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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Abstract-This paper is concerned with the oscillation of the bounded solutions of neutral difference } \\
& \text { equation } \\
& \qquad \Delta\left[a_{n} \Delta^{m-1}\left(x_{n}-p_{n} x_{n-k}\right)\right]+\delta q_{n} f\left(x_{\sigma_{n}}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Delta$ is the forward difference operator defined by $\Delta x_{n}=x_{n+1}-x_{n}$.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $N$ denote the natural numbers and let $N(a)=\{a, a+1, \ldots$,$\} . Consider$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\left[a_{n} \Delta^{m-1}\left(x_{n}-p_{n} x_{n-k}\right)\right]+\delta q_{n} f\left(x_{\sigma_{n}}\right)=0, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta= \pm 1, k$ is a positive integer, $\left\{a_{n}\right\},\left\{p_{n}\right\},\left\{q_{n}\right\}$, and $\left\{\sigma_{n}\right\}$ are sequences of real numbers on $N\left(n_{0}\right)$ for $n_{0} \geq 0, a_{n}>0$ with $\Delta a_{n} \geq 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_{n}}=\infty \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$1<a \leq p_{n} \leq b<\infty$ for some real numbers $a$ and $b, q_{n} \geq 0, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{n}=\infty$, and $f: R \rightarrow R$ is continuous such that $x f(x)>0$ for $x \neq 0$.
By a solution of (1) we mean a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ which is defined for $n \geq \min _{m \geq 0}\left\{m-k, \sigma_{m}\right\}$ and satisfies (1) for $n$ sufficiently large. A nontrivial solution $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ of (1) is said to be oscillatory if the terms $x_{n}$ are not eventually positive or eventually negative.
The oscillation theorem we prove here is the discrete analogue of a theorem we have recently obtained. The proof proceeds in a similar manner but quite different due to discrete nature of the equation (1). Furthermore, it requires discrete anologues of Kiguradze's lemmas [1] that are not available to us. We have established these analogues, but in the following, we can only state them due to limited space.

## 2. LEMMAS

Lemma 1. Let $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of real numbers on $N=\{0,1,2,3, \ldots\}$. Let $y_{n}$ and $\Delta^{m} y_{n}$ be of constant sign with $\Delta^{m} y_{n}$ being not identically zero in any subset of the form $\left\{n_{1}, n_{1}+1, \ldots\right\}$ of $N$. If

$$
y_{n} \Delta^{m} y_{n} \leq 0,
$$

then
(i) there is a natural number $n_{2} \geq n_{1}$ such that the sequences $\left\{\Delta^{j} y_{n}\right\}, j=1,2, \ldots, n-1$ are of constant sign on $\left\{n_{2}, n_{2}+1, \ldots\right\}$;
(ii) there exists a number $l \in\{0,1,2, \ldots, m-1\}$ with $(-1)^{m-l-1}=1$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
y_{n} \Delta^{j} y_{n}>0 & \text { for } j=0,1, \ldots, l, & n \geq n_{2} \\
(-1)^{j-1} y_{n} \Delta^{j} y_{n}>0 & \text { for } j=l+1, \ldots, m-1, & n \geq n_{2} .
\end{array}
$$

Lemma 2. Assume that $y_{n}$ together with $\Delta^{j} y_{n}, j=1,2, \ldots, m-1$, are of constant sign on $N\left(n_{1}\right)$. Moreover

$$
y_{n} \Delta^{m} y_{n} \geq 0
$$

Then either

$$
y_{n} \Delta^{j} y_{n} \geq 0, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, m
$$

or one can find a number $l, l \in\{0,1, \ldots, m-2\},(-1)^{m-1}=1$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{n} \Delta^{j} y_{n}>0, & j=1,2, \ldots, l, \\
(-1)^{j-l} y_{n} \Delta^{j} y_{n}>0, & j=l+1, \ldots, m-2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. THE MAIN RESULT

Theorem. In addition to above conditions, suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum^{\infty} n^{m-1} \frac{q_{n}}{a_{n}}=\infty \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then
(i) every bounded solution $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ of (1) is oscillatory when $(-1)^{m} \delta=-1$, and
(ii) every bounded solution $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ of (1) is either oscillatory or satisfies

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=0
$$

when $(-1)^{m} \delta=1$.
Proof. Let $x_{n}$ be an eventually positive solution of (1). Set $z_{n}=x_{n}-p_{n} x_{n-k}$. If $z_{n}$ is eventually positive, then we have

$$
x_{n}>p_{n} x_{n-k} \geq a x_{n-k},
$$

and therefore by induction,

$$
x_{n}>a^{j} x_{n-j k}
$$

or

$$
x_{n+j k}>a^{j} x_{n}
$$

for every positive integer $\boldsymbol{j}$. Letting $\boldsymbol{j} \rightarrow \infty$ in the last inequality we see that

$$
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} x_{j}=\infty
$$

Since this is a contradiction with $x_{n}$ being bounded, we conclude that $z_{n}$ is eventually negative. It follows from (1) that $\delta \Delta\left[a_{n} \Delta^{m-1} z_{n}\right]$ is also eventually negative. Thus, it can be claimed that eventually $\delta \Delta^{m-1} z_{n}$ is either positive or negative. Suppose that it is eventually negative, then there is an $N_{1} \geq n_{0}$ such that for $n \geq N_{1}$,

$$
\delta a_{n} \Delta^{m-1} z_{n} \leq \delta a_{N_{1}} \Delta^{m-1} z_{N_{1}}<0
$$

