1974) 165-173.
- [6] R. Engelking, *General Topology* (Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1977).
- [7] E. Michael, Point-finite and locally finite coverings, *Canad. J. Math.* 7 (1955) 275-279.
- [8] E. Michael, Yet another note on paracompact spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 8 (1957) 822-828.
- [9] M.E. Rudin, The normality of products with a compact factor, *Gen. Topology Appl.* 5 (1975) 45-59.
- [10] A. Beslagic and M.E. Rudin, Non-shrinking open covers from $V = L$, to appear.
- [11] K. Morita, Products of normal spaces with metric spaces, *Math. Ann.* 154 (1964) 365-382.
- [12] H. Ohta, On normal, non-rectangular products, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* 32 (1981) 339-344.
- [13] D. Burke, personal communication.
- [14] T.C. Przymusiński, Products of normal spaces, in: K. Kunen and J. Vaughan, Eds., *Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984).