Dividing both sides of this inequality by $a_{n}$ and summing from $N_{1}$ to $n$, we obtain

$$
\delta \Delta^{m-1} z_{n+1} \leq \delta a_{N_{1}} \Delta^{m-1} z_{N_{1}} \sum_{i=N_{1}}^{n} \frac{1}{a_{n}}
$$

In view of (2), we see that $\delta \Delta^{m-1} z_{n} \rightarrow-\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which is of course a contradiction with $z_{n}$ being bounded. Thus we see that $\delta \Delta^{m-1} z_{n}$ is eventually positive. Now from (1), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta a_{n} \Delta^{m} z_{n}=-\left(\Delta a_{n}\right)\left(\delta \Delta^{m-1} z_{n+1}\right)-q_{n} f\left(x_{\sigma_{n}}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\Delta a_{n}$ and $q_{n}$ are nonnegative, (4) implies that $\delta \Delta^{m} z_{n}$ is eventually negative. In view of the fact that $z_{n}$ is bounded, applying Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, one can easily see that there are numbers $n_{1} \geq N_{1}$ and $l \in\{0,1\},(-1)^{m-1} \delta=1$, such that for $n \geq n_{1}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta^{j} z_{n}<0, & j=0,1,2, \ldots, l \\
(-1)^{j-l} \Delta^{j} z_{n}<0, & j=l+1, \ldots, m \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

It is clear from (4) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \Delta^{m} z_{n}+\frac{q_{n}}{a_{n}} f\left(x_{\sigma_{n}}\right) \leq 0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (6) by $n^{m-1}$ and summing from $n_{1}$ to $n$ and then applying the summation by parts formula to the first term in the resulting inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i=0}^{m-2}(-1)^{i+1} \delta\left(\Delta^{i} n_{1}^{m-1}\right)\left(\Delta^{m-i-1} z_{n_{1}+i}\right) & +(-1)^{m-1} \delta(m-1)!\left[z_{n+m}-z_{n_{1}+m-1}\right] \\
& +\sum_{j=n_{1}}^{n} j^{m-1} \frac{q_{j}}{a_{j}} f\left(x_{\sigma_{j}}\right) \leq 0 \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ is bounded, if we let $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (7) then we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=n_{1}}^{\infty} j^{m-1} \frac{q_{j}}{a_{j}} f\left(x_{\sigma_{j}}\right)<\infty \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3) and (8), it follows that

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=0
$$

Now we shall show that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} z_{n}=0$. Clearly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{n+k}-z_{n}=x_{n+k}-\left(p_{n+k}+1\right) x_{n}+p_{n} x_{n-k} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left\{n_{j}\right\}$ be such that $n_{j} \rightarrow \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, and $x_{n_{j}} \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Then from (9) we get

$$
0=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left[x_{n_{j}+k}+p_{n_{j}} x_{n_{j}-k}\right]
$$

As $x_{n_{j}+k}>0$ and $p_{n_{j}} x_{n_{j}-k}>0$, we see that $p_{n_{j}} x_{n_{j}-k} \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. If we now use the fact that $p_{n}$ is bounded and $z_{n_{j}}=x_{n_{j}}-p_{n_{j}} x_{n_{j}-k}$, we see that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} z_{n}=0
$$

Note that if $(-1)^{m} \delta=-1$, then it follows from (5) that $l=1$ and consequently $z_{n}$ is negative and decreasing. In this case, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} z_{n}=0$ is not possible, and therefore $x_{n}$ must be oscillatory.

Suppose that $(-1)^{m} \delta=1$. Then $l=0$ and so $z_{n}$ increases to 0 as $n$ grows to infinity. That is, given $\epsilon>0$, there exists an $n_{2} \geq n_{1}$ such that

$$
z_{n}>-\epsilon, \quad \text { for all } n \geq n_{2}
$$

Thus,

$$
x_{n}-p_{n} x_{n-k}>-\epsilon, \quad \text { for } n \geq n_{1}
$$

or

$$
x_{n}>-\epsilon+a x_{n-k}, \quad \text { for } n \geq n_{1}
$$

or

$$
a x_{n}<\epsilon+x_{n+k}, \quad \text { for } n \geq n_{1}
$$

By induction,

$$
a^{j} x_{n}<\epsilon a \epsilon+\cdots+a^{j-1} \epsilon+x_{n+j k}, \quad \text { for } n \geq n_{1}
$$

Let $M$ be a bound for $x_{n}$, then it follows from the last inequality that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n}<\frac{a^{-j}-1}{1-a} \epsilon+M a^{-j} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} a^{-j}=0$ and $\epsilon>0$ is arbitrary, (10) implies that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=0
$$

This completes the proof.
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